

Lower bounds on frequency estimation of data streams

Sumit Ganguly*

Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Abstract. We consider a basic problem in the general data streaming model, namely, to estimate a vector $f \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ that is arbitrarily updated (i.e., incremented or decremented) coordinate-wise. The estimate $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ must satisfy $\|\hat{f} - f\|_\infty \leq \epsilon \|f\|_1$, that is, $\forall i (|\hat{f}_i - f_i| \leq \epsilon \|f\|_1)$. It is known to have $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$ randomized space upper bound [6], $\Omega(\epsilon^{-1} \log(\epsilon n))$ space lower bound [4] and deterministic space upper bound of $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$ bits.¹ We show that any deterministic algorithm for this problem requires space $\Omega(\epsilon^{-2}(\log \|f\|_1)(\log n)(\log^{-1}(\epsilon^{-1})))$ bits.

1 Introduction

A data stream σ over the domain $[1, n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is modeled as a sequence of records of the form $(pos, i, \delta v)$, where, pos is the current sequence index, $i \in [1, n]$ and $\delta v \in \{+1, -1\}$. Here, $\delta v = 1$ signifies an insertion of an instance of i and $\delta v = -1$ signifies a deletion of an instance of i . For each data item $i \in [1, n]$, its frequency $(\text{freq } \sigma)_i$ is defined as $\sum_{(pos, i, \delta v) \in \text{stream}} \delta v$. The *size* of σ is defined as $|\sigma| = \max\{\|\text{freq } \sigma'\|_\infty \mid \sigma' \text{ prefix of } \sigma\}$. In this paper, we consider the *general stream model*, where, the n -dimensional frequency vector $\text{freq } \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The data stream model of processing permits online computations over the input sequence using sub-linear space. The data stream computation model has proved to be a viable model for a number of application areas, such as network monitoring, databases, financial data processing, etc..

We consider the problem APPROXFREQ(ϵ): given a data stream σ , return \hat{f} , such that $\text{err}(\hat{f}, \text{freq } \sigma) \leq \epsilon$, where, the function err is given by (1). Equivalently, the problem may be formulated as: given $i \in [1, n]$, return \hat{f}_i such that $|\hat{f}_i - (\text{freq } \sigma)_i| \leq \epsilon \cdot \|\text{freq } \sigma\|_1$, where, $\|f\|_1 = \sum_{i \in [1, n]} |f_i|$.

$$\text{err}(\hat{f}, f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\|\hat{f} - f\|_\infty}{\|f\|_1} \leq \epsilon. \quad (1)$$

The problem APPROXFREQ(ϵ) is of fundamental interest in data streaming applications. For general streams, this problem is known to have a space lower bound of $\Omega(\epsilon^{-1} \log(n\epsilon))$ [4], a randomized space upper bound of $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$ [6], and a deterministic space upper bound of $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$ bits [9]. For insert-only streams (i.e., $\text{freq } \sigma \geq 0$), there exist deterministic algorithms that use $O((\epsilon^{-1})(\log(mn)))$ space [7,13,14]; however extensions of these algorithms to handle deletions in the stream are not known.

Mergeability. Data summary structures for summarizing data streams for frequency dependent computations (e.g., approximate frequent items, frequency moments, etc.; formally defined in Section 2) typically exhibit the property of *arbitrary mergeability*. If D is a data structure for processing a stream and D_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$ for k arbitrary, be the respective current state of the structure after processing streams S_j , then, there exists a simple operation *Merge* such that $\text{Merge}(D_1, \dots, D_k)$ reconstructs the state of D that would be obtained by

* This is the full version of the paper with the same title in Proceedings of the Third International Computer Science Symposium in Russia (CSR-2008).

¹ The \tilde{O} and $\tilde{\Omega}$ notations suppress poly-logarithmic factors in $n, \log \epsilon^{-1}, \|f\|_\infty$ and $\log \delta^{-1}$, where, δ is the error probability (for randomized algorithm).

processing the union of streams S_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. For randomized summaries, this might require initial random seeds to be shared. Thus, a summary of a distributed stream can be constructed from the summaries of the individual streams, followed by the *Merge* operation. Almost all known data streaming structures are arbitrarily mergeable, including, sketches [3], COUNTSKETCH [5], COUNT-MIN sketches [6], Flajolet-Martin sketches [8] and its variants, k -set[10], CR-precis structure [9] and random subset sums [12]. In this paper, we ask the question, namely, when are stream summaries mergeable?

Contributions. We present a space lower bound of $\Omega(\epsilon^{-2}(\log \epsilon^{-1})^{-1}(\log m)(\log n))$ bits for any *deterministic uniform* algorithm A_n for the problem APPROXFREQ(ϵ) over input streams of size m over the domain $[1, n]$. The uniformity is in the sense that A_n must be able to solve APPROXFREQ(ϵ) for all *general* input streams over the domain $[1, n]$. The lower bound implies that the CR-precis structure [9] is nearly space-optimal for APPROXFREQ(ϵ), up to poly-logarithmic factors. The uniformity requirement is essential since there exists an algorithm that solves APPROXFREQ(ϵ) for all input streams σ with $|\sigma| \leq 1$ using space $O(\epsilon^{-1} \text{polylog}(n))$ [11].

We also show that for any deterministic and uniform algorithm A_n over general streams, there exists another algorithm B_n such that (a) the state of B_n is arbitrarily mergeable, (b) B_n uses at most $O(\log n)$ bits of extra space than A_n , and, (c) for every input stream σ , the output of B_n on σ is the same as the output of A_n on some stream σ' such that $\text{freq } \sigma = \text{freq } \sigma'$. In other words, if A_n correctly solves a given frequency dependent problem, so does B_n ; further, the state of B_n is arbitrarily mergeable and B_n uses $O(\log n)$ bits of extra space. This shows that deterministic data stream summaries for frequency dependent computation are essentially arbitrarily mergeable.

2 Stream Automaton

In this section, we define a stream automaton and study some basic properties.

Definition 1 (Stream Automaton). *A stream automaton A_n over the domain $[1, n]$ is a deterministic Turing machine that uses two tapes, namely, a two-way read-write work-tape and a one-way read-only input tape. The input tape contains the input stream σ . After processing its input, the automaton writes an output, denoted by $\text{output}_{A_n}(\sigma)$, on the work-tape.* \square

Effective space usage. We say that a stream automaton uses space $s(n, m)$ bits if for all input streams σ having $|\sigma| \leq m$, the number of cells (bits) on the work-tape in use, after having processed σ , is bounded by $s(n, m)$. In particular, this implies that for $m \geq m'$, $s(n, m) \geq s(n, m')$. The space function $s(n, m)$ does not count the space required to actually write the answer on the work-tape, or to process the $s(n, m)$ bits of the work-tape once the end of the input tape is observed. The proposed model of stream automata is non-uniform over the domain size n , (and uniform over the stream size parameter $m = |\sigma|$), since, for each $n \geq 1$, there is a stream automata A_n for solving instances of a problem over domain size n . This creates a problem in quantifying *effective space usage*, particularly, for low-space computations, that is, $s(n, m) = o(n \log m)$. Let $Q(A_n)$ denote the set of states in the finite control of the automaton A_n . If $|Q(A_n)| \geq m2^n$, then, for all $m' \leq m$, the automaton can map the frequency vector isomorphically into its finite control, and $s(n, m) = 0$. This problem is caused by non-uniformity of the model as a function of the domain size n , and can be avoided as follows. We define the effective space usage of A_n as

$$\text{Space}(A_n, m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s(n, m) + \log s(n, m) + |Q(A_n)| .$$

Although, the model of stream automata does not explicitly allow queries, this can be modeled by a stream automaton's capability of writing vectors as answers, whose space is not counted towards the effective space usage. So if $\{q_i\}_{i \in I}$ denotes the family of all queries that are applicable for the given problem, where, I is a finite index set of size $p(n)$ then, the output of the automaton can be thought of as the $p(n)$ -dimensional vector $\text{output}_{A_n}(\sigma)$.

A *frequency dependent problem* over a data stream is characterized by a family of binary predicates $P_n(\hat{f}, \text{freq } \sigma)$, $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{Z}^{p(n)}$, $n \geq 1$, called the characteristic predicate for the domain $[1, n]$. P_n defines the acceptability (or good approximations) of the output. A stream automaton A_n solves a problem provided, for every stream σ , $P_n(\text{output}_{A_n}(\sigma), \text{freq } \sigma)$ holds. For example, the characteristic predicate corresponding to the problem APPROXFREQ(ϵ) is $\text{err}(\hat{f}, f) \leq \epsilon$, where, $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\text{err}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by (1). Examples of frequency dependent problems are approximating frequencies and finding frequent items, approximate quantiles, histograms, estimating frequency moments, etc..

Given stream automata A_n and B_n , B_n is said to be an *output restriction* of A , provided, for every stream σ , there exists a stream σ' such that, $\text{freq } \sigma = \text{freq } \sigma'$ and $\text{output}_{B_n}(\sigma) = \text{output}_{A_n}(\sigma')$. The motivation of this definition is the following straightforward lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let P_n be the characteristic predicate of a frequency-dependent problem over data streams and suppose that a stream automaton A_n solves P_n . If B_n is an output restriction of A_n , then, B_n also solves P_n .* \square

Proof. Let σ be any input stream to B and let $\hat{f} = \text{output}_B(\sigma)$ be the output of B on σ . Since, B is an output restriction of A , hence, $\hat{f} = \text{output}_A(\sigma')$, for some stream σ' . Since, A solves P , therefore, $(\hat{f}, \text{freq } \sigma') \in P$. However, $\text{freq } \sigma' = \text{freq } \sigma$, and therefore, $(\hat{f}, \text{freq } \sigma) \in P$. Since, this holds for all σ , B solves P as well. \square

Notation. Fix a value of the domain size $n \geq 2$. Each stream record of the form $(i, 1)$ and $(i, -1)$ is equivalently viewed as e_i and $-e_i$ respectively, where, $e_i = [0, \dots, 0, 1 \text{ (position } i), 0, \dots, 0]$ is the i^{th} standard basis vector of \mathbb{R}^n . A stream is thus viewed as a sequence of elementary vectors (or its inverse). The notation $\sigma \circ \tau$ refers to the stream obtained by concatenating the stream τ to the end of the stream σ . In this notation, $\text{freq } e_i = e_i$, $\text{freq } -e_i = -e_i$ and $\text{freq } \sigma \circ \tau = \text{freq } \sigma + \text{freq } \tau$. The *inverse stream* corresponding to σ is denoted as σ^r and is defined inductively as follows: $e_i^r = -e_i$, $-e_i^r = e_i$ and $(\sigma \circ \tau)^r = \tau^r \circ \sigma^r$. The configuration of A_n is modeled as the triple (q, h, w) , where, q is the current state of the finite control of A_n , h is the index of the current cell of the work tape, and w is the current contents of the work-tape. The processing of each record by A_n can be viewed as a transition function $\oplus_{A_n}(a, v)$, where, a is the current configuration of A_n , and v is the next stream record, that is, one of the e_i 's. The transition function is written in infix form as $a \oplus_{A_n} v$. We assume that \oplus_{A_n} associates from the left, that is, $a \oplus_{A_n} u_1 \circ u_2$ means $(a \oplus_{A_n} u_1) \oplus_{A_n} u_2$. Given a stream automaton A_n , the space of possible configurations of A_n is denoted by $C(A_n)$. Let $C_m(A_n)$ denote the subset of configurations that are reachable from the initial state o and after processing an input stream σ with $|\sigma| = \|\text{freq } \sigma\|_\infty \leq m$. We now define two sub-classes of stream automata.

Definition 2. *A stream automaton A_n is said to be **path independent**, if for each configuration s of A_n and input stream σ , $s \oplus_{A_n} \sigma$ is dependent only on $\text{freq } \sigma$ and s . A stream automaton A_n is said to be **path reversible** if for every stream σ and configuration s , $s \oplus_{A_n} \sigma \circ \sigma^r = s$, where, σ^r is the inverse stream of σ .* \square

Overview of Proof. The proof of the lower bound on the space complexity of APPROXFREQ(ϵ) proceeds in three steps. A subclass of path independent stream automata, called *free au-*

tomata is defined and is proved to be the class of path independent automata whose transition function \oplus_{A_n} can be modeled as a linear mapping of \mathbb{R}^n , with input restricted to \mathbb{Z}^n . We then derive a space lower bound for APPROXFREQ(ϵ) for free automata (Section 4.1). In the second step, we show that a path independent automaton that solves APPROXFREQ(ϵ) can be used to design a free automaton that solves APPROXFREQ(4ϵ) (Section 4.2). In the third step, we prove that for any frequency-dependent problem with characteristic predicate P_n and a stream automaton A_n that solves it, there exists an output-restricted stream automaton B_n that also solves P_n , is path-independent, and, $\text{Space}(B_n, m) \leq \text{Space}(A_n, m) + O(\log n)$. This step has two parts—the property is first proved for the class of path-reversible automata A_n (Section 5) and then generalized to all stream automata (Section 6). Combining the results of the three steps, we obtain the lower bound.

3 Path-independent stream automata

In this section, we study the properties of path independent automata. Let A_n be a path-independent stream automaton over the domain $[1, n]$ and let \oplus abbreviate \oplus_{A_n} . Define the function $+ : \mathbb{Z}^n \times C(A_n) \rightarrow C(A_n)$ as follows.

$$x + a = a \oplus \sigma \text{ where, } \text{freq } \sigma = x .$$

Since A_n is a path independent automaton, the function $x + a$ is well-defined. The kernel M_{A_n} of a path independent automaton is defined as follows. Let the initial configuration be denoted by o .

$$M_{A_n} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid x + o = 0 + o\}$$

The subscript A_n in M_{A_n} is dropped when A_n is clear from the context.

Lemma 2. *The kernel of a path independent automaton is a sub-module of \mathbb{Z}^n .*

Proof. Let $x \in M$. Then, $0 + o = -x + x + o = -x + o$, or $-x \in M$. If $x, y \in M$, then, $0 + o = x + o = x + y + o$, or, $x + y \in M$. So M is a sub-module of \mathbb{Z}^n . \square

The quotient set $\mathbb{Z}^n/M = \{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ together with the well-defined addition operation $(x + M) + (y + M) = (x + y) + M$, forms a module over \mathbb{Z} .

Lemma 3. *Let M be the kernel of a path independent automaton A_n . The mapping $x + M \mapsto x + o$ is a set isomorphism between \mathbb{Z}^n/M and the set of reachable configurations $\{x + o \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$. The automaton A_n gives the same output for each $y \in x + M$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.*

Proof. $y \in x + M$ iff $x - y \in M$ or $-y + x + o = o$, or, $x + o = y + o$. Thus, A_n attains the same configuration after processing both x and y and therefore A_n gives the same output for both x and y . Since, $x + o = y + o$ iff $x - y \in M$, which implies that the mapping $x + M \mapsto x + o$ is an isomorphism. \square

Let \mathbb{Z}_m^n denote the subset $\{-m, \dots, m\}^n$ of \mathbb{Z}^n .

Lemma 4. *Let A_n be a path independent automaton with kernel M . Then,*

$$\text{Space}(A_n, m) \geq \lceil \log |\{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n\}| \rceil \geq (n - \dim M) \log(2m + 1).$$

Proof. The set of distinct configurations of A_n after it has processed a stream with frequency $x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ is isomorphic to $\{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n\}$. The number of configurations using workspace of $s = s(n, m)$ is at most $|Q_{A_n}| \cdot s \cdot 2^s$. Therefore,

$$2^{\text{Space}(A_n, m)} = |Q_{A_n}| \cdot s \cdot 2^s \geq |\{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n\}|. \quad (2)$$

We now obtain an upper bound on the size $|M \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^n|$. Let b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r be a basis for M . The set

$$P_m = \{\alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_r b_r \mid |\alpha_i| \leq m \text{ and integral}, i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$$

defines the set of all integral points generated by b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r with multipliers in $\{-m, \dots, m\}$. Thus,

$$|M \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^n| \leq |P_m| = (2m + 1)^r. \quad (3)$$

It follows that

$$|\{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n\}| \geq \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_m^n|}{|M \cap \mathbb{Z}_m^n|} \geq (2m + 1)^{n-r}.$$

Since, $r = \dim M$, substituting in (2) and taking logarithms, we have

$$\text{Space}(A_n, m) \geq \log |\{x + M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n\}| \geq (n - r) \log(2m + 1). \quad \square$$

Lemma 5 shows that given a sub-module M , a path-independent automaton with a given M as a kernel can be constructed using nearly optimal space. The transition function $(x + M) + (y + M) = (x + y) + M$ implies that the state of a path independent automaton is arbitrarily mergeable.

Lemma 5. *For any sub-module M of \mathbb{Z}^n , one can construct a path-independent automaton with kernel M that uses nearly optimal space $s(n, m) = \log |\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}| + O(\log n)$ and uses $n^{O(1)}$ states in its finite control.* \square

Proof. Let M be a given sub-module of \mathbb{Z}^n with basis b_1, \dots, b_r (say). It is sufficient to construct a path independent automaton whose configurations are isomorphic to $E = \mathbb{Z}^n / M$. Since, \mathbb{Z}^n is free, \mathbb{Z}^n / M is finitely generated using any basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . Therefore, the basic module decomposition theorem states that

$$\mathbb{Z}^n / M = \mathbb{Z} / (q_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / (q_r). \quad (4)$$

where, $q_1 | q_2 | \dots | q_r$. (Here, \oplus refers to the direct sum of modules.) The finite control of the automaton stores q_1, \dots, q_r and the machinery required to calculate $1 \bmod q_j$ and $-1 \bmod q_j$ for each j . For the frequency vector f , the residue vector $f + M$ is maintained as a vector of residues with respect to the q_j 's as given by (4). Since, (4) is a direct sum, hence, the space used by this representation is optimal and equal to $|\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}|$. \square

Definition 3 (Free Automaton). *A path independent automaton A_n with kernel M is said to be free if \mathbb{Z}^n / M is a free module.* \square

That is, A_n is free if for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \neq 0$ and $ax \in M$, it is the case that $x \in M$. For free automata A_n , it follows that \mathbb{Z}^n is the direct sum of M and \mathbb{Z}^n / M , that is, $\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}^n / M \oplus M$. For the APPROXFREQ problem and other related problems, it will suffice to consider only free automata². Lemma 6 shows that the transition function \oplus of a free automata can be represented as a linear mapping.

² There exist stream automata that use finite field arithmetic and consequently have torsion, for example [10].

Lemma 6. *Let A_n be free automaton with kernel M . There exists a unique vector subspace M^e of \mathbb{R}^n of the smallest dimension containing M . The mapping $x + M \mapsto x + M^e$ is an injective mapping from \mathbb{Z}^n/M to \mathbb{R}^n/M^e . If $\dim \mathbb{Z}^n/M = r$, then, there exists an orthonormal basis $V = [V_1, V_2]$ of \mathbb{R}^n such that $\text{rank}(V_1) = r$, $\text{rank}(V_2) = n - r$, M^e is the linear span of V_2 and \mathbb{R}^n/M^e is the linear span of V_1 . \square*

Proof. \mathbb{Z} is a principal and entire ring. Since \mathbb{Z}^n is a module over \mathbb{Z} , its sub-modules are free modules. Therefore, M is a free module. Since \mathbb{Z}^n/M is given to be free, \mathbb{Z}^n is the direct sum of two free modules, $\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}^n/M \oplus M$. Therefore, both M and \mathbb{Z}^n/M have bases, say B_1 and B_2 whose union is a basis for \mathbb{Z}^n . Since, \mathbb{Z}^n is a free module and has the standard n -dimensional basis e_1, \dots, e_n , therefore, all bases of \mathbb{Z}^n have the same dimension. Without loss of generality, therefore, let $B = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n]$ be a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n such that $B_2 = [b_1, \dots, b_r]$ is a basis for M and $B_1 = [b_{r+1}, \dots, b_n]$ is a basis for \mathbb{Z}^n/M .

Let M^e denote the span of b_1, \dots, b_r over \mathbb{R} . M^e is obviously the smallest vector space over \mathbb{R} that contains M , since, every vector space over \mathbb{R} containing M must contain the span of b_1, \dots, b_r . Therefore, $\dim M^e \leq r$ and therefore, $\dim \mathbb{R}^n/M^e \leq n - r$ (same argument). However, the standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n and therefore, $\dim M^e + \dim \mathbb{R}^n/M^e = n$. Hence, $\dim M^e = r$ and $\dim \mathbb{R}^n/M^e = n - r$. Further, b_1, \dots, b_n continues to be a basis for \mathbb{R}^n , of which b_1, \dots, b_r is a basis for M^e and b_{r+1}, \dots, b_n is a basis for \mathbb{R}^n/M^e .

Consider the mapping $x + M \mapsto x + M^e$. Let \bar{x}, \bar{y} denote the elements $x + M$ and $y + M$ of \mathbb{Z}^n/M . Suppose that $\bar{x} \neq \bar{y}$. Then, $x - y \notin M$. $x - y$ can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination of the basis elements.

$$x - y = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j b_j, \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Hence, $x - y$ has the same unique representation in the vector space over \mathbb{R}^n . Further, at least one of the coordinates $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ is non-zero, otherwise, $x - y$ would belong to M . Since, $x - y$ has the same representation in the vector space \mathbb{R}^n , $x - y$ is not in M^e . The mapping $x + M \mapsto x + M^e$ is therefore injective. Using standard Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization of B_1 and B_2 respectively viewed as defining vector sub-spaces over \mathbb{R} , we get V_1 and V_2 . By the previous argument, $\text{rank}(V_1) = n - r$ and $\text{rank}(V_2) = r$. \square

4 Frequency estimation

In this section, we present a space lower bound for APPROXFREQ(ϵ) using path-independent automaton. Recall that a stream automaton A_n solves APPROXFREQ(ϵ), provided, after processing any input stream σ with $\text{freq } \sigma = x$, A_n returns a vector $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $\text{err}(\hat{x}, x) = \frac{\|\hat{x} - x\|_\infty}{\|x\|_1} \leq \epsilon$. In general, if an estimation algorithm returns the same estimate u for all elements of a set S , then, $\text{err}(u, S)$ is defined as $\max_{y \in S} \text{err}(u, y)$. Given a set S , let $\min_{\ell_1}(S)$ denote the element in S with the smallest ℓ_1 norm: $\min_{\ell_1}(S) = \text{argmin}_{y \in S} \|y\|_1$.

Lemma 7. *If $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and there exists $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\text{err}(h, S) \leq \epsilon$, then $\text{err}(\min_{\ell_1}(S), S) \leq 2\epsilon$.*

Proof. Let g denote $\min_{\ell_1}(S)$ and $y \in S$. Since, $\|g\|_1 \leq \|y\|_1$, by triangle inequality,

$$\text{err}(g, y) = \frac{\|g - y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \frac{\|g - h\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} + \frac{\|h - y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \frac{\|g - h\|_\infty}{\|g\|_1} + \frac{\|h - y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon \quad \square$$

4.1 Frequency estimation using free automata

In this section, let A_n be a free automaton with kernel M that solves the problem $\text{APPROXFREQ}(\epsilon)$.

Lemma 8. *Let M be a sub-module of \mathbb{Z}^n . (1) if there exists h such that $\text{err}(h, M) \leq \epsilon$, then, $\text{err}(0, M) \leq \epsilon$, and, (2) if $\text{err}(0, M) \leq \epsilon$ then $\text{err}(0, M^e) \leq \epsilon$.*

Proof (of Lemma 8 part (1)). For any $y_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\max(|h_i - y_i|, |h_i + y_i|) \geq |y_i|$. Therefore,

$$\max(\|h - y\|_\infty, \|h + y\|_\infty) \geq \|y\|_\infty .$$

Let $y \in M$. Since, M is a module, $-y \in M$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{err}(0, y) &= \text{err}(0, -y) = \frac{\|y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \frac{1}{\|y\|_1} \max(\|h - y\|_\infty, \|h + y\|_\infty) \\ &= \max(\text{err}(h, y), \text{err}(h, -y)) \leq \epsilon \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proof (of Lemma 8 part (2)). Let $z \in M^e$. Let b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r be a basis of the free module M . For $t > 0$, let tz be expressed uniquely as $tz = \alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_r b_r$, where, α_i 's belong to \mathbb{R} . Consider the vertices of the parallelopiped P_{tz} whose sides are b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r and that encloses tz .

$$\begin{aligned} P_{tz} &= [\alpha_1]b_1 + [\alpha_2]b_2 + \dots + [\alpha_n]b_n \\ &\quad + \{\beta_1 b_1 + \beta_2 b_2 + \dots + \beta_r b_r \mid \beta_j \in \{0, 1\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, r\} \end{aligned}$$

where, $[\alpha]$ denotes the largest integer smaller than or equal to α . Since, ℓ_∞ is a convex function $\|tz\|_\infty \leq \|y\|_\infty$ for some $y \in P_{tz}$. Let $y = \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j b_j$, for $\beta_j \in \{0, 1\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - tz\|_1 &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^r (\beta_j - [\alpha_j]) b_j \right\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \|(\beta_j - [\alpha_j]) b_j\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1 \\ \text{or, } \|tz\|_1 &\geq \|y\|_1 - \sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{err}(0, tz) &= \frac{\|tz\|_\infty}{\|tz\|_1} \leq \frac{\|y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1 - \sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\|y\|_1}{\|y\|_\infty} - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1}{\|y\|_\infty} \right)^{-1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1}{\|y\|_\infty} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where, the last step follows from the assumption that $y \in M$ and therefore, $\text{err}(0, y) = \frac{\|y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \epsilon$. The ratio $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^r \|b_j\|_1}{\|y\|_\infty}$ can be made arbitrarily small by choosing t to be arbitrarily large. Thus, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{err}(0, tz) \leq \epsilon$. Since, $\text{err}(0, tz) = \frac{\|tz\|_\infty}{\|tz\|_1} = \frac{\|z\|_\infty}{\|z\|_1} = \text{err}(0, z)$, for all t , we have, $\text{err}(0, z) \leq \epsilon$. \square

For a free automaton A_n with kernel M and corresponding $n \times r$ orthonormal matrix V_1 whose columns are a basis for \mathbb{R}^n / M^e (as given by Lemma 6), the minimum ℓ_2 estimator is defined as

$$\bar{x}_2 = \text{est}_2(x) = V_1 V_1^T x . \quad (5)$$

It is easy to show that the ℓ_2 estimator is well-defined. It is called the minimum ℓ_2 estimator since it returns a point in the coset $x + M^e$ that is closest to the origin in terms of the ℓ_2 distance. We now show that there is a subset J of the set of the standard unit vectors $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $|J| = \Theta(n)$ and the minimum ℓ_2 estimator is nearly optimal for the unit vectors in J . We first prove a technical lemma.

Lemma 9. *For any real $C \geq 1$, let $J_C = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_1 \geq C\}$. Then, $|J_C| \leq \frac{n}{C}$.*

Proof. Since, V_1 has orthonormal columns, $\|V_1\|_2 = \|V_1 V_1^T\|_2 = 1$. By a standard identity between norms, we have $\|V_1 V_1^T\|_F \leq \sqrt{n} \|V_1 V_1^T\|_2 = \sqrt{n}$. Therefore,

$$|J_C| \cdot C \leq \sum_{i \in J_C} \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_1 \leq \|V_1 V_1^T\|_F^2 \leq n, \text{ or, } |J_C| \leq \frac{n}{C} . \quad \square$$

Lemma 10. *Let A_n be a free automaton that solves APPROXFREQ(ϵ) . Then $\exists J' \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $|J'| \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil$ such that for $i \in J'$, $\text{err}(\text{est}_2(e_i), e_i) \leq 3\epsilon$.*

Proof. For $C > 1$, let J'_C be the index set $J'_C = \{i : \|V_1 V_1^T e_i\|_1 < C\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{err}(\text{est}_2(e_i), e_i) &= \frac{\|\text{est}_2(e_i) - e_i\|_\infty}{\|e_i\|_1} = \frac{\|\text{est}_2(e_i) - e_i\|_\infty}{\|\text{est}_2(e_i) - e_i\|_1} \cdot \|\text{est}_2(e_i) - e_i\|_1 \\ &= \text{err}(0, \text{est}_2(e_i) - e_i) \cdot \|\text{est}_2(e_i) - e_i\|_1 . \end{aligned}$$

The vector $w = \text{est}_2(e_i) - e_i \in M^e$. By Lemma 8, part (1), $\text{err}(0, M) \leq \epsilon$. By Lemma 8, part (2), $\text{err}(0, w) \leq \text{err}(0, M^e) \leq \epsilon$. Further, for $i \in J'_C$,

$$\|\text{est}_2(e_i) - e_i\|_1 \leq \|\text{est}_2(e_i)\|_1 + \|e_i\|_1 < C + 1$$

since, $i \in J'_C$ and $\text{est}_2(e_i) = V_1 V_1^T e_i$. Combining, for $i \in J'_C$

$$\text{err}(\text{est}_2(e_i), e_i) < \epsilon(C + 1) < 3\epsilon$$

by choosing $C = 2$. By Lemma 9 it follows that $|J'_C| = n - |J_C| \geq n - n/2$. \square

The following theorem is needed in the next proof.

Theorem 1 (Alon [1,2]). *There exists a positive constant c so that the following holds. Let B be an n by n real matrix with $b_{i,i} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for all i and $|b_{i,j}| \leq \epsilon$ for all $i \neq j$, where, $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \leq \epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. Then $\text{rank}(B) \geq \frac{c \log n}{\epsilon^2 \log(1/\epsilon)}$.* \square

Lemma 11. *Let $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \leq \epsilon < \frac{1}{12}$ and let A_n be a free stream automaton that solves APPROXFREQ(ϵ). Then, $n - \dim(M^e) = \Omega(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2 \log(\epsilon^{-1})})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 10, there exists $J' \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|J'| \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil$ and $\text{err}_2(e_i) \leq 3\epsilon$ for $i \in J'$. Let V_1 be the $n \times r$ orthonormal matrix given by Lemma 6 spanning \mathbb{R}^n/M^e . Define Y to be the $|J'| \times r$ sub-matrix of V_1 that includes the i th row of V_1 for each $i \in J'$. Let $U = Y^T$ which is an $r \times |J'|$ matrix. For $i \in J'$,

$$\text{err}(\text{est}_2(e_i), e_i) \leq 3\epsilon, \text{ or, } \frac{\|V_1 V_1^T e_i - e_i\|_\infty}{\|e_i\|_1} = \|V_1 V_1^T e_i - e_i\|_\infty \leq 3\epsilon .$$

Therefore, for $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, |J'|\}$, $|U_j^T U_k| \leq 3\epsilon$ if $j \neq k$ and $|U_j^T U_j - 1| \leq 3\epsilon$. The matrix UU^T satisfies the premises of Theorem 1. Therefore,

$$n - \dim(M^e) = \text{rank}(V_1) \geq \text{rank}(U) = \text{rank}(UU^T) = \Omega\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2 \log \epsilon^{-1}}\right) . \quad \square$$

Lemma 12. Let $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \leq \epsilon < \frac{1}{12}$. Suppose A_n be a free automaton that uses $s(n, m)$ bits on the work-tape to solve APPROXFREQ(ϵ). Then, $s(n, m) = \Omega\left(\frac{(\log n)(\log m)}{\epsilon^2 \log \epsilon^{-1}}\right)$.

Proof. Let $M = \text{kernel of } A_n$. By Lemma 11, $n - \dim M^e = \Omega\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2 (\log \epsilon^{-1})}\right)$. By Lemma 4, $s(n, m) = \Omega((n - \dim M) \log m)$. Since, $\dim M = \dim M^e$, the result follows. \square

4.2 General path independent automata

We now show that for the problem APPROXFREQ(ϵ), it is sufficient to consider free automata. Let A_n be a path-independent automaton that solves APPROXFREQ(ϵ) and has kernel M . Suppose that \mathbb{Z}^n/M is not free. Let M' be the module that removes the torsion from \mathbb{Z}^n/M , that is,

$$M' = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \exists a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \neq 0 \text{ and } ax \in M\} . \quad (6)$$

Lemma 13. \mathbb{Z}^n/M' is torsion-free.

Proof (Of Lemma 13). Suppose $\bar{y} = y + M'$ is a torsion element in \mathbb{Z}^n/M' . Then, there exists $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \neq 0$ such that $b\bar{y} = by + M' \in M'$ or that $by \in M'$. Therefore, there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \neq 0$, such that $by = ax$, for some $x \in M$, or that, $y = (b^{-1}a)x$ with $b^{-1}a \neq 0$. Therefore, $y \in M$. Hence, \mathbb{Z}^n/M' is torsion-free. \square

Fact 14 Let b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r be a basis of M' . Then, $\exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ such that $\alpha_1 b_1, \dots, \alpha_r b_r$ is a basis for M . Hence, $M^e = (M')^e$.

Proof (Of Fact 14). It follows from standard algebra that the basis of M is of the form $\alpha_1 b_1, \dots, \alpha_r b_r$. It remains to be shown that the α_i 's are non-zero. Suppose that $\alpha_1 = 0$. For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \neq 0$, suppose $ax \in M$ and $x \in M'$. Then, x has a unique representation as $x = \sum_{j=1}^r x_j b_j$. Thus, $ax = \sum_{j=1}^r (ax_j) b_j \in M$ and has the same representation in the basis $\{\alpha_j b_j\}_{j=1, \dots, r}$. Therefore, $ax_1 = 0$ or $x_1 = 0$ for all $x \in M'$, which is a contradiction.

Let $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r\}$ be a basis for M' . Then, by the above paragraph, there exist non-zero elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ such that $\{\alpha_1 b_1, \alpha_2 b_2, \dots, \alpha_r b_r\}$ is a basis for M . Therefore, over reals, $(b_1, \dots, b_r) = (\alpha_1 b_1, \dots, \alpha_r b_r)$. Thus, $M^e = (M')^e$. \square

We show that if a path independent automaton with kernel M can solve APPROXFREQ(ϵ), then a free automaton with kernel $M' \supset M$ can solve APPROXFREQ(4ϵ).

Lemma 15. Suppose A_n is a path independent automaton for solving APPROXFREQ(ϵ) and has kernel M . Then, there exists a free automaton B_n with kernel M' such that $M' \supset M$, \mathbb{Z}^n/M' is free, and $\text{err}(\min_{\ell_1}(x + M'), x) \leq 4\epsilon$.

Proof (Of Lemma 15). Let M be the kernel of A_n and let M' be as defined in (6), so that \mathbb{Z}^n/M' is free. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, define $h(x + M') = \min_{\ell_1}(x + M')$. Let $y \in x + M'$. Then, $y \in x_1 + M$ for some x_1 . Let $\hat{y} = \text{output}_{A_n}(x_1 + M)$ denote the output of A_n for an input stream with frequency in $x_1 + M$ (they all return the same value, since, A_n is path independent and has kernel M) and let $y' = \min_{\ell_1}(x_1 + M)$. Let h denote $h(x + M')$ and let $\hat{h} = \text{output}_{A_n}(h + M)$. Therefore,

$$\text{err}(h, y) = \frac{\|y - h\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \frac{\|y - \hat{y}\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} + \frac{\|\hat{y} - y'\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} + \frac{\|y' - h\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \quad (7)$$

The first and the second terms above are bounded by ϵ as follows. The first term $\frac{\|y - \hat{y}\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} = \text{err}(\hat{y}, y) \leq \epsilon$, since, $y \in x_1 + M$ and \hat{y} is the estimate returned by A_n for this coset. The second term

$$\frac{\|\hat{y} - y'\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} \leq \frac{\|\hat{y} - y'\|_\infty}{\|y'\|_1} = \text{err}(\hat{y}, y') \leq \epsilon$$

since, $\|y'\|_1 \leq \|y\|_1$ and y' lies in the coset $x_1 + M$. The third term in (7) can be rewritten as follows. By Lemma 13, $y' - h \in M'$ and $M' \subset M^e$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|y' - h\|_\infty}{\|y\|_1} &\leq \frac{\|y' - h\|_\infty}{\|y' - h\|_1} \cdot \frac{\|y' - h\|_1}{\|y'\|_1}, \quad \text{since, } \|y'\|_1 \leq \|y\|_1 \\ &\leq \epsilon \cdot \frac{\|y'\|_1 + \|h\|_1}{\|y'\|_1} \quad \text{by Lemma 8 and by triangle inequality} \\ &\leq 2\epsilon, \quad \text{since, } \|h\|_1 \leq \|y'\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

By (7), $\text{err}(h, y) \leq \epsilon + \epsilon + 2\epsilon = 4\epsilon$. The automaton B_n with kernel M' is constructed as in Lemma 5. \square

Lemma 16. Suppose $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \leq \epsilon < \frac{1}{48}$. Let A_n be a path independent automaton that solves APPROXFREQ(ϵ). If A_n has kernel M , then, $n - \dim M = \Omega\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2 \log(1/\epsilon)}\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 15, there exists a free automaton A'_n with kernel $M' \supset M$ that solves APPROXFREQ(4ϵ). Therefore, $n - \dim M \geq n - \dim M' = \Omega\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2 \log \epsilon^{-1}}\right)$, by Lemma 12. \square

5 Path reversible automata

In this section, we show that given a path reversible automaton A_n , one can construct a path independent automaton B_n that is an output restriction of A_n and $\text{Space}(B_n, m) \leq \text{Space}(A_n, m) + O(\log n)$. Let A_n be a path reversible automaton. For $f \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, define $\phi_{A_n}(f) = \{s \mid \exists \sigma \text{ s.t. } o \oplus \sigma = s \text{ and } \text{freq } \sigma = f\}$. The kernel of A_n is defined as follows: $M = M_{A_n} = \{f \mid o \in \phi_{A_n}(f)\}$. Let $C = C(A_n)$ be the set of reachable configurations from the initial state o of A_n and let $C_m = C_m(A_n)$ denote the subset of $C(A_n)$ that are reachable from the initial state o on input streams σ with $|\sigma| \leq m$. Define a binary relation over C as follows: $s \sim t$ if there exists $f \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $s, t \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$.

Lemma 17. 1. M is a sub-module of \mathbb{Z}^n .

2. If $f - g \in M$ then $\phi_{A_n}(f) = \phi_{A_n}(g)$, and, if $\phi_{A_n}(f) \cap \phi_{A_n}(g)$ is non-empty, then, $f - g \in M$.

3. The relation \sim over C is an equivalence relation.

4. The map $[s] \mapsto f + M$, for $s \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$, is well-defined, 1-1 and onto.

Proof (Of Lemma 17, part 1.). Since the empty stream has frequency 0, $0 \in M$. Suppose $f \in M$. There exists σ such that $\text{freq } \sigma = f$ and $o \oplus \sigma = o$. By path reversibility, $o = o \oplus \sigma \circ \sigma^r = o \oplus \sigma^r$. Since $\text{freq } \sigma^r = -\text{freq } \sigma = -f$, therefore, $-f \in M$. Now suppose $f, g \in M$. Then there exists σ, τ such that $\text{freq } \sigma = f, \text{freq } \tau = g, o \oplus \sigma = o$ and $o \oplus \tau = o$. Therefore, $o \oplus \sigma \circ \tau = o \circ \tau = o$. Since, $\text{freq } \sigma \circ \tau = \text{freq } \sigma + \text{freq } \tau = f + g$, therefore, $f + g \in M$. \square

Proof (Of Lemma 17, part 2.). Suppose $f = g + h$, for some $h \in M$. Then, there exists σ such that $o \oplus \sigma = o$ and $\text{freq } \sigma = h$. Let $a \in \phi_{A_n}(g)$ and let τ be a stream such that $o \oplus \tau = a$

and $\text{freq } \tau = g$. Then, $o \oplus \sigma \oplus \tau = o \oplus \tau = a$, and $\text{freq } \sigma \oplus \tau = \text{freq } \sigma + \text{freq } \tau = h + g = f$. Therefore, $a \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$, or, $\phi_{A_n}(g) \subset \phi_{A_n}(f)$. Reversing the roles of f and g , we have, $\phi_{A_n}(f) \subset \phi_{A_n}(g)$, or that, $\phi_{A_n}(f) = \phi_{A_n}(g)$. This proves the first assertion of the lemma. Conversely, Suppose $a \in \phi_{A_n}(f) \cap \phi_{A_n}(g)$. Then, there exist streams σ and τ such that $\text{freq } \sigma = f$, $\text{freq } \tau = g$ and $o \oplus \sigma = o \oplus \tau = a$. By path reversibility, $a \oplus \tau^r = o$. Therefore, $o \oplus \sigma \oplus \tau^r = a \oplus \tau^r = o$, and $\text{freq } \sigma \oplus \tau^r = \text{freq } \sigma + \text{freq } \tau^r = f - g$. Therefore, $o \in \phi_{A_n}(f - g)$ and so $f - g \in M$. \square

Proof (Of Lemma 17, part 3.). By definition, \sim is reflexive and symmetric. Suppose that $s \sim t$ and $t \sim u$. Then, there exists $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $s, t \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$ and $t, u \in \phi_{A_n}(g)$. Therefore, $t \in \phi_{A_n}(f) \cap \phi_{A_n}(g)$. Hence, $f - g \in M$ and so $\phi_{A_n}(f) = \phi_{A_n}(g)$. Thus, $s \sim u$. \square

Proof (Of Lemma 17, part 4.). Suppose $s \in \phi_{A_n}(f) \cap \phi_{A_n}(g)$, then, $f - g \in M$, by Lemma 17, part 2, or that, $f + M = g + M$. Hence, the map is well-defined. Suppose $[s]$ and $[t]$ both map to $f + M$. Then, $s, t \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$, and so $s \sim t$ and therefore, $[s] = [t]$. Hence the map is 1-1. For $f \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\phi_{A_n}(f)$ is non-empty and for any $s \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$, $[s]$ maps to $f + M$, proving onto-ness. \square

Let B_n be a path independent stream automaton whose configurations are the set of cosets of M and whose transition is defined as by the sum of the cosets, that is, $f + (x + M) = (f + x) + M$, constructed using Lemma 5. Its output on an input stream σ is defined as:

$$\text{output}_{B_n}(\sigma) = \text{choice } \{\text{output of } A_n \text{ in configuration } s \mid s \in \phi_{A_n}(\text{freq } \sigma)\}$$

where, choice S returns some element from its argument set S .

Lemma 18. B_n is an output restriction of A_n .

Proof. $f + M = g + M$ if and only if $\phi_{A_n}(f) = \phi_{A_n}(g)$. Therefore, $\text{out}_B(\sigma)$ is well-defined. Further, by definition of out_B , $\text{out}_B(\sigma) =$ the output of A in some configuration s , where, $s \in \phi_{A_n}(\text{freq } \sigma)$. Thus, B_n is an output restriction of A_n . \square

We can now prove the main lemma of the section.

Lemma 19. Let A_n be a path reversible automaton with kernel M . Then, there exists a path independent automaton B_n with kernel M that is an output restriction of A_n such that $\log|C_m(A_n)| + O(\log n) \geq \text{Space}(B_n, m)$, for $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Let B_n be constructed in the manner described above. By Lemma 18, is an output-restriction of A_n . Since the map $[s] \rightarrow f + M$, for $s \in \phi_{A_n}(f)$ is 1-1 and onto (Lemma 17, part 4), therefore, for every m , each reachable configuration of B_n after processing streams σ with $\text{freq } \sigma \in [-m \dots m]^n$ can be associated with a disjoint aggregate of configurations of A_n . The number of reachable configurations of B_n after processing streams with frequency in $[-m \dots m]^n$ is $|\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}|$. Thus, $|C(A_n)| \geq |\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}|$. By Lemma 5, $\text{Space}(B_n, m) = \log |\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}| + O(\log n)$. Combining, we obtain the statement of the lemma. \square

Remarks. The above procedure transforms a path reversible automaton A_n to a path-independent automaton B_n such that $\log|C_m(A_n)| + O(\log n) \geq \text{Space}(B_n, m)$, for all $m \geq 1$. However, the arguments only use the property that the transition function \oplus_{A_n} is path reversible, and the fact that the subset of reachable configurations $C_m(A_n)$ on streams of size at most m is finite. The argument is more general and also applies to computation performed by an infinite-state deterministic automaton in the classical sense that returns

an output after it sees the end of its input, with set of states C , initial state o and a path-reversible transition function \oplus'_{A_n} . The above argument shows that such an automaton A_n can be simulated by a path-independent stream automaton B_n with finite control and additional space overhead of $O(\log n)$ bits, such that B_n is an output-restriction of A_n . We will use this observation in the next section.

6 Path non-reversible automata

In this section, we show that corresponding to every general stream automaton A_n , there exists a path reversible automaton A'_n that is an output-restriction of A_n , such that $\text{Space}(A_n, m) \geq \log|C_m(A'_n)|$. By Lemma 19, corresponding to any path reversible automaton A'_n , there exists an output-restricted and path independent automaton B_n , such that $\log|C_m(A'_n)| \geq \text{Space}(B_n, m) - O(\log n)$. Together, this proves a basic property of stream automata, namely, that, for every stream automaton A_n , there exists a path-independent stream automaton B_n that is an output-restriction of A_n and $\text{Space}(B_n, m) \leq \text{Space}(A_n, m) + O(\log n)$. We construct the path-reversible automaton A'_n only to the extent of designing a path-reversible transition function $\oplus'_{A'_n}$, a set of configurations $C(A'_n)$ and specifying the output of A'_n if the end of the stream is met while at any $s \in C(A'_n)$. As per the remarks at the end of the previous section, this is sufficient to enable the construction of the path-independent automaton B_n from A'_n .

6.1 Defining reversible transition function from stream automata

In this section, we present detailed (existential) construction of constructing a reversible transition function $\oplus' = \oplus'_{A'_n}$ from a given general stream automaton A_n with transition function $\oplus = \oplus_{A_n}$. Let $C = C(A_n)$ denote the space of configurations of A_n and let $C_m = C_m(A_n)$ denote the subset of $C(A_n)$ that are reachable from o on input streams of size at most m .

Consider a directed graph $G = (C, E)$ where, $C = C(A_n)$ is the set of vertices and there is a directed edge from s to t provided there is some stream σ such that $\text{freq } \sigma = 0$ and $s \oplus \sigma = t$. Define the equivalence relation $s \sim_G t$ if there is a directed path from s to t in G and vice-versa. Let $[s]_{\sim_G}$ denote the equivalence class to which a configuration s belongs. Define the equivalence class restricted to the vertices of C_m as $[s]_{\sim_{G_m}} = [s]_{\sim_G} \cap C_m$. An equivalence class $[s]_{\sim_{G_m}}$ that satisfies the property that for every stream σ with $\text{freq } \sigma = 0$ and $s \oplus \sigma \in C_m$, we have $s \oplus \sigma \in [s]_{\sim_{G_m}}$, are called *terminal* equivalence classes.

Lemma 20. *For every $m \geq 1$ and $u \in C_m$, there exists $s = s(u)$ reachable from u in G_m such that $[s]_{\sim_{G_m}}$ is a terminal equivalence class.*

Proof (Of Lemma 20). Let u_0 be a vertex reachable from u in G_m . If $[u_0]_{\sim_{G_m}}$ satisfies the property stated in the lemma, then, we are done. Otherwise, there exists σ such that $\text{freq } \sigma = 0$ and $u_1 = u_0 \oplus \sigma \in C_m - [u_0]_{\sim_{G_m}}$. We now iteratively construct the sequence $[u_1]_{\sim_{G_m}}, [u_2]_{\sim_{G_m}}, \dots$, in this manner. Suppose that two equivalence classes in this sequence are the same, that is, suppose $[u_i]_{\sim_{G_m}} = [u_j]_{\sim_{G_m}}$. Then, there exists a directed path from u_i to u_j and vice-versa and therefore, $[u_i]_{\sim_{G_m}} = \dots = [u_j]_{\sim_{G_m}}$, that is, the iteration terminates. Since, C_m is finite, the iterated sequence of equivalence classes of \sim_{G_m} terminates. The last equivalence class of this sequence satisfies the property of the lemma. \square

Define the mapping $\alpha_m : C_m \rightarrow C_m$ as follows: $\alpha_m(s) =$ some member of some terminal equivalence class reachable from s (for e.g., the member with least lexicographic value

among all candidates). Fix $s \in C$ and consider the sequence $\{\alpha_m(s)\}_{m \geq 1}$. If this sequence is finite, then, one can define $\alpha(s)$ to be a final element of the sequence. Otherwise, we use a standard technique of passing to the infinite case by associating s with ‘consistent’ infinite sequences $\bar{s} = \{\alpha_m(s)\}_{m \geq 1}$.

Lemma 21. *For $s \in C$, $\alpha(s) \oplus' e_i \circ -e_i = \alpha(s)$ and $\alpha(s) \oplus' -e_i \circ e_i = \alpha(s)$.*

Proof (Of Lemma 21). A configuration s is first identified with the infinite sequence, $\bar{s} = \{\alpha_m(s)\}_{m \geq 1}$. Recall that the definition of $\alpha_m(s)$ allows flexibility in the choice of a terminal class of \sim_{G_m} . We now ensure that the choices are made in a consistent manner as follows. For each m , there is a path $P_m(s)$ from s to a vertex in the equivalence class $\alpha_m(s)$. By consistent choices across m , we mean that the $P_{m+j}(s)$ is an extension of the path $P_m(s)$, for each $j > 0$, and for each $s \in C$. From now

The transition function \oplus' is defined in two steps. First, we define an intermediate function \oplus_1 .

$$\bar{s} \oplus_1 e_i = \{\alpha_m(\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i)\}_{m \geq 1} \quad (8)$$

Sequences are allowed to have the undefined element \perp , since, it is possible that $s \notin C_m$ and hence $\alpha_m(s)$ is not defined. However, if $\alpha_m(s)$ is defined, then, $\alpha_{m+j}(s)$ is defined, for all $j > 0$. This implies that the undefined elements, if they occur, form a prefix of the sequence \bar{s} .

We now attempt to prove Lemma 21 for the transition function \oplus_1 . Let m_0 be the smallest m for which $\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i$ is well-defined. Then, for all $m \geq m_0$, both $\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i$ and $\alpha(\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i) \oplus -e_i$ are also well-defined. The arguments in the finite case of Lemma 21 hold for each member $m \geq m_0$. The same can be said for $\alpha_m(s) \oplus -e_i$. Thus, the two sequences

$$\{\alpha_m(s)\}_{m \geq 1} \text{ and } \{\alpha_m(\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i) \oplus -e_i\}_{m \geq 1}$$

differ at most in a finite prefix, where, the *RHS* sequence may have more \perp elements than the sequence on the *LHS*.

To resolve this problem, we define a relation \cong between pairs of infinite sequences.

$$\{u_m\}_{m \geq 1} \cong \{v_m\}_{m \geq 1} \text{ if } u_m \text{ and } v_m \text{ differ in a finite initial prefix.}$$

A finite sequence u_1, \dots, u_r is modeled as an infinite sequence $u_1, \dots, u_r, u_r, u_r, \dots$ whose last term is repeated. It is straightforward to see that \cong is an equivalence relation on the family of sequences. It now follows that

$$\{\alpha_m(s)\}_{m \geq 1} \cong \{\alpha_m(\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i) \oplus -e_i\}_{m \geq 1} .$$

For each configuration s in the original automaton, we associate it with $[s]_{\cong}$ as follows.

$$[s]_{\cong} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\{ \alpha_m(s) \}_{m \geq 1}]_{\cong}$$

The transition function \oplus' is now defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} [s]_{\cong} \oplus e_i &= [\{ \alpha(\alpha_m(s) \oplus e_i) \}_{m \geq 1}]_{\cong} \text{ and} \\ [s]_{\cong} \oplus -e_i &= [\{ \alpha(\alpha_m(s) \oplus -e_i) \}_{m \geq 1}]_{\cong} \end{aligned}$$

It now follows, by repeating the arguments in the previous paragraph, that

$$[s]_{\cong} \oplus' e_i \circ -e_i = [s]_{\cong} .$$

This proves Lemma 21, with $\alpha(s)$ defined as $[\{ \alpha_m(s) \}_{m \geq 1}]_{\cong}$. □

The map $s \mapsto \alpha(s)$ maps s to a congruence class over the space of consistent infinite sequences. Define $C'_m = \{\beta(s) \mid s \in C_m\}$. Therefore, $|C'_m| \leq |C_m|$ for all $m \geq 1$.

A path reversible automaton A'_n is defined as follows. Initially A'_n is in the state $\alpha(o)$. After reading a stream record (one of the e_i 's or $-e_i$'s), A'_n uses the transition function \oplus' instead of \oplus to process its input. However, $s \oplus' \sigma = \alpha(s \oplus \sigma)$, where, $\alpha(t)$ is a set (possibly infinite) of states that cause A_n to transit from configuration t on some input σ' , with $\text{freq } \sigma' = 0$. *Equivalently, this can be interpreted as if σ' has been inserted into the input tape just after A_n reaches the configuration s and before it processes the next symbol—hence, A'_n is an output-restriction of A_n and is equally correct for frequency-dependent computations. This is the main idea of this construction.* Thus, transitions of \oplus' are equivalent to inserting some specifically chosen strings $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$, each having $\text{freq} = 0$, after reading each letter (i.e., $\pm e_i$) of the input. The output of A'_n on input stream σ is identical to the output of A_n on the stream σ' , where, σ' is obtained by inserting zero frequency sub-streams into it. Therefore, $\text{freq}(\sigma') = \text{freq}(\sigma)$ and A'_n is an output restriction of A_n . By Lemma 21, the transition function \oplus' is path reversible. Let $C' = C(A'_n)$ and $C'_m = C_m(A'_n)$. Since, $\alpha(s)$ is an equivalence class over $C(A_n)$, the map $s \mapsto \alpha(s)$ implies that $|C'_m| = |\{\alpha(s) \mid s \in C_m\}| \leq |C_m|$. Starting from A'_n , one can construct a path independent automaton B_n as per the discussion in Section 5. The arguments in this section do not show that the transition function \oplus' can indeed be realized by a Turing machine that has only finite control. This is sufficient however, since, the path reversibility of \oplus' is only used to allow the techniques of Section 5 to be applicable, and hence to be able to construct a coset-based path independent automaton. Since any coset based automaton can be realized using finite number of states in its finite control (Lemma 4, therefore, the final path-independent transition function is actually a stream automaton B_n .) Theorem 2 summarizes this discussion.

Theorem 2 (Basic property of computations using stream automata). *For every stream automaton A_n , there exists a path-independent stream automaton B_n that is an output-restriction of A_n and $\text{Space}(B_n, m) \leq \text{Space}(A_n, m) + O(\log n)$.*

Proof. Let \oplus' be the transition function of the path-reversible automaton constructed as described above and let B_n be the path-independent automaton obtained by translating \oplus' using the procedure of Section 5. Let C_m and C'_m denote the number of reachable configurations of A_n and A'_n , respectively, over streams with frequency vector in $[-m \dots m]^n$. Let $s_A = s_A(n, m)$. Let M be the kernel of B_n . Then,

$$|Q_A|s_A 2^{s_A} \geq |C_m| \geq |C'_m| \geq |\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}| \geq (2m + 1)^{n - \dim M}$$

where, the last two inequalities follow from Lemma 19. Taking logarithms, $\text{Space}(A_n, m) \geq \log |\{x + M \mid x \in [-m \dots m]^n\}| \geq \text{Space}(B_n, m) - O(\log n)$, by Lemma 5. \square

Theorem 3 (Lower bound for APPROXFREQ(ϵ)). *Suppose that $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \leq \epsilon < \frac{1}{48}$ and let A_n be a stream automaton that solves APPROXFREQ(ϵ). Then, $\text{Space}(A_n, m) = \Omega\left(\frac{\log m \log n}{\epsilon^2 \log(1/\epsilon)}\right)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2, there exists a path independent automaton B_n that is an output-restriction of A_n and $\text{Space}(A_n, m) \geq \text{Space}(B_n, m) - O(\log n)$. By Lemma 1, B_n solves APPROXFREQ(ϵ). If M is the kernel of B_n , then by Lemma 4, $\text{Space}(B_n) = \Omega((n - \dim M)(\log(2m + 1)))$. By Lemma 16, $n - \dim M = \Omega(\epsilon^{-2}(\log(1/\epsilon))^{-1} \log n)$. Thus,

$$\text{Space}(A_n, m) = \Omega((n - \dim M) \log m) - O(\log n) = \Omega\left(\frac{(\log m)(\log n)}{\epsilon^2 \log(1/\epsilon)}\right). \quad \square$$

Since, any path-independent automaton is arbitrarily mergeable (see text before Lemma 5), Theorem 2 implies that for any stream automaton A_n , there exists an output-restricted

automaton B_n such that $\text{Space}(B_n, m) \leq \text{Space}(A_n, m) + O(\log n)$, and the state of B is arbitrarily mergeable, establishing the claim made in Section 1.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Piotr Indyk and Kranti Kumar Potanapalli for useful discussions.

References

1. Noga Alon. “Problems and results in extremal combinatorics I”. *Discr. Math.*, 273(1-3):31–53, 2003.
2. Noga Alon. “Perturbed identity matrices have high rank: proof and applications”. Available from <http://www.math.tau.ac.il/~nogaa/identity.pdf>, 2006.
3. Noga Alon, Yossi Matias, and Mario Szegedy. “The space complexity of approximating frequency moments”. *J. Comp. Sys. and Sc.*, 58(1):137–147, 1998.
4. P. Bose, E. Kranakis, P. Morin, and Y. Tang. “Bounds for Frequency Estimation of Packet Streams”. In *Proc. SIROCCO*, pages 33–42, 2003.
5. Moses Charikar, Kevin Chen, and Martin Farach-Colton. “Finding frequent items in data streams”. In *Proc. ICALP, 2002*, pages 693–703.
6. Graham Cormode and S. Muthukrishnan. “An Improved Data Stream Summary: The Count-Min Sketch and its Applications”. *J. Algorithms*, 55(1).
7. E. D. Demaine, A. López-Ortiz, and J. I Munro. “Frequency estimation of internet packet streams with limited space”. In *Proc. ESA*, pages 348–360, 2002.
8. P. Flajolet and G.N. Martin. “Probabilistic Counting Algorithms for Database Applications”. *J. Comp. Sys. and Sc.*, 31(2):182–209, 1985.
9. S. Ganguly and Majumder A. “CR-precis: A Deterministic Summary Structure for Update Streams”. In *Proc. Int’l Symp. on Algorithms, Probabilistic and Experimental Methodologies (ESCAPE), LNCS 4614*, 2007.
10. S. Ganguly and A. Majumder. “Deterministic K -set Structure”. In *Proc. ACM PODS*, pages 280–289, 2006. Detailed version available from www.cse.iitk.ac.in/users/sganguly.
11. Sumit Ganguly. “Distributed deterministic approximation of vector sums”. Manuscript, November 2007.
12. Anna Gilbert, Y. Kotidis, S. Muthukrishnan, and Martin Strauss. “How to Summarize the Universe: Dynamic Maintenance of Quantiles”. In *Proc. VLDB*, pages 454–465, Hong Kong, August 2002.
13. R.M. Karp, S. Shenker, and C.H. Papadimitriou. “A Simple Algorithm for Finding Frequent Elements in Streams and Bags”. *ACM TODS*, 28(1):51–55, 2003.
14. J. Misra and Gries. D. “Finding repeated elements”. *Sci. Comput. Programm.*, 2:143–152, 1982.