A Town of the Representation Theory of GL2(Rp)

- 1) Rep theory definitions
- 2) Motivation
- 3 Classification of reps & corresponding L-factors
- @ Whillaker models & Casselman Shalita formula
- Def. A representation of a group G is a homomorphism T. $G \longrightarrow GL(V)$, V a C-vector space.

 * Let we pick a basis for V, $GL(V) \cong GL_n(C)$, n = dim V* Think of as an action of G on V* Let G has a topology, usually odd more adjectives ("smooth reps")

 $dim(T,V) := dim_C V$
 - · A one-dim'l rep $\pi: G \to C'$ is called a.
 Character
- Given (π, v) , the fac. $\chi(g) = tr(\pi(g))$ is also called the character of π

Def A rep (π, V) is inequiable if the only subreps are 20% and V.

Def Given HZG and a rep (x,W) of H, we can define a representation $(Ind_H^G x, V)$ of G, where $V = 2f:G \rightarrow W \mid f(hg) = \chi(h)f(g) \forall he H$?

G(7) by (s.f)(g) = f(gs).

Mautomorphic forms of periodic in (2) Recall: Number Theorists & L-functions — (generating functions) spour of Ams $G(A)^{2}(G(Q))G(A)$ (fortoday G := G/L 2) (A="TRp×R) so given $\phi \in L^2(G(Q)\backslash G(A))$, get rep $(T \varphi, V_{\varphi})$ where Vø = G(A)·φ. There are called automorphic representations Given T afc Jep, have decomposition TI = QTP into local representations of G(Qp) (& G(IR)) + undustanding local ineps helps us define local L-functions withe nice properties we like (analytic continuation, functional egn) L=TTLp, Lp-TTL(5,T), L-factors for local reps (3) Slogan (@leastforp +2): representations are parametrized by characters of fori) =(Pr)2 Important subgroups of G: $B = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} * & \circ \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$, B: TUClassification @ 1-dimensional reps: Xo, det for X a character of Opx (don't case too much about these) (b) Irreducible principal series π (x1, χ2): *take character of T, inflate to B, induce to G ("parabolic induction") Explicitly: Let X1, X2 be chars of Op" · Défine X on $T: \chi(^{a}b) = \chi_{1}(a)\chi_{2}(b)$ · Almflate to Bby $\chi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha_{1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1\times\\1\end{array}\right)\right)=\chi_{1}(\alpha)\chi_{2}(b)$ acting trivially

alnouce to G: TI(X1,X2) = Ind &X ilf ineducible, define the L-factor: $L(s,\pi) = \frac{1}{(1-\chi_1(p)p^{-s})(1-\chi_2(p)p^{-s})}$ (* X1, X2 renvanifiedotherwise replace Xi(p) w/O) There are inequalible, unless $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} = |\cdot|_p^{\pm 1}$ in which case a subquotient is irreducible. These look like: = Stox $L(s,\pi) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha p^{-s}} \quad \text{unere } \alpha = \chi(p)|p|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} = p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\chi(p)$ (or 0 if x ramified) (a) Supercuspidal reps - basically defined to be "all other reps". They are more complicated to describe. The Jaquet module associated to a sep (T, V) is $J_V = \langle \pi(u)v - v \mid u \in \mathcal{U}, v \in V \rangle$ An imperior supercuspidal if Jv = 0. Idea:
Principal series - Uacted trivially; If V supercuspidal, no
elt of U acts trivially. Tuens out these are the only
options) · Obtained from chars on non-split tori: (a b) where L(s,T)=1Local Larglands: { (smooth) } (bijection } (2-D, semisimple) } (Color of Color of Weil group (~ Gal(1/Qp)) } & this bijection respects L-factors & E-factors (come up infunctional equi A(m,s)= E(n,s, 4).

* In the case of GL2 (IFp) or GL2(Qp), this bijection is described explicitly in the books of Piatetski-Shapiro and Bushnell & Hermialt, respectively Un the bijection, special & supercuspidal , irreps of Weilgp Neps principal < > reducible reps series (4) Unitaker models & Casselman-Sholika Let 4 be a character of Qp. Define This U-, C" by Def A whiteler model of a rep (T,V) of Git an ombedding $\Psi_{n}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \times \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \Psi(x).$ V -> Inda Yu In other words, it is a space W(TT) of fires W: G -> C 5.t. $W\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}g\right) = \psi(x)W(g).$ Why do we care about whittaker moders?? * Inda Yu is rnultiplicity free (repthey exist, W. moders are unique) * Whittaka functions give "Fourier de compositions" of automorphic forms * reselul in proving analytic continuation & fnc'l egn for L-fncs (by equating L's w/ "zero integrals")

Carselman- Chalika formula:

T(X1, X2) [X1, Xz unramified] admits a Minitaker model

C-S formula computes the (spherical) Whittaker fre explicitly:

Wo
$$((P_0^m 0)) = (*) \cdot \frac{\alpha_1^{m+1} - \alpha_2^{m+1}}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}$$
 under $\alpha_1 = \chi_1(p)$

some

some

 $= \text{Schur poly } S_{\lambda}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \lambda = m$
 $= \text{Value of character of inep of } GL_2(C)$ on (α_1, α_2)

MZO

For more general p-adic groups G:

Some references:

- ·Bump, "Automorphic Forms and Representations"
- Piatetski-Shapiro, "Complex Representations of GL(2,K)
 for finite fields K."
- "Bushnell & Henniaut, "The Local Largiands Conjecture for GL(2)."
- · Kimball Mautin, Automorphic representations course notes
- · Emily's talk from summer up theory seminar see Claire's website is (good summary of P-S)

| | • | • | | • | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|------|--|
| | | | | | r | |
| | | | | | it . | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | - | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |