

CSCI 2824 Written HW 6

Alex Garcia-Gonzalez

TOTAL POINTS

47.5 / 51

QUESTION 1

Problem 1 - Sets 12 pts

1.1 Set Equality with subsets 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct

- + 1 pts Correct definitions - forward direction
- + 1 pts Proved LHS is a subset of RHS
- + 1 pts Correct definitions - backward direction
- + 1 pts Proved RHS is a subset of LHS
- + 1 pts Some correct work, mostly incorrect
- + 0 pts Incorrect / No solution

1.2 Set Equality with builder notation 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct

- + 1 pts Set builder notation
- + 1 pts Using and mentioning intersection
- + 1 pts Using and mentioning Cartesian product
- + 1 pts Showing LHS = RHS
- + 1 pts Correct work, but no conclusion
- + 1 pts Some correct work, but mostly incorrect
- + 0 pts Incorrect / No solution

1.3 Difference/Union of Sets 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct

- + 1 pts (i) Correct
- + 1 pts (ii) Correct
- + 1 pts (iii) Correct
- + 1 pts (iv) Correct
- + 0 pts Incorrect / No solution

QUESTION 2

Problem 2 - Cardinality 12 pts

2.1 Examples 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct Answer

- + 1 pts Choosing A, B as uncountable

+ 1 pts i correct

+ 1 pts ii correct

+ 1 pts iii correct

+ 0 pts Incorrect

2.2 Cantor diagonal argument 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct answer

+ 0 pts Incorrect answer

+ 3 pts Partially correct answer

2.3 Show irrationals uncountable 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct answer

+ 1 pts For assuming irrationals as countable

+ 2 pts For recognizing assumption implies \mathbb{R} as countable

+ 1 pts Concluding irrationals are indeed uncountable

+ 0 pts Incorrect/ Not attempted

QUESTION 3

Recurrence Relations 9 pts

3.1 Closed form 1 1.5 / 3

+ 3 pts Fully correct

+ 1 pts Identified a pattern

+ 2 pts Used recurrence

+ 0 pts No answer provided/ incorrect answer

+ 1 pts Only answer provided

+ 1.5 pts Partially correct

✓ + 1.5 pts Missing steps that lead to the answer

+ 1 pts For attempting

3.2 Closed form 2 2 / 3

+ 3 pts All correct

✓ + 2 pts Recurrence found

+ 1 pts Pattern found

- + 0 pts Answer not provided/Incorrect
- + 1 pts Only answer provided
- + 1.5 pts Missing steps that lead to the answer
- + 1 pts Partially correct answer

3.3 Proof of Solution 3 / 3

- ✓ + 3 pts Correct
- + 0 pts Incorrect answer/answer not provided/incorrect answer mapped to the question
- + 1 pts Plugging in closed form
- + 2 pts For simplification to a subscript n
- + 0.5 pts For attempting
- + 1 pts Proving by cases (not a preferred answer)
- + 1.5 pts Partially correct
- + 2 pts All steps correct, final answer incorrect

QUESTION 4

One-to-one and Onto 12 pts

4.1 Onto 1 3 / 3

- ✓ + 3 pts Correct
- + 3 pts Correct but explanation not given.
- + 1 pts Wrong answer but given some reasonable explanation
- + 0 pts Wrong
- + 0 pts No solution

4.2 Onto 2 3 / 3

- ✓ + 3 pts Correct
- + 3 pts Correct but explanation not provided
- + 1 pts Incorrect but some reasonable explanation given
- + 0 pts Incorrect
- + 0 pts No solution

4.3 Example function 1 3 / 3

- ✓ + 3 pts Correct
- + 1 pts domain range used correctly
- + 2 pts Function
- + 0 pts Wrong
- + 0 pts No solution

4.4 Example function 2 3 / 3

- ✓ + 3 pts Correct
- + 1 pts not oneone but made some progress
- + 0 pts Wrong
- + 0 pts No solution

QUESTION 5

5 Style Points 5 / 6

- ✓ + 5 pts Not typed but everything else is fine
- + 6 pts Correct
- + 2 pts Completeness
- + 3 pts Neatness
- + 1 pts typed

1. (a) Suppose P , Q , and R are non-empty sets. Prove that $P \times (Q \cap R) = (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$ by showing that each side of this equation must be a **subset** of the other side, and concluding that the two sides must therefore be equal.
- (b) Suppose that P , Q , and R are non-empty sets. Prove that $P \times (Q \cap R) = (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$ by using **set builder notation** and set identities and definitions.
- (c) Let U be the set of all integers. Let E be the set of all even integers, D the set of all odd integers, P the set of positive integers, and N the set of all negative integers. Find the following sets.
 - i. $E - P$
 - ii. $P \cup N$
 - iii. $D - E$
 - iv. \bar{U}

A. $P \times (Q \cap R) = (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

Suppose $(x, y) \in (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

$(x, y) \in (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

now lets assign x & y elements

$(x \in P \wedge y \in Q) \wedge (x \in P \wedge y \in R)$

$x \in P \wedge (y \in Q \wedge y \in R)$

$(x, y) \in P \times (Q \cap R)$

$(P \times Q) \cap (P \times R) \subseteq P \times (Q \cap R)$

$x: (P)$
 $y: (Q, R)$

now we see they have 'P' in common lets simplify!

$A \subseteq B$

Suppose $(x, y) \in P \times (Q \cap R)$

$x \in P \wedge (y \in Q) \wedge (y \in R)$

$(x \in P \wedge y \in Q) \wedge (x \in P \wedge y \in R)$

$(x, y) \in P \times Q \wedge (x, y) \in P \times R$

$(x, y) \in (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

$P \times (Q \cap R) \subseteq (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

$B \subseteq A$

$A = (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$ we want
 $B = P \times (Q \cap R)$

$A \subseteq B$
 $B \subseteq A$

to prove . . .

now we have proved they are subsets of each other because the LHS = RHS.

B.

$P \times (Q \cap R) = \{(x, y) \mid x \in P \wedge y \in (Q \cap R)\}$

$\{(x, y) \mid x \in P \wedge ((y \in Q) \wedge (y \in R))\}$

$\{(x, y) \mid (x \in P \wedge y \in Q) \wedge (x \in P \wedge y \in R)\}$

$P \times (Q \cap R) = (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

1.1 Set Equality with subsets 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct

- + 1 pts Correct definitions - forward direction
- + 1 pts Proved LHS is a subset of RHS
- + 1 pts Correct definitions - backward direction
- + 1 pts Proved RHS is a subset of LHS
- + 1 pts Some correct work, mostly incorrect
- + 0 pts Incorrect / No solution

1. (a) Suppose P , Q , and R are non-empty sets. Prove that $P \times (Q \cap R) = (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$ by showing that each side of this equation must be a **subset** of the other side, and concluding that the two sides must therefore be equal.
- (b) Suppose that P , Q , and R are non-empty sets. Prove that $P \times (Q \cap R) = (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$ by using **set builder notation** and set identities and definitions.
- (c) Let U be the set of all integers. Let E be the set of all even integers, D the set of all odd integers, P the set of positive integers, and N the set of all negative integers. Find the following sets.
 - i. $E - P$
 - ii. $P \cup N$
 - iii. $D - E$
 - iv. \bar{U}

A. $P \times (Q \cap R) = (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

Suppose $(x, y) \in (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

$(x, y) \in (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

now lets assign x & y elements

$(x \in P \wedge y \in Q) \wedge (x \in P \wedge y \in R)$

$x \in P \wedge (y \in Q \wedge y \in R)$

$(x, y) \in P \times (Q \cap R)$

$(P \times Q) \cap (P \times R) \subseteq P \times (Q \cap R)$

$x: (P)$
 $y: (Q, R)$

now we see they have 'P' in common lets simplify!

$A \subseteq B$

Suppose $(x, y) \in P \times (Q \cap R)$

$x \in P \wedge (y \in Q) \wedge (y \in R)$

$(x \in P \wedge y \in Q) \wedge (x \in P \wedge y \in R)$

$(x, y) \in P \times Q \wedge (x, y) \in P \times R$

$(x, y) \in (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

$P \times (Q \cap R) \subseteq (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

$B \subseteq A$

$A = (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$ we want
 $B = P \times (Q \cap R)$

$A \subseteq B$
 $B \subseteq A$

to prove . . .

now we have proved they are subsets of each other because the LHS = RHS.

B.

$P \times (Q \cap R) = \{(x, y) \mid x \in P \wedge y \in (Q \cap R)\}$

$\{(x, y) \mid x \in P \wedge ((y \in Q) \wedge (y \in R))\}$

$\{(x, y) \mid (x \in P \wedge y \in Q) \wedge (x \in P \wedge y \in R)\}$

$P \times (Q \cap R) = (P \times Q) \cap (P \times R)$

1.2 Set Equality with builder notation 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct

- + 1 pts Set builder notation
- + 1 pts Using and mentioning intersection
- + 1 pts Using and mentioning Cartesian product
- + 1 pts Showing LHS = RHS
- + 1 pts Correct work, but no conclusion
- + 1 pts Some correct work, but mostly incorrect
- + 0 pts Incorrect / No solution

C.

i. $E - P =$ all negative integers.

ii. $P \cup N = "U"$ set of all positive and negative integers.

iii. $D - E = D$ all odd integers

iiii. $\bar{U} =$ "all numbers except integers"

U: set of all integers
E: all even integers
D: all odd integers
P: positive integers
N: all negative integers

2. (a) Give an example of two uncountable sets A and B with a nonempty intersection, such that $A - B$ is
- finite
 - countably infinite
 - uncountably infinite
- (b) Use the Cantor diagonalization argument to prove that the number of real numbers in the interval $[3, 4]$ is uncountable.
- (c) Use a proof by contradiction to show that the set of irrational numbers that lie in the interval $[3, 4]$ is uncountable. (You can use the fact that the set of rational numbers (\mathbb{Q}) is countable and the set of reals (\mathbb{R}) is uncountable). Show all work.

a.

i. Finite $A: [0, 1]$
 $B: [0, 1]$

only one number remains.

ii. countably infinite

$A: \mathbb{R}$
 $B: \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ - set of all irrational numbers
 $A - B = \mathbb{R} - (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ = set of all rational numbers

Countably infinite

iii.

$A = [0, 2]$
 $B = [0, 1]$

$A - B =$ irrational

b. suppose $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [3, 4]$ is any function
we make expansion
table of $f(1), f(2), \dots, f(n)$

S'pose
 $f(1) = 3 + \frac{1}{10}, f(2) = 2 + \frac{37}{99},$
 $f(3) = 3 + \frac{1}{7}, \dots, f(n)$

n	f(n)
1	3. 1 4 1 5 9 2 6 5 3 ...
2	3. 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 7 ...
3	3. 1 4 2 8 5 7 1 4 2 8 ...
4	3. 7 0 7 0 6 7 8 1 1 ...
5	3. 3 7 5 0 0 0 0 0 ...

once we add 1 to decimal digits, it no longer can be in the table. differs
(1) in first digit
(2) in second digit
(n) differs in its nth

therefore there are
a lot of numbers not
in table & n for
any n in the table

1.3 Difference/Union of Sets 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct

+ 1 pts (i) Correct

+ 1 pts (ii) Correct

+ 1 pts (iii) Correct

+ 1 pts (iv) Correct

+ 0 pts Incorrect / No solution

C.

i. $E - P =$ all negative integers.

ii. $P \cup N = "U"$ set of all positive and negative integers.

iii. $D - E = D$ all odd integers

iiii. $\bar{U} =$ "all numbers except integers"

U: set of all integers
E: all even integers
D: all odd integers
P: positive integers
N: all negative integers

2. (a) Give an example of two uncountable sets A and B with a nonempty intersection, such that $A - B$ is
- finite
 - countably infinite
 - uncountably infinite
- (b) Use the Cantor diagonalization argument to prove that the number of real numbers in the interval $[3, 4]$ is uncountable.
- (c) Use a proof by contradiction to show that the set of irrational numbers that lie in the interval $[3, 4]$ is uncountable. (You can use the fact that the set of rational numbers (\mathbb{Q}) is countable and the set of reals (\mathbb{R}) is uncountable). Show all work.

a.

i. Finite $A: [0, 1]$
 $B: [0, 1]$

only one number remains.

ii. countably infinite

$A: \mathbb{R}$
 $B: \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ - set of all irrational numbers
 $A - B = \mathbb{R} - (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ = set of all rational numbers

Countably infinite

iii.

$A = [0, 2]$
 $B = [0, 1]$

$A - B =$ irrational

b. suppose $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [3, 4]$ is any function
we make expansion
table of $f(1), f(2), \dots, f(n)$

$f(1) = 3 + \frac{1}{10}, f(2) = 2 + \frac{37}{99},$
 $f(3) = 3 + \frac{1}{7}, \dots, f(n)$

n	f(n)
1	3.141592653...
2	3.373737377...
3	3.1428571428...
4	3.7071067811...
5	3.375000000...

once we add 1 to decimal digits, it no longer can be in the table. differs
(1) in first digit
(2) in second digit
(n) differs in its nth

therefore there are
a lot of numbers not
in table & n for
any n in the table

2.1 Examples 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct Answer

+ 1 pts Choosing A, B as uncountable

+ 1 pts i correct

+ 1 pts ii correct

+ 1 pts iii correct

+ 0 pts Incorrect

C.

i. $E - P =$ all negative integers.

ii. $P \cup N = "U"$ set of all positive and negative integers.

iii. $D - E = D$ all odd integers

iiii. $\bar{U} =$ "all numbers except integers"

U: set of all integers
E: all even integers
D: all odd integers
P: positive integers
N: all negative integers

2. (a) Give an example of two uncountable sets A and B with a nonempty intersection, such that $A - B$ is
- finite
 - countably infinite
 - uncountably infinite
- (b) Use the Cantor diagonalization argument to prove that the number of real numbers in the interval $[3, 4]$ is uncountable.
- (c) Use a proof by contradiction to show that the set of irrational numbers that lie in the interval $[3, 4]$ is uncountable. (You can use the fact that the set of rational numbers (\mathbb{Q}) is countable and the set of reals (\mathbb{R}) is uncountable). Show all work.

a.

i. Finite $A: [0, 1]$
 $B: [0, 1]$

only one number remains.

ii. countably infinite

$A: \mathbb{R}$
 $B: \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ - set of all irrational numbers
 $A - B = \mathbb{R} - (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ = set of all rational numbers

Countably infinite

iii.

$A = [0, 2]$
 $B = [0, 1]$

$A - B =$ irrational

b. suppose $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [3, 4]$ is any function
we make expansion
table of $f(1), f(2), \dots, f(n)$

$f(1) = 3 + \frac{4}{10}, f(2) = 2 + \frac{37}{99},$
 $f(3) = 3 + \frac{1}{7}, \dots, f(n)$

n	f(n)
1	3.41592653...
2	3.3737377...
3	3.1428571428...
4	3.707067811...
5	3.375000000...

once we add 4 to decimal digits, it no longer can be in the table. differs
(1) in first digit
(2) in second digit
(n) differs in its nth

therefore there are
a lot of numbers not
in table & n for
any n in the table

2.2 Cantor diagonal argument 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct answer

+ 0 pts Incorrect answer

+ 3 pts Partially correct answer

C. $A = \text{irrationals } [3, 4]$
 $B = \text{rational in } [3, 4]$
 $A \cup B = [3, 4]$

If we assume
 A is countable,
 B is countable,
 & union of
 2 countable sets
 is countable
 thus $[3, 4]$ is
 countable

However
 Cantor's diagonal
 argument $\rightarrow \leftarrow$
 This is a contra-
 diction
 of last example.
 The irrationals
 in $[3, 4]$ are
 uncountable

3. (a) Find a closed form for the recurrence relation: $a_n = 2a_{n-1} - 2, a_0 = -1$

(b) Find a closed form for the recurrence relation: $a_n = (n+2)a_{n-1}, a_0 = 3$

(c) Show that $a_n = 5(-1)^n - n + 2$ is a solution of the recurrence relation $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2} + 2n - 9$.

a.) $a_0 = -1$

$$a_1 = 2(a_0) - 2 = 2(-1) - 2 = 0$$

$$a_2 = 2(a_1) - 2 = 2(0) - 2 = -2$$

$$a_3 = 2(a_2) - 2 = 2(-2) - 2 = -6$$

\vdots

$$a_n = 2(a_{n-1}) - 2 = 2(a_0) - 2 =$$

Thus the closed form

recurrence relationship

$$\text{is } a_n = 2 - 3 \cdot 2^{(n)}$$

b.) $a_0 = 3$

$$a_1 = (1+2)a_0 = (3)(3) = 9$$

$$a_2 = (2+2)a_1 = (4)(9) = 36$$

$$a_3 = (3+2)a_2 = (5)(36) = 180$$

\vdots

$$a_n = (n+2)a_{n-1} =$$

Thus the closed form

recurrence relationship

$$\text{is } a_n = -n + 9$$

$$2^{(n-1)} - 2$$

2.3 Show irrationals uncountable 4 / 4

✓ + 4 pts Correct answer

- + 1 pts For assuming irrationals as countable
- + 2 pts For recognizing assumption implies \mathbb{R} as countable
- + 1 pts Concluding irrationals are indeed uncountable
- + 0 pts Incorrect/ Not attempted

C. $A = \text{irrationals } [3, 4]$
 $B = \text{rational in } [3, 4]$
 $A \cup B = [3, 4]$

If we assume
 A is countable,
 B is countable,
 & union of
 2 countable sets
 is countable
 thus $[3, 4]$ is
 countable

However
 Cantor's diagonal
 argument $\rightarrow \leftarrow$
 This is a contra-
 diction
 of last example.
 The irrationals
 in $[3, 4]$ are
 uncountable

3. (a) Find a closed form for the recurrence relation: $a_n = 2a_{n-1} - 2, a_0 = -1$

(b) Find a closed form for the recurrence relation: $a_n = (n+2)a_{n-1}, a_0 = 3$

(c) Show that $a_n = 5(-1)^n - n + 2$ is a solution of the recurrence relation $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2} + 2n - 9$.

a.) $a_0 = -1$

$$a_1 = 2(a_0) - 2 = 2(-1) - 2 = 0$$

$$a_2 = 2(a_1) - 2 = 2(0) - 2 = -2$$

$$a_3 = 2(a_2) - 2 = 2(-2) - 2 = -6$$

\vdots

$$a_n = 2(a_{n-1}) - 2 = 2(a_0) - 2 =$$

Thus the closed form

recurrence relationship

$$\text{is } a_n = 2 - 3 \cdot 2^{(n)}$$

b.) $a_0 = 3$

$$a_1 = (1+2)a_0 = (3)(3) = 9$$

$$a_2 = (2+2)a_1 = (4)(9) = 36$$

$$a_3 = (3+2)a_2 = (5)(36) = 180$$

\vdots

$$a_n = (n+2)a_{n-1} =$$

Thus the closed form

recurrence relationship

$$\text{is } a_n = -n + 9$$

$$2^{(n-1)} - 2$$

3.1 Closed form 1 1.5 / 3

- + 3 pts Fully correct
- + 1 pts Identified a pattern
- + 2 pts Used recurrence
- + 0 pts No answer provided/ incorrect answer
- + 1 pts Only answer provided
- + 1.5 pts Partially correct
- ✓ + 1.5 pts Missing steps that lead to the answer
- + 1 pts For attempting

C. $A = \text{irrationals } [3, 4]$
 $B = \text{rational in } [3, 4]$
 $A \cup B = [3, 4]$

If we assume
 A is countable,
 B is countable,
 & union of
 2 countable sets
 is countable
 thus $[3, 4]$ is
 countable

However
 Cantor's diagonal
 argument $\rightarrow \leftarrow$
 This is a contra-
 diction
 of last example.
 The irrationals
 in $[3, 4]$ are
 uncountable

3. (a) Find a closed form for the recurrence relation: $a_n = 2a_{n-1} - 2, a_0 = -1$

(b) Find a closed form for the recurrence relation: $a_n = (n+2)a_{n-1}, a_0 = 3$

(c) Show that $a_n = 5(-1)^n - n + 2$ is a solution of the recurrence relation $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2} + 2n - 9$.

a.) $a_0 = -1$

$$a_1 = 2(a_0) - 2 = 2(-1) - 2 = 0$$

$$a_2 = 2(a_1) - 2 = 2(0) - 2 = -2$$

$$a_3 = 2(a_2) - 2 = 2(-2) - 2 = -6$$

\vdots

$$a_n = 2(a_{n-1}) - 2 = 2(a_0) - 2 =$$

Thus the closed form

recurrence relationship

$$\text{is } a_n = 2 - 3 \cdot 2^{(n)}$$

b.) $a_0 = 3$

$$a_1 = (1+2)a_0 = (3)(3) = 9$$

$$a_2 = (2+2)a_1 = (4)(9) = 36$$

$$a_3 = (3+2)a_2 = (5)(36) = 180$$

\vdots

$$a_n = (n+2)a_{n-1} =$$

Thus the closed form

recurrence relationship

$$\text{is } a_n = -n + 9$$

$$2^{(n-1)} - 2$$

3.2 Closed form 2 2 / 3

- + 3 pts All correct
- ✓ + 2 pts Recurrence found
 - + 1 pts Pattern found
 - + 0 pts Answer not provided/Incorrect
 - + 1 pts Only answer provided
 - + 1.5 pts Missing steps that lead to the answer
 - + 1 pts Partially correct answer

C.)

$$a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2} + 2n - 9 \quad \text{recurrence relation}$$

$$a_n = 5 \cdot (-1)^n - n + 2 \quad \text{closed form solution}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2} + 2n - 9$$

$$= 5 \cdot (-1)^{n-1} - (n-1) + 2 + 2(5 \cdot (-1)^{n-2} - (n-2) + 2) + 2n - 9$$

$$= 5 \cdot (-1)^{n-1} - n + 1 + 2 + 10 \cdot (-1)^{n-2} - \cancel{2(n-2)} + \cancel{4} + 2n - 9$$

~~$-2n - 4$~~
 -1

$$= 5 \cdot (-1)^{n-1} + 10 \cdot (-1)^{n-2} - n + 2$$

$$= -5 \cdot (-1)^n + 10 \cdot (-1)^n - n + 2$$

$$= 5 \cdot (-1)^n - n + 2$$

$$= a_n$$

3.3 Proof of Solution 3 / 3

✓ + 3 pts Correct

+ 0 pts Incorrect answer/answer not provided/incorrect answer mapped to the question

+ 1 pts Plugging in closed form

+ 2 pts For simplification to a subscript n

+ 0.5 pts For attempting

+ 1 pts Proving by cases (not a preferred answer)

+ 1.5 pts Partially correct

+ 2 pts All steps correct, final answer incorrect

4. (a) Consider the function $f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ where $f(m, n) = 2m - n$. Is this function onto?
- (b) Consider the function $f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ where $f(m, n) = m^2 - n^2$. Is this function onto?
- (c) Define the set C = the set of all residents of Colorado. Define in words a function $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Is your function one-to-one? Is it onto? Be sure that the f you defined is indeed a **function**. Be creative and have fun!
- (d) Again, define the set C = the set of all residents of Colorado. Define in words a function $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. However this time, make sure that your function is one-to-one. (Make sure to give a different example from part (c)).

a.) $f(m, n) = 2m - n \quad f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

f is onto

Proof: $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Find two integers
such that $f(m, n) = k$

$$2m - n = k$$

$$n = 2m - k$$

& If $n = 2m - k$

$$\text{then } f(m, n) = f(m, 2m - k)$$

$$= k \quad f(m, n) = 2m - n$$

hence for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtained $(m, 2m - k)$
such as $\in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

$$f(m, 2m - k) = k$$

thus f is

onto

b.) $m^2 - n^2$ proof: $y \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{let } f(m, n) = y$$

If $y = 6$, then 6 couldn't
be written as the
difference of squares
of two integers.

for example:

$$6 \neq 3^2 - 1^2$$

$$6 \neq 4^2 - 2^2$$

$$6 \neq 4^2 - 3^2$$

\vdots

Hence f is not onto

4.1 Onto 1 3 / 3

✓ + 3 pts Correct

+ 3 pts Correct but explanation not given.

+ 1 pts Wrong answer but given some reasonable explanation

+ 0 pts Wrong

+ 0 pts No solution

4. (a) Consider the function $f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ where $f(m, n) = 2m - n$. Is this function onto?
- (b) Consider the function $f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ where $f(m, n) = m^2 - n^2$. Is this function onto?
- (c) Define the set C = the set of all residents of Colorado. Define in words a function $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Is your function one-to-one? Is it onto? Be sure that the f you defined is indeed a **function**. Be creative and have fun!
- (d) Again, define the set C = the set of all residents of Colorado. Define in words a function $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. However this time, make sure that your function is one-to-one. (Make sure to give a different example from part (c)).

a.) $f(m, n) = 2m - n \quad f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

f is onto

Proof: $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Find two integers

such that $f(m, n) = k$

$$2m - n = k$$

$$n = 2m - k$$

& If $n = 2m - k$

$$\text{then } f(m, n) = f(m, 2m - k)$$

$$= k \quad f(m, n) = 2m - n$$

hence for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtained $(m, 2m - k)$

such as

$$\in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$$

$$f(m, 2m - k) = k$$

thus f is

onto

b.) $m^2 - n^2$ proof: $y \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{let } f(m, n) = y$$

If $y = 6$, then 6 couldn't

be written as the

difference of squares

of two integers.

for example:

$$6 \neq 3^2 - 1^2$$

$$6 \neq 4^2 - 2^2$$

$$6 \neq 4^2 - 3^2$$

\vdots

Hence f is not onto

4.2 Onto 2 3 / 3

✓ + **3 pts** Correct

+ **3 pts** Correct but explanation not provided

+ **1 pts** Incorrect but some reasonable explanation given

+ **0 pts** Incorrect

+ **0 pts** No solution

c.) $C =$ set of all residents in Colorado.

$$C = \{1, 2, \dots, 3\}$$

$$f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{ by } f(x) = x \\ \forall x \in C$$

associate each resident with a number \mathbb{Z} .

This becomes an identity map which is

one-to-one as each resident is associated with a unique #.

f is not onto due to

set C being finite

& set \mathbb{Z} is infinite,

so there maybe \in set \mathbb{Z}

which has no association with any resident.

d. Define

$$f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{ by}$$

$$f(x) = x^2 \forall x \in C$$

If we associate each person is a number which is square of the i th number of person that is: 5th person will be associated is 25

Hence one to one as every person is associated is a unique #.

It is not onto as $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ has no pre image.

4.3 Example function 1 3 / 3

✓ + 3 pts Correct

+ 1 pts domain range used correctly

+ 2 pts Function

+ 0 pts Wrong

+ 0 pts No solution

c.) $C =$ set of all residents in Colorado.

$$C = \{1, 2, \dots, 3\}$$

$$f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{ by } f(x) = x \\ \forall x \in C$$

associate each resident with a number \mathbb{Z} .

This becomes an identity map which is

one-to-one as each resident is associated with a unique #.

f is not onto due to

set C being finite

& set \mathbb{Z} is infinite,

so there maybe \in set \mathbb{Z}

which has no association with any resident.

d. Define

$$f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{ by}$$

$$f(x) = x^2 \forall x \in C$$

If we associate each person is a number which is square of the i th number of person that is: 5th person will be associated is 25

Hence one to one as every person is associated is a unique #.

It is not onto as $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ has no pre image.

4.4 Example function 2 3 / 3

✓ + **3 pts** Correct

+ **1 pts** not oneone but made some progress

+ **0 pts** Wrong

+ **0 pts** No solution

5 Style Points 5 / 6

✓ + **5 pts** Not typed but everything else is fine

+ **6 pts** Correct

+ **2 pts** Completeness

+ **3 pts** Neatness

+ **1 pts** typed