Algorithm 1 - Connecting Pairs of Persons

Carlos Lopez
Angel Penaloza
Ryan Monte
Boushra Bettir

carlosjlopezz27@csu.fullerton.edu angelpenlza@csu.fullerton.edu boushra.bettir04@csu.fullerton.edu ryanmonte@csu.fullerton.edu

Pseudocode for Algorithm 1: Connecting Pairs of Persons

```
Function minSwaps(row):
       position = {}
       count = 0
       For i from 0 to len(row) - 1:
               #created indices at each position index for each element at i
               Set position[row[i]] = i
       For n from 0 to (len(row) - // 2) - 1: # this is making it into pairs
               first = row[2*n] #first element of pair
               second = row[2*n+1] #second element of pair
               #check to see if the pairs are in correct order
               If (first is even & second is not first + 1) or (first is odd & second is not first - 1):
                       If (first is even):
                              target = position[first+1] #pos of next odd element
                       Else:
                              target = position[first-1] #pos of prev first element
                       Swap second and row[target]
                       position[second] = 2*n+1
                       Position[row[target]] = target
                       count += 1 #since we swapped, we update count by 1
```

Return count

```
Proof with Limits
  def min Swaps (self, row):
· Initialization: position dictionary & row & count = O(n)
  for i from 0 to len(row)-1: >> n-1
  ·Time complexity: O(n) = O(n)
  for n from 0 to (len(now) 1/2) -1: >> 2-1
 . Inside loop
       - thecking order -> O(1)
      -target position so o(1)
      -swap > (11)
-uplate dictionary > (61)
   Total = 0(9)+30(11 = 0(n)
 T(n) = O(n) + O(n) + O(n) = O(n)
 Proof:
        \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{T(n)}{h} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n \cdot c}{n} = C which is a constant
        This implies case 2 where T(n) has the same order
        of growth as the efficiency class O(n)
     Thus, min swaps () E Olh)
```

Time complexity of