

Today: Restriction / induction, Frobenius reciprocity
[F-H §3.3] [Serre §3.3]

Recall: Def 17b): Let $H \leq G$.

Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ be a set of representatives for G/H .

If (π, W) is an H -repn, the induced repn of (ρ, W) to G is the G -repn

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(\pi, W) = (\rho, V)$$

where

$$V := \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W_i$$

and

$$\rho(g)w_i = (\pi(h_i)w)_i$$

$$\text{where } g\sigma_i = \sigma_j h \quad \begin{matrix} \sigma_i \in G/H \\ h \in H \end{matrix}$$

Ind_H^G doesn't depend on the choice of coset reps. (up to isom.)

Pf: Homework #2, or Frobenius reciprocity (later today) \square

Also note that

- $\dim \operatorname{Ind}_H^G W = |G:H| \dim W$

and

$$\operatorname{Res}_H^G \operatorname{Ind}_H^G W \cong |G:H| W$$

- $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G (W_1 \oplus W_2) = \operatorname{Ind}_H^G W_1 \oplus \operatorname{Ind}_H^G W_2$

- $\operatorname{Ind}_K^G \operatorname{Ind}_H^K W = \operatorname{Ind}_H^G W$

Ex: a) $V_{\text{reg}} = \operatorname{Ind}_1^G V_{\text{triv}}$

b) More generally,

$$\operatorname{Ind}_H^G V_{\text{triv}}$$

is the permutation repn. (HW1 #3) of the action of

$$G \text{ on } G/H: \underset{G/H}{\underset{G}{\sigma h}} \cdot \underset{G/H}{\underset{G}{V_\tau}} := V_{\sigma\tau}$$

e.g. $H = (12) \subseteq S_3$

Coset reps: $\sigma_1 = ()$, $\sigma_2 = (13)$, $\sigma_3 = (23)$

$$V := \operatorname{Ind}_H^G V_{\text{triv}} = \langle V_{(), V_{(13)}, V_{(23)}} \rangle$$

$$() \mapsto \text{Id}_V$$

$$(12)V_{(1)} = V_{(1)}$$

corrected

$$(12)V_{(13)} = (12)(13)V_{(1)} = (123)V_{(1)} = (23)(12)V_{(1)} = (23)V_{(1)} = V_{(23)}$$

$$(12)V_{(23)} = (12)(23)V_{(1)} = (132)V_{(1)} = (13)(12)V_{(1)} = (13)V_{(1)} = V_{(13)}$$

$$(13)V_{(1)} = V_{(13)}$$

$$(13)V_{(23)} = (13)(23)V_{(1)} = (132)V_{(1)}$$

$$(13)V_{(13)} = V_{(1)}$$

$$= (23)(12)V_{(1)} = (23)V_{(1)} = V_{(23)}$$

$$(123)V_{(13)} = (123)(13)V_{(1)} = (23)V_{(1)} = V_{(23)}$$

etc.

Now, fix G/H coset representatives $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$, taking $\sigma_1 = 1$. As an H -repn., $h\omega_1 = (h\omega)_1$, so we can identify W with $W_1 \subseteq \text{Ind}_H^G W$.

Proposition 18: Let $V = \text{Ind}_H^G W$. Then,

$$\chi_V(g) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\substack{a \in G \\ a^{-1}ga \in H}} \chi_W(a^{-1}ga)$$

Pf: Since $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W_i$, $\chi_V(g) = \sum_i \text{Tr } g|_{W_i}$.

Let $g\sigma_i = \sigma_j h$, $h \in H$, and if $i \neq j$, then $\text{Tr } g|_{W_i} = 0$. The cases where $g\sigma_i = \sigma_i h$ are exactly those i where $\sigma_i^{-1}g\sigma_i \in H$, and in that case $gW_i \subseteq W_i$ and $g\omega_i = (\sigma_i^{-1}g\sigma_i \omega)_i$, so

$$\text{Tr } g|_{W_i} = \text{Tr } \sigma_i^{-1}g\sigma_i|_W. \text{ Thus, } \chi_V(g) = \sum_{\sigma_i^{-1}g\sigma_i \in H} \chi_W(\sigma_i^{-1}g\sigma_i).$$

The formula follows from the fact that if $\sigma_i^{-1}g\sigma_i \in H$ and $\sigma_i H = aH$, then $a^{-1}ga \in H$ and $\chi_W(a^{-1}ga) = \chi_W(\sigma_i^{-1}g\sigma_i)$.

□

Theorem 19 (Frobenius reciprocity): Let W be an H -reph and V be a G -reph.

There is a natural v.s. equivalence

$$\text{Hom}_H(W, \text{Res}_H^G V) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}_H^G W, V).$$

Equivalently,

$$(\chi_W, \chi_{\text{Res}_H^G V})_H \cong (\chi_{\text{Ind}_H^G W}, \chi_V)_G.$$

If W, V : irred, the mult. of W in $\text{Res}_H^G V$ equals the mult. of V in $\text{Ind}_H^G W$.

Pf: The equivalence of the two statements, and the last statement as a consequence or the second, are by Cor. 12 (or just by Schur's Lemma).

For the first statement:

- If $\phi \in \text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}_H^G W, V)$, then

$$\phi|_W \in \text{Hom}_H(W, \text{Res}_H^G V).$$

• If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_H(W, \text{Res}_H^G V)$, then $\phi \in \text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}_H^G W, V)$,

where

$$\phi|_{w_i} := \sigma_i \varphi \sigma_i^{-1},$$

indep. of choice of reps.
since $\sigma_i h \varphi h^{-1} \sigma_i = \sigma_i \varphi \sigma_i$

$$w_i \mapsto w \mapsto \varphi(w) \mapsto \sigma_i[\varphi(w)]$$

corrected

$$h\varphi = \varphi h$$

This is G -equivariant since if $g \in G$ satisfies

$$g\sigma_i = \sigma_j h, \quad h \in H, \text{ then}$$

$$g\phi(w_i) = g\sigma_i[\varphi(w)] = \sigma_j h[\varphi(w)] = \sigma_j[\varphi(hw)]$$

H -equivariance.

$$= \phi([hw]_j) = \phi(g \cdot w_i).$$

□