## Log-likelihood computation for restricted Boltzmann machines (RBMs)

Marco Baiesi

March 5, 2025

The log-likelihood  $\ell_{\theta}(x) = \ln p_{\theta}(x)$  per data point x, averaged over M data points, gives the log-likelihood of data,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m \le M} \ell_{\theta}(x^{(m)})$$

Knowing  $\mathcal{L}$  is useful to assess the goodness of the model.

In the case of RBMs, we have  $p(x,z) = e^{-E(x,z)}/Z$ ,  $p(x) = \sum_{z} p(x,z)$ , and thus

$$\ell_{\theta}(x) = \ln \sum_{z} e^{-E(x,z)} - \ln \underbrace{\sum_{x'} \sum_{z} e^{-E(x',z)}}_{\text{partition function } Z}$$
(1)

The main difficulty in computing a  $\ell_{\theta}(x)$  is summing up the Boltzmann weights of all possible configurations in Z. With D visible units and L hidden units, there are  $2^{D+L}$  possible configurations. In our example with D=784 and L=12, the computation of  $2^{796}$  weights is unfeasible. However, we have a helpful formula for the sums for the two-level variables  $x_i, z_{\mu} \in \{0, 1\}$ . It takes advantage of the energy function

$$E(x,z) = -\sum_{i} a_{i}x_{i} - \sum_{\mu} b_{\mu}z_{\mu} - \sum_{i} \sum_{\mu} x_{i}w_{i\mu}z_{\mu}$$
$$= -\sum_{i} H_{i}(z)x_{i} - \sum_{\mu} b_{\mu}z_{\mu}$$

with

$$H_i(z) = a_i + \sum_{\mu} w_{i\mu} z_{\mu}$$

and of the corresponding Boltzmann weight

$$e^{-E(x,z)} = \prod_{\mu} e^{b_{\mu}z_{\mu}} \prod_{i} e^{H_{i}(z)x_{i}}$$

Fixing z and defining a reduced partition function  $Z(z) = \sum_{x} e^{-E(x,z)}$ , we progressively sum

its contributions,

$$Z(z) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_M} e^{-E(x,z)}$$

$$= G(z) \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_M} \prod_i e^{H_i(z)x_i}$$

$$= G(z) (1 + e^{H_1(z)}) \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_M} \prod_{i \ge 2} e^{H_i(z)x_i}$$

$$= G(z) (1 + e^{H_1(z)}) (1 + e^{H_2(z)}) \sum_{x_3} \cdots \sum_{x_M} \prod_{i \ge 3} e^{H_i(z)x_i}$$

$$= \cdots$$

$$= G(z) \prod_i (1 + e^{H_i(z)})$$

Such quantity is easy to compute, if one avoids overflows from the multiplication of many numbers  $1 + e^{H_i(z)}$  larger than 1. Let us introduce a number q close to the average value of  $1 + e^{H_i(z)}$  over i's, and define

$$\tilde{Z}(z) = q^{-D}Z(z) = G(z) \prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1 + e^{H_i(z)}}{q}$$

which remains stable, as it multiplies numbers of order 1. We therefore replace  $Z = q^N \tilde{Z}$  in the formulas.

Since L is small in our RBMs, we can thus compute a sum over  $2^L$  possible z's, specifically

$$Z = \sum_{z} Z(z)$$
 
$$= q^{N} \sum_{z} G(z) \prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1 + e^{H_{i}(z)}}{q}$$

which leads to

$$\ln Z = N \ln q + \ln \left[ \sum_{z} G(z) \prod_{i=1}^{D} \frac{1 + e^{H_i(z)}}{q} \right]$$

This expression can be used in (1) to compute the single log-likelihood. Its average over  $x^{(m)}$ 's in the dataset gives  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Note that this derivation works for the Bernulli variables  $\{0,1\}$ . It needs a modification for the spin variables  $\{-1,1\}$ .