ZDMG, xxxii, 760,¹ suggested that we should find its origin in the Pers. خنر کشتی که which BQ defines as خزر وکوهری که, is cognate with Skt. यञ्ज (=कीश) a treasury or jewel room,² and has been borrowed through the Aram. المنابخ into Arabic as نابخ انه . It seems hardly likely that by another line of borrowing, through say Heb. المنابخ انه و انه و

Barth, Etymol. Stud, 51, makes the happier suggestion that it may be connected with the form that is behind the Heb.

To do wrong, sin.

Several verbal and nominal forms from this root occur in the Qur'ān, e.g. لَّ الْعَالَى by mistake (iv, 94); أَخْطَ to be in error, to sin (ii, 286; xxxiii, 5); خَطْرِينَةُ (xxviii, 7; lxix, 37); خُطْرِينَةُ sin, error (xvii, 33); خُطْرِينَةُ , plu. خُطْرِينَةُ sin, error (ii, 55, 75; iv, 112, etc.); and خَطْرِينَةُ habitual sinfulness (lxix, 9; xcvi, 16).

The primitive meaning of the Semitic root was apparently to miss 5 as in Heb. NOT (cf. Prov. viii, 36, NOT) COT "NOT" "he who misses me wrongs himself"), and in the Eth. 17h to fail to find. The Hiphil form in Heb. is used of markmanship, and XHII in S. Arabian seems to have the same meaning, as we may judge from two inscriptions given by Levy in ZDMG, xxiv, 195, 199 (cf. also Rossini, Glossarium, 155). It was from this sense of missing the mark that there developed the idea of to sin, which is the commonest use

¹ Cf. also his Märtyrer, 250.

² It is probably a loan-word in Skt. Lagarde, GA, 27, and Arm. Stud, § 453, thinks it is an old Median word.

³ Cf. Esth, iii, 9; iv, 7, בנזי המלך.

⁴ Fraenkel, Beitr. Assy, iii, 181, takes it to be from Aram.

⁵ But see Zimmern, Akkad. Fremdw, 11.