

Greedy Algorithms: Main Ideas

Car Fueling problem:

Input: A car which can travel at most L kilometers with full tank, a source point A , a destination point B and n gas stations at distances $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ in kilometers from A along the path from A to B .

Output: The minimum number of refills to get from A to B , besides refill at A .

Subproblem:

A subproblem is a similar problem of smaller size.

Example:

$\text{LargestNumber}(3, 9, 5, 9, 7, 1) = "9" + \text{LargestNumber}(3, 5, 9, 7, 1)$

Min number of refills from A to B = first refill at G + min number of refills from G to B .

Safe move:

A greedy choice is called safe move if there is an optimal solution consistent with this first move.

Lemma:

To refill at the farthest reachable gas station is a safe move.

Proof:

Let route R with the minimum number of refills. G_1 be position of first refill in R . G_2 be next stop in R (refill or B). G be the farthest refill reachable from A . If G is closer than G_2 , refill at G instead of G_1 . Otherwise, avoid refill at G_1 .

Case 1 (G is closer than G_2)

$A \text{ -- } G_1 \text{ -- } G \text{ -- } G_2 \text{ -- } B$

Refill at G instead of G_1 does not change the optimality because G is the farthest reachable point. An optimal path can be from A to G , from G to G_2 , and from G_2 to B . So, it's a safe move.

Case 2 (G_2 is closer than G)

Avoid filling at G_1 and G_2 because G is reachable and the farthest. It contradicts that R is an optimal route. There is no such case.

```
MinRefills(x, n, L):  
  numRefills <- 0, currentRefill <- 0
```

```

while currentRefill <= n:
    lastRefill <- currentRefill
    while (currentRefill <= n and x[currentRefill + 1] - x[lastRefill]
<= L):
        currentRefill <- currentRefill + 1
        # We can continue to travel as currentRefill + 1 is reachable
from lastRefill position
        if currentRefill == lastRefill:
            # If currentRefill = lastRefill, not enough fuel to move to the next
position.
            # So return impossible.
            return IMPOSSIBLE
        if currentRefill <= n:
            # If currentRefill position is not at point B, we need to do
refilling
            numRefills <- numRefills + 1
return numRefills

```

Lemma:

The running time of `MinRefills(x, n, L)` is $O(n)$.

Proof:

1. `currentRefill` changes from 0 to $n + 1$. As inner while loop and outer while loop change the same variable, there is at most $O(n)$ iterations even if there are two while loops.
2. `numRefills` changes from 0 to at most n , one-by-one.
3. Thus, $O(n)$ iterations.

Greedy Algorithms: Grouping Children

Problem statement: Many children came to a celebration. Organize them into the minimum possible number of groups such that the age of any two children in the same group differ by at most one year.

```

MinGroups(C):
m <- len(C) # Intialize with the number of children
for each partition into groups
C = G[1] ∪ G[2] ∪ ... ∪ G[k]: # Intially, k = m
    good <- true
    for i from 1 to k:
        if max{G[i]} - min{G[i]} > 1:
            good <- false
    if good:
        m <- min{m, k}
return m

```

Lemma:

The number of operations in $\text{MinGroups}(C)$ is at least 2^n , where n is the number of children in C .

Proof:

Consider just partitions into two groups i.e. $C = G_1 \cup G_2$. For each $G_1 \subset C$, $G_2 = C \setminus G_1$. Note that size of C is n . Each item can be included or excluded from G_1 . There are 2^n different G_1 . Thus, at least 2^n operations.

Covering points by segments

Input: A set n points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Output: The minimum number of segments of unit length needed to cover all the points

Save move: Cover the leftmost point with a unit segment which starts in this point.

```
Assume  $x[1] \leq x[2] \leq \dots \leq x[n]$ . (Points are sorted)
PointsCoverSorted( $x[1], \dots, x[n]$ ):
R  $\leftarrow \{\}$ ,  $i \leftarrow 1$ 
while  $i \leq n$ :
     $[l, r] \leftarrow [x[i], x[i] + 1]$  # Unit length segment
     $R \leftarrow R \cup \{[l, r]\}$ 
     $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
    while  $i \leq n$  and  $x[i] \leq r$ :
        # If  $x[i]$  is still within unit length segment, we don't create a new
        segment for it.
        # So, add 1 to  $i$ .
         $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
return R
```

Lemma:

The running time of PointsCoverSorted is $O(n)$.

Proof:

As i changes from 1 to n , for each i , there is at most 1 new segment. Overall, the running time is $O(n)$.

Greedy Algorithms: Fractional Knapsack

Fractional knapsack

Input: Weights w_1, \dots, w_n and values v_1, \dots, v_n of n items; capacity W .

Output: The maximum total value of fractions of items that fit into a bag of capacity W .

Lemma (Safe move):

There exists an optimal solution that uses as much as possible of an item with the maximal value per unit of weight.

```
Knapsack(W, w[1], v[1], ..., w[n], v[n]):  
A <- [0, 0, ..., 0], V <- 0  
repeat n times:  
    if W = 0: # No capacity and so select nothing  
        return (V, A)  
    select i with w[i] > 0 and max v[i]/w[i] # Pick an item with max  
value per unit of weight  
    a <- min(w[i], W) # Check if weighting exceed capacity  
    V <- V + a * v[i]/w[i] # Add values by fraction  
    w[i] <- w[i] - a # Reduce fraction of item weights by the amount put  
into the bag  
    A[i] <- A[i] + a # How each item is put into the bag  
    W <- W - a # Reduce capacity by the fraction  
return (V, A)
```

Lemma:

The running time of Knapsack is $O(n^2)$

Proof:

Select the best item on each step in $O(n)$. Main loop is executed n times. Overall, $O(n^2)$.

Assume $\frac{v[1]}{w[1]} \geq \frac{v[2]}{w[2]} \geq \dots \geq \frac{v[n]}{w[n]}$.

```
Knapsack(W, w[1], v[1], ..., w[n], v[n]):  
A <- [0, 0, ..., 0], V <- 0  
for i from 1 to n:  
    if W = 0: # No capacity and so select nothing  
        return (V, A)  
    a <- min(w[i], W) # Check if weighting exceed capacity  
    V <- V + a * v[i]/w[i] # Add values by fraction  
    w[i] <- w[i] - a # Reduce fraction of item weights by the amount put  
into the bag  
    A[i] <- A[i] + a # How each item is put into the bag  
    W <- W - a # Reduce capacity by the fraction  
return (V, A)
```

Now each iteration is $O(1)$ and Knapsack after sorting is $O(n)$. So, Sort + Knapsack is $O(n \log(n))$.