## Announcements

HWZ due Ukd. @ 9am No office hour after class today (was before class)

## Unique factorization in poly. rings

Recall:

Gauss' Lemma: Let R be a UFD w/ field of Fractions F. If  $p(x) \in R[x]$  is reducible in F[x], it is reducible in R[x]. (If gcd of coeffs is 1, this is if-and-only-if.) More precisely, if  $p \in AB$  in F[x],  $\exists f \in F$  s.t. fA,  $f^{-1}B \in R[x]$ 

Thm: R[k] is a UFD \R is a UFD.

=) Last time

(=) Existance:

Let R be a VFD  $\omega$ / field of fractions F and let  $p(x) \in R[x]$  be nonconstant. Assume that gcd(coeffs. of p) = 1; otherwise we can factor out this gcd, which has unique factorization in R.

Since F[x] is a UFD (since it is a Euclidean domain), P(x) factors into irreducibles in F[x]. By Gauss' Lemma, we can take these factors to be in R[x]:

 $P(x) = q_1(x) - q_n(x)$  where  $q_i(x) \in R[x]$  nonconstant and irred in F[x].

Since 9 cd (coeffs of P)=1, for all i we have 9 cd (coeffs of 9i)=1 since these gcds multiply.

Thus, 9i is irred in R[x], and the above is a factorization of PW into irreducibles in R[x].

Uniqueness: Let  $p=q_1-q_n=q_1-q_m$  be two irred. factorizations for p in R[x]. These are also irred. factorizations in F[x] by Gauss' Lemma, so since F[x] is a UFD, we have m=h and, rearranging if necessary,  $q_i$  and  $q_i$  are associates i.e.  $q_i=\frac{a_i}{b_i}q_i$  for some  $a_i,b_i \in R$ .

Clearing denoms., bisi = aigi ER[x], and

gcd(coeffs. of bigi) = bi · gcd(coeffs. of gi) = bi

gcd(coeffs. of aigi) = ai · gcd(coeffs. of gi) = ai

Therefore, ai and bi are associates, so aibi is

a unit in R, and so gi and gi are associates in

RTXJ, and the factorization is unique.

Cor: R[x<sub>11</sub>...,x<sub>n</sub>] is a UFO \Ris a UFO

Upshot of all of this: let's mostly consider factorization over a field F.

Goal for nest of today: test when PEFEXI is irred.

Prop: If deg p <3, then

P is reducible in F[x] \ p has a root in F "over F"

Pf: =) If p:red. one factor is linear: ax+b, so -b/a is a root

$$p(x) = q(x)(x-c) + r$$
  
EF since  $N(r) < N(x-c) = 1$ .

Therefore, p(c) = q(c)(c-c) + r = r, so r = 0, and p is reducible.

Rational root theorem: Let  $P(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_i x + a_o \in R[x].$ 

Let r/s \in F[x] be a root of p in lowest terms, then r | a. and s | an. gcd(r,s) = 1

Pf:

$$D = P(r/s) = \alpha_{n}(r/s)^{n} + ... + \alpha_{1}(r/s) + \alpha_{0}, \quad so$$

$$\alpha_{n}r^{n} = S(-\alpha_{n-1}r^{n-1} - ... - \alpha_{0}S^{n-1}),$$

so Since  $gcd(r,s) = 1$ ,  $s|\alpha_{n}$ . Solving for  $\alpha_{0}s^{n}$  shows that  $r|\alpha_{0}$ .

Cor: If  $p(x) \in R[x]$  is monic, then

Phas a root

in R

Phas a root

in F

E.g.: Consider  $p(x) = x^3 - 3x - 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . We have p(1) = -3 = 0 p(-1) = 1 = 0,

so by the rational root theorem, p has no roots in Q. Since deg p=3, it is irred. over 72 or Q.

Prop: R: ring, I  $\subseteq$  R ideal. Let  $p(x) \in R[x]$  be a nonconstant monic poly. If  $\overline{p}(x)$  is imed in (R/I)[x], then p(x) is irred. in R[x].

Pf: If p is reducible over R, P = ab, then  $\overline{P} = \overline{ab}$ , and if p and thus  $\overline{P}$  are monic, this is a nontrivial factorization.

E.g.:  $P = x^3 - 3x - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \longrightarrow P = x^3 + x + 1$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/22)[x]$   $P(0) = 1 \neq 0, \quad P(1) = 1 \neq 0, \quad \text{so } P \text{ is inred. in}$   $(\mathbb{Z}/22)[x] \text{ hence inred. in } \mathbb{Z}[x].$ 

Remark: converse doesn't hold:

X4-72x2+4 is reducible in (72/n72)[x]

for every n, but irred. in 22[x].

Fisenstein's Criterion: Let  $\alpha(x) = x^n + \alpha_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . If  $P \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime s.t.

Plai  $\forall i$  and  $p^2 \nmid \alpha_0$ .

then a is irred in 72[x].

Pf (if time):

If  $\alpha = b \cdot c_1$  then  $\overline{b} \cdot \overline{c} = \overline{a} = x^n$  in  $(\frac{7}{p_{7/2}})(\overline{x})$ . Let  $b = x^k + b_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \cdots + b_0$  $c = x^2 + c_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \cdots + c_0$ 

Then 
$$\overline{b}_0 = \overline{c}_0 = \overline{0}$$
 since  $0 = \overline{a}_0 = \overline{b}_0 \overline{c}_0$ 
 $0 = \overline{a}_1 = \overline{b}_1 \overline{c}_0 + \overline{b}_0 \overline{c}_1$ 

$$0 = \overline{a_{n-1}} = \overline{b_{k-1}} \overline{c_{\ell}} + \overline{b_{k}} \overline{c_{\ell-1}}$$

$$0 \neq \overline{a_n} = \overline{b_k} \overline{c_{\ell}}$$

But this means that plbo, plco, so plao, a contradiction.

Done with Part I of course: rings and factorization Next time: on to Chapter 13 and field theory!