It is used as a general term for the Jewish Scriptures, but particularly as associated with Moses, and in a few passages (iii, 44, 87; lxi, 6, etc.) it seems to have the definite sense of  $\dot{\delta} \nu \dot{\delta} \mu \sigma s$ . With the possible exception of vii, 156, it occurs only in Madinan passages.

Clearly it represents the Heb.  $\Pi\Pi\Pi$ , and was recognized by some of the early authorities to be a Hebrew word, as we learn from az-Zajjāj in TA, x, 389; and Bagh. on iii, 2. Some, however, desired

to make it an Arabic word derived from  $\mathcal{L}(2)$ , a view which Zam. on iii, 2, scouts, though it is argued at length in LA, xx, 268, and accepted without question by Rāghib, Mufradāt, 542. Western scholars from the time of Marracci, Prodromus, i, 5, have recognized it as a borrowing direct from the Heb., and there is no need to discuss the possible Aram. origin mentioned by Fraenkel, Vocab, 23. The word was doubtless well known in Arabia before Muḥammad's time. cf. Ibn Hishām, 659.

$$Tin$$
).  $xev, 1$ . Fig.

<sup>1</sup> Hirschfeld, Beitrage, 65, would go further. He says: "Der Begriff Torā ist im Koran bekanntlich moglichst weit zu fassen, so dass auch Mischnah Talmud. Midrasch und Gebetbuch darunter zu verstehen sind." Geiger, 46, on the other hand, would limit the meaning of the word to the Pentateuch. It should be remembered, however, that both in Jewish and Christian circles the "Law" frequently stood for the whole O.T. (f. החוות in Sanh., 91b, and the N.T. use of δ νόμος in Jno. x, 34; 1 Cor. xiv, 21. Cf. 2 Esdras, xix, 21, and Mekilta, Beshallah, 9 (ed. Friedmann, p. 34b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So de Sacy, JA, 1829, p. 175; Geiger, 45; von Kremer, Ideen, 226 n.; Pautz, Offenbarung, 120, n. 1; Hirschfeld, Beiträge, 65; Horovitz, KU, 71; JPN, 194; Margoliouth, ERE, x, 540.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fischer, Glossar, 18a, however, suggests that it may be a mixed form from the Heb. חורה and Aram. אוריתא; ef. also Ahrens, ZDMG, lxxxiv, 20, and Torrey, Foundation, 51.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> D. H. Muller, WZKM, i, 26, and see Lagarde's discussion in GGA, for 1881.