'Adī b. Zaid, an-Nābigha,¹ and others. The origin of the word, however, is not easy to settle. Sachau in his notes to the Mu'arrab, p. 51, quotes Fleischer as suggesting that it goes back to the Lat. constans as used of the libra.² Fraenkel, Fremdw, 282, suggests a hypothetical \* $\kappa o\dot{\nu}\sigma\tau\omega s$  as a possible origin, and in WZKM, vi, 261, would interpret it from  $\zeta \nu\gamma o\sigma\tau\alpha\sigma i\alpha$ . Vullers, Lex, ii, 725, thought that it was probably a mangling of the Gk.  $\zeta \epsilon \hat{\nu}\gamma os$  a yoke, and Dvořák, Fremdw, 77 ff., would derive it from  $\xi \epsilon \sigma\tau\eta s$  from the Lat. sextarius used as a measure of fluid and dry materials.

All these suggestions seem to be under the influence of the theory of the philologers that the word is of Greek origin. It would seem much more hopeful to start from the Aram. NOOP; NOO

אביים also =  $\delta\iota\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}s$ , would give us قسطاس. This is very ingenious and may be true, but Mingana, Syriac Influence, 89, thinks it simpler to take it from אביים וואס representing  $\xi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta s$  in some form in which the final  $\infty$  had survived.

v, 85.

Priests.

From the passage it is clear that it refers to Christian teachers, and though one would not care to press the point, its occurrence along-side رهبان may indicate that it referred to the ordinary clergy as distinct from the monks.

It was generally considered by the philologers as a genuine Arabic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fraenkel, WZKM, vi, 258, however, thinks the verse attributed to an-Näbigha is under Qur'ānic influence.

<sup>On which see Fraenkel, Fremdw, 198. It was rejected by Noldeke, but defended by Ginzburg in Zapiski, viii, 145 ff.
See also 1, 620; li, 301, 323.</sup>