ADDENDA

- p. 32, line 3.—Unless the Nabataean על is intended to represent the Aram. אריה; Syr. בוֹל (cf. Heb. אריה: אריה: אריה: אריה) (cf. Heb. אריה: אריה).
- p. 94, line 8.—Akk. u-dun-tum. Rather atūnu from Sumerian udūna: cf. Brockelmann, Lexicon Syriacum, 55 b.
- p. 121, line 7.—It is possible that the Heb. DNIT, Aram. NDNIT, are borrowed words, and an Egyptian origin has been suggested (ZDMG, xliv, 685; xlvi, 117).
- p. 123, line 5.—). PSm. 751 gives this as the form in Mandaean: the normal Syriac form is 1. (PSm. 696).
- p. 179, line 9.—בוֹלְבֹל. The $n\bar{u}n$ must have been pronounced originally in this word, as it is from בוֹל. See on it Fraenkel, Fremdw. 133.
- p. 186, n. 1.—Both the noun and the verb are found in this technical sense in the old poetry: cf. al-A'shā, $D\bar{\imath}w\bar{a}n$ (ed. Geyer), lxvi, 9.