

HOMework

LU7: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA)

In the following exercises, and if necessary, consider that the necessary assumptions for the application of ANOVA are verified. Consider the 5% level of significance, except otherwise stated.

- Consider the following ANOVA table with data that allow to test the equality of k population means.

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean squares	F
<i>Treatments</i>	3	6.159		
<i>Error</i>	20			
<i>Total</i>		16.619		

- Complete the ANOVA table.
 - What assumptions are required in using the one-way ANOVA?
 - Formulate the hypotheses to be tested.
 - For a 5% significance level, which should be the decision rule? And the decision?
- In order to verify if the average age of women attending the 1st family planning services was the same in four different cities (A, B, C and D), a sample of the services' clients was collected for each one of the cities. The following results were obtained: SST=130.964 ; MStr=24.321 and also

	City A	City B	City C	City D
<i>Sample size</i>	6	8	7	7
<i>Sample mean</i>	19	18	22	21
<i>Sample variance</i>	1.3	1.7	4.3	2.3

- Determine the ANOVA table.
- Test the ANOVA hypotheses.

3. The data of the following table refers to the quotation of four different groups of shares.

	Quotations of different groups of shares			
Observations	1	2	3	4
1	15.1	14.9	15.4	15.6
2	15.0	15.2	15.2	15.5
3	14.9	14.9	16.1	15.8
4	15.7	14.8	15.3	15.3
5	15.4	14.9	15.2	15.7
6	15.1	15.3	15.2	15.7
Sample means	15.2	15.0	15.4	15.6
Sample variances	0.088	0.040	0.124	0.032

- Test the hypothesis of homogeneity of the variances among the four groups of shares using the Bartlett's test.
 - Represent the results in the ANOVA table and check if there are real differences between the four groups of shares.
 - Determine the p-value of the F-test.
 - If there are significant differences between the groups, verify which groups differ significantly among themselves.
4. With the objective of comparing the housing prices of four different cities, five houses that were for sale in each of the cities were randomly selected and their prices registered (in thousands of euros). Is there any evidence that the four cities differ significantly in relation to the average housing price? If yes, indicate which of the cities have significantly different prices.

	City A	City B	City C	City D
Housing prices (thousands of euros)	110	72	88	57
	160	38	66	81
	93	45	112	181
	206	108	47	165
	171	42	52	106
Sample means	148	61	73	118
Sample variances	2126.5	870	727.5	2852.5

5. In a market study, whose main objective was to detect the differences in behaviour of readers of the 3 weekly newspapers (*Expresso*, *Independente* and *Semanário*), random independent samples were collected concerning the reading time (in minutes) of each reader:

Expresso: sample size $n_1 = 8$, sample mean = 93

Independente: sample size $n_2 = 6$, sample mean = 75

Semanário: sample size $n_3 = 6$, sample mean = 70

Admit that the variance of the reading time is equal in the three groups of readers. The table resulting from the application of the analysis of variance is the following:

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean squares	F
<i>Treatments</i>		2092.2		
<i>Error</i>		3118		
<i>Total</i>		5210.2		

- Complete the ANOVA table.
- Verify that the mean reading times are identical in the three populations (readers of the *Expresso*, the *Independente* and the *Semanário*).
- Which differ significantly?

SOLUTIONS

Question 1)

a) $F_{\text{obs}} = 3.9254$

d) Reject H_0

Question 2)

a) $F_{\text{obs}} = 10,064$

b) Reject H_0

Question 3)

a) $Q_{\text{obs}} = 2.7622$; $Q_{\text{crit}} = 7.8147$ (p-value = 0.4298)

b) $F_{\text{obs}} = 5.6338$; Reject H_0

c) 0.0058

d) Reject H_0 : $\mu_2 = \mu_4$

Question 4) Barlett's test: $Q_{\text{obs}} = 2.3314$; $Q_{\text{crit}} = 7.8147$ (p-value = 0.5065)

ANOVA: $F_{\text{obs}} = 4.9449$; p-value = 0.0129

Tuckey's HSD test: reject H_0 : $\mu_A = \mu_B$ and H_0 : $\mu_A = \mu_C$

Question 5)

a) $F_{\text{obs}} = 5.7036$

b) Reject H_0

c) Reject H_0 : $\mu_1 = \mu_3$

APPENDIX

DEMONSTRATIONS

Assuming that the One-way ANOVA assumptions are verified, the following properties are demonstrated.

Property 1: $SQE/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{(n-k)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2}{\sigma^2} &= \frac{(n_i - 1)S_i^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(n_i-1)} \\ \frac{SQE}{\sigma^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \sim \chi^2_{\left(\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i-1)\right)} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{SQE}{\sigma^2} &\sim \chi^2_{(n-k)} \end{aligned}$$

Property 2: $SQE/(n-k)$ is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 , regardless of the fact that the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$ is true or false.

$$\text{By Property 1: } \frac{SQE}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(n-k)} \Rightarrow E\left(\frac{SQE}{\sigma^2}\right) = n - k \Leftrightarrow E\left(\frac{SQE}{n - k}\right) = \sigma^2$$

Consequently, $SQE/(n-k)$ is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 , regardless of H_0 .

Property 3: Under the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$, we have $SQT/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{(n-1)}$.

If H_0 is true then $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$ and, therefore, the sample formed by the global set of n observations is a sample from a population $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ which implies that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (X_{ij} - \bar{X})^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{SQT}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(n-1)}$$

Property 4: Under the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$, we have $SQTr/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{(k-1)}$.

By previous properties we know that $SQE/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{(n-k)}$ and that if H_0 is true then $SQT/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{(n-1)}$.

Therefore,

$$\frac{SQT}{\sigma^2} = \frac{SQTr}{\sigma^2} + \frac{SQE}{\sigma^2} \Rightarrow \frac{SQTr}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(k-1)}$$

Property 5: Under the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$, we have that $SQTr/(k-1)$ is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 .

$$\text{By Property 4: } \frac{SQTr}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(k-1)} \Rightarrow E\left(\frac{SQTr}{\sigma^2}\right) = k-1 \Leftrightarrow E\left(\frac{SQTr}{k-1}\right) = \sigma^2$$

Consequently, $SQTr/(k-1)$ is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 when H_0 is true.

Property 6: If $X \sim \chi^2_{(n)}$ and $Y \sim \chi^2_{(m)}$ are independent random variables then

$$F = \frac{X/n}{Y/m} = \frac{\chi^2_{(n)}/n}{\chi^2_{(m)}/m} \sim F_{(n,m)}$$

Property 7: Under the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$, the test statistic of the ANOVA F-test is

$$F = \frac{MQTr}{MQE} \sim F_{(k-1, n-k)}$$

Considering the results of the *Properties 1, 4 and 6*, we conclude that:

$$\frac{MQTr}{MQE} = \frac{SQTr/(k-1)}{SQE/(n-k)} = \frac{SQTr/\sigma^2(k-1)}{SQE/\sigma^2(n-k)} = \frac{\chi^2_{(k-1)}/(k-1)}{\chi^2_{(n-k)}/(n-k)} \sim F_{(k-1, n-k)}$$