the Aram. The verbal forms زُوَّ جَ , etc., with this meaning are clearly denominative, the primitive root زاج meaning "to sow discord between". In the Qur'an we have many forms—زُوَّ جَ to marry, to couple with, زُوْ جَ , plu. ازواج a wife or husband (human); kind, species; زُوْ جَ , a pair; وَوْجَانَ ; sex.

No Muslim authority, as Fraenkel notes (Fremdw, 107), has any suspicion that the word is other than genuine Arabic, but no derivation of the word is possible from Semitic material, and there can be no reasonable doubt that its origin is to be found in $\langle \epsilon \hat{\nu} \gamma o s^{-1} \rangle \langle \epsilon \hat{\nu} \gamma o s \rangle$ is originally a yoke from ζεύγνυμι to join, fasten,² and then comes to mean a couple, so that κατὰ ζεύγος or κατὰ ζεύγη meant in pairs, and thus $\langle \hat{\epsilon \nu \gamma o s} = coniugium$ was used for a married pair. From Greek it passed eastwards and in the Rabbinic writings we have M meaning both pair and wife,3 and NIII pair, husband, companion, besides the $\zeta \epsilon \hat{v} \gamma o s + \delta i s$. So Syr. Lyol is yoke, and the very common Lyol \Rightarrow = yokefellow, commonly used for husband or wife, with verbal forms built therefrom. It was from this Syr. that we get the Eth. HO-7 (Nöldeke, Neue Beiträge, 44) and the Arm. 4914.P.,4 and it was probably from the same source that it passed into Arabic. One might expect that it would be an early borrowing, and as a matter of fact it occurs in the early poetry.5

z , $Z\bar{u}r$).

xxii, 31; xxv, 5, 72; lviii, 2.

Falsehood.

It is linked with idolatry in xxii, 31, but in the other passages is quite colourless.

¹ Fraenkel, op. cit, 106; Vollers, ZDMG, 1, 622; 1i, 298; PSm, 1094.

² Cf. Lat. iungere and the Av. 2 (Bartholomae, AIW, 1228; Reichelt, Elementarbuch, 477).

³ See Meinhold's Yoma (1913), p. 29; Krauss, Griechische Lehnworter, ii, 240-242.

⁴ Hübschmann, Arm. Gramm, i, 302; ZDMG, xlvi, 235.

⁵ Cf. 'Antara, xxi, 31, in Ahlwardt's Divans, p. 46.