Of frequent occurrence in many forms.

To be pure.

The three forms which particularly concern us are زَكَى (cf. xxiv, 21), زَكَى (ii, 146; iv, 52; xci, 9), and تَزَكَى (xx, 78; lxxxvii, 14).

The primitive meaning of the Arabic (5) is to grow, to flourish, thrive, as is recognized by the Lexicons (cf. LA, xix, 77; and Rāghib, Mufradāt, 212).\(^1\) This is the meaning we find in the earliest texts, c.g. Hamāsa, 722, 11; Labīd (ed. Chalidi), etc., and with this we must connect the (5) of ii, 232; xviii, 18, etc., as Nöldeke notes.\(^2\) In this sense it is cognate with Akk. zakū, to be free, immune \(^3\); Aram.

In the sense of clean, pure, however, i.e. it is obviously a borrowing from the older religions. It is, and its forms parallel all the uses in the Qur'ān. So the related Aram. Not, and its forms parallel all the uses in the Qur'ān. So the related Aram. Not, and its forms, of course, is its forms. The Arabic equivalent of these forms, of course, is its forms, and so there can be little doubt that its just it is, of course, difficult to decide whether the origin is Jewish or Christian. Nöldeke, Neue Beiträge, 25, n.; Schulthess, ZA, xxvi, 152; and Torrey, Foundation, 141, favour a Jewish origin, but Andrae, Ursprung, 200, points to the close parallels between Muḥammad's use of the word and that which we find in contemporary

¹ And see Hurgronje, Verspreide Geschriften, ii, p. 11.

² Neue Beiträge, 25 n.

³ Zimmern, Akkad. Fremdw, 25.

⁴ Grimme, Mohammed, 1892, p. 15, tried to prove that نزكيّ for Muhammad meant "to pay legal alms" (Zakāt), but this is far fetched, as Hurgronje, RHR, xxx, 157 ff., pointed out. It is true, however, that in his later years Muhammad did associate justification before God with almsgiving (Bell, Origin, 80; see also Ahrens, Christliches, 21; Horovitz, JPN, 206 ff.).