# An Example Article\*

Author A<sup>1</sup>, Author B<sup>†1</sup>, Author C<sup>‡2</sup>, and Author D<sup>§2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science, LATEX University <sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, LATEX University

Date: February 13, 2023

#### Abstract

This is an example IATEX article. This can be used as a template for new articles. Abstracts must be able to stand alone and so cannot contain citations to the paper's references, equations, etc. An abstract must consist of a single paragraph and be concise. Because of online formatting, abstracts must appear as plain as possible. Any equations should be inline.

**Keywords**: Example, LATEX, numerical methods

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65L60, 65L05, 65L70.

#### 1 Introduction

The introduction introduces the context and summarizes the manuscript. It is importantly to clearly state the contributions of this piece of work.

In this paper we present a new method for solving the model equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \varepsilon^2 \Delta u + u^3 - u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathcal{T}, \\ u(x, y, t) = g(t), & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\ u(x, y, 0) = \varphi(x, y), & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $\varepsilon$  is a small parameter.

This is an example of quoting an equation (1.1).

The merits of our method are as follows:

- item one
- item two
- 1. item one
- 2. item two

The outline is not required, but we show an example here.

The paper is organized as follows. Our main results are in 2, our new algorithm is in 3, experimental results are in 4, discussion is in 5 and the conclusions follow in 6.

<sup>\*</sup>The work is supported in part by NSF Grant No. 12345678 and  $\dots$ 

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}\mathrm{Email}\ \mathrm{address}$ : B.B@university.edu

<sup>‡</sup>Email address: C.C@university.edu

<sup>§</sup>Email address: D.D@university.edu

 $\cdot 2 \cdot$  2 Main results

### 2 Main results

We interleave text filler with some example theorems and theorem-like items.

**Definition 2.1.** This is a definition environment.

**Lemma 2.1.** This is a lemma environment.

**Theorem 2.1.** This is a theorem environment.

*Proof.* This is a proof environment.

Lemma 2.2. This is a lemma environment

- (i) item A
- (ii) item B

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n = e. \tag{2.1}$$

This is a citation example [2].

Here we state our main result as 2.2.

**Theorem 2.2** ( $LDL^T$  Factorization [1]). If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric and the principal submatrix A(1:k,1:k) is nonsingular for k=1:n-1, then there exists a unit lower triangular matrix L and a diagonal matrix

$$D = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n),$$

such that  $A = LDL^{T}$ . The factorization is unique.

LaTeX is a high-quality typesetting system; it includes features designed for the production of technical and scientific documentation. LaTeX is the de facto standard for the communication and publication of scientific documents. LaTeX is based on the idea that it is better to leave document design to document designers, and to let authors get on with writing documents.

**Theorem 2.3** (Mean Value Theorem). Suppose f is a function that is continuous on the closed interval [a,b]. and differentiable on the open interval (a,b). Then there exists a number c such that a < c < b and

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}.$$

In other words,

$$f(b) - f(a) = f'(c)(b - a).$$

Remark 2.4. Observe that 2.2, 2.3 correctly mix references to multiple labels.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let f(x) be continuous and differentiable everywhere. If f(x) has at least two roots, then f'(x) must have at least one root.

*Proof.* Let a and b be two distinct roots of f. By 2.3, there exists a number c such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} = \frac{0 - 0}{b - a} = 0.$$

Note that it may require two LATEX compilations for the proof marks to show. Display matrices can be rendered using environments from amsmath:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

Equation 2.2 shows some example matrices.

We calculate the Fréchet derivative of F as follows:

$$F'(U,V)(H,K) = \langle R(U,V), H\Sigma V^T + U\Sigma K^T - P(H\Sigma V^T + U\Sigma K^T) \rangle$$

$$= \langle R(U,V), H\Sigma V^T + U\Sigma K^T \rangle$$

$$= \langle R(U,V)V\Sigma^T, H \rangle + \langle \Sigma^T U^T R(U,V), K^T \rangle.$$
(2.3a)

2.3a is the first line, and 2.3b is the last line.

## 3 Algorithm

Our analysis leads to the algorithm in 1.

### Algorithm 1 Build tree

```
Define P := T := \{\{1\}, \dots, \{d\}\}\}
while \#P > 1 do
Choose C' \in \mathcal{C}_p(P) with C' := \operatorname{argmin}_{C \in \mathcal{C}_p(P)} \varrho(C)
Find an optimal partition tree T_{C'}
Update P := (P \setminus C') \cup \{\bigcup_{t \in C'} t\}
Update T := T \cup \{\bigcup_{t \in \tau} t : \tau \in T_{C'} \setminus \mathcal{L}(T_{C'})\}
end whilereturn T
```

Reduce the width of the algorithm environment

```
Algorithm 2 Euclid's algorithmprocedure Euclid(a,b)\triangleright The g.c.d. of a and br \leftarrow a \mod b\triangleright We have the answer if r is 0a \leftarrow bb \leftarrow rr \leftarrow a \mod bend whilereturn b\triangleright The gcd is bend procedure
```

# 4 Experimental results

LaTeX is a high-quality typesetting system; it includes features designed for the production of technical and scientific documentation. LaTeX is the de facto standard for the communication and publication of scientific documents. LaTeX is based on the idea that it is better to leave document design to document designers, and to let authors get on with writing documents.

**Example 1.** This is example environment.

Figure 4.1 shows some example results.




Figure 4.1: Example figure using external image files.

The two figures are placed side by side, sharing the same title.




Figure 4.2: Left: Caption 1, Right: Caption 2.

Use minipage package to set up images side-by-side, each with its own title.




Figure 4.3: Caption A

Figure 4.4: Caption B

Additional results are available in the supplement in Table 4.1.

 $L^2$ -errors  $H^1$ -errors order $L^{\infty}$ -errors step-size horder degree order 1/1289.18E-062.02 7.70E-036.46E-071.01 2.02 1 1/2562.29E-061.92E-032.01 1.00 1.61E-072.01 1/5125.70E-072.00 9.56E-041.00 4.01E-082.00 1/1281.39E-083.01 1.15E-052.01 3.48E-124.02 2 1/2561.73E-09 3.01 2.88E-062.01 3.27E-133.94 1/5122.17E-103.00 7.24E-062.00 6.66E-131.55 1/322.28E-094.05 6.92E-073.04 1.45E-158.21 3 1/641.42E-104.038.65E-083.02 2.06E-143.851/1288.91E-124.01 1.08E-083.01 3.86E-140.91

Table 4.1: Numerical error

Use the tabularx environment to generate tables.

Ν A В  $\mathbf{C}$ D Е 2 9.20E-059.90E-051.00E-068.00E-061.50E-054 9.80E-058.00E-057.00E-061.40E-051.60E-056 4.00E-068.10E-058.80E-052.00E-052.20E-058 8.70E-051.90E-058.50E-052.10E-053.00E-0610 2.50E-059.00E-068.60E-059.30E-052.00E-068.30E-05 7.60E-0512 1.70E-052.40E-059.00E-05

Table 4.2: Table description

### 5 Discussion of $Z = X \cup Y$

Some discussions here. Some discussions here.

### 6 Conclusions

Some conclusions here.

# A An example appendix

The contents of the appendix are here.

Lemma A.1. Test Lemma.

This is a equation in appendix.

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2. (A.1)$$

 $\cdot$  6  $\cdot$ 

# Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the assistance of volunteers in putting together this example manuscript and supplement.

## References

- [1] Gene H. Golub and Charles F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations*. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 4th edition, 2013.
- [2] Donald E. Woessner, Shanrong Zhang, Matthew E. Merritt, and A. Dean Sherry. Numerical solution of the Bloch equations provides insights into the optimum design of PARACEST agents for MRI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 53(4):790–799, April 2005.