

# **B.M.S. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING**

**Department of Computer Science and Engineering**



**Major Project Phase 1**

**(22CS7PWMP1)**

**Synopsis Report**

**On**

**HoneyGAN Pots: A Deep Learning Approach for Generating Honeypots**

**Submitted by:**

**Aastha Priya**  
1BM22CS050

**Aneesh K.P**  
1BM22CS050

**Anup Vaidya**  
1BM22CS050

**Araga Laxman Anirudhadithya**  
1BM22CS050

**Under the Guidance of:**

**Guide Name:** Dr. Asha G.R

**Designation:** Associate Professor

## **INTRODUCTION**

Cybersecurity is an ever-evolving field that requires constant innovation to protect networks and systems from malicious actors. One widely used technique in cyber defense is the deployment of honeypots, which are decoy systems designed to attract, detect, and analyze cyber threats. Honeypots serve as a proactive security measure, providing valuable insights into attacker behavior and aiding in the development of more robust defense strategies. However, selecting and configuring effective honeypots remains a challenge due to the need for realistic and adaptive decoy environments.

This project explores the use of Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) for dynamically generating realistic honeypot configurations. Traditional methods often rely on static lists or pre-configured images, which lack flexibility and scalability. In contrast, our approach leverages the learning capabilities of GANs to create diverse and authentic decoy configurations tailored to specific cyber defense needs. By training the model on real-world network device configurations, our system can generate honeypots that closely resemble genuine targets, thereby improving their effectiveness in deceiving attackers and enhancing threat intelligence collection.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to apply GANs for honeypot generation. Our project aims to bridge the gap between AI-driven automation and cyber deception techniques, offering a novel solution that can dynamically adapt to evolving cyber threats. The findings from this work have the potential to significantly improve cybersecurity defenses by providing organizations with an automated and scalable method for deploying realistic honeypots.

## **OBJECTIVE**

Objective of the PaperCybersecurity experts are continuously threatened by the need to protect networks from attackers. One of the common tactics employed is the implementation of honeypots, which are decoy systems aimed at luring and analyzing attackers. However, standard honeypot implementations call for pre-determined lists or stored images that are not adaptable and efficient. This work introduces a new method that utilizes Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) to dynamically create honeypot configurations. The aim is to present cyber defenders with a flexible and automated system that can produce realistic decoy environments without depending on manually maintained databases. In so doing, the research tackles major challenges like decoy choice, flexibility, and detectability resistance. In pursuit of this, the authors create three different kinds of GAN models: Unconditional GAN – Creates decoy configurations without particular constraints. Conditional O/S GAN – Produces honeypots depending on operating system type (e.g., Windows, Linux, RouterOS). Conditional Device Type (DT) GAN – Creates decoys for particular device functions (e.g., web servers, routers, VPNs). The research assesses the accuracy and recall of the models and quantifies how well generated honeypots perform against detection tools. By making use of real-world device configurations from Shodan, the method guarantees that decoys resemble actual network devices, thus becoming more attacker-resistant. Ultimately, this research will make the defense of cybersecurity stronger by offering an intelligent, scalable, and automated honeypot generation process, assisting organizations in detecting, deterring, and delaying cyber threats more efficiently.

# **REQUIREMENTS**

## **1. Hardware Requirements:**

### **A. Local (On-Premise) Setup**

- CPU: Intel i7/i9 or AMD Ryzen 7/9
- RAM: 16GB or more
- Storage: 500GB SSD
- GPU: NVIDIA RTX 3060+

### **B. Cloud (AWS/GCP/Azure) Setup**

- Compute Instance: 4+ vCPUs, 16GB RAM
- GPU for ML Training: NVIDIA T4 / V100
- Storage: 500GB SSD
- Security: Cloud Firewall, AWS Shield, Cloudflare WAF

## **2. Software Requirements**

### **A. Honeypot Deployment**

- Cowrie – SSH/Telnet Honeypot
- Dionaea – Malware & Exploit Detection
- T-Pot – Multi-honeypot Framework

### **B. Machine Learning & GANs**

- Python (3.8+)
- TensorFlow / PyTorch
- Scikit-learn, Pandas, NumPy
- Jupyter Notebook
- Matplotlib, Seaborn (for visualization)

### **C. Security & Network Monitoring**

- Suricata / Snort – Intrusion Detection System
- Wireshark / Tcpdump – Packet Capture

### **D. Cloud Services & Deployment**

- AWS EC2 / GCP Compute – Cloud Instance for Honeypot
- AWS S3 / GCS – Log Storage
- Kubernetes / Docker – Containerized Deployment

### **COST ESTIMATE**

The cost estimation for the project has been defined in the table below with both the minimal cost required and the maximal cost it may potentially go up to for each category specific to it.

<b>Component</b>	<b>Minimum Cost</b>	<b>Maximum Cost</b>
<b>AWS Cloud Services</b>	₹2,000	₹7,000
<b>Storage</b>	₹1,500	₹5,000
<b>AWS Cloud Computing for GAN</b>	₹1,000	₹2,000
<b>Total</b>	₹4,500	₹14,000

## **WORKPLAN**

The workplan to complete the project has been divided into a total of 7 phases, with the total duration of the project surmounting to an approximate of 8-9 months.

The following phases are mentioned below:

### **Phase 1: Project Initiation and Planning**

Duration: 2 weeks

Objective: Establish the project foundation and gather resources quickly.

### **Phase 2: Data Collection and Preparation**

Duration: 4 weeks

Objective: Collect and preprocess data efficiently.

### **Phase 3: GAN Architecture Design and Development**

Duration: 5 weeks

Objective: Design and implement GAN models rapidly.

### **Phase 4: Training and Optimization**

Duration: 8 weeks

Objective: Train GANs efficiently with real data.

### **Phase 5: Evaluation and Validation**

Duration: 6 weeks

Objective: Assess GAN performance and honeypot quality quickly.

## **Phase 6: Documentation and Conclusion**

Duration: 5 weeks

Objective: Compile results and paper efficiently.

## **Phase 7: Presentation and Dissemination**

Duration: 3 weeks

Objective: Share findings with minimal delay.

## **REFERENCES**

**Gabrys, R., Silva, D., & Bilinski, M. (2024b, July 10). HoneyGAN Pots: a deep learning approach for generating honeypots. arXiv.org. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.07292>**