

**MATH 425b    SAMPLE MIDTERM EXAM 2 SOLUTIONS**  
**Spring 2016**  
**Prof. Alexander**

(1) Let  $\mathbf{q}$  be any value taken by  $f$ , that is,  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{q}$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in E$ . Since  $f$  is differentiable, it is continuous, so  $f^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$  is closed in  $E$ . By the Corollary to 9.19, if  $\mathbf{x} \in f^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$  then there is a ball  $B_{\mathbf{x}} \subset E$  centered at  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $f$  is constant on this ball:  $f \equiv \mathbf{q}$  on  $B_{\mathbf{x}}$ , that is,  $B_{\mathbf{x}} \subset f^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$ . This shows that  $f^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$  is also open in  $E$ . Since  $E$  is connected, and  $f^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$  is open, closed, and nonempty, it must be all of  $E$ , that is,  $f \equiv \mathbf{q}$  is constant on  $E$ .

(2) We first show  $g(x) = d(x, \varphi(x))$  is continuous. (As noted in lecture, if this were an actual exam problem, this fact would be given!) For  $x, y \in X$  we have

$$d(x, \varphi(x)) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, \varphi(y)) + d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$$

so

$$d(x, \varphi(x)) - d(y, \varphi(y)) \leq d(x, y) + d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq 2d(x, y),$$

and similarly

$$d(y, \varphi(y)) - d(x, \varphi(x)) \leq 2d(x, y),$$

so  $|g(x) - g(y)| = |d(y, \varphi(y)) - d(x, \varphi(x))| \leq 2d(x, y)$  which shows  $g$  is indeed continuous.

Therefore, since  $X$  is compact, the inf of  $g$  is achieved at some  $x_0 \in X$ . We claim the infimum is 0, that is  $g(x_0) = 0$ , which means  $x_0$  is a fixed point of  $\varphi$ . If instead the infimum is some  $a > 0$ , then by the assumed property of  $\varphi$ , we have  $g(\varphi(x_0)) = d(\varphi(x_0), \varphi(\varphi(x_0))) < d(x_0, \varphi(x_0)) = a$  which contradicts  $a$  being the infimum. Thus the infimum must be  $g(x_0) = 0$ , meaning  $x_0$  is a fixed point. To show uniqueness, if there were another fixed point  $x_1 \neq x_0$ , then  $d(x_0, x_1) = d(\varphi(x_0), \varphi(x_1)) < d(x_0, x_1)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $x_0$  is the unique fixed point.

(3)(a) Since  $|g(\mathbf{x})| \leq c|\mathbf{x}|^\alpha$ , we must have  $|g(0)| = 0$  so  $g(0) = 0$ . Therefore

$$|g(\mathbf{h}) - g(0)| = |g(\mathbf{h})| \leq c|\mathbf{h}|^\alpha = o(|\mathbf{h}|),$$

which shows  $g'(0) = 0$ . Since the derivative of  $h(\mathbf{x}) = T\mathbf{x}$  is  $h'(\mathbf{x}) = T$ , this means  $f'(0) = g'(0) + h'(0) = T$ .

(b) Let  $f(\mathbf{x}) = |\mathbf{x}|$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $f(0 + he_1) = |h|$  so  $(D_1 f)(0) = \frac{d}{dh}f(0 + he_1)$  does not exist. This means  $f'(0)$  does not exist, either, by 9.21.

(4)(a) Define  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $f(x, y, z) = (-4x^2 + y^2 + z^2, yz - 2xy)$ . Then the matrix of  $f'(x, y, z)$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -8x & 2y & 2z \\ -2y & z - 2x & y \end{bmatrix},$$

and in particular, for  $(1, 2, 2)$  it is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & 4 & 4 \\ -4 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $A_{(x,y)} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & 4 \\ -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is invertible, by the Implicit Function Theorem we can solve for  $x, y$  uniquely in terms of  $z$  in a neighborhood of  $(1, 2, 2)$ , say  $(x, y) = (h_1(z), h_2(z))$ . This is the desired parametrization.

(b) We have  $A_{(y,z)} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  which is also invertible, so as in (a) we can solve for  $(y, z)$  in terms of  $x$ . But  $A_{(x,z)} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & 4 \\ -4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  is not invertible so we cannot necessarily solve for  $(x, z)$  in terms of  $y$ .