**Question 3.1.3:** 1. Compute the sup, inf,  $\limsup$ ,  $\liminf$ , and all the limit points of the following sequences  $x_1, x_2, \cdots$  where

a. 
$$x_n = 1/n + (-1)^n$$

b. 
$$x_n = 1 + (-1)^n / n$$

c. 
$$x_n = (-1)^n + 1/n + 2\sin(n\pi/2)$$
.

Proof.

a. 
$$\lim = \{1, -1\}, \lim \sup = 1, \lim \inf = -1, \sup = 3/2, \inf = -1$$

b. 
$$\lim = \{1\}, \lim \sup = 1, \lim \inf = 1, \sup = 3/2, \inf = 0$$

c. 
$$\lim = \{1, -3\}, \lim \sup = 1, \lim \inf = -3, \sup = 2, \inf = -3$$

Question 3.1.3: 2. If a bounded sequence is the sum of a monotone increasing and a monotone decreasing sequence  $(x_n = y_n + z_n \text{ where } \{y_n\} \text{ is monotone increasing and } \{z_n\}$  is monotone decreasing) does it follow that the sequence converges? What if  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  are bounded?

*Proof.* Take  $(y_n) = 1/2(-1)^n + n$  and  $(z_n) = 1/2(-1)^n - n$ . Then  $(x_n) = (-1)^n$ , which is bounded but, not convergent.

Now if  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  are bounded and monotone, they converge and thus have a limit. Since sum of limits is a limit of sums we know that  $(x_n)$  will converge.

**Question 3.1.3: 3.** If E is a set and y a point that is the limit of two sequences,  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $x_n$  is in E and  $y_n$  is an upper bound for E, prove that  $y = \sup E$ . Is the converse true?

*Proof.* Proof by contradiction. Let's assume  $x \in E$ , such that x > y. Since  $\lim y_n = y$ , where x - y < 1/n, then  $\exists m$ , such that  $|y_j - y| \le 1/n$  for  $k \ge m$ . Then we would have  $x > y_0 > y$ , but since  $y_n$  is an upper bound of E, which implies  $y_0 \ge x$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore y is an upper bound.

Suppose another contradiction that there is another upper bound z, such that z < y. So we have  $x_n < z, \forall n$  But in the limit we have  $x_n = y$  and therefore y < z, which is a contradiction. So  $y = \sup E$ .

Converse is not true as  $(x_n)$  does not have to be in E.

**Question 3.1.3: 4.** Prove  $\sup(A \cup B) \ge \sup A$  and  $\sup(A \cap B) \le \sup A$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of supremum, there is a unique supremum for a given set. Therefore  $\sup(A \cup B)$  can be re-written as  $\max\{\sup A, \sup B\} \ge \sup A$ .  $\square$ 

Similarly, since an infimum is unique for a given set we can rewrite  $\sup(A \cap B)$  as  $\min\{\sup A, \sup B\}$ .  $\square$ 

Question 3.1.3: 8. Write out the proof that  $\infty$  is a limit-point of  $\{x_n\}$  if and only if there exists a subsequence whose limit is  $\infty$ .

*Proof.* Infinity is a limit point of a sequence, when a sequence is divergent. Therefore we can rewrite the statement as: A sequence is divergent  $\iff$  there exists a subsequence which is divergent.

- ⇒ Suppose a sequence is divergent, then by taking a subsequence (removing terms), the sequence will stay divergent.
- Suppose a subsequence is divergent, then any sequence, in which it is contained is divergent.

**Question 3.1.3: 9.** Can there exist a sequence whose set of limit points is exactly  $\{1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots\}$ ? (Hint: what is the  $\liminf$  of the sequence?)

Proof. The  $\liminf = 0$ . Take a subsequence  $x_{j_r}$ , converging to  $1/n_0$ . Then for any  $m, \exists n$ , such that  $|x_{j_r}-1/n_0| < 1/n$  for any  $r \ge m$ . Then given any  $p, \exists n$ , such that  $1/n + 1/n_0 \ge 1/p$ . So for this  $n \exists m$ , such that  $|x_{j_r}| = |x_{j_r} - 1/n_0 + 1/n_0| \le |x_{j_r} - 1/n_0| + |1/n_0| \le 1/n + 1/n_0 \le 1/p$  for any  $r \ge m$ . So the subsequence is convergent to 0, which therefore has to be limit. However 0 is not contained in the set and therefore the desired sequence cannot exist.

Question 3.1.3: 11. Consider a sequence obtained by diagonalizing a rectangular array. Prove that any limit-point of any row or column of the array is a limit-point of the sequence. Do you necessarily get all limit-points this way?

*Proof.* As a rectangular array is symmetric, only rows to columns need to be proved. Let's define a row as a sequence  $(a_i)$  Suppose we have  $x = \lim a_{1i}$ . By Theorem 3.1.3 x is also the limit of a subsequence  $a_{1i}$ , Now this subsequence of a row is also a subsequence of the diagonal sequence  $a_{11}$ ,  $a_{21}$ ,  $a_{12}$ ,  $a_{31}$  of which x is a limit point by Theorem 3.1.3.

However we do not necessarily get all the limit points this way. For example a matrix of 1's, whose main diagonal is a sequence 1/n.

**Question 3.2.3: 1.** Let A be an open set. Show that if a finite number of points are removed from A, the remaining set is still open. Is the same true if a countable number of points are removed?

Andrzej Novak Homework 4

*Proof.* The new set can be rewritten as:

$$A - \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k\} = A \cap ((-\infty, x_1) \cup (x_1, x_2) \cup \dots (x_k, \infty)) \equiv A \cap B$$

As B is an union of open sets and therefore open  $\Rightarrow A \cap B$  is also open. However for a countable (infinite) number of elements we consider  $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$  and a point x in it. Then for every n we can find  $y \in \mathbb{Q} \in (x-1/n,x+1/n)$  and so we cannot find a neighbourhood around x completely in  $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$  and therefore  $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$  is not open.