Relational translation - 2

Knowledge Objectives

1. Explain the two possible implementations of symmetric reflexive associations in a RDBMS
2. Remember where to place the attributes of the UML associations when they are implemented on a RDBMS, depending on their multiplicity
3. Distinguish associative classes that appear just due to UML syntax constraints from those truly associative classes

Understanding Objectives

1. Translate from a UML class diagram (with around 10 classes, some maybe associative classes, and related by associations, generalizations and aggregations) into an SQL schema

Application Objectives

1. Choose and justify the best option to translate from a UML class diagram (with less than 10 classes, some maybe associative classes, related by associations, generalizations and aggregations) into an SQL schema, given the statistics of participation of the instances in the relationships and the queries
2. Given a multivalued attribute and an explanation of its usage, choose and justify the best option to implement it in a RDBMS

Multiplicities

1. Maximum multiplicity:
   - Each one, how many at most? Give raise to:
     - *-*
     - 1-*
     - 1-1

2. Minimum multiplicity:
   - Could zeros exist (**possible** no participation of an instance in the relationship)?
     - Above cases split into subcases
     - If there are zeros, do they give rise to nulls?

Binary association (*-*)





Projects(pro,…)

Assig(empl,pro,func)

Employee(id, …)

Always a new table!!!

Binary association (*-*)





Projects(pro,…)

Assig(empl,pro,func)

Employee(id, …)

Always a new table!!!

Binary associations (1-*)



Binary associations (1-*)



Binary associations (1-*)



Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.

Same pending constr.

Binary associations (1-*)



Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.

Same pending constr.

Binary associations (1-*)



Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

WITHOUT

Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

WITH

Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.

Dept(dpt,…)

Belong(dpt,empl)

Empl(id,…) WITHOUT

Binary associations (1-*)



Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

WITHOUT

Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

WITH

Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

WITHOUT

Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.

Dept(dpt,…)

Belong(dpt,empl)

Empl(id,…) WITHOUT

Binary associations (1-*)





Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

WITHOUT

Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.





Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

WITH

Dept(dpt,…)

Belong(dpt,empl)

Empl(id,…) WITHOUT





Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

WITHOUT





Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

WITH

Binary associations (1-*)



Binary associations (1-1)



Pending constraint:

Same pending constr.

Binary associations (1-1)



Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.

Same pending constr.

Binary associations (1-1)



Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.

Same pending constr.

Binary associations (1-1)



Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.

Same pending constr.

Binary associations (1-1)



Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.

Binary associations (1-1)



Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.

Binary associations (1-1)



Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.

Binary associations (1-1)



Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.

Binary associations (1-1)



Pending constraint:

Every dept.has empl.



Fusing classes/Choosing PK



Fusing classes/Choosing PK



Dept(dpt,…)

Empl(id, …, dpt)

WITHOUT

Dept_Empl(dpt,…,empl,…)

Fusing classes/Choosing PK



Dept_Empl(dpt,…,empl,…)

Which candidate key would you choose?

- Time
- Space

Fusing classes/Choosing PK



Dept_Empl(dpt,…,empl,…)

Which candidate key would you choose?

Country_President(	country, …,	president,…)
	USA	B. Obama
	Spain	M. Rajoy

- Time
- Space

Fusing classes/Choosing PK



Dept_Empl(dpt,…,empl,…)

Which candidate key would you choose?

Country_President(	country, …,	president,…)
	USA	B. Obama
	Spain	M. Rajoy

- Time
- Space
- Change frequency

Attributes of relationships

- *-* or n-ary (common)
  - In the table representing the association
- 1-* (uncommon)
  - If any, in the table representing the association
  - Otherwise?
- 1-1 (rare)
  - If any, in the table representing the association
  - If only one table (fusion), in it
  - Otherwise?

Reflexive associations



Reflexive associations



Reflexive associations



- Valid multiplicities:
  - *-* (Relatives)
  - 1-* (Mother)
  - 1-1 (Couple)
- Singularity:

Reflexive associations



- Valid multiplicities:
  - *-* (Relatives)
  - 1-* (Mother)
  - 1-1 (Couple)
- Singularity:
  - May be symmetric or not

Brother1	Brother2
John	Peter
Peter	John

Friend1	Friend2	Grade
John	Peter	10
Peter	John	2

Reflexive multiplicities



Project(<u>pro</u>,…)

Requires_com(<u>pro</u>,<u>pro-com</u>,grade)

Employee(<u>emp</u>,…,leader) WITH

or



Employee(<u>emp</u>,…)

Leadership(<u>emp</u>,emp-leader) WITHOUT

Employee(<u>emp</u>,…,couple) WITH

or



Employee(<u>emp</u>,…)

Couple(<u>emp</u>,<u>emp-couple</u>) WITHOUT

Symmetric reflexive associations

- We must preserve the property. Triggers may bring a satisfactory solution:

  INSERT (a, b) → INSERT (b, a)

  DELETE (a, b) → DELETE (b, a)

  UPDATE…

- We may store only half of the pairs

  CREATE VIEW to simulate the whole set of pairs

  Trigger INSERT (a, b) → look if (b, a) already present

  Trigger DELETE (a, b) → look if (b, a) is present instead

  Trigger UPDATE…

Can we improve this model?



Ternary associations (*-*-*)



Students(st, …)

Semesters(sem, …)

Courses(cou, …)

Takes(st, sem, cou, mark)

Always a new table!!!

Ternary associations (*-*-*)





Always a new table!!!

Ternary associations (*-*-1)



Parties(<u>acronym</u>, …)

Aff_dates(<u>date</u>, …)

Politicians(<u>name</u>, …)

Affiliates(par, date, pol, dis)

Always a new table!!!

Ternary associations (*-*-1)



Parties(<u>acronym</u>, …)

Aff_dates(<u>date</u>, …)

Politicians(<u>name</u>, …)

Affiliates(par, <u>date, pol</u>, dis)

Always a new table!!!

Ternary associations (*-1-1)



Groups(<u>id</u>, …)

Starting_times(<u>time</u>, …)

Classrooms(<u>id</u>, …)

Lectures(group, time, clr, duration)

Always a new table!!!

Ternary associations (*-1-1)



Groups(id, …)

Starting_times(time, …)

Classrooms(id, …)

Lectures(group, time, clr, duration)

Always a new table!!!

Ternary associations (1-1-1)



Subjects(subjects, …)

Students(name, …)

Advisors(name, …)

FYP(subj, student, advisor)

Always a new table!!!

Ternary associations (1-1-1)



Subjects(subjects, …)

Students(name, …)

Advisors(name, …)

FYP(subj, student, advisor)

Always a new table!!!

N-ary associations

- Binary: A new table or foreign key
- Ternary: A new table
- Quaternary: A new table
- …

Compound aggregation (I)

- Weak class, with regard to the external key of the classical relational model



Compound aggregation (I)

- Weak class, with regard to the external key of the classical relational model



Country(<u>coName</u>, …)

City(<u>coName, ciName</u>, …)

Compound aggregation (II)

- A given class cannot be part of two



- Composition cannot have zeros at "to-one" side

- Compositions can be chained



Country(<u>name</u>, …)

City(<u>country, city</u>, …)

Street(<u>country, city, street</u>, …)

Compound aggregation (II)

- A given class cannot be part of two



- Composition cannot have zeros at "to-one" side

- Compositions can be chained



Country(<u>name</u>, …)

City(<u>country, city</u>, …)

COMPOUND FK

Street(<u>country, city, street</u>, …)

Class vs Association

ASSOCIATION



Class vs Association

ASSOCIATION



ASSOCIATION-CLASS



Association classes (I)





Association classes (I)





FOREIGN KEY (emp, proj) REFERENCES Asignaments

Association classes (II)



Projects(proj, …)

Employees(emp,… , proj, assN, date)

UNIQUE(assN)

Association classes (II)



Projects(proj, …)

Employees(emp,… , proj, assN, date)

UNIQUE(assN)

Association classes (II)



Projects(<u>proj</u>, …)

Employees(<u>emp</u>,… , proj, assN, date)

Task-Assig(<u>task, emp</u>)

Tasks(<u>task</u>, …)

Pending constraint:

UNIQUE(assN)

Association classes (II)



Projects(<u>proj</u>, …)

Employees(<u>emp</u>,… , proj, assN, date)

Task-Assig(<u>task, emp</u>)

Tasks(<u>task</u>, …)

UNIQUE(assN)

Pending constraint:

A task must be done by an empl. who is assigned

Association classes (II)



Projects(<u>proj</u>, …)

Employees(<u>emp</u>,…)

Assignment(proj, <u>emp</u>, <u>assN</u>, date)

Task-Assig(<u>task, emp</u>)

Tasks(<u>task</u>, …)

Pending constraint:

Multivalued attributes (I)



Multivalued attributes (I)

CLIENT
code: integer phone: string [*] .....

ONE VALUE PER COLUMN

Client(code, office-phone, secretary-phone, cell-phone, ...)

C1	933333333	933333331	666666666	null

Multivalued attributes (I)

CLIENT
code: integer phone: string [*] .....

ONE VALUE PER COLUMN

Client(code, office-phone, secretary-phone, cell-phone, ...)

C1	933333333	933333331	666666666	null

ONE VALUE PER ROW

Client(code, ...)

ClientTelephones(code, place, telephone)

C1	Office	933333333
C1	Secretary	933333331
C1	Cellular	666666666

Multivalued attributes (II)

Per column	Per row
Fixed number of values	Variable number of values
Few values	Many values
Generates nulls	There are no null values
One I/O	Many I/O
Global processing	Partial processing
Natural PK	Artificial PK
Less space	More space
Hard to aggregate	Easy to aggregate
Many CHECKs	One CHECK
Lower concurrency	Higher concurrency

Example: Conceptual schema



Summary

- Translation of relationships
- Possible overlooking of classes
- Attributes of relationships
- Class or relationship
- Multivalued attributes

Bibliography (I)

- Jaume Sistac et al. *Disseny de bases de dades*. Editorial UOC, 2002. Col·lecció Manuals, number 43
- T. Teorey et al. *Database modeling and design*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 2006. 4th edition
- R. Elmasri and B. Nabathe. *Fundamentals of Database Systems*. Addison-Wesley, fourth edition, 2003