cf. Akk. tittu), give us the form we need, and which may also be the origin of the Iranian form found in Phlv. 1919, which Haug, PPGl, 217, takes to be a mispronunciation of 1910  $t\bar{t}n$  = ficus. The word occurs in the old poetry and was doubtless well known in pre-Islamic Arabia (cf. Laufer, Sino-Iranica, 411).

xxxiv. 12.

A cistern.

It occurs in the Qur'an in the Solomon story, in the plu. form جَوَابِ, which is modified from جَوَابِ, which is modified from جَوَابِ, which the Jinn made for Solomon.

Fraenkel in Beit. Ass, iii, 74, 75, points out that it is from the Syr.

المحمد a cistern or any collection of water. The for is not without parallels, as Fraenkel shows, cf. جائليق for محمدها

That the word was known in pre-Islamic Arabia is clear from a verse of al- $\Lambda$ 'shā in  $K\bar{a}mil$ , 4, 14.

ii, 250-2.

Goliath.

There was very general agreement among the Muslim authorities that the name was not Arabic, even Rāghib, Mufradāt, 94, agreeing that غيم لا اصل له في العربية; cf. also al-Jawālīqī, Mu'arrab, 46; LA, ii, 325; TA, i, 535.

clearly جالوت is an attempt to reproduce the Heb. آجَاتِ of the O.T. narrative, of which the Qur'ānic story is obviously a garbled

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From \*tintu, see Zimmern, Akkad. Fremdw, 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fraenkel, Fremdw, 275; referring to Noldeke, Mand. Gramm, 38, n. 2; Hoffmann in ZDMG, xxxii, 748, and cf. Hamāsa, 244 (قسبو س and قسبو س.