1. Title of Database:

Forest Covertype data

2. Sources:

NOTE: Reuse of this database is unlimited with retention of copyright notice for Jock A. Blackard and Colorado State University.

(b) Donors of database:

Jock A. Blackard (jblackard 'at' fs.fed.us) GIS Coordinator USFS - Forest Inventory & Analysis Rocky Mountain Research Station 507 25th Street Ogden, UT 84401

Dr. Denis J. Dean (denis.dean 'at' utdallas.edu)
Professor
Program in Geography and Geospatial Sciences
School of Economic, Political and Policy Sciences
800 West Campbell Rd
Richardson, TX 75080-3021

Dr. Charles W. Anderson (anderson 'at' cs.colostate.edu)
Associate Professor
Department of Computer Science
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, CO 80523 USA

(c) Date donated: August 1998

3. Past Usage:

Blackard, Jock A. and Denis J. Dean. 2000. "Comparative Accuracies of Artificial Neural Networks and Discriminant Analysis in Predicting Forest Cover Types from Cartographic Variables." Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 24(3):131-151.

- Blackard, Jock A. and Denis J. Dean. 1998. "Comparative Accuracies of Neural Networks and Discriminant Analysis in Predicting Forest Cover Types from Cartographic Variables." Second Southern Forestry GIS Conference. University of Georgia. Athens, GA. Pages 189-199.
- Blackard, Jock A. 1998. "Comparison of Neural Networks and Discriminant Analysis in Predicting Forest Cover Types." Ph.D. dissertation. Department of Forest Sciences. Colorado State University. Fort Collins, Colorado. 165 pages.

Abstract of dissertation:

Natural resource managers responsible for developing ecosystem management strategies require basic descriptive information including inventory data for forested lands to support their decision-making processes. However, managers generally do not have this type of data for inholdings or neighboring lands that are outside their immediate jurisdiction. One method of obtaining this information is through the use of predictive models.

Two predictive models were examined in this study, a feedforward neural network model and a more traditional statistical model based on discriminant analysis. The overall objectives of this research were to first construct these two predictive models, and second to compare and evaluate their respective classification accuracies when predicting forest cover types in undisturbed forests.

The study area included four wilderness areas found in the Roosevelt National Forest of northern Colorado. A total of twelve cartographic measures were utilized as independent variables in the predictive models, while seven major forest cover types were used as dependent variables. Several subsets of these variables were examined to determine the best overall predictive model.

For each subset of cartographic variables examined in this study, relative classification accuracies indicate the neural network approach outperformed the traditional discriminant analysis method in predicting forest cover types. The final neural network model had a higher absolute classification accuracy (70.58%) than the final corresponding linear discriminant analysis model (58.38%). In support of these classification results, thirty additional networks with randomly selected initial weights were derived. From these networks, the overall mean absolute classification accuracy for the neural network method was 70.52%, with a 95% confidence interval of 70.26% to 70.80%. Consequently, natural resource managers may utilize an alternative method of predicting forest cover types that is both superior to the traditional statistical methods and adequate to support their decision-making processes for developing ecosystem management strategies.

- -- Classification performance
 - -- first 11,340 records used for training data subset
 - -- next 3,780 records used for validation data subset
 - -- last 565,892 records used for testing data subset
 - -- 70% Neural Network (backpropagation)
 - -- 58% Linear Discriminant Analysis

4. Relevant Information Paragraph:

Predicting forest cover type from cartographic variables only (no remotely sensed data). The actual forest cover type for a given observation (30 x 30 meter cell) was determined from US Forest Service (USFS) Region 2 Resource Information System (RIS) data. Independent variables were derived from data originally obtained from US Geological Survey (USGS) and USFS data. Data is in raw form (not scaled) and contains binary (0 or 1) columns of data for qualitative independent variables (wilderness areas and soil types).

This study area includes four wilderness areas located in the Roosevelt National Forest of northern Colorado. These areas represent forests with minimal human-caused disturbances, so that existing forest cover types are more a result of ecological processes rather than forest management practices.

Some background information for these four wilderness areas: Neota (area 2) probably has the highest mean elevational value of the 4 wilderness areas. Rawah (area 1) and Comanche Peak (area 3) would have a lower mean elevational value, while Cache la Poudre (area 4) would have the lowest mean elevational value.

As for primary major tree species in these areas, Neota would have spruce/fir (type 1), while Rawah and Comanche Peak would probably have lodgepole pine (type 2) as their primary species, followed by spruce/fir and aspen (type 5). Cache la Poudre would tend to have Ponderosa pine (type 3), Douglas-fir (type 6), and cottonwood/willow (type 4).

The Rawah and Comanche Peak areas would tend to be more typical of the overall dataset than either the Neota or Cache la Poudre, due to their assortment of tree species and range of predictive variable values (elevation, etc.) Cache la Poudre would probably be more unique than the others, due to its relatively low elevation range and species composition.

- 5. Number of instances (observations): 581,012
- 6. Number of Attributes: 12 measures, but 54 columns of data (10 quantitative variables, 4 binary wilderness areas and 40 binary soil type variables)

7. Attribute information:

Given is the attribute name, attribute type, the measurement unit and a brief description. The forest cover type is the classification problem. The order of this listing corresponds to the order of numerals along the rows of the database.

Name	Data Type	Measurement			
Description					
Elevation	quantitative	meters			
Elevation in meters					
Aspect	quantitative	azimuth			
Aspect in degrees azimuth					
Slope	quantitative	degrees			
Slope in degrees					
<pre>Horizontal_Distance_To_Hydrology</pre>		meters			
Horz Dist to nearest surface water feat	ures				
<pre>Vertical_Distance_To_Hydrology</pre>	quantitative	meters			
Vert Dist to nearest surface water feat	ures				
<pre>Horizontal_Distance_To_Roadways</pre>	quantitative	meters			
Horz Dist to nearest roadway					
Hillshade_9am	quantitative	0 to 255 index			
Hillshade index at 9am, summer solstice					
Hillshade_Noon	quantitative	0 to 255 index			
Hillshade index at noon, summer soltice					
Hillshade_3pm	quantitative	0 to 255 index			
Hillshade index at 3pm, summer solstice					
	quantitative	meters			
Horz Dist to nearest wildfire ignition points					
Wilderness_Area (4 binary columns)	qualitative	0 (absence) or 1			
(presence) Wilderness area designation					
Soil_Type (40 binary columns)	qualitative	0 (absence) or 1			
(presence) Soil Type designation					
Cover_Type (7 types)	integer	1 to 7			
Forest Cover Type designation					

Code Designations:

Wilderness Area: 1 -- Rawah Wilderness Area

2 -- Neota Wilderness Area

3 -- Comanche Peak Wilderness Area4 -- Cache la Poudre Wilderness Area

Soil Types: 1 to 40 : based on the USFS Ecological Landtype Units (ELUs) for this study area:

Study Code USFS ELU Code Description

1 2702 Cathedral family - Rock outcrop complex,

extremely stony.

2 2703 Vanet - Ratake families complex, very stony.

```
3
               2704
                             Haploborolis - Rock outcrop complex, rubbly.
       4
               2705
                             Ratake family - Rock outcrop complex,
rubbly.
               2706
                             Vanet family - Rock outcrop complex complex,
rubbly.
                             Vanet - Wetmore families - Rock outcrop
       6
               2717
complex, stony.
      7
               3501
                             Gothic family.
       8
               3502
                             Supervisor - Limber families complex.
      9
                             Troutville family, very stony.
               4201
      10
               4703
                             Bullwark - Catamount families - Rock outcrop
complex, rubbly.
               4704
                             Bullwark - Catamount families - Rock land
     11
complex, rubbly.
     12
               4744
                             Legault family - Rock land complex, stony.
                             Catamount family - Rock land - Bullwark
      13
               4758
family complex, rubbly.
               5101
                             Pachic Argiborolis - Aquolis complex.
      14
      15
              5151
                             unspecified in the USFS Soil and ELU Survey.
     16
               6101
                             Cryaquolis - Cryoborolis complex.
                             Gateview family - Cryaquolis complex.
     17
               6102
     18
               6731
                             Rogert family, very stony.
     19
               7101
                             Typic Cryaquolis - Borohemists complex.
     20
              7102
                             Typic Cryaquepts - Typic Cryaquolls complex.
     21
              7103
                             Typic Cryaquolls - Leighcan family, till
substratum complex.
                             Leighcan family, till substratum, extremely
     22
               7201
bouldery.
              7202
                             Leighcan family, till substratum - Typic
      23
Cryaquolls complex.
     24
              7700
                             Leighcan family, extremely stony.
     25
               7701
                             Leighcan family, warm, extremely stony.
               7702
     26
                             Granile - Catamount families complex, very
stony.
      27
              7709
                             Leighcan family, warm - Rock outcrop
complex, extremely stony.
                             Leighcan family - Rock outcrop complex,
              7710
     28
extremely stony.
                             Como - Legault families complex, extremely
     29
              7745
stony.
      30
               7746
                             Como family - Rock land - Legault family
complex, extremely stony.
      31
               7755
                             Leighcan - Catamount families complex,
extremely stony.
                             Catamount family - Rock outcrop - Leighcan
      32
              7756
family complex, extremely stony.
              7757
                             Leighcan - Catamount families - Rock outcrop
complex, extremely stony.
      34
               7790
                             Cryorthents - Rock land complex, extremely
stony.
      35
               8703
                             Cryumbrepts - Rock outcrop - Cryaquepts
complex.
               8707
                             Bross family - Rock land - Cryumbrepts
complex, extremely stony.
```

37 8708 Rock outcrop - Cryumbrepts - Cryorthents complex, extremely stony. Leighcan - Moran families - Cryaquolls 8771 38 complex, extremely stony. 8772 Moran family - Cryorthents - Leighcan family 39 complex, extremely stony. 40 8776 Moran family - Cryorthents - Rock land complex, extremely stony. Note: First digit: climatic zone Second digit: geologic zones 1. lower montane dry 1. alluvium 2. lower montane 2. glacial

2. lower montane
3. montane dry
4. montane
5. montane dry and montane

sedimentary

6. montane and subalpine

in the USFS ELU Survey

7. subalpine

metamorphic

8. alpine

6. unspecified

3. shale

5. mixed

4. sandstone

7. igneous and

8. volcanic

The third and fourth ELU digits are unique to the mapping unit

Forest Cover Type Classes: 1 -- Spruce/Fir

2 -- Lodgepole Pine

3 -- Ponderosa Pine

4 -- Cottonwood/Willow

5 -- Aspen

6 -- Douglas-fir

7 -- Krummholz

and have no special meaning to the climatic or geologic zones.

8. Basic Summary Statistics for quantitative variables only (whole dataset -- thanks to Phil Rennert for the summary values):

Name	Units	Mean	Std Dev
Elevation	meters	2959.36	279.98
Aspect	azimuth	155.65	111.91
Slope	degrees	14.10	7.49
<pre>Horizontal_Distance_To_Hydrology</pre>	meters	269.43	212.55
Vertical_Distance_To_Hydrology	meters	46.42	58.30
Horizontal_Distance_To_Roadways	meters	2350.15	1559.25
Hillshade_9am	0 to 255 index	212.15	26.77
Hillshade_Noon	0 to 255 index	223.32	19.77
Hillshade_3pm	0 to 255 index	142.53	38.27
Horizontal_Distance_To_Fire_Points	meters	1980.29	1324.19

9. Missing Attribute Values: None.

10. Class distribution:

Number of r	ecords of	Spruce-Fir:	211840
Number of r	ecords of	Lodgepole Pine:	283301
Number of r	ecords of	Ponderosa Pine:	35754
Number of r	ecords of	Cottonwood/Willow:	2747
Number of r	ecords of	Aspen:	9493
Number of r	ecords of	Douglas-fir:	17367
Number of r	ecords of	Krummholz:	20510
Number of r	ecords of	other:	0

581012

. . . .

Jock A. Blackard

08/28/1998 -- original text

Total records:

12/07/1999 -- updated mailing address, citations, background info for study area, added summary statistics.
