## Finite Hecke Algebras and their Characters (Say: The Hecke algebra is an important object in neph Pleary that in the affine case gives us info. about the repro theory of reductive B-adic groups. But we've some to falk today about the finite Hecke alaebra. Let's start by giving three different definitions of the finite Hecke algebra and talking about who each one is important. MGenerators and Relations) (Deformation of Coxeter Gp. Alg.) We finite Coxeter op., W=255 Alw = < Ts Ises> Braid: TsTx = TxTs -- mst Qual: Ts = (95-1) Ts + 95 (often, we take the as to all equal some cold the But here keep trons condend at (@)

For now, working over  $\mathbb{C}[\{q_{s}\}]$ Basis:  $\{T_{\omega} \mid \omega \in \mathcal{N}\}$ 

& a-analogue to We-g- trivial dravacter now = length function

2) Borel-binvariant functions of reductive gas.

Finite Chevally gr G. BeM: Snepris of G & Snephis of ? WIB-fixed vertor \ Heeke alg. 3) Type A: (entralizer of quantum gp (Timbo, 1986) V: sth repr of Vq(gln) n>k  $\mathcal{H}_{S_k} := End_{V_q(gl_n)}(V \otimes k)$ (down't oset tecker alg in other types) Thm: These theree definitions are equivalent Character Theory (Like for any also ob., want to study char theory. We'll see later an application of this to knot theory. The first and most important tool is Tit's Deformation Than) Tits' Deformation Thm! Let W be a finite Coxeter gp, Hw its Hecke algebra over a "large re nough" field k. Then Hw = K[W], and Hwwsemisimple (What this means is that the neph theory of H and W is "The same". Explicit isom. do exist, but used less often thosen Tits' Deformation Thm).

How do we define a character table for H? [3] Ospecifically, need to define "std elts. on which we can take the characters and compute from them the drow values of the rest of the texche alg.) Thm (Starkers Ram, Geck-Pfeiffer): If I is a CC class of W, we can take the state elt. cornesp. to x to be Two for any min's length we f. (weighted ortog. rel'ns) Computing the Character Table D" Enductière on Rank", M-N rule (types A, B, D, Ariki-koi ke) 21 "By deformation": Starkey's Rale (type A) Starkey's Rule (1975):  $\chi(T\omega_{\lambda}) = \sum \chi(\omega_{\lambda}) p_{\lambda}^{V}$  where  $P_{\lambda}^{V} = \frac{|C_{V} \cap S_{\lambda}|}{|S_{\lambda}|} \det(q \cdot idv_{\lambda} - P_{\lambda}(w_{V}))$  $\chi_{ref}(T_{s_i}) = \sum_{v \vdash 3} \overline{\chi}_{ref}(\omega_v) p_{(21)}^v = \chi_{(21)}^{(13)} - p_{(21)}^{(3)}$ Ex: W= Az= S3 5, 52 S3/1目|5,日/5,5,四  $p_{(21)}^{(13)} = \frac{1}{2} det(q - p_{(21)}(w_{(13)})) = \frac{1}{2} (q - 1)$  $b_{(3)}^{(S1)} = 0$ 50 Xcf(Fs,)=2. { (9-1) = 9-1 Bx Led 5 0 -1

Application: Ocneanus trace cased to constru	et HOM FLY pols) (5)
Starkey's Rule: computes the wits	
T: Hw > C	
$T(h) = Z a \chi \chi_{A}(h)$ where	
Those with are in terms of Schur functions,	5,0
(These wits give positivity properties related to the classification of Von Newmann algebras).	
(Type B, trace J, wts J, but proof uses type A wts; would be slicker of + easier computationally to go directly there)	
The Key's Rule Itoot (One of my the sis problems is to develop a Starkey)	
5 tep	Extendability.
1) Two central in Hw (springer) 2) If Tw = Tw, I deformation"	general
formula for Titul (Browne-Michel)	
3) Coxeter elts satisfy this papelty	all types, can extend (exclusert
4) Using ref'n repn, 3 det formula for XC(Twd)	types A&B* *new
5) Can use 4) to prove starkey's Rale for any Tw w/w: Coxeter elt. of std.	general, so works in types A 2 B
parel subge	
6) Every (C has such an elt	type A only

Strategies

i) Expand elets in steps) (eg. "good" elts, quasicar eter elts)

2) Expand getd. parabolic subgpato other subgp. (nonstrand and parabolic, other refth subgps)

3) Amork back wards from Ocneanu's trace

4) Extendanditor table construction

AMORAD