

Reply to the review report on

Journal-001: The full title of the submitted paper

by AUTHOR A and AUTHOR B

The authors thank the reviewers for their critical assessment of our work. In the following we address their concerns point by point.

Reviewer 1

Comments to the authors

This is comments of Reviewer 1. With some more words foo bar foo bar ...

1. *Point one*

2. *Point two*

Suggestion: it's better to test a case with ...

Author response

We agree with the reviewer on this point. This is what we did to fix it.

1. Reply one

2. Reply two

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2. \tag{1}$$

Please see Page 5 line 10 of the revised version.

Reviewer 2

Comment 1: *This is comment 1 of Reviewer 2.*

Reply: Our reply to This is comment 1 of Reviewer 2.

Comment 2: *This is comment 2 of Reviewer 2.*

Reply: Our reply to This is comment 2 of Reviewer 2.

Reviewer 3

Comments: *This is comments of Reviewer 3. With some more words foo bar foo bar ...*

Reply: Our reply to it with reference to one of our points above using the L^AT_EX's label/ref system (see also 1).