# 2015 National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NHAMCS) Data for Dementia Research

## Support

The Center to Accelerate Population Research in Alzheimer's (CAPRA) at the University of Michigan is supported by National Institute on Aging of the National Institutes of Health (award No. P30 AG066582).

#### **Disclaimer**

The content is solely the responsibility of the CAPRA and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health, the University of Michigan, or the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

These publicly available data are from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and are protected by a Data User Agreement. All users are required to follow the following NCHS terms and conditions.

## **NCHS Data User Agreement**

NCHS, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), conducts statistical and epidemiological activities under the authority granted by the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. § 242k). NCHS survey data are protected by Federal confidentiality laws including Section 308(d) Public Health Service Act [42 U.S.C. 242m(d)] and the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act or CIPSEA [Pub. L. No. 115-435, 132 Stat. 5529 § 302]. These confidentiality laws state the data collected by NCHS may be used only for statistical reporting and analysis. Any effort to determine the identity of individuals and establishments violates the assurances of confidentiality provided by federal law.

#### **Terms and Conditions**

NCHS does all it can to assure that the identity of individuals and establishments cannot be disclosed. All direct identifiers, as well as any characteristics that might lead to identification, are omitted from the dataset. Any intentional identification or disclosure of an individual or establishment violates the assurances of confidentiality given to the providers of the information.

Therefore, users will:

- 1. Use the data in this dataset for statistical reporting and analysis only.
- 2. Make no attempt to learn the identity of any person or establishment included in these data.
- 3. Not link this dataset with individually identifiable data from other NCHS or non-NCHS datasets.
- 4. Not engage in any efforts to assess disclosure methodologies applied to protect individuals and establishments or any research on methods of re-identification of individuals and establishments.

By using these data you signify your agreement to comply with the above-stated statutorily based requirements.

## **Sanctions for Violating NCHS Data Use Agreement**

Willfully disclosing any information that could identify a person or establishment in any manner to a person or agency not entitled to receive it, shall be guilty of a class E felony and imprisoned for not more than 5 years, or fined not more than \$250,000, or both.

#### Overview of the data files

This data dictionary is for the three NHAMCS\_cog\_2015\_XXX files, which include STATA, SAS, and CSV file formats.

The NHAMCS\_cog\_2015 dataset includes data from the National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NHAMCS) Emergency Department (ED) file. Each row of data in the NHAMCS ED file represents a single patient-visit to an ED. ICD-9 codes from the DIAG1-DIAG5 variables were used to identify patient-visits where the patient was diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease and related dementias (ADRD). This dataset also includes demographic variables (e.g., age, sex, race), visit- and ED-level weights, strata, and PSU, as well as Reason for Visit codes. Some of these variables were recoded in the following ways:

- 1. Where applicable, variables from the original dataset were recoded, such that answers of "Not applicable" and "Blank" were set to be missing in this dataset
- 2. Binary variables were re-coded such that no=0 and yes=1 (e.g., sex [1=female, 2=male] was re-coded into the variable female [0=no/male, 1=yes/female])
- 3. Variables that were re-coded include the name of the original NHAMCS variable in the description to allow users to easily look up the original question text and categories in the NHAMCS documentation
- 4. For STATA users, all variable names have been converted to lowercase

For identifying cognitive impairment/ADRD, the variable  $cog_dx$  was created to flag patient-visits with an ADRD diagnosis where the diagnosis was NOT flagged as probable, questionable, or ruleout. The variables  $cog_dx_code1$  through  $cog_dx_code5$  were created to show users which ICD-9 code was identified as diagnosing ADRD. The variable  $any_cog$  was created to flag instances where either alzhd = 1 or  $cog_dx = 1$ . alzhd is a variable created by NHAMCS and indicates if a patient has Alzheimer's disease regardless of diagnosis for the visit.

Brief and detailed summaries of the variable names, types, descriptions, and mean, minimum, and maximum values are included below. Descriptions of each recoded variable in this dataset include the original NHAMCS variable name. Original variable names, question text, and categories can be found on the NHAMCS Website (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ahcd/datasets\_documentation\_related.htm).

Users of this resource should be familiar with complex survey design and appropriately apply the sample weights, strata, and primary sampling units for any analyses. patwt should be used for patient-visit-level analyses; edwt should be used for ED-level analyses.

## Brief summary of all variables (unweighted)

Variable	Obs (	Unique	Mean	Min	Max	Label
year	21061	1	2015	2015	2015	Calendar year
id	21061	385	174.161	2	386	Visit ID - concatenation of hospcode and patcode
hospcode	21061	248	124.4128	1	248	Hospital number
patcode	21061	163	49.74811	1	163	Patient code
region	21061	4	2.518067	1	4	Geographic region
age	21061	94	37.55657	0	93	Patient age in years
ager	21061	6	3.096909	1	6	Age recode
age65	21061	2	.1582546	0	1	Patient is age 65 or older. 1/0
female	21061	2	.5512559	0	1	Recode of sex: Patient is female. 1/0
racereth	21061	4	1.620246	1	4	RACER and ETHNIC combination
paytyper	19223	7	2.485928	1	7	Recoded primary expected source of payment for
payer	19223	4	1.857202	1	4	recode of paytyper: source of payment
totchron	20722	12	1.070505	0	12	Total number of chronic conditions
rfv1	21006	531	22526	10050	89980	Patient's complaint, symptom, or other reason
rfv2	12454	572	21991.48	10050	89980	Patient's complaint, symptom, or other reason
rfv3	7030	509	21621.01	10050	89980	Patient's complaint, symptom, or other reason
rfv4	3589	427	21792.87	10050	89980	Patient's complaint, symptom, or other reason
rfv5	1757	335	22661.84	10050	89980	Patient's complaint, symptom, or other reason
diag1	21061	1833			•	Diagnosis #1 - detailed category
diag2	21061	1507			•	Diagnosis #2 - detailed category
diag3	21061	1104	•	•	•	Diagnosis #3 - detailed category
diag4	21061	767			•	Diagnosis #4 - detailed category
diag5	21061	518			-	Diagnosis #5 - detailed category
prdiagl	20921	2	.0029635	0	1	For diagnosis 1, is this probable, questionabl
prdiag2	10633	2	.0105332	0	1	For diagnosis 2, is this probable, questionabl
prdiag3	5322	2	.0110861	0	1	For diagnosis 3, is this probable, questionabl
prdiag4	2760	2	.0072464	0	1	For diagnosis 4, is this probable, questionabl
prdiag5	1565	2	.0076677	0	1	For diagnosis 5, is this probable, questionabl
alzhd	21061	2	.0127724	0	1	Does patient have: Alzheimer's disease/Dementia
cog_dx	21061	2	.0047481	0	1	indicator: any cognition-related diagnosis. 1/0
any_cog	21061	2	.0127724	0	1	any cognition-related diagnosis (cog_dx=1) or $\dots$
cog_dx_code1	29	5	•	•	•	identified cognition-related ICD-9 / ICD-10 di
cog_dx_code2	26	6				identified cognition-related ICD-9 / ICD-10 di
cog_dx_code3	24	5				identified cognition-related ICD-9 / ICD-10 di
cog_dx_code4	21	4				identified cognition-related ICD-9 / ICD-10 di
cog_dx_code5	5	1				identified cognition-related ICD-9 / ICD-10 di

settype	21061	1	3	3	3	Setting type
cstratm	21061	8	3.13e+07	2.01e+07	4.04e+07	Clustered CSTRATM masked
cpsum	21061	142	44924.73	1	100243	Clustered CPSUM masked
patwt	21061	326	6502.216	117	42002	Patient visit weight
edwt	21061	59	.228859	0	86	ED weight

#### Detailed summary of all variables (unweighted)

.....

year Calendar year

------

type: numeric (int)

range: [2015,2015] units: 1

unique values: 1 missing .: 0/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Value

21,061 2015

\_\_\_\_\_\_

id Visit ID - concatenation of hospcode and patcode

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (int)

range: [2,386] units: 1

unique values: 385 missing .: 0/21,061

mean: 174.161 std. dev: 77.9499

percentiles: 10% 25% 50% 75% 90%

70 113 172 236 279

\_\_\_\_\_\_

hospcode Hospital number

------

type: numeric (int)

range: [1,248] units: 1

unique values: 248 missing .: 0/21,061

mean: 124.413

std. dev: 71.3172

percentiles: 10% 25% 50% 75% 90%

26 66 121 188 223

\_\_\_\_\_\_

patcode Patient code

type: numeric (int)

range: [1,163] units: 1

unique values: 163 missing .: 0/21,061

mean: 49.7481 std. dev: 31.7868

percentiles: 10% 25% 50% 75% 90%

9 23 47 74 95

\_\_\_\_\_

region Geographic region

type: numeric (byte)

label: REGIONF

range: [1,4] units: 1

unique values: 4 missing .: 0/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

4,294 1 Northeast

5,653 2 Midwest

7,023 3 South 4,091 4 West

age Patient age in years

-----

type: numeric (byte)

label: AGEF, but 92 nonmissing values are not labeled

range: [0,93] units: 1

unique values: 94 missing .: 0/21,061

examples: 16

28

42

60

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ager Age recode

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (byte)

label: AGERF

range: [1,6] units: 1

unique values: 6 missing .: 0/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

3,927 1 Under 15 years

3,173 2 15-24 years

6,068 3 25-44 years

4,560 4 45-64 years

1,491 5 65-74 years

1,842 6 75 years and over

age65 Patient is age 65 or older. 1/0

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (byte)

label: NOYESF

range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 0/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

17,728 0 No 3,333 1 Yes

female Recode of sex: Patient is female. 1/0

------

type: numeric (byte)

label: NOYESF

range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 0/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

9,451 0 No 11,610 1 Yes

\_\_\_\_\_\_

racereth RACER and ETHNIC combination

------

type: numeric (byte)

label: RACERETHF

range: [1,4] units: 1

unique values: 4 missing .: 0/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

12,530 1 Non-Hispanic White

4,593 2 Non-Hispanic Black

3,344 3 Hispanic

594 4 Non-Hispanic Other

\_\_\_\_\_\_

paytyper Recoded primary expected source of payment for visit (based on hierarchy)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (byte)

label: PAYTYPERF

range: [1,7] units: 1

unique values: 7 missing .: 1,838/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

6,039 1 Private insurance

3,811 2 Medicare

6,781 3 Medicaid or CHIP

154 4 Worker's compensation

1,890 5 Self-pay

119 6 No charge/Charity

429 7 Other

1,838 .

\_\_\_\_\_\_

payer recode of paytyper: source of payment

------

type: numeric (byte)

label: payerlbl

range: [1,4] units: 1

unique values: 4 missing .: 1,838/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

6,039 1 Private insurance

10,592 2 Medicare/Medicaid/CHIP

1,890 3 Self-pay

702 4 Other

1,838 .

\_\_\_\_\_\_

totchron Total number of chronic conditions

type: numeric (byte)

label: TOTPROCF, but 12 nonmissing values are not labeled

range: [0,12] units: 1

unique values: 12 missing .: 339/21,061

examples: 0 1 2 \_\_\_\_\_\_ rfv1 Patient's complaint, symptom, or other reason for visit #1 - detailed category type: numeric (long) label: RFVF range: [10050,89980] units: 1 unique values: 531 missing .: 55/21,061 examples: 12100 Headache, pain in head 15001 Toothache 18600 Skin rash 28000 Carbuncle, furuncle, boil, cellulitis... \_\_\_\_\_\_ rfv2 Patient's complaint, symptom, or other reason for visit #2 - detailed category type: numeric (long) label: RFVF range: [10050,89980] units: 1

unique values: 572 missing .: 8,607/21,061

examples: 14300 Breathing problems, NEC

19051 Back pain, ache, soreness, discomfort

rfv3 Patient's complaint, symptom, or other reason for visit #3 - detailed category

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (long)

label: RFVF

range: [10050,89980] units: 1

unique values: 509 missing .: 14,031/21,061

examples: 16500 Painful urination

•

•

.

\_\_\_\_\_

rfv4 Patient's complaint, symptom, or other reason for visit #4 - detailed category

type: numeric (long)

label: RFVF

range: [10050,89980] units: 1

unique values: 427 missing .: 17,472/21,061

examples: .

.

•

.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

rfv5 Patient's complaint, symptom, or other reason for visit #5 - detailed category

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (long)

label: RFVF

range: [10050,89980] units: 1

unique values: 335 missing .: 19,304/21,061

examples: .

٠

.

.

diag1 Diagnosis #1 - detailed category -----type: string (str5) unique values: 1,833 missing "": 0/21,061 examples: "462--" "6268-" "7840-" "8469-" \_\_\_\_\_\_ diag2 Diagnosis #2 - detailed category type: string (str5) unique values: 1,507 missing "": 0/21,061 examples: "-9" "-9" "33829" "7295-" diag3 Diagnosis #3 - detailed category \_\_\_\_\_\_ type: string (str5) unique values: 1,104 missing "": 0/21,061 examples: "-9" "-9" "-9" "30590"

13

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: string (str5)

unique values: 767 missing "": 0/21,061

examples: "-9"

"-9"

"-9"

"-9"

\_\_\_\_\_\_

diag5 Diagnosis #5 - detailed category

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: string (str5)

unique values: 518 missing "": 0/21,061

examples: "-9"

"-9"

"-9"

"-9"

\_\_\_\_\_\_

prdiag1 For diagnosis 1, is this probable, questionable, or ruleout?

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (byte)

label: PRDIAGF

range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 140/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

20,859 0 No

62 1 Yes

140 .

nrdiag2

For diagnosis 2, is this probable, questionable, or ruleout?

------

type: numeric (byte)

label: PRDIAGF

range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 10,428/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

10,521 0 No 112 1 Yes

10,428 .

\_\_\_\_\_\_

prdiag3 For diagnosis 3, is this probable, questionable, or ruleout?

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (byte)

label: PRDIAGF

range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 15,739/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

5,263 0 No

59 1 Yes

15,739

\_\_\_\_\_\_

prdiag4 For diagnosis 4, is this probable, questionable, or ruleout?

\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (byte)

label: PRDIAGF

range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 18,301/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
2,740 0 No
20 1 Yes
18,301 .

-----

prdiag5 For diagnosis 5, is this probable, questionable, or ruleout?

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (byte)

label: PRDIAGF

range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 19,496/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

1,553 0 No

12 1 Yes

19,496 .

alzhd Does patient have: Alzheimer's disease/Dementia

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (byte)

label: NOYESF

range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 0/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

20,792 0 No

269 1 Yes

------

cog\_dx indicator: any cognition-related diagnosis. 1/0

type: numeric (byte)

label: NOYESF

range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 0/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

20,961 0 No

100 1 Yes

\_\_\_\_\_\_

any\_cog any cognition-related diagnosis (cog\_dx=1) or patient indicated to have dementia

------

type: numeric (byte)

label: NOYESF

range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 0/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

20,792 0 No

269 1 Yes

cog\_dx\_code1 identified cognition-related ICD-9 / ICD-10 diagnosis code from diag1

\_\_\_\_\_

type: string (str5)

unique values: 5 missing "": 21,032/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Value

21,032 ""

1 "29012"

1 "29040"

21 "29420"

2 "29421"

4 "3310-"

\_\_\_\_\_\_

cog dx code2 identified cognition-related ICD-9 / ICD-10 diagnosis code from diag2

.....

type: string (str5)

unique values: 6 missing "": 21,035/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Value

21,035 ""

1 "2900-"

1 "2903-"

1 "29410"

20 "29420"

1 "29421"

2 "3310-"

\_\_\_\_\_\_

cog\_dx\_code3 identified cognition-related ICD-9 / ICD-10 diagnosis code from diag3

\_\_\_\_\_

type: string (str5)

unique values: 5 missing "": 21,037/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Value

21,037 ""

1 "29021"

13 "29420"

2 "29421"

7 "3310-"

1 "33182"

\_\_\_\_\_

cog dx code4 identified cognition-related ICD-9 / ICD-10 diagnosis code from diag4

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: string (str5)

unique values: 4 missing "": 21,040/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Value

21,040 ""

3 "29410"

1 "29411"

16 "29420"

1 "3310-"

------

cog\_dx\_code5 identified cognition-related ICD-9 / ICD-10 diagnosis code from diag5

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: string (str5)

unique values: 1 missing "": 21,056/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Value

21,056 ""

5 "29420"

\_\_\_\_\_\_

settype Setting type

------

type: numeric (byte)

label: SETTYPF

range: [3,3] units: 1

unique values: 1 missing .: 0/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

21,061 3 NHAMCS - ED

\_\_\_\_\_

cstratm Clustered CSTRATM -- masked

type: numeric (long)

range: [20115200,40400000] units: 100

unique values: 8 missing .: 0/21,061

tabulation: Freq. Value

2,693 20115201

2,457 20215201

1,478 20315201

2,820 20415201

1,601 40100000

3,196 40200000

5,545 40300000

1,271 40400000

\_\_\_\_\_\_

cpsum Clustered CPSUM -- masked

------

type: numeric (long)

range: [1,100243] units: 1

unique values: 142 missing .: 0/21,061

mean: 44924.7

std. dev: 49785.7

percentiles: 10% 25% 50% 75% 90%

8 19 36 100086 100210

\_\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (long)

range: [117,42002] units: 1

unique values: 326 missing .: 0/21,061

mean: 6502.22

std. dev: 5453.68

percentiles: 10% 25% 50% 75% 90%

1448 2797 5232 8226 14037

Patient visit weight

\_\_\_\_\_\_

edwt ED weight

\_\_\_\_\_

type: numeric (byte)

range: [0,86] units: 1

unique values: 59 missing .: 0/21,061

mean: .228859

std. dev: 2.95031

percentiles: 10% 25% 50% 75% 90%

0 0 0 0