

CSE 373 Homework 4 Write up

CSE 373 A
Homework 4
Fangzheng Sun
05/13/2015

1. Who is in your group (Give name, UW NetID & student number of each person)?

I do not have a group, I work myself.

2. a) How did you design your tests & what properties did you test?

I test the wellness of both hashtables, whether the method getCount, size and incCount methods are true.

b) What boundary cases did you consider?

For some words that are the most frequent ones and some are very infrequent and some are impossible to have. For incCount, I test both existing and new words. The size should be affected by new words and unaffected by the existing words.

3. Conduct an experiment to determine which DataCounter implementation (HashTable_SC, HashTable_OP) is better for large input texts.

a) Describe your experimental setup:

1) Inputs used

For every single test, I input 2 words to the hash tables for 100 times. Then I compute the average time.

2) How you collected timing information

Using the provided timing code and print the average time in ms.

3) Any details that would be needed to replicate your experiments

For the 2 words to be put in the table, one is an existing one, and another is new for the table (1-100 transferring to string). So The result will be fair .

b) Experimental Results (Place your graphs and tables of results here).

for hashtable_SC, average running time is : 0.075 ms

for hashtable_OA, average running time is : 0.025 ms

c) Interpretation of Experimental Results

1) What did you expect about the results and why?

I expect OA should be more efficient since probing avoid further running time in go through nodes in SC.

2) Did your results agree with your expectations? Why or Why not?

Yes. The OA works more efficient because probing avoid further running time in go through nodes in SC.

3) According to your experiment, which Hashtable implementation, separate chaining or open addressing, is better?

Open addressing.

4. Conduct experiments to determine if changing the hash function affects the runtime of your HashTable.

a) Brief description of your hash functions

Make a new hasher. That is: instead of returning the sum of the ASCII value of the words, the new one returns the Average value of all character. Then I compare the result.

b) Experimental Results (Place your graphs and tables of results here).

Experiment with at least 2 hash functions (2 Hashing functions = 2 experiments depending on how you measured the runtime)

Don't forget to give each graph a title and label the axes.

Runtime table (ms)	SC	OA
The old hasher	0.15	0.025
The new hasher	0.075	0.775

c) Interpretation (Your expectations and why? Did it match your results? If not, why?)

I expect the result should be unchanged. But the result does not mach. The big change in OA is possibly caused by the limited size of the experiment.

5. Using Correlator, does your experimentation suggest that Bacon wrote Shakespeare's plays? Show at least one (you can experiment with more texts if you want) correlation value for each of:

a) Shakespeare's work compared to Shakespeare's work

hamlet to the metchant of Venis (act 1) : 2.6988673727921755E-4

b) Bacon's work compared to Bacon's work

the new atlantis to Bacon's articles : 7.936387909302799E-4

c) Shakespeare's work compared to Bacon's work

hamlet to the new atlantis: 5.65727366923397E-4

According to the results of your experiments, did Bacon write Shakespeare's plays?

Since Bacon's work to Bacon's work's variance is larger than the result in c), in my experiment, I can not say that whether or not Bacon wrote Shakespeare's work.

6. Include a description of how your project goes "above and beyond" the basic requirements (if it does).
I did not go this part.

7. If you worked with a partner:

a) Describe the process you used for developing and testing your code. If you divided it, describe that. If you did everything together, describe the actual process used (eg. how long you talked about what, what order you wrote and tested, and how long it took).

b) Describe each group member's contributions/responsibilities in the project.

c) Describe at least one good thing and one bad thing about the process of working together.

Not with a partner.

8. a) Which parts of the project were most difficult?

Implementing two hashtables, especially iterator and resizing.

b) How could the project be better?

Make the orientation clearer.

Appendix

Place anything else that you want to add here.