Grapheme: An Online Graphing Calculator

Timothy Herchen October 2020

Contents

1	Floa	ting-point Operations	2
	1.1	Directed Rounding	2
	1.2	Intelligent Pow	2
		1.2.1 Doubles to Rationals	3
2	Inte	eval Arithmetic	5

1 Floating-point Operations

Grapheme uses double-precision floating-point arithmetic for most calculations, since this functionality is provided by JS directly and is highly optimized. When the calculator is directed to evaluate $3\cdot 4$, it uses the JS * operator which maps directly to a machine instruction. There is no point in using single-precision arithmetic, as these are the same speed on modern processors and JS has no facilities besides asm.js to use this format.

There are some important limitations in double-precision FP. Some of the most obvious are the inability to express integers greater than $2^{53}\approx 9.007\cdot 10^{15}$, numbers greater than about $2^{1023}\approx 1.798\cdot 10^{308}$, and positive numbers smaller than $2^{-1074}\approx 4.941\cdot 10^{-324}$. While arbitrary-precision arithmetic may be eventually implemented, this is difficult and thus we will try to do our best using the existing system.

Some conventions:

- 1. $\pm \infty$ and NaN are known as special numbers.
- 2. Floating-point numbers that are not special numbers are finite numbers.
- 3. Denormal numbers and normal numbers are named as usual.
- 4. NaN \neq NaN, contrary to the mathematical definition of equality. However, NaN \simeq NaN. For all other purposes, = and \simeq are equivalent.
- 5. There is only one NaN value, because the standard does not specify the existence of qNaNs, sNaNs and the like.
- 6. The set of all double-precision floating-point numbers, including the special numbers, is denoted \mathbb{F}_{all} .
- 7. \mathbb{F}_{all} without the special numbers is denoted \mathbb{F} . Without only NaN, it is denoted \mathbb{F}_{∞} . Thus, $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{F}_{\infty} \subset \mathbb{F}_{all}$.

1.1 Directed Rounding

Per the ECMAScript standard, JS operations all use round-to-nearest, ties-to-even. That means that if the mathematical result of an operation is, say, 3.261, and the nearest permitted floats are 3.26 and 3.27, the operation will return 3.26. Unfortunately, JS does not provide facilities to set the rounding mode, which is understandable given the niche use of these modes.

1.2 Intelligent Pow

Among the real numbers, exponentiation is straightforward for positive bases, but rather complicated for negative bases. Negative bases raised to a rational power are variously positive, negative or undefined, depending on the fraction. Among \mathbb{F} , however, negative bases raised to any power are undefined. This can be logically seen from the fact that all floating-point numbers are rational

1.2.1 Doubles to Rationals

We hence describe the function doubleToRational. There are two competing interests: one, to correctly recognize mathematical rational numbers that would be reasonably encountered in a graphing session, and two, to make coincidences that lead to the recognition of irrational numbers as rational unlikely. We restrict our further work to the positive domain, since this makes our life far easier. In other words, we want to find a reasonable function $d: \mathbb{F}_{>0} \to \mathbb{Z}^2$, so that the resulting numerator-denominator pair corresponds closely to the floating-point number argument.

To do this, we make some stipulations.

- 1. At most approximately $\frac{1}{10000}$ of floating-point numbers in any range $(2^n, 2^{n+1})$ are classified as rational. This makes it unlikely that a randomly-generated real number will be considered rational.
- 2. The floating-point numbers corresponding to a rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ are at most those inside RealInterval.from(p/q); in other words, they are either p/q as evaluated by JS, or the preceding or succeeding float.
- 3. There must be no intersections between these intervals.
- 4. We assert that the numerator and denominator be less than or equal in magnitude to $2^{53} 1$, which makes our life easier (and such numbers are the majority of rational numbers we'd encounter).
- 5. If we are to recognize any floats in a range, we must recognize up to at least denominator 100.

The minimum returnable rational number is $\frac{1}{2^{53}-1}$, which means that all numbers smaller than $\frac{1}{2^{53}}$ may be considered irrational. The minimum possible distance between two floating-point numbers in a given range is 2^{n-52} . The maximum error of p/q from its mathematical value, since it is round-to-nearest, is 2^{n-53} . Thus, the minimum distance between two allowed fractions must be greater than

$$\underbrace{2 \cdot 2^{n-53}}_{\text{rounding}} + \underbrace{3 \cdot 2^{n-52}}_{\text{interval widths}} = 2^{n-50}$$

to comply with stipulations 2 and 3. We wish to support all (reducedform) rational numbers p/q with $q \leq d_n$ in a range $[2^n, 2^{n+1})$, where we choose d_n intelligently. The minimum distance between any two supported rational numbers is at least $\frac{1}{d_n^2}$, so

$$\frac{1}{d_n^2} \ge 2^{n-50} \Longrightarrow d_n^2 \le 2^{50-n} \Longrightarrow d_n \le 2^{25-n/2}.$$

Finally, to comply with the first stipulation, we wish to compute the number of rational numbers p/q with $q \leq d_n$ in the given range. This is approximately the length of the Farey sequence of order d_n (the number of such rationals between 0 and 1), times 2^n , which asymptotically is $\frac{3d_n^2 \cdot 2^n}{\pi^2}$. The number of floats classified as rational is three times this. The number of floats in the entire range is 2^{52} . Thus, to comply with the first requirement,

$$\frac{9d_n^22^n}{\pi^2} \leq \frac{1}{10000} \cdot 2^{52} \Longrightarrow d_n^2 \leq \frac{\pi^2 \cdot 2^{52} \cdot 2^{-n}}{9 \cdot 10000} \Longrightarrow d_n \leq \frac{\pi \cdot 2^{26-n/2}}{300}.$$

We see that (1.2.1) is always stricter than (1.2.1), and we know that $100 \le d_n < 2^{53}$, so the final expression is now

$$\boxed{100 \le d_n \le \min\left\{\frac{\pi \cdot 2^{26 - n/2}}{300}, 2^{53} - 1\right\}}.$$

Such a d_n only exists for $n \leq 25$, so we can consider numbers above 2^{26} irrational. Our procedure for numbers $x \in [1/2^{53}, 2^{26}]$ is now as follows:

- 1. Get the exponent n via any reliable method (presumably the $\mathtt{getExponent}$ function).
- 2. Look up the corresponding maximum value of d_n .
- 3. Find the nearest rational number p/q whose denominator is less than or equal to d_n .
- 4. If $x \frac{p}{q} \le 2^{n-52}$, return p/q; otherwise, return nothing.

Step 1 can be done via looking at the binary representation of the function, which is what getExponent does. Step 3 is the tricky part to do rigorously and quickly. Here is how it works:

- (a) We get the fractional part $f = \{x\}$, which is an exact operation.
- (b) We start with the fractions a = 0/1 and b = 1/1.
- (c) We compute the intermediate Farey fraction, which is $c = \frac{a_n + b_n}{a_d + b_d}$.
- (d) We compute the float values of a and b and ask which one is closer, storing this fraction as best

- (e) If best is equal to f, we return it with error 0. This satisfies stipulation 2.
- (f) We compute the maximum permissible numerator of the fractional part, namely maxFrac = $2^{53} 1 |x| \cdot \text{best}_d$.
- (g) If $c_d > d_n$ or $c_n > \max$ Frac, we return best with the calculated error. This is the best possible rational approximation.
- (h) We compute c, and if it is equal to f, we return it with error 0.
- (i) If c < f we set a to c; otherwise, we set b to c.
- (j) Go to step (c).

This is implemented in closestRational. Together, this gives a complete implementation of rational-guessing for pow. An important optimization is that arguments to doubleToRational are cached, since often the exponent of pow is constant. We only cache the previous float; caching multiple floats is a rather finnicky process, since it would be expensive to store it in an associative array with strings as keys.

Once tagged real numbers are implemented, this issue should be less important, but will still likely be used as a fallback.

2 Interval Arithmetic