While there may be some doubt about the ultimate derivation from Akkadian (see *BDB*, 286), the Arabic verb is obviously denominative "to snare a wild beast with a halter", and we may accept its derivation from the Aram. as certain.

The Syr. Law seems to have been the origin of the Arm. Sunp.p., and we may suspect that the Arabic word came from the same source. In any case it must have been an early borrowing as it occurs in the old poetry.

v, 61; xi. 20; xiii, 36; xviii, 11; xix, 38; xxiii, 55; xxx, 31; xxxiii, 20, 22; xxxv, 6; xxxviii, 10, 12; xl, 5 31; xliii, 65; lviii, 20, 22.

A party or sect.

The philologers derive it from a verbal root - but this primitively had quite a different meaning, and the sense of divide into parties, or to form a party, are clearly denominative.

¹ The word occurs, however, in the Thamudic inscriptions; cf. Ryckmans, Noms propres, i, 87.

² Hübschmann, Arm. Grumm, 1, 308, and cf. Fr. Muller in WZKM, vii, 381.

³ That we have the same form in Amharic, Tigré, and Tigriña seems clear evidence that the word is native Abyssinian and not a borrowing.

⁴ Glaser, Die Abessinier im Arabien und Afrika, München, 1895, p. 122. Nöldeke, op. cit., 60, n., would derive both the Ar. عزب and Eth. And from an old S. Semitic form. Cf. Rossini, Glossarium, 146, 147.