Theorem: Conformal metrics  $\rho^2 dz \odot d\overline{z}$  experience  $K_{\rho}(z) = -\frac{\Delta log(\rho(z))}{\rho^2(z)}$  Gauss curvature.

Proof: Given a two dimensional Riemannian manifold with conformal metric  $(\Sigma, e^{\phi}(dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + dx^2 \otimes dx^2))$ , we have  $K = -\frac{R_{1212}}{(e^{\phi})^2}$  by Gauss' Theorema Egregium. Furthermore, we know that  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}\left(\frac{\partial g_{il}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^l} + \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^l}\right)$ . Now, observe that at least two of the indices of the Christoffel symbol must agree, yielding:

$$\begin{aligned} (i=j=k) & \Rightarrow & \Gamma^{i}_{ii} = \frac{1}{2}g^{ii}\left(\frac{\partial g_{ii}}{\partial x^{i}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(e^{\phi}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\phi\right) = \frac{1}{2}\phi_{i}, \\ (i=j) \neq k & \Rightarrow & \Gamma^{k}_{ii} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kk}\left(-\frac{\partial g_{ii}}{\partial x^{k}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}\left(e^{\phi}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}\left(\phi\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\phi_{k}, \\ (j=k) \neq i & \Rightarrow & \Gamma^{j}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}g^{jj}\left(\frac{\partial g_{jj}}{\partial x^{i}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(e^{\phi}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\phi\right) = \frac{1}{2}\phi_{i}, \\ (k=i) \neq j & \Rightarrow & \Gamma^{i}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}g^{ii}\left(\frac{\partial g_{ii}}{\partial x^{j}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\left(e^{\phi}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\left(\phi\right) = \frac{1}{2}\phi_{j}. \end{aligned}$$

With this in hand, we compute  $R_{1212}$  by definition (acquiring a formula along the way):

$$\begin{split} \langle R(\partial_1,\partial_2)\partial_1,\partial_2\rangle &= \left\langle \nabla_{\partial_1}\nabla_{\partial_2}\partial_1 - \nabla_{\partial_2}\nabla_{\partial_1}\partial_1 - \nabla_{[\partial_1,\partial_2]}\partial_1,\partial_2\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \nabla_{\partial_1}\left(\Gamma^1_{21}\partial_1 + \Gamma^2_{21}\partial_2\right) - \nabla_{\partial_2}\left(\Gamma^1_{11}\partial_1 + \Gamma^2_{11}\partial_2\right),\partial_2\right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}\Gamma^1_{21}\partial_1 + \Gamma^1_{21}\left(\Gamma^1_{11}\partial_1 + \Gamma^2_{11}\partial_2\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}\Gamma^2_{21}\partial_2 + \Gamma^2_{21}\left(\Gamma^1_{12}\partial_1 + \Gamma^2_{12}\partial_2\right),\partial_2\right\rangle \\ & \left\langle -\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}\Gamma^1_{11}\partial_1 + \Gamma^1_{11}\left(\Gamma^1_{21}\partial_1 + \Gamma^2_{21}\partial_2\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}\Gamma^2_{11}\partial_2 + \Gamma^2_{11}\left(\Gamma^1_{22}\partial_1 + \Gamma^2_{22}\partial_2\right)\right],\partial_2\right\rangle \\ &= \left[\Gamma^1_{21}\Gamma^2_{11} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}\Gamma^2_{21} + \Gamma^2_{21}\Gamma^2_{12} - \Gamma^1_{11}\Gamma^2_{21} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}\Gamma^2_{11} - \Gamma^2_{11}\Gamma^2_{22}\right] \cdot \langle\partial_2,\partial_2\rangle \\ &= e^{\phi} \cdot \left[\phi_2 \cdot (-\phi_2) + 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}(\phi_1) + \phi_1 \cdot \phi_1 - \phi_1 \cdot \phi_1 - 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}(-\phi_2) - (-\phi_2) \cdot \phi_2\right] \\ &= e^{\phi} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi \end{split}$$

Therefore, in the metric  $e^{\phi}(dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + dx^2 \otimes dx^2)$ , the Gauss curvature K is given by

$$K = -\frac{e^{\phi}\Delta\phi}{2\cdot(e^{\phi})^2} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi}\cdot\Delta\phi = -\frac{\Delta\phi}{2e^{\phi}}.$$

Since  $\rho^2 dz \odot d\overline{z}$  was the form of our metric on  $\Sigma$ ,  $log(\rho^2(z))$  plays the role of  $\phi$  in the above. Hence,

$$K_{\rho}(z) = -\frac{\Delta log(\rho^{2}(z))}{2e^{log(\rho^{2}(z))}} = -\frac{\Delta log(\rho(z))}{\rho^{2}(z)}$$