SI 206 Final Project Report

Value Metrics FC - Soccer Performance Analysis

Original Project Goals

The primary goal of our project was to investigate whether there are meaningful correlations between various statistics and soccer team performance metrics. We sought to understand how different external factors such as weather conditions and financial investments might influence a team's success on the field. Our initial plan involved gathering comprehensive data from multiple sources to enable this analysis.

We planned to utilize API-Football for collecting detailed match statistics and historical performance data across major European leagues. Additionally, we intended to use the FootyStats API for attendance and stadium information, complemented by web scraping from TransferMarkt to gather financial data regarding team spending and market values.

Achieved Goals

Our implementation successfully gathered season statistics from 2020-2022 through API-Football, which provided essential data including team standings, performance metrics, and match results. However, we encountered a significant pivot point when the FootyStats API proved unsuitable due to access limitations. In response, we adapted our approach by incorporating weather data through the Open-Meteo API and supplemented our analysis with additional statistics scraped from fbref.com.

This adjustment actually enhanced our analysis scope, allowing us to examine the relationship between weather conditions and home game performance - an interesting dimension we hadn't initially considered. We successfully implemented a robust web scraping system for TransferMarkt, which provided comprehensive financial data about team transfer spending and market values.

Technical Challenges Faced

Our team encountered several significant challenges during implementation. The first major obstacle arose when we discovered that FootyStats API required a paid subscription to access meaningful data. Rather than compromise our analysis, we pivoted to alternative data sources, incorporating weather data and expanding our web scraping efforts to maintain the depth of our analysis.

The limitation of historical data access in the free API tier restricted us to three years of data instead of our desired ten-year span. We addressed this by focusing on a more detailed recent analysis, which actually provided more relevant insights into current trends in football performance and spending.

Data integration posed another significant challenge, particularly with team naming conventions varying across different sources. We wrote a name-cleaning function in our transfermarkt.py module to standardize team names across all data sources. This solution required careful consideration of various naming patterns and special cases.


Calculation Results

Our database analysis revealed interesting patterns in team performance and spending efficiency. The calculations showed a correlation between transfer spending and team performance, though not as strong as initially hypothesized. For instance, Manchester City demonstrated a points-per-expense ratio of 0.4656 in 2020, while Liverpool achieved 0.8137, indicating more efficient spending despite a lower spending transfer budget. The relationship between temperature and home game performance showed a slight positive correlation (visualization included in the report), with teams generally scoring more goals in warmer conditions, though the effect was modest.


1	Year	Team	Points/Expense	Goals/Expense	1	Team	City	Month	Temperature	Average Goals
					2	Manchester	Manchester	1.0	45,6661	2.0
	Manchester City	2020	0.496	0.4787	3	Manchester	Manchester	2.0	49.2458	2.142857142857143
					4	Manchester	Manchester	3.0	49.2255	4.0
	Tottenham	2020	0.5611	0.6154	5	Manchester	Manchester	4.0	54.6938	3.0
					6	Manchester	Manchester	5.0	63.5616	1.875
	Liverpool	2020	0.8137	0.8019	7	Manchester	Manchester	8.0	73.8698	3.8
	200004-000	120021	0.0000	PELDEEN	8	Manchester	Manchester	9.0	64.5239	2.5
	Barcelona	2020	0.7057	0.7593	9	Manchester	Manchester	10.0	59.3653	2.375
,	Real Madrid	2020	0	0	10	Manchester	Manchester	11.0	52.2745	2.0
9	Real Madrid	2020	U	U	11	Manchester	Manchester	12.0	41,6684	2.3333333333333333
,	Manchester United	2020	0.8815	0.8696	1.2	Liverpool	Liverpool	1.0	46.589	0.833333333333333
	Marierester Ornted	2020	0.0013	0.0050	13	Liverpool	Liverpool	2.0	49.3858	1.2
	Villarreal	2020	1,5328	1,5856	14	Liverpool	Liverpool	3.0	48.8651	2.25
	Findired	LULU	11.552.0	113030	15	Liverpool	Liverpool	4.0	54.2651	2.571428571428571
9	Manchester City	2021	0.6695	0.7127	16	Liverpool	Liverpool	5.0	61,4651	2.0
	10/20/00/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20	(CARA)	(0.000000000000000000000000000000000000	177511777	17	Liverpool	Liverpool	8.0	72.103	3.0
9	Tottenham	2021	0.7404	0.7195	18	Liverpool	Liverpool	9.0	64.5356	1.0
					19	Liverpool	Liverpool	10.0	60.3826	2.2222222222222
	Liverpool	2021	1.0	1.0217	20	Liverpool	Liverpool	11.0	52.9694	2.333333333333333
					21	Liverpool	Liverpool	12.0	43.0122	2.33333333333333333
	Barcelona	2021	1,0504	0.9784	22	Arsenal	London	1.0	47.1617	1,6
3	Real Madrid	2021	2.7742	2.5806	21	Arsenal	London	2.0	50.584	1.4
					24	Arsenal	London	3.0	51.1798	3.2
					25	Arsenal	London	4.0	56.7074	2.4
	Manchester United	2021	0.4085	0.4014	26	Arsenal	London	5.0	63.9656	2.0
5	Villarreal	2021	1,0826	1,156	27	Arsenal	London	8.0	78.9915	2.6
,	Villatteal	2021	1,0020	1.130	28	Arsenal	London	9.0	67.3661	2.0
5	Manchester City	2022	0.5742	0.6065	29	Arsenal	London	10.0	64.2403	2.0
	municicater city	2022	0.5742	0.0003	38	Arsenal	London	11.0	55.0469	1.25
7	Tottenham	2022	0.3335	0.3891	31	Arsenal	London	12.0	45.263	3.5
					32	West Ham	London	1.0	47.1617	1.4
В	Liverpool	2022	0.4595	0.5144	33	West Ham	London	2.0	50.584	1.5
					34	West Ham	London	3.0	51.1798	1.6
9	Barcelona	2022	0.557	0.443	35	West Ham	London	4.0	56.7074	2.0
					36	West Ham	London	5.0	63.9656	1.142857142857142
9	Real Madrid	2022	0.975	0.9375	37	West Ham	London	8.0	78.9916	1.142857142857142
					38	West Ham	London	9.0	67.3661	1.75
	Manchester United	2022	0.3083	0.2384	39	West Ham	London	10.0	64.2403	1,3333333333333333
					40	West Ham	London	11.0	55.0469	1.333333333333333
	Villarreal	2022	9.8765	9.1049	41	West Ham	London	12.0	45.263	ns.

Visualization Results


Our analysis produced several detailed visualizations using a combination of Plotly and Matplotlib libraries that provide insights into the relationship between financial investment, weather conditions, and team performance.



The first time series line chart, created using Plotly, reveals the Points/Expense ratio trends from 2020-2022 across seven major teams. This visualization directly answers our research question about the correlation between spending and performance. Through the analysis, we discovered that higher spending does not necessarily translate to better performance efficiency. Manchester City, despite their substantial transfer spending, maintained a moderate points-per-expense ratio between 0.46-0.66. In contrast, Liverpool's performance showed that strategic spending could yield higher efficiency, demonstrated by their peak ratio of 1.0 in 2021. Perhaps most notably, Real Madrid's dramatic efficiency fluctuation, particularly their 2.7742 spike in 2021, suggests that even established clubs struggle to maintain consistent returns on their transfer investments. These patterns indicate that successful team performance depends more on strategic recruitment and player development than raw financial power.



Our second visualization, a bar chart of Goals/Expense ratios, examines how effectively teams convert their financial investments into attacking output. The most striking finding comes from Villarreal, who achieved an exceptional 9.1048 goals per million euros spent in 2022, far outperforming wealthier clubs. This stark contrast with traditional powerhouses like Real Madrid and Barcelona, who showed lower but stable ratios around 0.5-0.8, reinforces our first visualization's suggestion that financial resources alone don't determine success. The growing disparity between high and low spenders' efficiency points to an increasing financial polarization in European football, where some clubs achieve remarkable results despite limited resources, while others struggle to translate their wealth into proportional performance improvements.



The third visualization, a scatter plot with regression analysis, explores the relationship between temperature and home scoring performance. The analysis reveals a subtle correlation between weather conditions and team performance, with the regression line showing a positive slope of approximately 0.2. Manchester City demonstrated remarkable consistency across all temperatures, maintaining an average between 2.5-5 goals per game regardless of weather conditions. However, Liverpool's more variable performance, ranging from 1-4.5 goals depending on temperature, suggests that weather impacts different teams' tactical approaches differently. The data clustering between 45-65°F, where teams show their most consistent performance, indicates that teams have optimized their strategies for these common match conditions. The variation in temperature sensitivity among teams, with some showing up to a 2-goal difference between cold and warm conditions, suggests that environmental factors play a more significant role in match outcomes than previously considered in financial and performance analyses.

Running Instructions

To execute our analysis system, first install all required Python packages using pip: pip install requests beautifulsoup pandas plotly sqlite3 numpy matplotlib Then initialize an empty database in the terminal using:

mkdir db

touch ./db/final.db

The data collection and analysis process requires running multiple scripts in sequence:

- 1. Create tables for team statistics and performances by running api-football.py
- 2. Execute weather.py to collect temperature data
- 3. Run transfermarkt.py to gather financial information
- 4. Process collected data using process.py and generate visualizations
- 5. Generate additional visualizations with fbrefscrape.py

Each script must be completed fully before proceeding to the next. The system is designed to handle rate limits and data volume restrictions automatically.

Function Documentation

Our codebase consists of modules with specific responsibilities:

process_api(seasons):

Input: List of seasons (years) to analyze

Output: None (writes to database)

Purpose: Retrieves and processes team performance data from API-Football, organizing it into

appropriate database tables.

clean_team_name(team_name):

Input: Raw team name string

Output: Standardized team name string

Purpose: Normalizes team names across different data sources, handling special characters and varying

formats.

process_weather(cities, lat_log):

Input: List of cities and their coordinates

Output: None (writes to database)

Purpose: Collects and processes weather data for each team's location, storing monthly temperature

averages.

process db(years, teams):

Input: List of years and team names

Output: Dictionary of performance metrics

Purpose: Calculates efficiency metrics including points and goals per expense ratios.

visual one(data) and visual two(data):

Input: Processed performance data

Output: Interactive visualizations

Purpose: Generate clear, interactive visualizations of team performance metrics.

Resource Documentation

Date	Issue Description	Location of Resource	Result
12/5	API rate limiting	API-Football documentation	Implemented proper request throttling
12/7	Weather data integration	Open-Meteo API docs	Successfully added temperature analysis
12/7	Database schema design	SQLite documentation	Implemented table relationships
12/8	Web scraping blocks	Beautiful Soup documentation	Resolved scraping issues
12/8	Chart formatting	Matplotlib examples	Enhanced visualization clarity