# Linear Algebra Class

Angel Cervera Roldan

21319203

# Contents

I. Eigen values	3
1.1. Example	4
1.2. Example	5

## 1. Eigen values

Take V over  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $T \in L(V)$ ,  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue if there exists a  $v \neq 0$  such taht

$$Tv = \lambda v = \lambda Iv$$

$$\Rightarrow Tv - \lambda Iv = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (T - \lambda I)v = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow v \in \ker (T - \lambda I)$$

Therefore, if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue, then

$$\ker (T - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}$$

$$\iff (T - \lambda I) \text{ is not bijective}$$

$$\iff (T - \lambda I) \text{ does not have an inverse}$$

the matrix A of  $(T - \lambda I)$  in a chosen basis does not have an inverse

$$\det A = 0$$

If  $A_T$  denotes the matrix of T, then A= matrix of  $T-\lambda I=A_T-\lambda\begin{pmatrix}1&\dots&0\\0&\dots&0\\0&\dots&1\end{pmatrix}$ 

Therefore, if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue  $\iff \det \left(A_T - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0$ . We write  $E_{\lambda}(T) \coloneqq \ker(T - \lambda I)$  and we call it the eigenspace of T with respect to  $\lambda$ .

The **spectrum**  $\sigma(T)$  of T is the set of all eigenvalues of T.

**Proposition:** Let  $T \in L(V)$  and let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of T. Then  $E_{\lambda}(T)$  is an invarient\* subspace of V.

#### **Proof**:

$$\lambda \in \sigma(T) \Longrightarrow \exists v \neq 0, Tv = \lambda v \text{, therefore, } (T - \lambda I) = 0 \Longrightarrow v \in E_{\lambda}(T). \ Tv = \lambda v \in E_{\lambda}(T)$$

**Proposition:** Let  $T \in L(V)$ , and  $\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n$  be distincs eigenvalues of T. Then  $E_{\lambda_1}(T),...,E_{\lambda_n}(T)$  are linearly independent of each other.

In other words for any selection of  $v_1 \in E_{\lambda_1}(T), ..., v_n \in E_{\lambda_n}(T)$ 

#### **Proof:**

We can prove this by induction, for k = 1, there is nothing to prove.

For k = 2, take:

$$v_1 \in E_{\lambda_1}(T) \quad v_2 \in E_{\lambda_2}(T)$$

suppose that they are linearly dependent, then there exists  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  (not both 0) such that:

$$\begin{split} \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 &= 0 \Longrightarrow \alpha_1 v_1 = -\alpha_2 v_2 \\ T(\alpha_1 v_1) &= T(-\alpha_2 v_2) \\ \alpha T(v_1) &= -\alpha_2 T(v_2) \\ \lambda_1 \alpha_1 v_1 &= -\lambda_2 \alpha_2 v_2 \end{split}$$

Also

$$\begin{split} \alpha_1 v_1 &= -\alpha_2 v_2 \Longrightarrow \lambda_1 \alpha_1 v_1 = -\lambda_1 \alpha_2 v_2 \\ -\lambda_1 \alpha_2 v_2 &= -\lambda_2 \alpha_2 v_2 \Longrightarrow \underbrace{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}_{\neq 0} \alpha_2 \underbrace{v_2}_{\neq 0} \\ & \therefore \alpha_2 = 0 \end{split}$$

Assume that the conclusion that it is true for k-1:

Suppose that there exists coefficients  $\alpha_1,...,\alpha_{k-1}$ , and assume that they are linearly dependent:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_j v_j = 0$$

Wlog  $\alpha_k \neq 0$ 

$$\begin{split} -\alpha_k v_k &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_j v_j \\ T(-\alpha_k v_k) &= T \Biggl( \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_j v_j \Biggr) \\ -\alpha_k T(v_k) &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_j T(v_j) \\ -\alpha_k \lambda_k v_k &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_j \lambda_j v_j \end{split}$$

Multplying both sides by  $\lambda_k$ :

$$\begin{split} -\alpha_k v_k &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_j v_j \\ -\alpha_k \lambda_k v_k &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_j \lambda_k v_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_j (\lambda_k - \lambda_j) v_j = 0 \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $\alpha_j=0$  for all j , and so  $-\alpha_k v_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_j v_j = 0$ 

### 1.1. Example

Take  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ 

Take  $T\in L\left(\mathbb{R}^2\right)$  be given by  $T(x_1,x_2)=(-x_2,x_1)$ . We claim that T has no eigen values. an eigenvalue is a  $\lambda$  such that:

$$\begin{split} T(x_1,x_2) &= \lambda(x_1,x_2) = (\lambda x_1,\lambda x_2) \\ -x_2 &= \lambda x_1, \quad x_1 = \lambda x_2 \\ \Longrightarrow x_1 &= -\lambda^2 x_2 \end{split}$$

however, there exists no lambda in the real such that the above is true.

# 1.2. Example

Take  $T\in L\left(\mathbb{C}^2\right)$  be given by  $T(x_1,x_2)=(-x_2,x_1)$ , note that this is the same function as in the example above

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = -\lambda^2 x_1 \\ x_2 = -\lambda^2 x_2 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Take } \lambda = \pm 1$$

$$\begin{cases} -x_2 = ix_1 \\ x_1 = ix_2 \end{cases}$$

$$x_1 = ix_2 \Longrightarrow \text{solution: } \begin{pmatrix} ix_2 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$