

MATH 425a ASSIGNMENT 10  
FALL 2015 Prof. Alexander  
Due Wednesday December 2.

Rudin Chapter 5 #15, Chapter 6 #1, 2, 3a, 8, plus the problems (I)-(V) below. Problems 1, 2, and (VI) should be relatively “quick” ones, the type that most often appears on exams.

(I) Typically, if a continuous function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a local maximum at some  $c \in [a, b]$ , then  $f$  is increasing in  $(c - \delta, c]$  and decreasing in  $[c, c + \delta)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Find an example, though, in which this is false.

(II) Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable everywhere, with  $f'(x) < 3$  for all  $x < 0$  and  $f'(x) > 3$  for all  $x > 0$ . Show that  $f'(0) = 3$ .

(III) Let  $f(x) = 2 + 3x$  and

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} x^2, & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 2, & 1 \leq x < 2 \\ 2x, & x \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Calculate  $\int_0^3 f \, d\alpha$ . (Just a calculation, you don't have to prove the steps.)

(IV) Suppose  $f, \alpha, \beta$  are real-valued functions on  $[a, b]$  with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  nondecreasing. Define  $I_\alpha = \inf_P U(P, f, \alpha)$ , which is just a shorter notation for  $\int_a^b f \, d\alpha$ , and define  $I_\beta$  and  $I_{\alpha+\beta}$  similarly.

- (a) Show that for fixed  $P$  we have  $U(P, f, \alpha + \beta) = U(P, f, \alpha) + U(P, f, \beta)$ .
- (b) Show that  $I_{\alpha+\beta} \geq I_\alpha + I_\beta$ .
- (c) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Show that  $I_{\alpha+\beta} \leq I_\alpha + I_\beta + 2\epsilon$ .
- (d) Show that  $I_{\alpha+\beta} = I_\alpha + I_\beta$ .

(V) For  $x \in [1, 4]$  let  $f(x) = 2x$  and

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 2, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 2 \leq x \leq 3, \\ \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } x > 3. \end{cases}$$

(a) Let  $P$  be a partition of  $[1, 4]$  such that some  $x_i = 2$  and some  $x_j = 3$ . Find  $U(P, f, \alpha)$ . The only unspecified quantities in your answer should be some or all of the points  $x_0, \dots, x_n$ .

- (b) Find  $\int_1^4 f \, d\alpha$ .
- (c) Similarly to (a) and (b), find  $L(P, f, \alpha)$  and  $\int_1^4 f \, d\alpha$ .
- (d) Is  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ ? How can you tell from (a)–(c) alone?

(VI) Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Riemann integrable and bounded, say  $|f(t)| \leq M$  for all  $t$ . Let

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) \, dt, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

Show that  $F$  is uniformly continuous.

HINTS:

(15) You can omit the vector-valued part. The bound on  $|f'(x)|$  is valid for *all*  $h > 0$ . How can you take advantage of this?

This isn't really a hint, just an informal explanation of what problem 15 shows. If  $M_1$  is large, this means  $|f'(x)|$  is large for some  $x$ . This means one of two things must happen. One possibility is that  $|f'(x)|$  remains large for points in the vicinity of  $x$ , in which case  $f(x)$  must climb to a very large value, meaning  $M_0$  is large. The other possibility is that  $|f'(x)|$  does not remain large for points in the vicinity of  $x$ , in which case  $f'(x)$  must change rapidly, forcing  $M_2$  to be large. Either way, if  $M_1$  is large then the product  $M_0M_2$  must be large. The bound in the problem quantifies this exactly.

(1) For a partition  $a = t_0 < \cdots < t_n = b$ , focus on the interval  $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$  containing  $x_0$ .

(2) If  $f$  is continuous and  $f(x) > 0$  for some  $x$ , what must be true for values close to  $x$ ?

(3) Part (c) was done in lecture; (a) and (b) are similar.

(8) Compare  $f(n)$ ,  $f(n+1)$  and  $\int_n^{n+1} f(x) dx$ .

(I) Take a function which has a known local maximum, for example  $g(x) = -x^2$  at  $x = 0$ . Add something to it so that it's no longer monotone on either side of  $x = 0$ , but it still has a local maximum at  $x = 0$ .

(II) The problem would be easier if 3 were replaced everywhere by 0. Add something to  $f$  to make a new function  $g$ , so that the problem converts to this easier question, for  $g$ .

(IV)(b)  $I_{\alpha+\beta}$  is by definition the *greatest* lower bound, so it's enough to show  $I_\alpha + I_\beta$  is a lower bound. (Lower bound for what, exactly? Specify!)

(c) There exist  $P_1$  with  $U(P_1, f, \alpha) \leq I_\alpha + \epsilon$  and  $P_2$  with  $U(P_2, f, \beta) \leq I_\beta + \epsilon$ . Why is there then a  $P$  with  $U(P, f, \alpha + \beta) \leq I_\alpha + I_\beta + \epsilon$ ?