

THIS IS FULL TITLE

AUTHOR A¹ AND AUTHOR B^{1,*} AND AUTHOR C²

ABSTRACT. This is an example L^AT_EX article. This can be used as a template for new articles. Abstracts must be able to stand alone and so cannot contain citations to the paper’s references, equations, etc. An abstract must consist of a single paragraph and be concise. Because of online formatting, abstracts must appear as plain as possible. Any equations should be inline.

1. INTRODUCTION

The introduction introduces the context and summarizes the manuscript. It is importantly to clearly state the contributions of this piece of work. The next two paragraphs are text filler, generated by the `lipsum` package.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = e. \quad (1.1)$$

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \cos 3x \sin \pi y, & (x, y) \in G = (0, \pi) \times (0, 1), \\ u(x, 0) = u(x, 1) = 0, & 0 \leq x \leq \pi, \\ u_x(0, y) = u_x(\pi, y) = 0, & 0 \leq y \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

This is an example of quoting an equation (1.2).

The paper is organized as follows. Our main results are in 2, our new algorithm is in 3, experimental results are in 4, and the conclusions follow in 6.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We interleave text filler with some example theorems and theorem-like items.

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue

¹COMMON ADDRESS OF AUTHORS A AND B

²AUTHOR C ADDRESS

E-mail addresses: list of emails.

Date: February 10, 2023.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 65L60, 65L05, 65L70.

Key words and phrases. Keyword 1, keyword 2, error analysis.

*Corresponding author.

The first author was supported in part by NSF Grant #000000.

Support information for the second author.

Support information for the third author.

quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consetetuer.

This is a citation example [2].

Here we state our main result as 2.1.

Theorem 2.1 (*LDL^T Factorization [1]*). *If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is symmetric and the principal submatrix $A(1 : k, 1 : k)$ is nonsingular for $k = 1 : n - 1$, then there exists a unit lower triangular matrix L and a diagonal matrix*

$$D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n),$$

such that $A = LDL^T$. The factorization is unique.

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

Theorem 2.2 (*Mean Value Theorem*). *Suppose f is a function that is continuous on the closed interval $[a, b]$, and differentiable on the open interval (a, b) . Then there exists a number c such that $a < c < b$ and*

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}.$$

In other words,

$$f(b) - f(a) = f'(c)(b - a).$$

Remark 2.1. Observe that 2.1, 2.2 correctly mix references to multiple labels.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $f(x)$ be continuous and differentiable everywhere. If $f(x)$ has at least two roots, then $f'(x)$ must have at least one root.*

Proof. Let a and b be two distinct roots of f . By 2.2, there exists a number c such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} = \frac{0 - 0}{b - a} = 0.$$

□

Note that it may require two L^AT_EX compilations for the proof marks to show.

Display matrices can be rendered using environments from `amsmath`:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

Equation 2.1 shows some example matrices.

We calculate the Fréchet derivative of F as follows:

$$F'(U, V)(H, K) = \langle R(U, V), H\Sigma V^T + U\Sigma K^T - P(H\Sigma V^T + U\Sigma K^T) \rangle \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle R(U, V), H\Sigma V^T + U\Sigma K^T \rangle \\
&= \langle R(U, V)V\Sigma^T, H \rangle + \langle \Sigma^T U^T R(U, V), K^T \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2b}$$

2.2a is the first line, and 2.2b is the last line.

3. ALGORITHM

Sed gravida lectus ut purus. Morbi laoreet magna. Pellentesque eu wisi. Proin turpis. Integer sollicitudin augue nec dui. Fusce lectus. Vivamus faucibus nulla nec lacus. Integer diam. Pellentesque sodales, enim feugiat cursus volutpat, sem mauris dignissim mauris, quis consequat sem est fermentum ligula. Nullam justo lectus, condimentum sit amet, posuere a, fringilla mollis, felis. Morbi nulla nibh, pellentesque at, nonummy eu, sollicitudin nec, ipsum. Cras neque. Nunc augue. Nullam vitae quam id quam pulvinar blandit. Nunc sit amet orci. Aliquam erat elit, pharetra nec, aliquet a, gravida in, mi. Quisque urna enim, viverra quis, suscipit quis, tincidunt ut, sapien. Cras placerat consequat sem. Curabitur ac diam. Curabitur diam tortor, mollis et, viverra ac, tempus vel, metus.

Lemma 3.1. *This is lemma environment.*

Theorem 3.1. *This is theorem environment.*

Our analysis leads to the algorithm in 1.

Algorithm 1 Build tree

```

Define  $P := T := \{\{1\}, \dots, \{d\}\}$ 
while  $\#P > 1$  do
  Choose  $C' \in \mathcal{C}_p(P)$  with  $C' := \operatorname{argmin}_{C \in \mathcal{C}_p(P)} \varrho(C)$ 
  Find an optimal partition tree  $T_{C'}$ 
  Update  $P := (P \setminus C') \cup \{\bigcup_{t \in C'} t\}$ 
  Update  $T := T \cup \{\bigcup_{t \in \tau} t : \tau \in T_{C'} \setminus \mathcal{L}(T_{C'})\}$ 
end while
return  $T$ 

```

Curabitur ac lorem. Vivamus non justo in dui mattis posuere. Etiam accumsan ligula id pede. Maecenas tincidunt diam nec velit. Praesent convallis sapien ac est. Aliquam ullamcorper euismod nulla. Integer mollis enim vel tortor. Nulla sodales placerat nunc. Sed tempus rutrum wisi. Duis accumsan gravida purus. Nunc nunc. Etiam facilisis dui eu sem. Vestibulum semper. Praesent eu eros. Vestibulum tellus nisl, dapibus id, vestibulum sit amet, placerat ac, mauris. Maecenas et elit ut erat placerat dictum. Nam feugiat, turpis et sodales volutpat, wisi quam rhoncus neque, vitae aliquam ipsum sapien vel enim. Maecenas suscipit cursus mi.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Cras egestas ipsum a nisl. Vivamus varius dolor ut dolor. Fusce vel enim. Pellentesque accumsan ligula et eros. Cras id lacus non tortor facilisis facilisis. Etiam nisl elit, cursus sed, fringilla in, congue nec, urna. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Integer at turpis. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Duis fringilla, ligula sed porta fringilla, ligula wisi commodo felis, ut adipiscing felis dui in enim. Suspendisse malesuada ultrices ante. Pellentesque scelerisque augue sit amet urna. Nulla volutpat aliquet tortor. Cras aliquam, tellus at aliquet pellentesque, justo sapien commodo

leo, id rhoncus sapien quam at erat. Nulla commodo, wisi eget sollicitudin pretium, orci orci aliquam orci, ut cursus turpis justo et lacus. Nulla vel tortor. Quisque erat elit, viverra sit amet, sagittis eget, porta sit amet, lacus.

In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Proin at est. Curabitur tempus vulputate elit. Pellentesque sem. Praesent eu sapien. Duis elit magna, aliquet at, tempus sed, vehicula non, enim. Morbi viverra arcu nec purus. Vivamus fringilla, enim et commodo malesuada, tortor metus elementum ligula, nec aliquet est sapien ut lectus. Aliquam mi. Ut nec elit. Fusce euismod luctus tellus. Curabitur scelerisque. Nullam purus. Nam ultricies accumsan magna. Morbi pulvinar lorem sit amet ipsum. Donec ut justo vitae nibh mollis congue. Fusce quis diam. Praesent tempus eros ut quam.

Example 1. This is example environment.

Figure 4.1 shows some example results.




FIGURE 4.1. Example figure using external image files.

Morbi justo. Aenean nec dolor. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Proin nonummy porttitor velit. Sed sit amet leo nec metus rhoncus varius. Cras ante. Vestibulum commodo sem tincidunt massa. Nam justo. Aenean luctus, felis et condimentum lacinia, lectus enim pulvinar purus, non porta velit nisl sed eros. Suspendisse consequat. Mauris a dui et tortor mattis pretium. Sed nulla metus, volutpat id, aliquam eget, ullamcorper ut, ipsum. Morbi eu nunc. Praesent pretium. Duis aliquam pulvinar ligula. Ut blandit egetas justo. Quisque posuere metus viverra pede.

5. DISCUSSION OF $Z = X \cup Y$

Curabitur nunc magna, posuere eget, venenatis eu, vehicula ac, velit. Aenean ornare, massa a accumsan pulvinar, quam lorem laoreet purus, eu sodales magna risus molestie lorem. Nunc erat velit, hendrerit quis, malesuada ut, aliquam vitae, wisi. Sed posuere. Suspendisse ipsum arcu, scelerisque nec, aliquam eu, molestie tincidunt, justo. Phasellus iaculis. Sed posuere lorem non ipsum. Pellentesque dapibus. Suspendisse quam libero, laoreet a, tincidunt eget, consequat at, est. Nullam ut lectus non enim consequat facilisis. Mauris leo. Quisque pede ligula, auctor vel, pellentesque vel, posuere id, turpis. Cras ipsum sem, cursus et, facilisis ut, tempus euismod, quam. Suspendisse tristique dolor eu orci. Mauris mattis. Aenean semper. Vivamus tortor magna, facilisis id, varius mattis, hendrerit in, justo. Integer purus.




FIGURE 4.2. Left: Caption 1, Right: Caption 2.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Some conclusions here.

APPENDIX A. AN EXAMPLE APPENDIX

Aenean tincidunt laoreet dui. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Integer ipsum lectus, fermentum ac, malesuada in, eleifend ut, lorem. Vivamus ipsum turpis, elementum vel, hendrerit ut, semper at, metus. Vivamus sapien tortor, eleifend id, dapibus in, egestas et, pede. Pellentesque faucibus. Praesent lorem neque, dignissim in, facilisis nec, hendrerit vel, odio. Nam at diam ac neque aliquet viverra. Morbi dapibus ligula sagittis magna. In lobortis. Donec aliquet ultricies libero. Nunc dictum vulputate purus. Morbi varius. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. In tempor. Phasellus commodo porttitor magna. Curabitur vehicula odio vel dolor.

Lemma A.1. *Test Lemma.*

This is a equation in appendix.

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the assistance of volunteers in putting together this example manuscript and supplement.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gene H. Golub and Charles F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations*. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 4th edition, 2013.
- [2] Donald E. Woessner, Shanrong Zhang, Matthew E. Merritt, and A. Dean Sherry. Numerical solution of the Bloch equations provides insights into the optimum design of PARACEST agents for MRI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 53(4):790–799, April 2005.