the Manichaean forms انكليون of Persian origin,1 and anglion of

Turkish origin,² still have the Gk. -tov ending, and had the Arabic, like these, been derived from the Syr. we might have expected it also to preserve the final $\dot{\cup}$. The shortened form, he points out (Neue Beiträge, 47), is to be found in the Eth. ϖ 72 \clubsuit , where the long vowel is almost conclusive evidence of the Arabic word having come from Abyssinia.³ Grimme, ZA, xxvi, 164, suggests that it may have entered Arabic from the Sabaean, but we have no inscriptional evidence to support this. It is possible that the word was current in this form in pre-Islamic days, though as Horovitz, KU, 71, points out, there is some doubt of the authenticity of the verses in which it is found.⁴

$$\vec{a}$$
 \vec{b} \vec{b} \vec{b} \vec{c} \vec{c}

Of very frequent occurrence. Cf. ii, 37; iii, 9; xxxvi, 33. A sign.

Later it comes to mean a verse of the Qur'an, and then a verse of a book, but it is doubtful whether it ever means anything more than sign in the Qur'an, though as Muḥammad comes to refer to his preaching as a sign, the word tends to the later meaning, as e.g. in iii, 5, etc. It is noteworthy that in spite of the frequency of its occurrence in the Qur'an it occurs very seldom in the early Meccan passages.⁵

The struggles of the early Muslim philologers to explain the word are interestingly set forth in LA, xviii, 66 ff. The word has no root in Arabic, and is obviously, as von Kremer noted, a borrowing from Syr. or Aram. The Heb. $\square \aleph$ (cf. Phon. $\square \aleph$), from a verb $\square \aleph$, to sign or mark, was used quite generally, for signs of the weather (Gen. i, 14; ix, 12), for a military ensign (Numb. ii, 2), for a memorial sign

¹ Vullers, Lex, i, 136; Salemann, Manichaeische Studien, i, 50; BQ, 88, which latter knows that it is the name of the book of Jesus and the book of Mani— نام It is curious that Bagh. on iii, 2, gives انقلبون as an attempt to represent the Syriac original.

² In the phrase uluy anglion bitig, cf. Le Coq, SBAW, Berlin, 1909, p. 1204.

³ Cf. Fischer, Islamica, i, 372, n. 5.

⁴ Cf. Cheikho, Naṣrāniya, 185.

⁵ Not more than nine times in Sūras classed by Noldeke as early Meccan, though many passages in these are certainly to be placed much later, and one may doubt whether the word occurs at all in really early passages.

Ideen, 226 n.; see also Sprenger, Leben, ii, 419 n.; Cheikho, Naṣrāniya, 181;
and Margoliouth, ERE, x, 539.