

## Response to the reviewers

The authors thank the reviewers for their critical assessment of our work. In the following we address their concerns point by point.

---

### Reviewer 1

**Comments:** *This is comments of Reviewer 1. With some more words foo bar foo bar ...*

1. *Point one*
2. *Point two*
3. *Point three*

*Suggestion: it's better to test a case with ...*

**Reply:** We agree with the reviewer on this important point. This is what we did to fix it.

1. Reply one
2. Reply two
3. Reply three

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2. \tag{1}$$

Please see Page 5 line 10 of the revised version.

---

### Reviewer 2

**Comment 1:** *This is comment 1 of Reviewer 2.*

**Reply:** Our reply to This is comment 1 of Reviewer 2.

**Comment 2:** *This is comment 2 of Reviewer 2.*

**Reply:** Our reply to This is comment 2 of Reviewer 2.

---

### Reviewer 3

**Comments:** *This is comments of Reviewer 3. With some more words foo bar foo bar ...*

**Reply:** Our reply to it with reference to one of our points above using the L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X's label/ref system (see also 1).