

there is a corresponding edge in $G \setminus v_i v_j$ with the same colors on endpoints, so g has no problem with these edges). Furthermore, w is the only vertex of color k by construction. Therefore, changing v_j to color k gives a proper k -coloring of G'' .

Case 3: $e = wu_j$. Let f be a k -coloring of G formed by taking a $(k-1)$ -coloring of $G \setminus v_j$, and setting $f(v_j) = k$, so that v_j is the only vertex in G of color k . By coloring u_i the same color as v_i for all i , and coloring w color k , we create a proper k -coloring of G'' .

3. (20 points) Prove that a simple graph G with at least three vertices is 2-connected if and only if for every triple (x, y, z) of distinct vertices, G has an x, y -path containing z .

First assume G is 2-connected and fix a triple (x, y, z) . Construct a graph G' by adding a new vertex w with neighbors x and y . We have added an ear to G , so G' is 2-connected (in more detail, we have added an edge, which doesn't reduce connectivity, and subdivided that edge, which maintains 2-connectivity by Corollary 4.2.6). By Theorem 4.2.4 (the equivalent definitions for 2-connectivity), there are 2 internally disjoint z, w -paths in G' , and since x and y are the only neighbors of w , removing w gives us an x, y path in G through z .

Now assume to the contrary that G is not 2-connected but satisfies the path condition in the hypothesis. If G is not connected, then there are pairs that are not connected by a path, so we can assume G is connected, thus $\kappa(G) = 1$, so G has a cut vertex, say x . Then let y and z be vertices in separate components of $G \setminus x$. This implies that there is no x, y -path through z since this would give us a y, z -path in $G \setminus x$, a contradiction. Thus we are done.

4. (30 points) (a) (15 points) Let G be a simple graph. Prove that $\chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G}) \geq n(G)$.

Let $\alpha(G)$ be the largest size of an independent set in G , and let $\omega(G)$ be the largest size of a clique. By Proposition 5.1.7, $\chi(G) \geq n(G)/\alpha(G)$ (since every color class has size $\leq \alpha(G)$), and $\chi(\overline{G}) \geq \omega(\overline{G})$ (since every vertex in a clique must be colored a different color). Therefore,

$$\chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G}) \geq \frac{n}{\alpha(G)} \omega(\overline{G}) = \frac{n}{\alpha(G)} \alpha(G) = n,$$

since $\alpha(G) = \omega(\overline{G})$.

- (b) (15 points) Prove that the chromatic polynomial of the n -cycle C_n is $(k-1)^n + (-1)^n(k-1)$.

Let $f_n(k) = (k-1)^n + (-1)^n(k-1)$. We use induction on n . We only need $n=1$ as a base case, but we can easily do the first three cases:

$$\chi(C_1; k) = 0 = f_1(k)$$

$$\chi(C_2; k) = \chi(P_2; k) = k(k-1) = (k-1)^2 + (k-1) = f_2(k)$$

$$\chi(C_3; k) = \chi(K_3; k) = k(k-1)(k-2) = (k-1)((k-1)^2 - 1) = (k-1)^3 + (-1)^3(k-1) = f_3(k).$$

For the inductive step, we use the deletion-contraction formula (Proposition 5.3.6):

$$\chi(C_n; k) = \chi(C_n \setminus e; k) - \chi(C_n \cdot e; k) = \chi(P_n; k) - \chi(C_{n-1}; k),$$

where e is any edge in C_n . Using the inductive hypothesis and the chromatic polynomial for trees: $\chi(P_n; k) = k(k-1)^{n-1}$, we obtain

$$\chi(C_n) = k(k-1)^{n-1} - (k-1)^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1}(k-1) = (k-1)^n + (-1)^n(k-1) = f_n(k).$$