Occurs twenty-two times in Sūra xii, elsewhere only in vi, 84, and xl, 36.

Joseph.

The early authorities differed as to whether it was an Arabic word derived from in a borrowing from Hebrew (ath-Tha'labī, Qiṣaṣ, 75). Zam. on xii, 4, in his usual vigorous style combats the theory of an Arabic origin, and al-Jawālīqī, Mu'arrab, 155, also notes it as foreign.

Geiger, 141, and Sycz, Eigennamen, 26, 27, would take it as a direct borrowing from the Heb. Toil, but the Syr. and or Eth. PAG might equally well have been the source. Grimme, ZA, xxvi, 166, on the ground that in N. Arabia we should expect a form Yūsif rather than Yūsuf, would have the name derived from S. Arabia. If the Muslim

legends about Dhū Nawās can be trusted, the name يوسف would have been known in S. Arabia, for they tell us that his name was يوسف. The name, however, appears to have been known also in the N., for we find a Yūsuf b. 'Abdallah b. Salām in *Usd al Ghāba*, v, 132.2 One suspects that the name came from Jewish sources rather than Christian.

ر بر يونس (Yūnus).

iv, 161; vi, 86; x, 98; xxxvii, 139.

Jonah.

He is also referred to as خو النون in lxviii, 48, and as ذو النون in xxi, 87.

Some early authorities endeavoured to derive it from J, but Zam. on xii, 4, vigorously combats the view that the variant readings in and in given by Jawharī, s.v. if, provide any ground for such a derivation, and al-Jawālīqī, Mu'arrab, 155; al-Khafājī, 215, give it as foreign.

<sup>2</sup> Horovitz, KU, 154.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So al-Khafājī, 215, and see Sprenger, Leben, ii, 336.