point which is sometimes emphasized by a reference to the claim that the Qur'an contains all previous knowledge, and information about everything, which would not be true if it did not contain all languages.¹ Obviously all of all languages was not contained, but what was sweetest, most pleasant, and most suitable.²

The most sensible statement on this whole question, however, is that suggested by as-Suyūṭī, Itq, 316, and expounded by ath-Tha'ālibī 3 in his Kitāb al-Jawāhir, i, 17: "In my opinion the truth of the matter is this. The Qur'an is in plain Arabic containing no word which is not Arabic or which cannot be understood without the help of some other language. For these (so-called foreign) words belonged to the (language of the) ancient Arabs, in whose tongue the Qur'an was revealed, after they had had contact with other languages through commercial affairs and travel in Syria and Abyssinia, whereby the Arabs took over foreign words, altering some of them by dropping letters or lightening what was heavy in the foreign form. Then they used these words in their poetry and conversation so that they became like pure Arabic and were used in literature and thus occur in the Qur'an. So if any Arab is ignorant about these words it is like his ignorance of the genuine elements of some other dialect, just as Ibn 'Abbas did not know the meaning of Fatir, etc. Thus the truth is that these words were foreign, but the Arabs made use of them and Arabicized them, so from this point of view they are Arabic.⁴ As for at-Tabari's opinion that in these cases the two languages agree word for word, it is far-fetched, for one of them is the original and the other a derivative as a rule, though we do not absolutely rule out coincidence in a few exceptional cases."

If challenged as to how, on this view, the Qur an could be called قرآن

a plain Arabic Qur'ān ", its defenders reply with as-Suyūṭī, 5 that the presence of a few foreign words therein no more makes it

 $^{^{1}}$ as-Suyūtī, $Itq,\,316$ —an opinion which is quoted also by al-Khafājī, 3 and 4. See also $Itq,\,322.$

[.] فاختير له من كل لغة اعذبها واخقها وآكثرها استعمالا للعرب : As as-Suyūtī says

³ This is not the famous philologer whose Figh al-Lugha we shall have occasion to quote frequently in the course of our work, but a N. African excepte 'Abd ar-Rahmān ath-Tha'ālibī, whose Tafsīr was published in four volumes at Algiers in 1905.

ان هذه الحروف بغير لسان العرب في الأصل : So al-Jawālīqī, Mu'arrab, 5, says الأصل العرب بالسنتها فعربته فصار عربيا بتعريبها اياه فهى عربية في هذه الحال ثم لفظت به العرب بالسنتها فعربته فصار عربيا بتعريبها اياه فهى عربية في هذه الحال 5 Itq, 315.