Midterm 1 Wed. 7-9 pm in 200-205 § 9.4, 13.1-6, 14.1

See email for policies

Wed. class: review

Last time: Galois exth |Aut(K/F)| = [K:F]

Gal(K/F)

Cor6: If k is the splitting field / F of a sep. poly., then k/ F is Galois.

We will prove the converse (Thm. 13)

## §14.2: The Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory

Def: A (linear) (quasi-) character of a gp. G w/ values in a field L is a gp. homom.

$$\chi: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\star}$$

Fix 5 any nth root of 1.

Then  $\chi(a) = g^a$  is a character of  $\chi/n\chi$   $\omega$  values in  $\chi(a) \chi(b) = g^a g^b = g^{ab} = \chi(ab)$ 

Varying 5 gives n distinct chars of 7K/n72 w/ values in C

Def: Chars.  $\chi_{1,-}, \chi_n$  of G are linearly independent over L if there is no nontrivial rely

$$\alpha_1 \chi_1 + \alpha_2 \chi_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \chi_n = 0$$
 (a;  $\in L$  not all  $0$ )

(This rely means a, 2, (9) + -- + a, 2, (9) = 0 +9)

Thm 7: If  $x_1,...,x_n$  are distinct chars. of G w/ values in L, they are linearly indep. over L.

Pf: Suppose otherwise, and choose a linear dependence:

$$\alpha_1 \chi_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m \chi_m = 0$$
 with m minimal

So 
$$\forall g \in G$$
,  $a_1 \chi_1(g) + \dots + a_m \chi_m(g) = 0$  (4)

Choose 90 € G s.t.  $\gamma_1(90) \neq \gamma_m(90)$  (possible since  $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_m$ )

Then YgeG,

$$0 = \alpha_1 \chi_1(9_09) + \dots + \alpha_m \chi_m(9_09)$$

$$= \alpha_1 \chi_1(9_0) \chi_1(9) + \dots + \alpha_m \chi_m(9_0) \chi_m(9). \quad (**)$$

Multiply (\*) by Xm(00):

$$0 = \alpha_1 \chi_m(9_0) \chi_1(9) + \dots + \alpha_m \chi_m(9_0) \chi_m(9)$$

Subtract (\*\*):

$$O = (\chi_{m}(s_{0}) - \chi_{1}(s_{0})) \alpha_{1} \chi_{1}(s) + \cdots + (\chi_{m}(s_{0}) - \chi_{m-1}(s_{0})) \alpha_{m-1} \chi_{m-1}(s)$$

 $O = (\chi_{m}(9_{0}) - \chi_{1}(9_{0})) \alpha_{1} \chi_{1} + \cdots + (\chi_{m}(9_{0}) - \chi_{m-1}(9_{0})) \alpha_{m-1} \chi_{m-1}$ 

is a shorter dependence. Contradiction!

П

Def: An embedding of a field k into a field L is an injective homom.  $\sigma: k \to L$ .

E.g.  $\sigma \in Aut(k)$  is an embedding  $k \rightarrow k$ 

Cor 8: If  $\sigma_{1,--,}$  on are distinct embeddings  $k \to L$ , then they are linearly indep, as functions on k.

Pf:  $\sigma_{i}|_{k^{*}}$  is a char. of  $k^{*}$  w/ values in  $L^{*}$ , so apply Thm. 7

Thm 9: Let G \( Aut(k), and let\) (G is always finite)

F = Fix(G). Then [K:F] = IGI.

Pf:  $G = \{\sigma_1 = 1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n\}$  $W_1, ..., W_m : basis for K/F$ 

If nom, The system

 $\sigma_1(\omega_1)x_1 + -- + \sigma_n(\omega_1)x_n = 0$  meghs. hunknowns

σ, (wm) x, + -- + σ, (wm) x, =0

has a nontriv. solin  $x_1 = \beta_1, ..., x_m = \beta_m$  in KWe'll show that  $\beta_1 \sigma_1 + ... + \beta_n \sigma_n = 0$ , so  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n$  linearly dep. Let LEK. Then d=a, W, + .-- + am wm, a,,-,am + F, If a & F, a is fixed by G, so oti(aj) = a; Yi,i Multiply the ith ean above 19 a: σ, (a, ω, ) β, + - + σ, (a, ω, ) β, = 0 σ, (a, ω,) β, + -- + σ, (a, ω,) β, = 0, and add: o, (d) B, + -- + on (d) Bn = 0 linearly dep. Contradiction. If h<m, the system 07 (W1) X1 + -- + 07 (Wm) Xm = 0 n eghs. on (w1) x1 + ... + on (wm) x = 0 m un knowns has a nontriv. solh x = Y , -, x = 8m in K (but not in F, since W,, -, Wm linearly indep. /F) Reordering/scaling if necessary, assume Y, & F, Yr=1, Yr+1= -- = Ym = 0

Then,  $\sigma_{1}(\omega_{1})Y_{1} + \cdots + \sigma_{1}(\omega_{r-1})Y_{r-1} + \sigma_{1}(\omega_{r}) = 0$  $\sigma_{n}(\omega_{1})Y_{1} + \cdots + \sigma_{n}(\omega_{r-1})Y_{r-1} + \sigma_{n}(\omega_{r}) = 0$ Since 8, & F = Fix G, choose kefl,.., ng s.t. Ok(1) +81. Since G is a gp., Oko, 10koz, -, okon is a permutation of oi,--, on, so applying on to (\*) gives  $\sigma_{1}(\omega_{1})\sigma_{k}(\gamma_{1})+...+\sigma_{1}(\omega_{1})\sigma_{k}(\gamma_{r-1})+\sigma_{1}(\omega_{r})=0$ (\*\*)  $\sigma_{n}(\omega_{i})\sigma_{k}(y_{i}) + ... + \sigma_{n}(\omega_{i})\sigma_{k}(y_{r-i}) + \sigma_{n}(\omega_{r}) = 0$ Subtracting (\*\*\*) from (\*) gives a smaller nontriv. set of eans. Contradiction!  $\Box$ 

Cor 10: K/F finite exth:

|Aut(k/F)||[k:F],  $\omega|$  equality iff F = Fix(Aut(k/F))i.e. k/F Galois  $\iff F = Fix(Aut(k/F))$ 

Pf: Let E = Fix (Aut(k/F)). Then F ⊆ E ⊆ K, and by Thm 9, |Aut(k/F)| = [k:F]. By the Tower Law [k:F] = | Aut(k/F) [E:F] Sort of converse to the last result: Cor 11: G = Aut(K), F= fix(G). Then, Aut(K/F) = G Pf: By def'n, G < Aut (K/F). By Thm 9, [k:F] = 1G1, and by Cor. 10, |Aut(k/F)| < [k:F], so [k: F] = 161 5 | Aut (k/F) | 5 [k: F] must be

Cor 12: If  $G, H \leq Aut(k)$ ,  $G \neq H$ , then  $Fix G \neq Fix H$ . Pf: If Fix G = Fix H, then by Cor. II, G = Aut(k/Fix G) = Aut(k/Fix H) = H

equal