## Announcements

First part of HWIO posted (due Wed. 5/7) Rest will be posted next week

Recall! A variety V is irreducible if whenever  $V = V_1 U V_2$  for varieties  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ,  $V = V_1$  or  $V = V_2$ .

Prop: Virned ( I:= I(v) prime

Pf: =>) Let f, f2 & I

Let  $V_i = \bigvee \land \bigvee (f_i) = \bigvee (I + (f_i))$ 

= { a e V s.t. f; (a) = 0}

a reducible variety

(i=1,2)

Let a & V. Then f, (a) · f, (a) = f, f2(a) = 0, so

fi(a) = 0 or fz(a) = 0, and so V = V, UVz.

Since Virned, V=V; for j=lor2, so

f; (a) = 0 for all a eV, which means that fift,

so I is prine.

 $\iff$  Let  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ , and assume  $V_1 \subsetneq V$ .

This means that  $I(v) \subsetneq I(v_i)$  since otherwise  $V = V(I(v)) = V(I(v_i)) = V_i$ .

Let f, et(v,)\ T(v), f, et(v2).

Then fifze I(V) since one of fifz is 0 on every point in V.

Since I(V) is prime, must have  $f_{2} \in I$  (can't have  $f_{1} \in I$ ), so  $I(V_{2}) \subseteq I(V)$ , so  $V_{2} \subseteq V \subseteq V_{2}$ , so  $V=V_{2}$  and V inch.

Prop: Any variety  $V \subseteq k^n$  is a finite union of irred. varieties.

Def: A ring R is N-etherian if every strictly increasing chain of ideals is finite in if  $T_1 \subseteq T_2 \subseteq T_3 \subseteq \cdots$ 

then 3m s.t. Ik=Im Yk=m

(sometimes called the ascending chain condition)

Hilbert's Basis Thm: k[x1,..,xn] is Noetherian

(Pf: DRF Section 9.6, Cor 9.22, uses "leading coeffs.")

Pf of prop: Suppose otherwise. Since V red.,

V=V,UW, Vorieties V,W,ÇV

One of  $V_1$ ,  $W_1$  must be reducible, say  $V_1 = V_2 \cup W_2$ ,  $V_2$ ,  $W_2 \subseteq V_1$ . Continuing in this manner, we have

ハニパラハッカ ・・・

and letting  $I_i = I(v_i)$ , we set

 $T_0 \subseteq T_1 \subseteq T_2 \subseteq \cdots$ Since  $V(T_i) = V_i \ge V_{i+1} = V(T_{i+1})$ 

Since k[x1,--, xn] is Noetherian, this is impossible.

What about maximal ideals?

max'l ideals = prime ideals => irred. varieties

 $\Box$ 

For ack", let I(a) = { fek[x,,..,xh] | f(a) = 0} = I({a})

Lemma:

 $\alpha$ )  $\pm(\alpha) = (x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_n - \alpha_n)$ 

b) I(a) is maximal

be trans. / k. Now,

Pf: J:=(x,-a,,--, xn-an) SI(a), so well prove that

Jis max'l. J= ker (f > f(a)), so

 $k[x_{1,-1},x_{n}]/J \approx i_{m}(t \mapsto f(a)) = k$ , a field, so J = I(a)

is maxil.

Prop: Every max'l ideal is of the form I(a) for some ackn Pf when k is uncountable (e.g. C, not \$\overline{R}\$ or \$\overline{F\_p}\$):

Let I = k[x1,-,xn] be a max'l ideal, and let  $F = k[x_{11}-yx_{11}]/T$ .  $k \in F$  since  $k \cap T = 0$ , so either F=k or F is a transcendental ext'n of R. In the former case,  $I = I(a) = I((a_{1,-7}a_{1}))$  where  $x_{i} \mapsto a_{i}$ . In the latter case, dimpF is at most countable rince dimak[x1,-,xn] is countable, and the quotient may is a vector space homom. On the other hand, let tEF

{ \frac{1}{t-a} | ack \frac{1}{ack} is an uncountable linearly indep. set, a contradiction.

Pf: If  $\frac{c_1}{t-a_1} + \cdots + \frac{c_n}{t-a_n} = 0$ , then  $c_1(t-a_2)\cdots(t-a_n) + \cdots + c_n(t-a_1)\cdots(t-a_{n-1}) = 0$ ,

and setting  $t=a_i$  shows that each  $c_i=0$ 

Pf of weak Nullstellensatz: Every proper ideal I is contained in a max'l ideal I(a) (don't need form's lemma since ring is Noetherian). If  $V(I) = \phi$ , then V(I(a)) = \$\phi\$, but this contradicts the fact that V(I(a)) = \{a\}.