```
cpt_s 350
Homework 2
11641327 Yu-Chieh Wang
```

2020/2/7

1. Psuedo-code for partition(A, p, q)

```
\label{eq:partition} \begin{array}{l} \text{partition}(A,\,p,\,q) \{\\ \text{// r (Element to be placed at right position)} \\ r = A[q] \\ i = (p-1) \text{// index of smaller element} \\ \text{for } (j = p;\,j < q;\,j++) \{\\ \text{// if current element is smaller than the r} \\ \text{if } (A[j] < r) \{\\ \text{i++; // increment index of smaller element} \\ \text{swap A[i] and A[j]} \\ \}\\ \text{swap A[i+1] and A[q]} \\ \text{return (i+1)} \end{array}
```

2. The average-case complexity of insertsort is  $\theta(n^2)$ 

$$T_{AVG}(n) = 1\% \times \theta(n^2) + 99\% \times O(n^2) = \theta(n^2)$$

3. The best-case, worst-case, and average-case complexities of igsort.

	Best-case	Worst-case	Average-case
Insertsort	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$
Quicksort	O(nlogn)	$O(n^2)$	O(nlogn)

## First of all, we separate the process of igsort to three steps:

(1) do partition

low part r high part (r-1) (n-r)
----------------------------------

- (2) run quicksort on the low part
- (3) run insertsort on the high part

## Then, we count the complexities by these steps:

(a) The best-case complexity:

(1) 
$$T_{RFST}(n) = O(n)$$

(2) 
$$T_{BEST}(n) = \min_{1 \le r \le n} \{ T_{BEST}(r-1) \} = O(r \log r)$$

(3) 
$$T_{BEST}(n) = \min_{1 \le r \le n} \{ T_{BEST}(n-r) \} = O((n-r)^2)$$

$$T_{BEST}(n) = O(n) + O(rlog r) + O((n - r)^2) = O(n^2)$$

(b) The worst-case complexity:

$$(1) T_{WORST}(n) = O(n)$$

(2) 
$$T_{WORST}(n) = \max_{1 \le r \le n} \{ T_{WORST}(r-1) \} = O(r^2)$$

(3) 
$$T_{WORSt}(n) = \max_{1 \le r \le n} \{ T_{WORST}(n-r) \} = O((n-r)^2)$$

$$T_{WORST}(n) = O(n) + O(r^2) + O((n-r)^2) = O(n^2)$$

(c) The average-case complexity:

(1) 
$$T_{AVG}(n) = O(n)$$

(2) 
$$T_{AVG}(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \{T_{AVG}(r-1)\} = O(rlogr)$$

(3) 
$$T_{AVG}(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \{ T_{AVG}(n-r) \} = O((n-r)^2)$$

$$T_{AVG}(n) = O(n) + O(rlog r) + O((n - r)^2) = O(n^2)$$

4. The best-case, worst-case, and average-case complexities of mixsort.

## First of all, we separate the process of igsort to three steps:

(1) do partition

low part (r-1)	r	high part (n-r)
(1-1)		(11-1)

- (2) run mixsort on the low part
- (3) run insertsort on the high part

## Then, we count the complexities by these steps:

(a) The best-case complexity:

$$(1) T_{REST}(n) = O(n)$$

(2) 
$$T_{BEST}(n) = \min_{1 \le r \le n} \{ T_{BEST}(r-1) \} = O(r^2)$$

(3) 
$$T_{BEST}(n) = \min_{1 \le r \le n} \{ T_{BEST}(n-r) \} = O((n-r)^2)$$

$$T_{BEST}(n) = O(n) + O(r^2) + O((n-r)^2) = O(n^2)$$

(b) The worst-case complexity:

(1) 
$$T_{WORST}(n) = O(n)$$

(2) 
$$T_{WORST}(n) = \max_{1 \le r \le n} \{ T_{WORST}(r-1) \}$$

(3) 
$$T_{WORSt}(n) = \max_{1 < r < n} \{ T_{WORST}(n-r) \} = O((n-r)^2)$$

Then, we get the worst-case time complexity is:

$$T_{WORST}(n) = \max_{1 \le r \le n} \{ O(n) + T_{WORST}(r-1) + O((n-r)^2) \} = O((n-r)^2)$$

Therefore, we guess the result of  $T_{WORST}(n) = O(n^2)$ .

That is  $\exists c > 0$  which  $T_{WORST}(n) \le c \times n^2$  for almost all n.

(I.H. 
$$\forall i < n, T_{WORST}(i) \le c \times i^2$$
)

Finally, we test the result to see if we guess right.

$$T_{WORST}(n) = \max_{1 \le r \le n} \{ a \cdot n + T_{WORST}((r-1)) + a \cdot ((n-r)^2) \}$$

According to the I.H, we can modify the formula as follow:

$$T_{W}(n) = \max_{1 \leq r \leq n} \left\{ a \cdot n + T_{WORST}((r-1)) + C \cdot ((n-r)^{2}) \right\} \leq \max_{1 \leq r \leq n} \left\{ a \times n + C \cdot (r-1)^{2} + C \cdot ((n-r)^{2}) \right\}$$

Let 
$$a \times n + C \cdot (r-1)^2 + C \cdot ((n-r)^2)$$
 as a formula call  $F(r)$ .

To solve the previous equation, we need to solve F(r) first. Therefore, we made a differential action on this formula to get the value of r which has the biggest or smallest value of F(r). The process is as follow:

$$F'(r) = 2c \cdot (r-1) - 2a \cdot (n-r) = 0$$
 where  $r = ...$ 

However, there is a quick way to check if the F(r) is the biggest or smallest value by checking the graph of the formula is concave-up or concave-down. For the reason that we do the differential twice as follow:

 $F''(r) = 2 \cdot C + 2 \cdot a > 0$  which shows the formula is concave-down.

Finally, we get that  $F(r) = max\{F(1), F(n)\}$  because the graph is concave-down. Then, we solve the formula as follow:

 $F(r) = \max\{a\cdot (r-1)^2 + a\cdot n, C\cdot (n-1)^2 + a\cdot n\} = C\cdot (n-1)^2 + a\cdot n$  because c>>a.

As a result, we get  $T_W(n) \leq C \cdot (n-1)^2 + a \cdot n$  which means that  $T_W(n) = O(n^2)$ .

(c) The average-case complexity:

$$(1) T_{AVG}(n) = O(n)$$

(2) 
$$T_{AVG}(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \{ T_{AVG}(r-1) \}$$

(3) 
$$T_{AVG}(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \{ T_{AVG}(n-r) \} = O((n-r)^2)$$

$$T_{WORST}(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left\{ O(n) + T_{AVG}((r-1)) + O((n-r)^2) \right\} = O(n^2)$$

Since I know the worst-case time complexity is  $O(n^2)$ , I can just guess the average-case time complexity is  $O(n^2)$ , too, because the big O means upper bounder.