
Computer Architecture

Chapter 5c. Memory System

Hyuk-Jun Lee, PhD

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering
Sogang University
Seoul, Korea

Email: hyukjunl@sogang.ac.kr



Sogang University



Virtual Memory

- Use main memory as a “cache” for secondary (disk) storage
 - Managed jointly by CPU hardware and the operating system (OS)
 - Programs share main memory
 - Each gets a private virtual address space holding its frequently used code and data
 - Protected from other programs
 - CPU and OS translate virtual addresses to physical addresses
 - VM “block” is called a page
 - VM translation “miss” is called a page fault
-



Address Translation

- Fixed-size pages (e.g., 4K)



Page Fault Penalty

- On page fault, the page must be fetched from disk
 - Takes millions of clock cycles
 - Handled by OS code
- Try to minimize page fault rate
 - Fully associative placement
 - Smart replacement algorithms




Page Tables


- Stores placement information
 - Array of page table entries, indexed by virtual page number
 - Page table register in CPU points to page table in physical memory 지금 실행하는 프로그램의 page table의 starting address를 가리킴
- If page is present in memory input - virtual page number
output - physical page number
 - PTE stores the physical page number
 - Plus other status bits (referenced, dirty, ...)
- If page is not present 한번에 하나의 프로그램이 돌아가니까 다른 프로그램을 쓰면 새로운 page table이 생김(꺼질 때까지 유지)
각 page table이 매핑된 physical address는 다름
 - PTE can refer to location in swap space on disk cache miss가 날 때 main memory 접근
근데 main memory는 physical address니까 translation이 필요함



Translation Using a Page Table



Mapping Pages to Storage



Replacement and Writes

- To reduce page fault rate, prefer least-recently used (LRU) replacement
 - Reference bit (aka use bit) in PTE set to 1 on access to page
 - Periodically cleared to 0 by OS
 - A page with reference bit = 0 has not been used recently
- Disk writes take millions of cycles
 - Block at once, not individual locations
 - Write through is impractical
 - Use write-back
 - Dirty bit in PTE set when page is written



Fast Translation Using a TLB

- Address translation would appear to require extra memory references
 - One to access the PTE
 - Then the actual memory access
- But access to page tables has good locality
 - So use a fast cache of PTEs within the CPU
 - Called a Translation Look-aside Buffer (TLB)
 - Typical: 16–512 PTEs, 0.5–1 cycle for hit, 10–100 cycles for miss, 0.01%–1% miss rate
 - Misses could be handled by hardware or software


두번 memory 접근해야함

tlb를 쓰면 miss가 없는 이상 1번 접근

tlb miss가 되면 table을 봐야 하는데 이것 하드웨어, 소프트웨어로 구현 가능
하지만 table miss가 나면 하드웨어로는 해결 못함



Fast Translation Using a TLB



TLB Misses

- If page is in memory
 - Load the PTE from memory and retry
 - Could be handled in hardware
 - Can get complex for more complicated page table structures
 - Or in software
 - Raise a special exception, with optimized handler
- If page is not in memory (page fault)
 - OS handles fetching the page and updating the page table
 - Then restart the faulting instruction



TLB Miss Handler

- TLB miss indicates
 - Page present, but PTE not in TLB
 - Page not present
- Must recognize TLB miss before destination register overwritten
 - Raise exception
- Handler copies PTE from memory to TLB
 - Then restarts instruction
 - If page not present, page fault will occur



Page Fault Handler

- Use faulting virtual address to find PTE
- Locate page on disk
- Choose page to replace
 - If dirty, write to disk first
- Read page into memory and update page table
- Make process runnable again
 - Restart from faulting instruction



The Memory Hierarchy

The BIG Picture

- Common principles apply at all levels of the memory hierarchy
 - Based on notions of caching
- At each level in the hierarchy
 - Block placement
 - Finding a block
 - Replacement on a miss
 - Write policy



Block Placement

- Determined by associativity
 - Direct mapped (1-way associative)
 - One choice for placement
 - n-way set associative
 - n choices within a set
 - Fully associative
 - Any location
- Higher associativity reduces miss rate
 - Increases complexity, cost, and access time



Finding a Block

Associativity	Location method	Tag comparisons
Direct mapped	Index	1
n-way set associative	Set index, then search entries within the set	n
Fully associative	Search all entries	#entries
	Full lookup table	0

- Hardware caches
 - Reduce comparisons to reduce cost
- Virtual memory
 - Full table lookup makes full associativity feasible
 - Benefit in reduced miss rate



Replacement

- Choice of entry to replace on a miss
 - Least recently used (LRU)
 - Complex and costly hardware for high associativity
 - Random
 - Close to LRU, easier to implement
- Virtual memory
 - LRU approximation with hardware support



Write Policy

- Write-through
 - Update both upper and lower levels
 - Simplifies replacement, but may require write buffer
- Write-back
 - Update upper level only
 - Update lower level when block is replaced
 - Need to keep more state
- Virtual memory
 - Only write-back is feasible, given disk write latency



Sources of Misses

- Compulsory misses (aka cold start misses)
 - First access to a block
- Capacity misses
 - Due to finite cache size
 - A replaced block is later accessed again
- Conflict misses (aka collision misses)
 - In a non-fully associative cache
 - Due to competition for entries in a set
 - Would not occur in a fully associative cache of the same total size




Cache Design Trade-offs

Design change	Effect on miss rate	Negative performance effect
Increase cache size	Decrease capacity misses	May increase access time
Increase associativity	Decrease conflict misses	May increase access time <small>multiplexer</small>
Increase block size	Decrease compulsory misses <small>increase spacial locality</small>	<small>bring more data but don't use a large part of it</small> Increases miss penalty. For very large block size, may increase miss rate due to pollution.



Multilevel On-Chip Caches

Intel Nehalem 4-core processor



Per core: 32KB L1 I-cache, 32KB L1 D-cache, 512KB L2 cache



2-Level TLB Organization

	Intel Nehalem	AMD Opteron X4
Virtual addr	48 bits	48 bits
Physical addr	44 bits	48 bits
Page size	4KB, 2/4MB	4KB, 2/4MB
L1 TLB (per core)	L1 I-TLB: 128 entries for small pages, 7 per thread (2×) for large pages L1 D-TLB: 64 entries for small pages, 32 for large pages Both 4-way, LRU replacement	L1 I-TLB: 48 entries L1 D-TLB: 48 entries Both fully associative, LRU replacement
L2 TLB (per core)	Single L2 TLB: 512 entries 4-way, LRU replacement	L2 I-TLB: 512 entries L2 D-TLB: 512 entries Both 4-way, round-robin LRU
TLB misses	Handled in hardware	Handled in hardware



3-Level Cache Organization

	Intel Nehalem	AMD Opteron X4
L1 caches (per core)	L1 I-cache: 32KB, 64-byte blocks, 4-way, approx LRU replacement, hit time n/a L1 D-cache: 32KB, 64-byte blocks, 8-way, approx LRU replacement, write-back/allocate, hit time n/a	L1 I-cache: 32KB, 64-byte blocks, 2-way, LRU replacement, hit time 3 cycles L1 D-cache: 32KB, 64-byte blocks, 2-way, LRU replacement, write-back/allocate, hit time 9 cycles
L2 unified cache (per core)	256KB, 64-byte blocks, 8-way, approx LRU replacement, write-back/allocate, hit time n/a	512KB, 64-byte blocks, 16-way, approx LRU replacement, write-back/allocate, hit time n/a
L3 unified cache (shared)	8MB, 64-byte blocks, 16-way, replacement n/a, write-back/allocate, hit time n/a	2MB, 64-byte blocks, 32-way, replace block shared by fewest cores, write-back/allocate, hit time 32 cycles

n/a: data not available



Concluding Remarks

- Fast memories are small, large memories are slow
 - We really want fast, large memories ☹️
 - Caching gives this illusion 😊
- Principle of locality
 - Programs use a small part of their memory space frequently
- Memory hierarchy
 - L1 cache ↔ L2 cache ↔ ... ↔ DRAM memory ↔ disk
- Memory system design is critical for multiprocessors

