The name is apparently unknown in pre-Islamic literature, though it must have been known to the circle of Muḥammad's audience.¹ From its form one would conclude that it came from the Syr. 402 rather than the Heb. 27,2 a conclusion that is strengthened by the Christian colouring of the Lot story.³

v, 112, 114.

Table.

A late word found only in a late Madinan verse, where the reference is to a table which Jesus brought down for His disciples.

The Muslim authorities take it to be a form also from six (cf. LA, iv, 420), though the improbability of their explanations is obvious. It has been demonstrated several times that the passage v, 112-15 is a confusion of the Gospel story of the feeding of the multitude with that of the Lord's Supper. Fraenkel, Vocab, 24,5 pointed out that in all probability the word is the Eth. The which among the Abyssinian Christians is used almost technically for the Lord's Table, e.g. The hamas, while Nöldeke's examination of the word in Neue Beiträge, 54, has practically put the matter beyond doubt.

Addai Sher, 148, however, has argued in favour of its being taken as a Persian word. Relying on the fact that ما ناه is said by the Lexicons to mean food as well as table, he wishes to derive it from Pers. محيث, meaning farina triticea. Praetorius also, who in ZDMG, lxi, 622 ff., endeavours to prove that Eth. المناب عبد المناب ا

¹ Horovitz, KU, 136.

² But see Sycz, Eigennamen, 37.

³ Vide Künstlinger, "Christliche Herkunft der Kuranischen Lötlegende," in Rocznik Orjentalistyczny (1931), vii, 281-295.

⁴ Nöldeke, ZDMG, xii, 700; Bell, Origin, 136.

⁵ Vide also his Fremdw, 83, and Jacob, Beduinenlehen, 235.

Vide also Wellhausen, Reste, 232, n.; Pautz, Offenbarung, 255, n.; Vollers, ZDMG, li, 294; Cheikho, Naṣrāniya, 210.

⁷ Vullers, *Lex*, ii, 1252.

⁸ Vullers, Lex, ii, 1254.