

# How To Write A Minimal L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X<sub>ML</sub> Binding

Authors: Hang Yuan, Jinbo Zhang

Co-author: Prof. Dr. Michael Kohlhase

February 23, 2015

Jacobs University Bremen

L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X has been widely used as a word processing tool among scholars, especially when one needs to use large quantities of mathematical representations. L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X is also a good choice for those who are meticulous about typographical quality of documents. However, L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X lacks a conversion tool to XML which DLMF(Digital Library of Mathematical Functions) uses for delivery. DLMF developed L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X<sub>ML</sub>, trying to make a new typesetting system that allows users to be able to focus more on the content, but not the style, by providing extensive ways of customizations. In order to achieve this goal, building up the document class binding seems crucial, and yet L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X<sub>ML</sub> seems fairly unfathomable for beginners. We want to make it easier for those who want to pick up using L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X<sub>ML</sub> in the future, by going through how to construct a minimal L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X<sub>ML</sub> binding step by step.

This document does not cover advanced topics related L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X<sub>ML</sub>, and thus if you are interested in the general theories, you can have the manual hand in hand with this document to better comprehend how the theories are implemented. In addition, we will refer you to the particular chapters in the manual, when needed.

## 1 Using L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X<sub>ML</sub>

We are going to talk about various aspects of L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X<sub>ML</sub>, and then we will move onto the workflow of creating your first L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X document class binding. In this tutorial, we use the command:

```
1 latexmlc <Filename> --format=XML --destination=<
    Filename> --log=<Filename>.log
```

for converting  $\text{\TeX}$  document into \*.xml One quick note in regards to  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML installation, when you think you have finished installing  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML, run a simple conversion command. You should be able to see an XML interpretation of *mockDoc.tex* in a newly-generated XML file. It is totally fine to see tons of mysterious error messages at this point, because we have not created anything yet. Under some circumstances when your  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML doesn't seem to function, maybe you have overlooked the prerequisites such as `libxml2` or `libxslt`<sup>1</sup>.

*For more information about how to use  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML, please have a look at the  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML Manual Chapter 2: Using  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML.*

## 2 How to Create a LaTeXXML Binding

The conversion from  $\text{\TeX}$  to XML is processed by  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML. Basically  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML maps the  $\text{\TeX}$  markups to the XML markups, more specifically macros, primitives and constructors. That's why you are able to customize the conversion between  $\text{\TeX}$  and XML, in three ways: modifying the bindings used by `latexml`, adding your own bindings that has not been implemented, and even creating your own  $\text{\TeX}$  style and  $\text{\LaTeX}$  binding which is the goal of this tutorial.

### 2.1 Things We Need

It probably would be a good idea to name every file after the same prefix which will make your life easier in the future. We need to have:

- \*.tex** As your source file, so you can have something to convert from. You can write down whatever you want and base on this \*.tex file, your other files will vary. Feel free to define your own macros into something unusual such that, even if you accidentally load the  $\text{\TeX}$  binding in  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML, the conversion will fail, ensuring all conversions are done by  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML binding.
- \*.cls** For pdf $\text{\LaTeX}$ , which essentially helps you to see what \*.tex file looks like in a pdf format.
- \*.cls.ltxml**  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML binding, similar to the \*.doc.cls you have for  $\text{\LaTeX}$ , but used for the conversion to other formats.
- \*.rnc** The RelaxNG schema compact form, which defines the structure of your \*.tex, crucial for executing tasks like placing the tags correctly and auto closing the tags when needed.

---

<sup>1</sup>Please visit <http://dlmf.nist.gov/LaTeXML/get.html> for more information.

`trang.jar` (optional):  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML cannot process the compact form scheme, and therefore you need `trang.jar` to convert your `*.rnc` into `*.rng`, unless you want to write your scheme in `*.rng` in the first place, albeit this approach is not recommended for lack of efficiency and difficulty of maintenance.

After you have finished writing all the documents above, run `latexml`, and then you should be able to see the converted XML file for your `*.tex`. In the following chapters I will explain how to construct your `*.doc.ltxml` and `*.rnc`.

## 2.2 Minimal $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML

Since  $\text{\LaTeX}$  binding is a perl module, we need to initialize a binding file by add the followings in the beginning of `*.doc.ltxml`:

```
1 package LaTeXML::Package::Pool;
2 use strict;
3 use LaTeXML::Package;
4 use warnings;
```

At the end of `*.doc.ltxml` don't forget to include

```
1 1;
```

to make sure perl work properly.

*It will be good to read the  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML Manual Chapter 4: Customization, before your proceed and come back to see how the theories are implemented.*

Let's assume you have read chapter 4 thoroughly, and already have some feelings about how things work. The next task is to teach  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML the new commands you created in your `*.tex` file. Maybe it's time to look at an example:

```
1 DefConstructor('\newline', "<mock:break/>");
```

The reason why I use the `break` as an example is because you might encounter problems dealing with `break` in  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML. The two backslashes macro is preserved in the pool package. That's why if you still use the regular `newline` break macro, your  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML will have a malformed error. Renaming your `newline` macro in your `*.tex` will solve the problem.

After you link the `*.tex` file and the `*.cls.ltxml` file by changing your document class in your `*.tex` into your  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML binding name, in our case, "doc".  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML will load your binding file, when it tries to do the conversion.

You might be wondering how  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML reads your binding. To put it in a simple way, during the conversion process, whenever  $\text{\LaTeX}$ XML encounters a macro or

control sequence, it will look for its replacement in your binding and then put the replacement in `*.xml`. This is where things get a little tricky. How about the closing tag? Just like `section` macro, you declare where the `section` starts and where the next `section` starts, nevertheless, you never write now close section, so `LATEXML` will never close the section tags? Yes and no. Indeed `LATEXML` will have no clue of where to close the declared tags if we don't tell it when to do so. Using a schema can solve this problem.

## 2.3 RelaxNG Schema

Schema is a crucial document that decides how `*.xml` is constructed. When you are creating your own schema, it is a good idea to have your `*.tex` document open side by side to make sure your scheme works well with your `*.tex` file.

One good approach to test this is to create your expected `*.xml` by hand, according to your `*.tex`. You can easily accomplish this by using *emacs nxml mode* in which you have the freedom to write your expected `*.xml`, while validating your `*.xml` at the same time. If validation fails, you can see the error message instantly, such that you can debug your `*.xml` or schema accordingly.

Tutorial: Emacs: Nxml Mode

In our `mockDoc.rnc`, you can easily see under a document, there can be either `p` or `section`, and under a `section` there can be a title followed by `p` or a title followed by a `subsection`. This is because in the first section in `mockDoc.tex`, there is no `subsection` but text directly. But in the other `sections`, there are `subsections`. In your schema you need to consider all kinds of possible hierarchy of your elements.

Before you write your expected xml and RelaxNG schema, having a look at the links below can be beneficial:

I. RelaxNG Syntax Tutorial;

II. XML tutorial.

**Some more improvements:** If you have followed what we said, very likely you still have many errors when you use `LATEXML` to compile your files. Don't be frustrated by this, when we tried to make our first binding, it didn't exit at all. The success is within a reach. We only need to deal with two more things, namespace and putting spaces in your text.

We have a default namespace in the schema and we need to declare the schema in the binding and associate the prefix with the namespace. That's an easy step. Then we come to the obscure command of putting spaces between two words.

It is related to the architecture of L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X<sub>XML</sub>, which is far beyond the scope of this tutorial. So you can just do what is in the doc.cls.ltxml.

```
1 DefEnvironment('{document}', "<mock:document>#body</mock:document>", beforeDigest => sub { AssignValue(inPreamble => 0); });
```

Now you should have a minimal setup of what is required for a L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X<sub>XML</sub> binding.

**Congratulations** for being able to follow this tutorial to the end. After processing the makefile, you should be able to see the generated \*.xml in your current directory which hopefully should look something similar to your expected \*.xml!