

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2012

OR

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 001-35300

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

32-0097377

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

2580 Orchard Parkway, San Jose, CA 95131

(Address of principal executive offices, Zip Code)

(408) 942-3085

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer, large accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☒ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes [] No [x]

As of February 4, 2013, 86,963,145 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.001, were issued and outstanding.

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.
INDEX TO
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	28
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	29
<u>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	30
Item 1A. Risk Factors	31
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	50
Item 5. Other Information	51
Item 6. Exhibits	52
Signatures	53

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC. **Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets** (In thousands, except share data) (Unaudited)

	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2012
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 148,301	\$ 122,060
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,465 and \$1,266, respectively	55,902	75,644
Inventories	14,623	7,734
Current deferred tax asset	882	882
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,771	1,577
Total current assets	222,479	207,897
Property and equipment, net	5,664	4,471
Long-term deferred tax asset	232	232
Other long-term assets	1,804	1,136
Total assets	\$ 230,179	\$ 213,736
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 27,747	\$ 26,450
Customer deposits	1,392	235
Deferred revenues	815	805
Income taxes payable	5,353	946
Debt - short-term	5,009	6,968
Other current liabilities	14,931	17,031
Total current liabilities	55,247	52,435
Long-term taxes payable	7,727	7,727
Debt - long-term	73,601	22,623
Total liabilities	136,575	82,785
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock—\$0.001 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	—	—
Common stock—\$0.001 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized:		
86,957,786 and 92,049,978 outstanding at December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively	87	92
Additional paid-in capital	130,658	128,981
Treasury stock—44,238,960 and 39,079,910 shares held in treasury at December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively	(123,864)	(69,515)
Retained earnings	86,723	71,393
Total stockholders' equity	93,604	130,951
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 230,179	\$ 213,736

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
(In thousands, except per share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenues	\$ 74,901	\$ 87,817	\$ 136,436	\$ 166,984
Cost of revenues	44,416	50,527	80,931	96,681
Gross profit	30,485	37,290	55,505	70,303
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	5,052	3,683	9,763	7,052
Sales, general and administrative	5,314	2,431	9,848	4,575
Total operating expenses	10,366	6,114	19,611	11,627
Income from operations	20,119	31,176	35,894	58,676
Interest expense and other, net	(197)	(312)	(283)	(946)
Income before provision for income taxes	19,922	30,864	35,611	57,730
Provision for income taxes	2,119	6,173	4,629	11,546
Net income	\$ 17,803	\$ 24,691	\$ 30,982	\$ 46,184
Preferred stock cumulative dividend and accretion of cost of preferred stock	—	(9,704)	—	(112,431)
Less allocation of net income to participating preferred stockholders	—	(559)	—	—
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders—basic	\$ 17,803	\$ 14,428	\$ 30,982	\$ (66,247)
Undistributed earnings re-allocated to common stockholders	—	15	—	—
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders—diluted	\$ 17,803	\$ 14,443	\$ 30,982	\$ (66,247)
Net income (loss) per share of common stock:				
Basic	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.35	\$ (0.88)
Diluted	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.34	\$ (0.88)
Weighted average shares used in computing net income (loss) per share of common stock:				
Basic	88,094	87,487	89,532	75,102
Diluted	90,056	90,056	91,493	75,102
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.18	\$ —	\$ 0.18	\$ —

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$ 30,982	\$ 46,184
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	753	227
Provision for inventory obsolescence	875	505
Excess tax benefit from employee stock-based awards	(61)	(11,421)
Stock-based compensation	1,549	702
Provision for doubtful accounts	1,200	564
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	18,542	(22,170)
Inventories	(7,764)	(3,822)
Deferred cost of revenues	—	(40)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,621)	998
Accounts payable	1,829	697
Taxes payable	4,407	9,059
Deferred revenues	10	648
Accrued liabilities and other	(176)	(2,161)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>50,525</u>	<u>19,970</u>
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment and other long-term assets	(3,467)	(1,110)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(3,467)</u>	<u>(1,110)</u>
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Proceeds from term loan, net	50,833	34,822
Repayments on term loan balance	(1,833)	(1,750)
Repurchases of common stock	(54,354)	—
Payment of special common stock dividend	(15,652)	—
Repurchase of Series A convertible preferred stock	—	(108,000)
Issuance of convertible subordinated promissory notes	—	68,000
Payment of convertible subordinated promissory notes	—	(68,000)
Proceeds from shares issued in initial public offering, net of offering costs	—	32,619
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	161	511
Excess tax benefit from employee stock-based awards	61	11,421
Tax withholdings related to net share settlements of restricted stock units	(33)	—
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(20,817)</u>	<u>(30,377)</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	26,241	(11,517)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	122,060	76,361
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 148,301</u>	<u>\$ 64,844</u>
Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:		
Conversion of preferred stock into common stock in conjunction with initial public offering	\$ —	\$ 150,278

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

NOTE 1—BUSINESS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Business — Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. was incorporated in the State of California in 2003 as Pera Networks, Inc. In 2005 the Company changed its name to Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. and commenced its current operations. In June 2010, the Company was re-incorporated in Delaware.

Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively, “Ubiquiti” or the “Company”) is a product driven company that leverages innovative proprietary technologies to deliver networking solutions to both startup and established network operators and service providers.

On October 13, 2011, the Company entered into an underwriting agreement for its initial public offering of common stock at \$15.00 per share. The Company's initial public offering closed on October 19, 2011. Immediately prior to the closing of the initial public offering, all outstanding shares of the Company's preferred stock converted to common stock on a one for one basis.

The Company operates on a fiscal year ending June 30. In this Quarterly Report, the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 is referred to as “fiscal 2013” and the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012 is referred to as “fiscal 2012.”

Basis of Presentation — The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) related to interim financial statements based on applicable Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) rules and regulations. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. This information reflects all adjustments, which are, in the opinion of the Company, of a normal and recurring nature and necessary to state fairly the statements of financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the dates and periods presented. The June 30, 2012 balance sheet was derived from the audited financial statements as of that date. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed on September 28, 2012 with the SEC (the “Annual Report”). The results of operations for the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any future periods.

NOTE 2—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's significant accounting policies are disclosed in its audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2012 included in the Annual Report.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Company does not believe there have been any recent accounting pronouncements that would have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

NOTE 3—FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Pursuant to the accounting guidance for fair value measurements and its subsequent updates, fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. The accounting guidance establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy that requires the Company to use observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three levels that may be used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1 —observable inputs which include quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 —inputs which include observable inputs other than Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 —inputs which include unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the underlying asset or liability. Level 3 assets and liabilities include those whose fair value measurements are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar valuation techniques, as well as significant management judgment or estimation.

The Company's financial assets at December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012 included money market funds which were valued based on quoted prices in active markets for substantially similar assets and, therefore, were Level 1 instruments. Additionally, at December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012 the Company had debt associated with its Loan and Security Agreement with East West Bank (See Note 8). The fair value of the Company's debt was estimated based on the current rates offered to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities and were therefore Level 2 instruments.

As of December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, the fair value hierarchy for the Company's financial assets and financial liabilities was as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012				June 30, 2012			
	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:								
Money market funds	\$ 135,000	\$ 135,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 108,228	\$ 108,228	\$ —	\$ —
Liabilities:								
Debt	\$ 78,610	\$ —	\$ 78,610	\$ —	\$ 29,591	\$ —	\$ 29,591	\$ —

NOTE 4—EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Numerator:				
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders—basic	\$ 17,803	\$ 14,428	\$ 30,982	\$ (66,247)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders—diluted	\$ 17,803	\$ 14,443	\$ 30,982	\$ (66,247)
Denominator:				
Weighted-average shares used in computing basic net income (loss) per share	88,094	87,487	89,532	75,102
Add—dilutive potential common shares:				
Stock options	1,806	2,309	1,808	—
Restricted stock units	156	260	153	—
Weighted-average shares used in computing diluted net income (loss) per share	90,056	90,056	91,493	75,102
Net income (loss) per share of common stock:				
Basic	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.35	\$ (0.88)
Diluted	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.34	\$ (0.88)

The following table summarizes the total potential shares of common stock that were excluded from the diluted per share calculation, because to include them would have been anti-dilutive for the period (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Stock options	355	34	196	3,458
Restricted stock units	367	11	340	496
	722	45	536	3,954

NOTE 5—CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2012
Cash	\$ 13,301	\$ 13,832
Money market funds	135,000	108,228
	<u>\$ 148,301</u>	<u>\$ 122,060</u>

NOTE 6—BALANCE SHEET COMPONENTS
Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2012
Raw materials	\$ 2,928	\$ 4,668
Finished goods	11,695	3,066
	<u>\$ 14,623</u>	<u>\$ 7,734</u>

Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2012
Vendor deposits	\$ —	\$ 129
Other current assets	2,771	1,448
	<u>\$ 2,771</u>	<u>\$ 1,577</u>

Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2012
Testing equipment	\$ 2,883	\$ 2,293
Computer and other equipment	663	578
Tooling equipment	1,391	532
Furniture and fixtures	607	595
Leasehold improvements	1,808	1,424
Software	92	77
	<u>7,444</u>	<u>5,499</u>
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	<u>(1,780)</u>	<u>(1,028)</u>
	<u>\$ 5,664</u>	<u>\$ 4,471</u>

Other Long-term Assets

Other long-term assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2012
Intangible assets, net	\$ 989	\$ 748
Other long-term assets	815	388
	<u>\$ 1,804</u>	<u>\$ 1,136</u>

Other Current Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2012
Accrued compensation and benefits	\$ 2,498	\$ 2,657
Accrued accounts payable	4,932	6,636
Accrual for an export compliance matter	1,625	1,625
Warranty accrual	1,950	1,381
Other accruals	3,926	4,732
	<u>\$ 14,931</u>	<u>\$ 17,031</u>

NOTE 7—ACCRUED WARRANTY

The Company offers warranties on certain products, generally for a period of one year, and records a liability for the estimated future costs associated with potential warranty claims. The warranty costs are reflected in the Company's consolidated statement of operations within cost of revenues. The warranties are typically in effect for 12 months from the distributor's purchase date of the product. The Company's estimate of future warranty costs is largely based on historical experience factors including product failure rates, material usage, and service delivery cost incurred in correcting product failures. In certain circumstances, the Company may have recourse from its contract manufacturers for replacement cost of defective products, which it also factors into its warranty liability assessment.

Warranty obligations, included in other current liabilities, were as follows (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Beginning balance	\$ 1,381	\$ 806
Accruals for warranties issued during the period	1,684	1,229
Warranty costs incurred during the period	(1,115)	(668)
	<u>\$ 1,950</u>	<u>\$ 1,367</u>

NOTE 8—DEBT

In July 2011, the Company repurchased an aggregate of 12,041,700 shares of the Company's Series A convertible preferred stock from entities affiliated with Summit Partners, L.P., one of the Company's major stockholders, at a price of \$8.97 per share for an aggregate consideration of \$108.0 million. Of the aggregate purchase price, \$40.0 million was paid in cash at the time of closing and the balance of the shares were paid for through the issuance of convertible subordinated promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$68.0 million. On September 15, 2011, \$34.0 million was paid against the notes and was financed through the Company's EWB Loan Agreement (as further described below) reducing the aggregate principal amount outstanding to \$34.0 million. The remainder of the notes were retired in October 2011 with the proceeds of the Company's initial public offering and existing cash balances. The interest rate on the notes started at 5% per annum and increased by two percentage points every three months until it would have reached 9% in January 2012. The notes were prepayable without penalty prior to April 21, 2012, and were required to be paid in the event of the Company's initial public offering or third party financing prior to April 21, 2012. The notes matured on July 21, 2021. The unpaid principal on the notes was convertible into shares of Series A preferred stock at \$8.97 per share at any point after July 21, 2012. The difference between the repurchase price and the carrying value of the repurchased preferred stock on June 30, 2011 was \$59.0 million. The difference was debited to available retained earnings with the remaining amount debited to additional paid-in capital and reduced the net income attributable to common stock shareholders resulting in a reduction of basic and diluted net income per share.

On September 15, 2011, the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with East West Bank, (the "EWB Loan Agreement"), which was replaced by the Loan Agreement as discussed below. The credit facilities available under the EWB Loan Agreement consist of a \$35.0 million term loan facility and a \$5.0 million revolving line of credit facility. The term loan matures on September 15, 2016 with principal and interest to be repaid in 60 monthly installments. The Company used \$34.0 million of the term loan to repay a portion of the outstanding convertible subordinated promissory notes held by entities affiliated with Summit Partners, L.P leaving \$1.0 million available for borrowing under the term loan facility.

On August 7, 2012, the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") with U.S. Bank, as syndication agent, and East West Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders party to the Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement replaced the EWB Loan Agreement discussed above. The Loan Agreement provides for (i) a \$50.0 million

revolving credit facility, with a \$5.0 million sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit and a \$5.0 million sublimit for the making of swingline loan advances (the “Revolving Credit Facility”), and (ii) a \$50.0 million term loan facility (the “Term Loan Facility”). The Company may request borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility until August 7, 2015. On August 7, 2012, the Company borrowed \$20.8 million under the Term Loan Facility, and no borrowings remain available for borrowing thereunder. On November 21, 2012, the Company borrowed \$10.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility. On December 20, 2012, the Company borrowed an additional \$20.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility, and \$20.0 million remains available for borrowing thereunder.

The loans bear interest, at the Company’s option, at the base rate plus a spread of 1.25% to 1.75% or an adjusted LIBOR rate (at the Company’s election, for a period of 30 , 60 , or 90 days) plus a spread of 2.25% to 2.75% , in each case with such spread being determined based on the debt service coverage ratio for its most recently ended fiscal quarter. The base rate is the highest of (i) East West Bank’s prime rate, (ii) the federal funds rate plus a margin equal to 0.50% , or (iii) the LIBOR rate plus a margin equal to 1.00% . The Company is also obligated to pay other customary closing fees, arrangement fees, administration fees, commitment fees and letter of credit fees for a credit facility of this size and type.

Interest is due and payable monthly in arrears in the case of loans bearing interest at the base rate and at the end of an interest period in the case of loans bearing interest at the adjusted LIBOR rate. Principal payments under the Term Loan Facility will be made in quarterly installments on the first day of each calendar quarter, and each such quarterly installment shall be equal to \$1.25 million through July 1, 2014, then equal to \$1.875 million from October 1, 2014 through July 1 2015, and then equal to \$2.5 million from October 1, 2015 through July 1, 2017, with the remaining outstanding principal balance and all accrued and unpaid interest due on August 7, 2017. All outstanding loans under the Revolving Credit Facility, together with all accrued and unpaid interest, are due on August 7, 2015.

The Company may prepay the loans, in whole or in part, at any time without premium or penalty, subject to certain conditions including minimum amounts and reimbursement of certain costs in the case of prepayments of LIBOR loans. In addition, the Company is required to prepay the loan under the Term Loan Facility with (i) the proceeds from certain financing transactions or asset sales (subject, in the case of asset sales, to reinvestment rights) and (ii) 25.0% of the Company’s excess cash flow in the U.S., as determined after each fiscal year and in accordance with the Loan Agreement, provided that the Company shall not be required to prepay the loan out of its excess cash flow if its leverage ratio is greater than 1.50 : 1.00 on the last day of such fiscal year.

All of the obligations of the Company under the Loan Agreement are collateralized by substantially all of the Company’s assets, including all of the capital stock of the Company’s future domestic subsidiaries and 65% of the capital stock of the Company’s existing and future foreign subsidiaries, but excluding the Company’s intellectual property, which is subject to a negative pledge agreement. All of the Company’s future domestic subsidiaries are required to guaranty the obligations under the Loan Agreement. Such guarantees by future subsidiaries will be collateralized by substantially all of the property of such subsidiaries, excluding intellectual property.

The Loan Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including covenants that limit or restrict the Company and its subsidiaries’ ability to, among other things, incur indebtedness, grant liens, merge or consolidate, dispose of assets, pay dividends or make distributions, make investments, make acquisitions, prepay certain indebtedness, change the nature of its business, enter into certain transactions with affiliates, enter into restrictive agreements, and make capital expenditures, in each case subject to customary exceptions for a credit facility of this size and type. The Company is also required to maintain a minimum debt service coverage ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, and a minimum liquidity ratio. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all affirmative and negative covenants, debt service coverage ratio, leverage ratio and liquidity ratio requirements.

The Loan Agreement includes customary events of default that, include among other things, defaults for the failure to timely pay principal, interest, or other amounts due, defaults due to the inaccuracy of representations and warranties, covenant defaults, a cross default to material indebtedness, bankruptcy and insolvency defaults, material judgment defaults, defaults due to the unenforceability of a guaranty, and defaults due to circumstances that have or could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operations or financial condition, its ability to pay or perform under the Loan Agreement, or on the lenders' security interests. The occurrence of an event of default could result in the acceleration of the obligations under the Loan Agreement. During the existence of an event of default, interest on the obligations under the Loan Agreement could be increased by 2.00% above the otherwise applicable interest rate.

During the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 , the Company made aggregate payments of \$1.3 million and \$1.8 million , respectively, against the loan balance. As of December 31, 2012 , the Company has classified \$5.0 million and \$73.6 million in short-term and long-term debt, respectively, on its consolidated balance sheet related to the Loan Agreement.

The following table summarizes our estimated debt and interest payment obligations as of December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	2013 (remainder)	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Total
Debt payment obligations	\$ 2,500	\$ 5,000	\$ 6,875	\$ 39,375	\$ 10,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 78,750
Interest payments on debt payment obligations	542	1,859	1,723	1,043	532	125	5,824
Total	<u>\$ 3,042</u>	<u>\$ 6,859</u>	<u>\$ 8,598</u>	<u>\$ 40,418</u>	<u>\$ 10,532</u>	<u>\$ 15,125</u>	<u>\$ 84,574</u>

NOTE 9—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Leases

Certain facilities and equipment are leased under noncancelable operating leases. The Company generally pays taxes, insurance and maintenance costs on leased facilities and equipment. The Company leases office space in San Jose, California and other locations under various non-cancelable operating leases that expire at various dates through 2017 .

In December 2011, the Company entered into an agreement to lease approximately 64,512 square feet of office and research and development space located in San Jose, California, which the Company uses as its corporate headquarters. The lease term is from April 1, 2012 , through June 30, 2017 . The lease has been categorized as an operating lease, and the total estimated rent expense to be recognized is \$4.9 million .

At December 31, 2012 , future minimum annual payments under operating leases are as follows (in thousands):

	2013 (remainder)	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Total
Operating leases	\$ 750	\$ 1,505	\$ 1,465	\$ 1,423	\$ 1,117	\$ 188	\$ 6,448

Purchase Commitments

The Company subcontracts with other companies to manufacture its products. During the normal course of business, the Company's contract manufacturers procure components based upon orders placed by the Company. If the Company cancels all or part of the orders, it may still be liable to the contract manufacturers for the cost of the components purchased by them to manufacture the Company's products. The Company periodically reviews the potential liability and to date no significant accruals have been recorded. The Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations could be negatively impacted if it were required to compensate the contract manufacturers for any liabilities incurred.

Indemnification Obligations

The Company enters into standard indemnification agreements with many of its business partners in the ordinary course of business. These agreements include provisions for indemnifying the business partner against any claim brought by a third party to the extent any such claim alleges that a Ubiquiti product infringes a patent, copyright or trademark, or violates any other proprietary rights of that third party. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is not estimable and the Company has not incurred any material costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements to date.

Legal Matters

The Company may be involved, from time to time, in a variety of claims, lawsuits, investigations, and proceedings relating to contractual disputes, intellectual property rights, employment matters, regulatory compliance matters and other litigation matters relating to various claims that arise in the normal course of business. The Company determines whether an estimated loss from a contingency should be accrued by assessing whether a loss is deemed probable and can be reasonably estimated. The Company assesses its potential liability by analyzing specific litigation and regulatory matters using available information. The Company develops its views on estimated losses in consultation with inside and outside counsel, which involves a subjective analysis of potential results and outcomes, assuming various combinations of appropriate litigation and settlement strategies. Taking all of the above factors into account, the Company records an amount where it is probable that the Company will incur a loss and where that loss can be reasonably estimated. However, the Company's estimates may be incorrect and the Company could ultimately incur more or less than the amounts initially recorded. Litigation can be costly, diverting management's attention and could, upon resolution, have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows.

Export Compliance Matters

In January 2011, the U.S. Commerce Department, Bureau of Industry and Security's ("BIS") Office of Export Enforcement ("OEE") contacted the Company to request that the Company provide information related to its relationship with a logistics company in the United Arab Emirates ("UAE") and with a company in Iran, as well as information on the export classification of its products. As a result of this inquiry the Company, assisted by outside counsel, conducted a review of the Company's export transactions from 2008 through March 2011 to not only gather information responsive to the OEE's request but also to review the Company's overall compliance with export control and sanctions laws. The Company believes its products have been sold into Iran by third parties. The Company does not believe that it directly sold, exported or shipped its products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. However, until early 2010, the Company did not prohibit its distributors from selling its products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. In the course of this review the Company identified that two distributors may have sold the Company's products into Iran. The Company's review also found that while it had obtained required Commodity Classification Rulings for its products in June 2010 and November 2010, the Company did not advise its shipping personnel to change the export authorizations used on its shipping documents until February 2011. During the course of the Company's export control review, the Company also determined that it had failed to maintain adequate records for the five year period required by the EAR and the sanctions regulations due to its lack of infrastructure and because it was prior to its transition to its current system of record, NetSuite.

In May 2011, the Company filed a self-disclosure statement with the BIS and OEE. In June 2011, the Company filed a self-disclosure statement with the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Asset Control ("OFAC") regarding the compliance issues noted above. The disclosures address the above described findings and the remedial actions the Company had taken to date. However, the findings also indicate that both distributors continued to sell, directly or indirectly, the Company's products into Iran during the period from February 2010 through March 2011 and that the Company received various communications from them indicating that they were continuing to do so. Since January 2011, the Company has cooperated with OEE and, prior to its disclosure filing, the Company informally shared with the OEE the substance of its findings with respect to both distributors. From May 2011 to August 2011, the Company provided additional information regarding its review and its findings to OEE to facilitate its investigation and OEE advised the Company in August 2011 that it had completed its investigation of the Company. In August 2011, the Company received a warning letter from OEE stating that OEE had not referred the findings of the Company's review for criminal or administrative prosecution and closed the investigation of the Company without penalty.

OFAC is still reviewing the Company's voluntary disclosure. In the Company's submission, the Company provided OFAC with an explanation of the activities that led to the sales of its products in Iran and the failure to comply with the Export Administration Regulations (the "EAR") and OFAC sanctions. Although the Company's OFAC and OEE voluntary disclosures covered similar sets of facts, which led the OEE to resolve the case with the issuance of a warning letter, OFAC may conclude that the Company's actions resulted in violations of U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and warrant the imposition of penalties that could include fines, termination of the Company's ability to export its products, and/or referral for criminal prosecution. Any such fines may be material to the Company's financial results in the period in which they are imposed. The penalties may be imposed against the Company and/or its management. The maximum civil monetary penalty for the violations is up to \$250,000 or twice the value of the transaction, whichever is greater, per violation. The Company cannot predict when OFAC will complete its review or decide upon the imposition of possible penalties.

While the Company has now taken actions to ensure that export classification information is distributed to the appropriate personnel in a timely manner and has adopted policies and procedures to promote its compliance with these laws and regulations, including obtaining written distribution agreements with substantially all of its distributors that contain covenants requiring compliance with U.S. export control and economic sanctions law; notifying all of its distributors of their obligations and obtaining updated distribution agreements from distributors that accounted for approximately 99% of its revenue in fiscal 2012. The Company's failure to amend all its distribution agreements and to implement more robust compliance controls immediately after the discovery of Iran-related sales activity in early 2010 may be aggravating factors that could impact the imposition of penalties imposed on the Company or its management. Based on the facts known to the Company to date, the Company recorded an expense of \$1.6 million for this export compliance matter in fiscal 2010, which represents management's estimated exposure for fines in accordance with applicable accounting literature. This amount was calculated from information discovered through the Company's internal review and this loss is deemed to be probable and reasonably estimable. However, the Company also believes that it is reasonably possible that the loss may be higher, but the Company cannot reasonably estimate the range of any further potential losses. Specific information has come to management's attention and as such management cannot estimate any further range of possible losses. Should additional facts be discovered in the future and/or should actual fines or other penalties substantially differ from the Company's estimates, its business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations would be materially negatively impacted.

Shareholder Class Action Lawsuits

Beginning on September 7, 2012, two shareholder class action complaints were filed against the Company, certain of its officers and directors and the underwriters of the Company's initial public offering in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. On January 30, 2013, the plaintiffs filed an Amended Consolidated Complaint, which alleges claims under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 on behalf of a purported class of those who purchased the Company's common stock between October 14, 2011 and August 9, 2012 and/or acquired the Company's stock pursuant to or traceable to the registration statement for the initial public offering. The Amended Consolidated Complaint alleges that the defendants violated the federal securities laws by issuing false or misleading statements regarding the sale of counterfeit Company products. The consolidated complaint seeks, among other things, damages and interest, rescission, and attorneys' fees and costs.

The Company believes that the allegations in the consolidated complaint are without merit and intend to vigorously contest the litigation. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in its defense. Because the case is at a very early stage, the Company cannot currently estimate the loss or the range of possible losses we may experience in connection with this litigation.

NOTE 10—PREFERRED STOCK**Preferred Stock**

In July 2011, the Company repurchased an aggregate of 12,041,700 shares of the Company's Series A convertible preferred stock from entities affiliated with Summit Partners, L.P., one of the Company's major stockholders, at a price of \$8.97 per share for an aggregate consideration of \$108.0 million. Of the aggregate purchase price, \$40.0 million was paid in cash at the time of closing and the balance of the shares were paid for through the issuance of convertible subordinated promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$68.0 million. The \$68.0 million was paid down primarily using proceeds from the EWB Loan Agreement and the remaining balance was subsequently paid down by funds raised upon the completion of the Company's initial public offering on October 19, 2011 and the Company's existing cash balances as discussed in Note 8.

NOTE 11—COMMON STOCK AND TREASURY STOCK

As of December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, the authorized capital of the Company included 500,000,000 shares of common stock. As of December 31, 2012, 131,196,746 shares of common stock were issued and 86,957,786 were outstanding. As of June 30, 2012, 131,129,888 shares of common stock were issued and 92,049,978 were outstanding.

Common Stock Repurchases

On August 9, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase up to \$100 million of its common stock. The share repurchase program commenced Monday, August 13, 2012. The share repurchase program has been funded from proceeds from the Loan Agreement as discussed in Note 8 and from existing cash on hand.

Common stock repurchase activity during the six months ended December 31, 2012 was as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased
August 13, 2012 – August 31, 2012	2,179,900	\$ 8.88	\$ 80,599
September 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012	992,014	\$ 11.93	\$ 68,742
October 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012	371,665	\$ 11.72	\$ 64,377
November 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012	657,700	\$ 11.16	\$ 57,024
December 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	957,771	\$ 11.86	\$ 45,646
Total	5,159,050	\$ 10.52	\$ 45,646

Special Dividend

On December 14, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized a special cash dividend of \$0.18 per share for each share of common stock outstanding on December 24, 2012. The aggregate dividend payment of \$15.7 million was paid on December 28, 2012 to stockholders of record on December 24, 2012.

NOTE 12—STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Stock-Based Compensation Plans

The Company's 2010 Equity Incentive Plan and 2005 Equity Incentive Plan are described in its Annual Report. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had 5,381,713 authorized shares available for future issuance under all of its stock incentive plans.

Stock-based Compensation

The following table shows total stock-based compensation expense included in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Cost of sales	\$ 104	\$ 27	\$ 185	\$ 33
Research and development	401	116	667	232
Sales, general and administrative	388	208	697	437
	<u>\$ 893</u>	<u>\$ 351</u>	<u>\$ 1,549</u>	<u>\$ 702</u>

Stock Options

The following is a summary of option activity for the Company's stock incentive plans for the six months ended December 31, 2012 :

	Common Stock Options Outstanding			
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
				(In thousands)
Balance, June 30, 2012	3,347,445	\$ 1.45		
Granted	600,000	10.93		
Exercised	(50,205)	3.21		
Forfeitures and cancellations	(17,366)	6.22		
Balance, December 31, 2012	<u>3,879,874</u>	<u>\$ 2.87</u>	<u>6.66</u>	<u>\$ 36,110</u>
Vested and expected to vest as of December 31, 2012	<u>3,806,169</u>	<u>\$ 2.76</u>	<u>6.66</u>	<u>\$ 35,867</u>
Vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2012	<u>2,662,106</u>	<u>\$ 0.76</u>	<u>5.66</u>	<u>\$ 30,324</u>

During the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised under the Company's stock incentive plans was \$185,000 and \$46.2 million, respectively, as determined as of the date of option exercise. During the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised under the Company's stock incentive plans was \$363,000 and \$46.6 million respectively.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had unrecognized compensation costs of \$4.2 million related to stock options which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 3.5 years. Future option grants will increase the amount of compensation expense to be recorded in these periods.

The Company estimates the fair value of employee stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The fair value of employee stock options is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the awards. For the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the fair value of employee stock options was estimated using the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Expected term	6.1 years	6.1 years	6.1 years	6.1 years
Expected volatility	52%	51%	52%	49%
Risk-free interest rate	0.8%	1.1%	0.8%	1.6%
Expected dividend yield	—	—	—	—
Weighted average grant date fair value	\$ 5.37	\$ 7.79	\$ 5.37	\$ 4.90

Restricted Stock Units (“RSUs”)

The following table summarizes the activity of the RSUs made by the Company:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non-vested RSUs, June 30, 2012	453,620	\$ 9.42
RSUs granted	300,500	13.63
RSUs vested	(19,207)	12.65
RSUs cancelled	(10,500)	28.78
Non-vested RSUs, December 31, 2012	724,413	\$ 10.80

The intrinsic value of RSUs vested in the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$142,000 and \$25,000, respectively. The intrinsic value of RSUs vested in the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$233,000 and \$25,000, respectively. The total intrinsic value of all outstanding RSUs was \$8.8 million as of December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2012, there was unrecognized compensation costs related to RSUs of \$6.2 million which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted average period of 3.5 years.

NOTE 13—INCOME TAXES

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had approximately \$9.3 million of unrecognized tax benefits, substantially all of which would, if recognized, affect its tax expense. The Company has elected to include interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of tax expense. At December 31, 2012, an insignificant amount of interest and penalties are included in long-term income tax payable. The Company recorded an increase of its unrecognized tax benefits of \$896,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2012. The Company does not expect any significant increases or decreases to its unrecognized tax benefits in the next twelve months.

The Company recorded a tax provision of \$2.1 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012. The Company’s estimated 2012 effective tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory rate primarily due to profits earned in jurisdictions where the tax rate is lower than the U.S. tax rate.

The Company files U.S. federal income tax returns as well as income tax returns in various states and foreign jurisdictions. The Company’s tax years from 2009 and onwards could be subject to examinations by tax authorities.

NOTE 14—SEGMENT INFORMATION, REVENUES BY GEOGRAPHY AND SIGNIFICANT CUSTOMERS

Revenues by product type were as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended December 31,				Six Months Ended December 31,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
airMAX	\$ 48,752	65%	\$ 52,939	60%	\$ 80,809	59%	\$ 102,774	62%
New platforms	11,905	16%	4,226	5%	27,533	20%	6,960	4%
Other systems	4,835	6%	18,254	21%	8,619	7%	31,019	19%
Systems	65,492	87%	75,419	86%	116,961	86%	140,753	85%
Embedded radio	1,519	2%	2,567	3%	3,233	2%	5,792	3%
Antennas/other	7,890	11%	9,831	11%	16,242	12%	20,439	12%
Total revenues	\$ 74,901	100%	\$ 87,817	100%	\$ 136,436	100%	\$ 166,984	100%

The Company generally forwards products directly from its manufacturers to its customers via a logistics distribution hub in China. The Company's customers in turn ship to other locations throughout the world. The Company has determined the geographical distribution of product revenues based upon the customer's ship-to destinations.

Revenues by geography were as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended December 31,				Six Months Ended December 31,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
North America(1)	\$ 12,106	16%	\$ 21,440	24%	\$ 32,467	24%	\$ 46,381	28%
South America	17,081	23%	24,250	28%	27,324	20%	44,085	26%
Europe, the Middle East and Africa	35,929	48%	30,356	35%	59,073	43%	55,139	33%
Asia Pacific	9,785	13%	11,771	13%	17,572	13%	21,379	13%
Total revenues	\$ 74,901	100%	\$ 87,817	100%	\$ 136,436	100%	\$ 166,984	100%

(1) Revenue for the United States was \$11.4 million and \$20.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Revenue for the United States was \$30.7 million and \$45.1 million for the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Customers with an accounts receivable balance of 10% or greater of total accounts receivable and customers with net revenues of 10% or greater of total revenues are presented below for the periods indicated:

	Percentage of Revenues				Percentage of Accounts Receivable	
	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,		December 31,	June 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2012
Customer A	15%	21%	12%	19%	17%	19%
Customer B	14%	*	*	*	13%	*
Customer C	*	*	*	12%	*	11%
Customer D	*	*	*	*	*	12%

* denotes less than 10%

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with the financial statements and related notes that are included elsewhere in this quarterly report. In addition to historical consolidated financial information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include those discussed below and elsewhere in this quarterly report, particularly in Part II, Item 1, Legal Proceedings and 1A, Risk Factors, in this report.

Overview

We are a product driven company that leverages innovative proprietary technologies to deliver networking solutions with compelling price-performance characteristics to both start-up and established network operators and service providers. Our products bridge the digital divide by fundamentally changing the economics of deploying high performance networking solutions in underserved and underpenetrated wireless broadband access markets globally. These markets include emerging markets and other areas where individual users and small and medium sized enterprises do not have access to the benefits of carrier class broadband networking. Our business model has enabled us to break down traditional barriers, such as high product and network deployment costs, which are driven by business model inefficiencies and achieve rapid market adoption of our products and solutions in previously underserved and underpenetrated markets. Our business model and proprietary technologies provide us with a significant and sustainable competitive advantage over incumbents, who we believe are unable to respond effectively due to their higher cost business models.

We offer a broad and expanding portfolio of networking products and solutions in the outdoor wireless, enterprise WLAN, video surveillance, wireless backhaul and machine-to-machine communications markets. We began shipping embedded radios in fiscal 2006. In fiscal 2008 we introduced a line of products based on 802.11 standard protocols and in early fiscal 2010, we introduced a number of new products based on our proprietary airMAX protocol, which have been rapidly adopted by network operators and high-performance proprietary airMAX service providers. Since the beginning of fiscal 2011, we have introduced UniFi, airVision, airFiber, mFi and EdgeMAX, which are collectively referred to in this report as our New Platforms. In the three and six months ended December 31, 2012, our systems revenue accounted for 87% and 86% of our revenues, respectively. In the future, we expect sales of our airMAX platform and our new product platforms to continue to represent a growing portion of our revenues and the portion of our revenues derived from our 802.11 standard products to decline as a percentage of total revenues.

Building on our leadership in the underserved and underpenetrated segments of the wireless broadband access market, we intend to expand our product offerings in our existing market and enter adjacent markets by relying on the combination of our efficient business model and proprietary technologies. For example, we have introduced products and solutions for the enterprise WLAN and video surveillance markets, and since late fiscal 2011 licensed microwave wireless backhaul, machine-to-machine communication and router markets. As we enter such new markets, we plan to leverage existing distributor relationships and established engaged communities similar to the Ubiquiti Community, our growing and engaged community of network operators, service providers, distributors, value added resellers and system integrators, to keep our operating expenses in line with our current model and enable us to offer products in these new markets with compelling price-performance characteristics.

to keep our operating expenses in line with our current model and enable us to offer products in these new markets with compelling price-performance characteristics.

Our revenues decreased 15% to \$74.9 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 from \$87.8 million in the three months ended December 31, 2011. Our revenues decreased 18% to \$136.4 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 from \$167.0 million in the six months ended December 31, 2011. We believe the overall decrease in revenues during both the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 was primarily driven by lost sales due to the proliferation of counterfeit versions of our products, which has also created customer uncertainty regarding the authenticity of their potential purchases. We believe these factors contributed to a buildup in channel inventory with our distributors, further impacting our revenues. We had net income of \$17.8 million and \$24.7 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We had net income of \$31.0 million and \$46.2 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The declines in net income in both the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the same periods in the prior year were primarily due to the decline in revenues and increased operating expenses.

Key Components of Our Results of Operations and Financial Condition

Revenues

Our revenues are derived principally from the sale of networking hardware and management tools. In addition, while we do not sell maintenance and support separately, because we have historically included it free of charge in many of our arrangements, we attribute a portion of our systems revenues to this implied post-contract customer support ("PCS").

We classify our revenues into three product categories: systems, embedded radios and antennas/other.

- Systems consists of three product categories:
 - Our proprietary airMAX platform products for network operators and service providers;
 - Our new platform products which include significant platforms introduced in late fiscal 2011 and during 2012 which includes the UniFi, airVision and airFiber, mFi and EdgeMAX platforms; and
 - Other 802.11 standard products including base stations, radios, backhaul equipment and Customer Premise Equipment ("CPE").
- Embedded radios consist of more than 25 radio products primarily for OEMs, including both point to point and point to multipoint radios in the 2.0 to 6.0GHz spectrum, that are offered with a variety of features.
- Antennas/other consist of antenna products in the 2.0 to 6.0GHz spectrum, as well as miscellaneous products such as mounting brackets, cables and power over Ethernet adapters. These products include both high performance sector and directional antennas. This category also includes our allocation of revenues to PCS.

We sell substantially all of our products through a limited number of distributors and other channel partners, such as resellers and OEMs. Sales to distributors accounted for 97% and 96% of our revenues in the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Sales to distributors accounted for 97% of our revenues in both the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Other channel partners, such as resellers and OEMs, largely accounted for the balance of our revenues. We sell our products without any right of return.

Cost of Revenues

Our cost of revenues is comprised primarily of the costs of procuring finished goods from our contract manufacturers and chipsets that we consign to certain of our contract manufacturers. In addition, cost of revenues includes tooling, labor and other costs associated with engineering, testing and quality assurance, warranty costs, stock-based compensation and excess and obsolete inventory.

We outsource our manufacturing and order fulfillment and utilize contract manufacturers located primarily in China and, to a lesser extent, Taiwan. We also evaluate and utilize other vendors for various portions of our supply chain from time to time. Our manufacturing organization consists of employees and consultants engaged in the management of our contract manufacturers, new product introduction activities, logistical support and engineering.

Gross Profit

Our gross profit has been, and may in the future be, influenced by several factors including changes in product mix, target end markets for our products, pricing due to competitive pressure, production costs, foreign exchange rates and global demand for electronic components. Although we procure and sell our products in U.S. dollars, our contract manufacturers incur many costs, including labor costs, in other currencies. To the extent that the exchange rates move unfavorably for our contract manufacturers, they may try to pass these additional costs on to us, which could have a material impact on our future average selling prices and unit costs.

Operating Expenses

We classify our operating expenses as research and development and sales, general and administrative expenses.

- Research and development expenses consist primarily of salary and benefit expenses, including stock-based compensation, for employees and costs for contractors engaged in research, design and development activities, as well as costs for prototypes, facilities and travel. Over time, we expect our research and development costs to increase as we continue making significant investments in developing new products and developing new versions of our existing products.
- Sales, general and administrative expenses include salary and benefit expenses, including stock-based compensation, for employees and costs for contractors engaged in sales, marketing and general and administrative activities, as well as the costs of outside legal expenses, trade shows, marketing programs, promotional materials, bad debt expense, professional services, facilities, general liability insurance and travel. As our product portfolio and targeted markets expand, we may need to employ different sales models, such as building a direct sales force. These sales models would likely increase our costs. Over time, we expect our sales, general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute

dollars due to continued growth in headcount, expand our registration and defense of trademarks and patents efforts and to support our business and operations as a public company.

Deferred Revenues and Costs

In the event that collectability of a receivable from products we have shipped is not probable, we classify those amounts as deferred revenues on our balance sheet until such time as we receive payment of the accounts receivable. We classify the cost of products associated with these deferred revenues as deferred costs of revenues. At December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, we did not have any revenue deferred for transactions where we lacked evidence that collectability of the receivables recorded was reasonably probable.

Also included in our deferred revenues is a portion related to PCS obligations that we estimate we will perform in the future. As of December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, we had deferred revenues of \$815,000 and \$805,000 respectively, related to these obligations.

Prepayments

We have historical agreements with certain contract manufacturers whereby we prepay for a portion of the product costs to assure the manufacture and timely delivery of our products. However, as of December 31, 2012 we did not have any prepayment balances with our contract manufacturers. As of June 30, 2012, we had a prepayment balance of \$129,000.

Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not require management's judgment in its application. In other cases, management's judgment is required in selecting among available alternative accounting standards that provide for different accounting treatment for similar transactions. The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements also requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts we report as assets, liabilities, revenues, costs and expenses and affect the related disclosures. We base our estimates on historical experience and other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. In many instances, we could reasonably use different accounting estimates, and in some instances changes in the accounting estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Accordingly, our actual results could differ significantly from the estimates made by our management. To the extent that there are differences between our estimates and actual results, our future financial statement presentation, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows will be affected. Our critical accounting policies are discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, as filed on September 28, 2012 with the SEC, or the Annual Report, and there have been no material changes.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Three and Six Months Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

	Three Months Ended December 31,				Six Months Ended December 31,				
	2012		2011		2012		2011		
	(In thousands, except percentages)								
Revenues	\$	74,901	100%	\$	87,817	100%	\$	166,984	100%
Cost of revenues		44,416	59%		50,527	58%		96,681	58%
Gross profit		30,485	41%		37,290	42%		70,303	42%
Operating expenses:									
Research and development		5,052	7%		3,683	4%		7,052	4%
Sales, general and administrative		5,314	7%		2,431	3%		4,575	3%
Total operating expenses		10,366	14%		6,114	7%		11,627	7%
Income from operations		20,119	27%		31,176	35%		58,676	35%
Interest expense and other, net		(197)	*		(312)	*		(946)	*
Income before provision for income taxes		19,922	27%		30,864	35%		57,730	35%
Provision for income taxes		2,119	3%		6,173	7%		11,546	7%
Net income	\$	17,803	24%	\$	24,691	28%	\$	46,184	28%

* Less than 1%

(1) Includes stock-based compensation as follows:

Cost of revenues	\$ 104	\$ 27	\$ 185	\$ 33
Research and development	401	116	667	232
Sales, general and administrative	388	208	697	437
Total stock-based compensation	\$ 893	\$ 351	\$ 1,549	\$ 702

Revenues

Revenues decreased \$12.9 million , or 15% , from \$87.8 million in the three months ended December 31, 2011 to \$74.9 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 . Revenues decreased \$30.5 million , or 18% , from \$167.0 million in the six months ended December 31, 2011 to \$136.4 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 . We believe the overall decrease in revenues during the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 was primarily driven by lost sales due to the proliferation of counterfeit versions of our products, which has also created customer uncertainty regarding the authenticity of their potential purchases. We believe these factors contributed to a buildup in channel inventory with our distributors, further impacting our revenues. This has had the most significant impact on our airMAX platform which decreased \$4.2 million and \$22.0 million , respectively, in the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same periods in the prior year.

In the three months ended December 31, 2012 , revenues from Customer A and Customer B represented 15% and 14% of our revenues, respectively. In the three months ended December 31, 2011 , revenues from Customer A represented 21% of our revenues. In the six months ended December 31, 2012 , revenues from Customer A represented 12% of our revenues. In the six months ended December 31, 2011 , revenues from Customer A and Customer C represented 19% and 12% of our revenues, respectively. No other customer represented more than 10% of our revenues in the three or six months ended December 31, 2012 or 2011 .

Revenues by Product Type

	Three Months Ended December 31,				Six Months Ended December 31,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
	(in thousands, except percentages)							
airMAX	\$ 48,752	65%	\$ 52,939	60%	\$ 80,809	59%	\$ 102,774	62%
New platforms	11,905	16%	4,226	5%	27,533	20%	6,960	4%
Other systems	4,835	6%	18,254	21%	8,619	7%	31,019	19%
Systems	65,492	87%	75,419	86%	116,961	86%	140,753	85%
Embedded radio	1,519	2%	2,567	3%	3,233	2%	5,792	3%
Antennas/other	7,890	11%	9,831	11%	16,242	12%	20,439	12%
Total revenues	\$ 74,901	100%	\$ 87,817	100%	\$ 136,436	100%	\$ 166,984	100%

Systems revenues decreased \$9.9 million , or 13% , from \$75.4 million in the three months ended December 31, 2011 to \$65.5 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 . Systems revenues decreased \$23.8 million , or 17% , from \$140.8 million in the six months ended December 31, 2011 to \$117.0 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 . As noted above, we believe the decrease in systems revenues was primarily driven by lost sales due to the proliferation of counterfeit versions of our products, in particular our airMAX product line. The decrease in our airMAX product line was partially offset by increased sales in our new platforms category, which includes significant platforms introduced since late fiscal 2011. Our new platforms contributed \$11.9 million and \$4.2 million of revenue during the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 , respectively, and \$27.5 million and \$7.0 million of revenue during the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 , respectively. Our other systems revenue decreased \$13.4 million during the three months ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the three months ended December 31, 2011 due primarily to our December 2011 quarter including a large order to a customer. Our other systems revenue decreased \$22.4 million during the six months ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the six months ended December 31, 2011 due primarily to our December 2011 quarter including a large order to a customer.

Embedded radio revenues decreased \$1.0 million , or 41% , from \$2.6 million in the three months ended December 31, 2011 to \$1.5 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 , and decreased \$2.6 million , or 44% , from \$5.8 million in the six months ended December 31, 2011 to \$3.2 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 . We anticipate that embedded radio products will decline as a percentage of revenues in future periods as sales of these products are outpaced by sales of systems products.

Antennas/other revenues decreased \$1.9 million , or 20% from \$9.8 million in the three months ended December 31, 2011 to \$7.9 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 . Antennas/other revenues decreased \$4.2 million , or 21% from \$20.4 million in the six months ended December 31, 2011 to \$16.2 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 . The decline in antennas/other revenues was primarily due to the decreased sales of our systems platforms, which negatively impacted the demand for associated antennas. Other revenues also include revenues that are attributable to PCS. Antenna/other revenues will decline as a percentage of total revenues due to more rapid growth of systems revenues.

Revenues by Geography

We generally forward products directly from our manufacturers to our customers via logistics distribution hubs in Asia. Beginning in the quarter ended December 31, 2012, our products were predominantly routed through a third party logistics provider in China and prior to the quarter ended December 31, 2012, our products were mainly delivered to our customers through distribution hubs in Hong Kong. Our customers in turn ship to other locations throughout the world. We have determined the geographical distribution of our product revenues based on our customer's ship-to destinations. A majority of our sales are to distributors who in turn sell to resellers or directly to end customers. As a result of these factors, we believe that sales to certain geographic locations might be higher or lower, as the ultimate destinations are difficult to ascertain. Revenues in North America decreased primarily due to a significant decline in orders from one of our customers. We believe the decrease in revenues in South America and Europe, the Middle East and Africa was primarily driven by the proliferation of counterfeit versions of our products, which has also created customer uncertainty regarding the authenticity of their potential purchases. Revenues in the Asia Pacific region tend to be volatile given the low levels of revenues. The following are our revenues by geography for the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended December 31,				Six Months Ended December 31,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
North America(1)	\$ 12,106	16%	\$ 21,440	24%	\$ 32,467	24%	\$ 46,381	28%
South America	17,081	23%	24,250	28%	27,324	20%	44,085	26%
Europe, the Middle East and Africa	35,929	48%	30,356	35%	59,073	43%	55,139	33%
Asia Pacific	9,785	13%	11,771	13%	17,572	13%	21,379	13%
Total revenues	<u>\$ 74,901</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$ 87,817</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$ 136,436</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$ 166,984</u>	<u>100%</u>

- (1) Revenue for the United States was \$11.4 million and \$20.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 , respectively. Revenue for the United States was \$30.7 million and \$45.1 million for the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 , respectively.

Cost of Revenues and Gross Profit

Cost of revenues decreased \$6.1 million , or 12% , from \$50.5 million in the three months ended December 31, 2011 to \$44.4 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 . Cost of revenues decreased \$15.8 million , or 16% , from \$96.7 million in the six months ended December 31, 2011 to \$80.9 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 . The decreases in cost of revenues in both the three and six months ended December 31, 2012 was primarily due to decreased revenues and to a lesser extent, changes in product mix.

Gross profit decreased from 42% in the three months ended December 31, 2011 to 41% in the three months ended December 31, 2012 . Gross profit decreased from 42% in the six months ended December 31, 2011 to 41% in the six months ended December 31, 2012 . The decrease in gross profit in both periods reflects increases in variable operating costs.

Operating Expenses

Research and Development

Research and development expenses increased \$1.4 million , or 37% , from \$3.7 million in the three months ended December 31, 2011 to \$5.1 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 . As a percentage of revenues, research and development expenses increased from 4% in the three months ended December 31, 2011 to 7% in the three months ended December 31, 2012 . Research and development expenses increased \$2.7 million , or 38% , from \$7.1 million in the six months ended December 31, 2011 to \$9.8 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 . As a percentage of revenues, research and development expenses increased from 4% in the six months ended December 31, 2011 to 7% in the six months ended December 31, 2012 . The increase in research and development expenses in absolute dollars in both periods was due to increases in headcount as we broadened our research and development activities to new product areas. As a percentage of revenues, research and development expenses increased in both periods primarily due to our overall decrease in revenues. Over time, we expect our research and development costs to increase in absolute dollars as we continue making significant investments in developing new products and developing new versions of our existing products.

Sales, General and Administrative

Sales, general and administrative expenses increased \$2.9 million , or 119% , from \$2.4 million in the three months ended December 31, 2011 to \$5.3 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 . As a percentage of revenues, sales, general

and administrative expenses increased from 3% in the three months ended December 31, 2011 to 7% in the three months ended December 31, 2012 . Sales, general and administrative expenses increased \$5.3 million , or 115% , from \$4.6 million in the six months ended December 31, 2011 to \$9.8 million in the six months ended December 31, 2012 . As a percentage of revenues, sales, general and administrative expenses increased from 3% in the six months ended December 31, 2011 to 7% in the six months ended December 31, 2012 . Sales, general and administrative expenses increased in both periods due largely to increased legal expenses associated with our anti-counterfeiting litigation, increased marketing and tradeshow activity and increases in our bad debt allowance. As a percentage of revenues sales, general and administrative expenses increased in both periods primarily due to our overall revenue decrease in revenues. Over time, we expect our sales, general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute dollars due to continued efforts to protect our intellectual property and growth in headcount to support our business and operations.

Interest Expense and Other, Net

Interest expense and other, net was \$197,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2012 , representing a decrease of \$115,000 from \$312,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2011 . Interest expense and other, net was \$283,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2012 , representing a decrease of \$663,000 from \$946,000 for the six months ended December 31, 2011 . During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we incurred interest expense on our convertible subordinated promissory notes issued as part of the repurchase of Series A convertible preferred stock from entities affiliated with Summit Partners, L.P. in July 2011. The convertible subordinated promissory notes were repaid in full in October 2011.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our provision for income taxes decreased \$4.1 million , or 66% , from \$6.2 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011 to \$2.1 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012 . Our provision for income taxes decreased \$6.9 million , or 60% , from \$11.5 million for the six months ended December 31, 2011 to \$4.6 million for the six months ended December 31, 2012 . Our effective tax rate decreased to 11% for the three months ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 20% the three months ended December 31, 2011 . Our effective tax rate decreased to 13% for the six months ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 20% the six months ended December 31, 2011 . The decrease in the effective tax rates during both periods was primarily due to a larger percentage of our overall profitability occurring in foreign jurisdictions with lower income tax rates.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and Uses of Cash

Since inception, our operations primarily have been funded through cash generated by operations. Cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities increased from \$122.1 million at June 30, 2012 to \$148.3 million at December 31, 2012 .

Consolidated Cash Flow Data

The following table sets forth the major components of our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows data for the periods presented:

	Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 50,525	\$ 19,970
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,467)	(1,110)
Net cash used in financing activities	(20,817)	(30,377)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 26,241	\$ (11,517)

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities in the six months ended December 31, 2012 of \$50.5 million consisted primarily of net income of \$31.0 million and net changes in operating assets and liabilities that resulted in net cash inflows of \$15.2 million . These changes consisted primarily of a \$18.5 million decrease in accounts receivable due to decreased revenues and improved cash collections, a \$1.7 million increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities due to decreased overall business activity, a \$4.4 million increase in taxes payable due the timing of federal tax payments and a \$1.6 million increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets due to an increase in overall business activity. Additionally, our net income included non-cash adjustments due to stock-based compensation, depreciation and amortization, increases to our provision for doubtful accounts

and write-downs for inventory obsolescence and an excess tax benefit from stock-based awards. The net of these non-cash adjustments resulted in an increase of our net cash provided by operating activities of \$4.3 million .

Net cash provided by operating activities in the six months ended December 31, 2011 of \$20.0 million consisted primarily of net income of \$46.2 million partially offset by changes in operating assets and liabilities. These changes consisted primarily of a \$22.2 million increase in accounts receivable due to our overall revenue growth, a \$9.1 million increase in taxes payable, a \$3.8 million increase in inventories, a \$1.5 million decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, a \$1.0 million decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets and an increase of \$608,000 in deferred revenues and deferred cost of revenues. Additionally, our net income included non-cash adjustments due to stock-based compensation, depreciation and amortization, adjustments to our provisions for doubtful accounts and inventory obsolescence and an excess tax benefit from stock-based awards. The net of these non-cash adjustments resulted in a reduction of our net cash provided by operating activities of \$9.4 million .

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Our investing activities consist solely of capital expenditures and purchases of intangible assets. Capital expenditures for the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$2.6 million and \$1.1 million , respectively. Additionally, we had cash outflows related to the purchase of intangible assets of \$814,000 during the six months ended December 31, 2012 .

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

On August 7, 2012, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the “Loan Agreement”) with U.S. Bank, as syndication agent, and East West Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders party to the Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement replaced the EWB Loan Agreement discussed below. The Loan Agreement provides for (i) a \$50.0 million revolving credit facility, with a \$5.0 million sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit and a \$5.0 million sublimit for the making of swingline loan advances (the “Revolving Credit Facility”), and (ii) a \$50.0 million term loan facility (the “Term Loan Facility”). We may request borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility until August 7, 2015. On August 7, 2012, we borrowed \$20.8 million of term loans under the Term Loan Facility, and no borrowings remain available thereunder. On November 21, 2012, we borrowed \$10.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility. On December 20, 2012, we borrowed an additional \$20.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility, and \$20.0 million remains available for borrowing thereunder.

The Loan Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including covenants that limit or restrict our and our subsidiaries’ ability to, among other things, incur indebtedness, grant liens, merge or consolidate, dispose of assets, pay dividends or make distributions, make investments, make acquisitions, prepay certain indebtedness, change the nature of our or its business, enter into certain transactions with affiliates, enter into restrictive agreements, and make capital expenditures, in each case subject to customary exceptions for a credit facility of this size and type. We are also required to maintain a minimum debt service coverage ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, and a minimum liquidity ratio. As of December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all affirmative and negative covenants, debt service coverage ratio, leverage ratio and minimum level of liquidity requirements.

On August 9, 2012, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock. The share repurchase program commenced August 13, 2012. During the six months ended December 31, 2012 we repurchased 5,159,050 shares for a total cost of \$54.4 million .

On December 14, 2012, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized a special cash dividend of \$0.18 per share for each share of common stock outstanding on December 24, 2012. The aggregate dividend payment of \$15.7 million was paid on December 28, 2012 to stockholders of record on December 24, 2012.

In July 2011, we repurchased an aggregate of 12,041,700 shares of our Series A preferred stock from entities affiliated with Summit Partners, L.P., one of our major stockholders, at a price of \$8.97 per share for an aggregate consideration of \$108.0 million. Of the aggregate purchase price, \$40.0 million was paid in cash at the time of closing and the balance of the shares were paid for through the issuance of convertible subordinated promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$68.0 million. On September 15, 2011, \$34.0 million was paid against the notes reducing the aggregate principal amount outstanding to \$34.0 million.

On September 15, 2011, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with East West Bank, (the “EWB Loan Agreement”). The EWB Loan Agreement consisted of a \$35.0 million term loan facility and a \$5.0 million revolving line of credit facility. The term loan was scheduled to mature on September 15, 2016 with principal and interest to be repaid in 60 monthly installments. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we used \$34.0 million of the term loan to repay a portion of

our outstanding convertible subordinated promissory notes held by entities affiliated with Summit Partners, L.P. The EWB Agreement was replaced by the Loan Agreement on August 7, 2012 as discussed above.

Liquidity

We believe our existing cash and cash equivalents, cash provided by operations and the availability of additional funds under our loan agreements will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditure needs for at least the next 12 months. Our future capital requirements may vary materially from those currently planned and will depend on many factors, including our rate of revenue growth, the timing and extent of spending to support development efforts, the timing of new product introductions, market acceptance of our products and overall economic conditions. As of December 31, 2012, we held \$141.1 million of our \$148.3 million of cash and cash equivalents in accounts of our subsidiaries outside of the United States and we will incur significant tax liabilities if we decide to repatriate those amounts.

Commitments and Contingencies

In January 2011, the U.S. Department of Commerce's Bureau of Industry and Security's Office of Export Enforcement ("OEE") contacted us to request that we provide information related to our relationship with a logistics company in the United Arab Emirates ("UAE") and with a company in Iran, as well as information on the export classification of our products. As a result of this inquiry we, assisted by outside counsel, conducted a review of our export transactions from 2008 through March 2011 to not only gather information responsive to the OEE's request but also to review our overall compliance with export control and sanctions laws. We believe our products have been sold into Iran by third parties. We do not believe that we directly sold, exported or shipped our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. However, until early 2010, we did not prohibit our distributors from selling our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. In the course of this review we identified that two distributors may have sold Ubiquiti products into Iran. Our review also found that while we had obtained required Commodity Classification Rulings for our products in June 2010 and November 2010, we did not advise our shipping personnel to change the export authorizations used on our shipping documents until February 2011. During the course of our export control review, we also determined that we had failed to maintain adequate records for the five year period required by the EAR and the sanctions regulations due to our lack of infrastructure and because it was prior to our transition to our current system of record, NetSuite. See "*Risk Factors—We are subject to numerous U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and a substantial majority of our sales are into countries outside of the United States. Although we did not intend to do so, we have violated certain of these laws in the past, and we cannot currently assess the nature and extent of any fines or other penalties, if any, that U.S. governmental agencies may impose against us or our employees for any such violations. Any fines, if materially different from our estimates, or other penalties, could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.*"

In May 2011, we filed a self-disclosure statement with the BIS and the OEE and, in June 2011 we filed a self-disclosure statement with the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Asset Control ("OFAC"), regarding the compliance issues noted above. The disclosures address the above described findings and the remedial actions we have taken to date. However, the findings also indicate that both distributors continued to sell, directly or indirectly, our products into Iran during the period from February 2010 through March 2011 and that we received various communications from them indicating that they were continuing to do so. Since January 2011, we have cooperated with OEE and, prior to our disclosure filing, we informally shared with the OEE the substance of our findings with respect to both distributors. From May 2011 to August 2011, we provided additional information regarding our review and our findings to OEE to facilitate its investigation and OEE advised us in August 2011 that it had completed its investigation of us. In August 2011, we received a warning letter from OEE stating that OEE had not referred the findings of our review for criminal or administrative prosecution of us and closed the investigation of us without penalty.

OFAC is still reviewing our voluntary disclosure. In our submission, we have provided OFAC with an explanation of the activities that led to the sales of our products in Iran and the failure to comply with the EAR and OFAC sanctions. Although our OFAC and OEE voluntary disclosures covered similar sets of facts, which led OEE to resolve the case with the issuance of a warning letter, OFAC may conclude that our actions resulted in violations of U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and warrant the imposition of penalties that could include fines, termination of our ability to export our products and/or referral for criminal prosecution. Any such fines may be material to our financial results in the period in which they are imposed. The penalties may be imposed against us and/or our management. The maximum civil monetary penalty for the violations is up to \$250,000 or twice the value of the transaction, whichever is greater, per violation. Also, disclosure of our conduct and any fines or other action relating to this conduct could harm our reputation and indirectly have a material adverse effect on our business. We cannot predict when OFAC will complete its review or decide upon the imposition of possible penalties.

While we have now taken actions to ensure that export classification information is distributed to the appropriate personnel in a timely manner and have adopted policies and procedures to promote our compliance with these laws and regulations, including

obtaining written distribution agreements with substantially all of our distributors that contain covenants requiring compliance with U.S. export control and economic sanctions law; notifying all of our distributors of their obligations and obtaining updated distribution agreements from distributors that account for over 99% of our revenue in fiscal 2012. Our failure to amend all our distribution agreements and to implement more robust compliance controls immediately after the discovery of Iran-related sales activity in early 2010 may be aggravating factors that could impact the imposition of penalties imposed on us or our management. Based on the facts known to us to date, we recorded an expense of \$1.6 million for this export compliance matter in fiscal 2010, which represents management's estimated exposure for fines in accordance with applicable accounting literature. This amount was calculated from information discovered through our internal review and we deem this loss to be probable and reasonably estimable. However, we believe that it is reasonably possible that the loss may be higher, but we cannot reasonably estimate the range of any further potential losses. Specific information has come to our attention and as we cannot estimate any further range of possible losses. Should additional facts be discovered in the future and/or should actual fines or other penalties substantially differ from our estimates, our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations would be materially negatively impacted.

Warranties and Indemnifications

Our products are generally accompanied by a 12 month warranty, which covers both parts and labor. Generally the distributor is responsible for the freight costs associated with warranty returns, and we absorb the freight costs of replacing items under warranty. In accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB's"), Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC"), 450-30, Loss Contingencies, we record an accrual when we believe it is estimable and probable based upon historical experience. We record a provision for estimated future warranty work in cost of goods sold upon recognition of revenues and we review the resulting accrual regularly and periodically adjust it to reflect changes in warranty estimates.

We may in the future enter into standard indemnification agreements with many of our distributors and OEMs, as well as certain other business partners in the ordinary course of business. These agreements may include provisions for indemnifying the distributor, OEM or other business partner against any claim brought by a third party to the extent any such claim alleges that a Ubiquiti product infringes a patent, copyright or trademark or violates any other proprietary rights of that third party. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unlimited. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is not estimable.

We have agreed to indemnify our directors, officers and certain other employees for certain events or occurrences, subject to certain limits, while such persons are or were serving at our request in such capacity. We may terminate the indemnification agreements with these persons upon the termination of their services with us but termination will not affect claims for indemnification related to events occurring prior to the effective date of termination. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unlimited. We have a director and officer insurance policy that limits our potential exposure. We believe the fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal. We had not recorded any liabilities for these agreements as of December 31, 2012 or 2011 .

Based upon our historical experience and information known as of the date of this report, we do not believe it is likely that we will have significant liability for the above indemnities at December 31, 2012 .

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We lease our headquarters in San Jose, California and other locations worldwide under noncancelable operating leases that expire at various dates through fiscal 2017.

In December 2011, we entered into an agreement to lease approximately 64,512 square feet of office and research and development space located in San Jose, California, which we use as our corporate headquarters. The lease term is from April 1, 2012, through June 30, 2017. The lease has been categorized as an operating lease, and the total estimated lease obligation is approximately \$4.9 million.

On August 7, 2012, we entered into the Loan Agreement with U.S. Bank, as syndication agent, and East West Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders party to the Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement provides for (i) a \$50.0 million revolving credit facility, with a \$5.0 million sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit and a \$5.0 million sublimit for the making of swingline loan advances, and (ii) a \$50.0 million Term Loan Facility. We may request borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility until August 7, 2015. On August 7, 2012, we borrowed \$20.8 million of term loans under the Term Loan Facility and no borrowings remain available thereunder. On November 21, 2012, we borrowed \$10.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility. On December 20, 2012 we borrowed an additional \$20.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility, and \$20.0 million remains available for borrowing thereunder.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012 :

	2013 (remainder)	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Total
Operating leases	\$ 750	\$ 1,505	\$ 1,465	\$ 1,423	\$ 1,117	\$ 188	\$ 6,448
Debt payment obligations	2,500	5,000	6,875	39,375	10,000	15,000	78,750
Interest payments on debt payment obligations	542	1,859	1,723	1,043	532	125	5,824
Total	<u>\$ 3,792</u>	<u>\$ 8,364</u>	<u>\$ 10,063</u>	<u>\$ 41,841</u>	<u>\$ 11,649</u>	<u>\$ 15,313</u>	<u>\$ 91,022</u>

We subcontract with other companies to manufacture our products. During the normal course of business, our contract manufacturers procure components based upon orders placed by us. If we cancel all or part of the orders, we may still be liable to the contract manufacturers for the cost of the components purchased by the subcontractors to manufacture our products. We periodically review the potential liability and to date no significant accruals have been recorded. Our consolidated financial position and results of operations could be negatively impacted if we were required to compensate the contract manufacturers for any unrecorded liabilities incurred.

As of December 31, 2012, we had \$9.3 million of unrecognized tax benefits, substantially all of which would, if recognized, affect our tax expense. We have elected to include interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of tax expense. We do not expect any significant increases or decreases to our unrecognized tax benefits in the next twelve months.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We do not believe there have been any recent accounting pronouncements that would have a significant impact on our financial statements.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Regulation G, conditions for use of Non-Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“Non-GAAP”) financial measures, and other SEC regulations define and prescribe the conditions for use of certain Non-GAAP financial information. To supplement our condensed consolidated financial results presented in accordance with GAAP, we use Non-GAAP financial measures which are adjusted from the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures to exclude certain items, as described below. Management believes that these Non-GAAP financial measures reflect an additional and useful way of viewing aspects of our operations that, when viewed in conjunction with our GAAP results, provide a more comprehensive understanding of the various factors and trends affecting our business and operations. Non-GAAP financial measures used by us include net income or loss and diluted net income or loss per share.

Our Non-GAAP measures primarily exclude stock-based compensation, net of taxes and other special charges and credits. Management believes these Non-GAAP financial measures provide meaningful supplemental information regarding our strategic and business decision making, internal budgeting, forecasting and resource allocation processes. In addition, these Non-GAAP financial measures facilitate management’s internal comparisons to our historical operating results and comparisons to competitors’ operating results.

We use each of these Non-GAAP financial measures for internal managerial purposes, when providing our financial results and business outlook to the public and to facilitate period-to-period comparisons. Management believes that these Non-GAAP measures provide meaningful supplemental information regarding our operational and financial performance of current and historical results. Management uses these Non-GAAP measures for strategic and business decision making, internal budgeting, forecasting and resource allocation processes. In addition, these Non-GAAP financial measures facilitate management’s internal comparisons to our historical operating results and comparisons to competitors’ operating results.

The following table shows our Non-GAAP financial measures:

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
Non-GAAP net income	\$ 18,339	\$ 24,902	\$ 31,911	\$ 46,605
Non-GAAP diluted net income per share of common stock	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.50

We believe that providing these Non-GAAP financial measures, in addition to the GAAP financial results, are useful to investors because they allow investors to see our results “through the eyes” of management as these Non-GAAP financial measures reflect our internal measurement processes. Management believes that these Non-GAAP financial measures enable investors to better assess changes in each key element of our operating results across different reporting periods on a consistent basis and provides investors with another method for assessing our operating results in a manner that is focused on the performance of our ongoing operations.

The following table shows a reconciliation of GAAP net income to non-GAAP net income:

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
Net Income	\$ 17,803	\$ 24,691	\$ 30,982	\$ 46,184
Stock-based compensation:				
Cost of revenues	104	27	185	33
Research and development	401	116	667	232
Sales, general and administrative	388	208	697	437
Tax effect of non-GAAP adjustments	(357)	(140)	(620)	(281)
Non-GAAP net income	\$ 18,339	\$ 24,902	\$ 31,911	\$ 46,605
Non-GAAP diluted net income per share of common stock (1)	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.50
Weighted-average shares used in computing non-GAAP diluted net income per share of common stock (1)	90,056	93,446	91,493	93,480

(1) Non-GAAP diluted net income per share of common stock is calculated using non-GAAP net income excluding stock-based compensation, net of taxes and weighted-average shares outstanding as if Series A preferred stock is treated as common stock for the periods presented.

The following table shows a reconciliation of weighted-average shares used in computing net loss per share of common stock-diluted to weighted-average shares used in computing non-GAAP diluted net income per share of common stock:

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(In thousands)		(In thousands)	
Weighted average shares used in computing net loss per share of common stock- diluted	90,056	90,056	91,493	75,102
Weighted average dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock units	—	—	—	3,247
Weighted average shares of Series A preferred stock outstanding	—	3,390	—	15,131
Weighted-average shares used in computing non-GAAP diluted income per share of common stock	90,056	93,446	91,493	93,480

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest Rate Sensitivity

We have interest rate risk from the LIBOR index that is used to determine the interest rates on our Loan Agreement. Interest will accrue on the outstanding principal amount of the term loan at a rate per annum equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate (based on one, two or three month interest periods) plus a spread of either 2.50% or 3.00%, which spread shall be determined based on the debt service ratio for the preceding four fiscal quarter period. The loans bear interest, at our option, at the base rate plus a spread of 1.25% to 1.75% or an adjusted LIBOR rate (at our election for a period of 30, 60, or 90 days) plus a spread of 2.25% to 2.75%, in each case with such spread being determined based on our debt service coverage ratio for the most recently ended fiscal quarter. The base rate is the highest of (i) East West Bank’s prime rate, (ii) the federal funds rate plus a margin equal to 0.50%, or (iii) the LIBOR rate plus a margin equal to 1.00%. Based on a sensitivity analysis, as of December 31, 2012, an instantaneous and sustained 200-basis-point increase in interest rates affecting our floating rate debt obligations, and assuming

that we take no counteractive measures, would result in a charge to our net income before income taxes in excess of \$1.0 million over the next 12 months.

We had cash and cash equivalents of \$148.3 million and \$122.1 million as of December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively. These amounts were held primarily in cash deposits and money market funds. The fair value of our cash and cash equivalents would not be significantly affected by either a 10% increase or decrease in interest rates due mainly to the short-term nature of these instruments.

Foreign Currency Risk

Most of our sales are denominated in U.S. dollars, and therefore, our revenues are not currently subject to significant foreign currency risk. Our operating expenses are denominated in the currencies of the countries in which our operations are located, and may be subject to fluctuations due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, particularly changes in the Chinese Yuan, Lithuanian Lita and Taiwan Dollar. During the three months ended December 31, 2012, a 10% appreciation or depreciation in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the other currencies in which our expenses are denominated would not have had a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012. The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Control systems, no matter how well conceived and operated, are designed to provide a reasonable, but not an absolute, level of assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Because of the inherent limitations in any control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Intellectual Property

From time to time, we may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business regarding the rights and use of our intellectual property. We have received, and may in the future continue to receive, claims from third parties asserting infringement of their intellectual property rights and request of indemnification from our business partners or purchasers or four products arising out of third-party infringement claims. Future litigation may be necessary to defend ourselves and our wireless carriers by determining the scope, enforceability and validity of third party proprietary rights or to establish our proprietary rights.

Anti-Counterfeiting Litigation

In May, 2012, we filed a lawsuit in the U.S. federal court for the Northern District of California against Kozumi USA Corp. and its owner Shao Wei (William) Hsu. The lawsuit alleged that Kozumi and Mr. Hsu have engaged in intellectual property theft, and illegal manufacturing and sale of counterfeit Ubiquiti products. In June, the court granted our application for a temporary restraining order enjoining Kozumi and Mr. Hsu and anyone in active concert or participation with them from using our trademarks, destroying evidence of counterfeiting and infringement, or assisting, aiding or abetting any other person or business entity in engaging in or performing any of such activities. In July 2012, the court issued a preliminary injunction against Kozumi and Mr. Hsu to the same effect and froze Mr. Hsu's real estate assets in the U.S. We intend to vigorously pursue this and other legal actions against the counterfeiters.

Export Compliance

In January 2011, the U.S. Department of Commerce's Bureau of Industry and Security's Office of Export Enforcement ("OEE") contacted us to request that we provide information related to our relationship with a logistics company in the United Arab Emirates ("UAE") and with a company in Iran, as well as information on the export classification of our products. As a result of this inquiry we, assisted by outside counsel, conducted a review of our export transactions from 2008 through March 2011 to not only gather information responsive to the OEE's request but also to review our overall compliance with export control and sanctions laws. We believe our products have been sold into Iran by third parties. We do not believe that we directly sold, exported or shipped our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. However, until early 2010, we did not prohibit our distributors from selling our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo. In the course of this review we identified that two distributors may have sold Ubiquiti products into Iran. Our review also found that while we had obtained required Commodity Classification Rulings for our products in June 2010 and November 2010, we did not advise our shipping personnel to change the export authorizations used on our shipping documents until February 2011. During the course of our export control review, we also determined that we had failed to maintain adequate records for the five year period required by the EAR and the sanctions regulations due to our lack of infrastructure and because it was prior to our transition to our current system of record, NetSuite. See "*Risk Factors—We are subject to numerous U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and a substantial majority of our sales are into countries outside of the United States. Although we did not intend to do so, we have violated certain of these laws in the past, and we cannot currently assess the nature and extent of any fines or other penalties, if any, that U.S. governmental agencies may impose against us or our employees for any such violations. Any fines, if materially different from our estimates, or other penalties, could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.*"

In May 2011, we filed a self-disclosure statement with the BIS and OEE. In June 2011, we filed a self-disclosure statement with OFAC, regarding the compliance issues noted above. The disclosures address the above described findings and the remedial actions we have taken to date. However, the findings also indicate that both distributors continued to sell, directly or indirectly, our products into Iran during the period from February 2010 through March 2011 and that we received various communications from them indicating that they were continuing to do so. Since January 2011, we have cooperated with OEE and, prior to our disclosure filing, we informally shared with the OEE the substance of our findings with respect to both distributors. From May 2011 to August 2011, we provided additional information regarding our review and our findings to OEE to facilitate its investigation and OEE advised us in August 2011 that it had completed its investigation of us. In August 2011, we received a warning letter from OEE stating that OEE had not referred the findings of our review for criminal or administrative prosecution and closed the investigation of us without penalty.

OFAC is still reviewing our voluntary disclosure. In our submission, we have provided OFAC with an explanation of the activities that led to the sales of our products in Iran and the failure to comply with the EAR and OFAC sanctions. Although our OFAC and OEE voluntary disclosures covered similar sets of facts, which led OEE to resolve the case with the issuance of a warning letter, OFAC may conclude that our actions resulted in violations of U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws

and warrant the imposition of penalties that could include fines, termination of our ability to export our products and/or referral for criminal prosecution. Any such fines may be material to our financial results in the period in which they are imposed. The penalties may be imposed against us and/or our management. The maximum civil monetary penalty for the violations is up to \$250,000 or twice the value of the transaction, whichever is greater, per violation. Also, disclosure of our conduct and any fines or other action relating to this conduct could harm our reputation and indirectly have a material adverse effect on our business. We cannot predict when OFAC will complete its review or decide upon the imposition of possible penalties.

While we have now taken actions to ensure that export classification information is distributed to the appropriate personnel in a timely manner and have adopted policies and procedures to promote our compliance with these laws and regulations, including obtaining written distribution agreements with substantially all of our distributors that contain covenants requiring compliance with U.S. export control and economic sanctions law; notifying all of our distributors of their obligations and obtaining updated distribution agreements from distributors that account for over 99% of our revenue in fiscal 2012. Our failure to amend all our distribution agreements and to implement more robust compliance controls immediately after the discovery of Iran-related sales activity in early 2010 may be aggravating factors that could impact the imposition of penalties imposed on us or our management. Based on the facts known to us to date, we recorded an expense of \$1.6 million for this export compliance matter in fiscal 2010, which represents management's estimated exposure for fines in accordance with applicable accounting literature. This amount was calculated from information discovered through our internal review and we deem this loss to be probable and reasonably estimable. However, we believe that it is reasonably possible that the loss may be higher, but we cannot reasonably estimate the range of any further potential losses. Specific information has come to our attention and as we cannot estimate any further range of possible losses. Should additional facts be discovered in the future and/or should actual fines or other penalties substantially differ from our estimates, our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations would be materially negatively impacted.

Shareholder Class Action Lawsuits

Beginning on September 7, 2012, two shareholder class action complaints were filed against us, certain of our officers and directors and the underwriters of our initial public offering in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. On January 30, 2013, the plaintiffs filed an Amended Consolidated Complaint, which alleges claims under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 on behalf of a purported class of those who purchased our common stock between October 14, 2011 and August 9, 2012 and/or acquired our stock pursuant to or traceable to the registration statement for the initial public offering. The Amended Consolidated Complaint alleges that the defendants violated the federal securities laws by issuing false or misleading statements regarding the sale of counterfeit versions of our products. The consolidated complaint seeks, among other things, damages and interest, rescission, and attorneys' fees and costs.

We believe that the allegations in the consolidated complaint are without merit and intend to vigorously contest the litigation. However, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in our defense. Because the case is at a very early stage, we cannot currently estimate the loss or the range of possible losses we may experience in connection with this litigation.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

This report contains forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the risk factors set forth below. These risks and uncertainties are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also affect our business. If any of these known or unknown risks or uncertainties actually occurs and have material adverse effects on our business, financial condition and results of operations could be seriously harmed.

We have limited visibility into future sales, which makes it difficult to forecast our future operating results.

Because of our limited visibility into demand and channel inventory levels, our ability to accurately forecast our future revenues is limited. We sell our products and solutions globally to network operators, service providers and others, primarily through our network of distributors, resellers and OEMs. We do not employ a direct sales force. Sales to distributors accounted for 97% and 96% of our revenues in the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Sales to distributors accounted for 97% of our revenues in both the six months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Generally, our distributors are not obligated to promote our products and solutions and are free to promote and sell the products and solutions of our competitors. We sell our products to our distributors on a purchase order basis. Our distributors do not typically provide us with information about market demand for our products. While we have recently begun efforts to obtain inventory level and sales data from our distributors, this information has been difficult to obtain in a timely manner. Since we have only recently begun gathering this data, we cannot be certain that the information is reliable. Our operating expenses are relatively low and fixed in the short-term, and we may not be able to decrease our expenses to offset any shortfall in revenues. If we under forecast

demand, our ability to fulfill sales orders will be compromised and sales may be deferred or lost altogether as potential purchasers seek alternative solutions.

We are subject to risks associated with our distributors' inventory management practices. Should any of our distributors fail to resell our products in the period of time they anticipate or overstock inventories to address anticipated supply interruptions that do not occur, our revenues and operating results would suffer in future periods.

Our distributors are required to purchase and maintain their own inventories of our products and have no right to return the products they have purchased. We receive limited information from the distributors regarding their inventory levels and their sales of our products. If our distributors are unable to sell an adequate amount of their inventories of our products, their financial condition may be adversely affected, which could result in a decline in our sales to these distributors. Distributors with whom we do business may face issues maintaining sufficient working capital and liquidity or obtaining credit, which could impair their ability to make timely payments to us. In addition, in the past we have experienced shortages of our products and our distributors have ordered quantities in excess of their anticipated near term demand to insulate themselves from supply interruptions. If, in the future, some distributors decide to purchase more of our products than are required to satisfy customer demand in any particular quarter, inventories at these distributors would grow. These distributors likely would reduce future orders until inventory levels realign with customer demand, which could adversely affect our revenues in a subsequent quarter.

We rely on a limited number of distributors, which we consider to be our customers, and the loss of existing, or a need to add new distributors may cause disruptions in our shipments, which may materially adversely affect our ability to sell our products and achieve our revenue forecasts and we may be unable to sell inventory we have manufactured to meet expected demand in a timely manner, if at all.

Although we have a large number of distributors who sell our products, we sell a substantial majority of our products through a limited number of these distributors. In the three months ended December 31, 2012, revenues from Customer A and Customer B represented 15% and 14% of our revenues, respectively. In the three months ended December 31, 2011, revenues from Customer A represented 21% of our revenues. In the six months ended December 31, 2012, revenues from Customer A represented 12% of our revenues. In the six months ended December 31, 2011, revenues from Customer A and Customer C represented 19% and 12% of our revenues, respectively. We anticipate that we will continue to be dependent upon a limited number of distributors for a significant portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. The portion of our revenues attributable to a given distributor may also fluctuate in the future. Termination of a relationship with a major distributor, either by us or by the distributor, could result in a temporary or permanent loss of revenues. We may not be successful in finding other suitable distributors on satisfactory terms, or at all, and this could adversely affect our ability to sell in certain geographic markets or to certain network operators and service providers.

We have experienced, and may in the future experience, reduced sales levels and damage to our brand due to production of counterfeit versions of our products.

We have recently identified parties that are manufacturing and selling counterfeit products that infringe our intellectual property rights. Given the increased popularity of our products, we believe there is a high likelihood that counterfeit products or other products infringing on our intellectual property rights will continue to emerge, seeking to benefit from the consumer demand for our products. In order to combat counterfeit goods, we may be required to spend significant resources to monitor and protect our intellectual property rights. We may not be able to detect infringement and may lose our competitive position in the market before we are able to do so. If the quality of counterfeit products is not representative of the quality of our products, further damage could be done to our brand. In addition, enforcing rights to our intellectual property may be difficult and expensive, and we may not be successful in combating counterfeit products and stopping infringement of our intellectual property rights, particularly in some foreign countries, where we could lose sales.

Our operating results will vary over time and such fluctuations could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

Our quarterly operating results fluctuate significantly due to a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control, and we expect them to continue to do so. Our revenues were \$74.9 million, \$61.5 million, \$94.9 million, \$91.7 million and \$87.8 million and our net income was \$17.8 million, \$13.2 million, \$28.5 million, \$27.9 million and \$24.7 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012, September 30, 2012, June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Because revenues for any future period are not predictable with any significant degree of certainty, you should not rely on our past results as an indication of our future performance. If our revenues or operating results fall below the expectations of investors or securities analysts or below any estimates we may provide to the market, the price of our common shares would likely decline substantially. The Company's stock has recently experienced substantial price volatility. For example, from our initial public offering through December 31, 2012, the price of our common stock ranged from \$7.80 to \$35.99 per share.

Additionally, the stock market as a whole has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the stock price of many technology companies in ways that may have been unrelated to these companies' operating performance. If the Company fails to meet these expectations its stock price may significantly decline, which could have a material adverse impact on investor confidence and employee retention.

Factors that could cause our operating results and stock price to fluctuate include:

- varying demand for our products due to the financial and operating condition of our distributors and their customers, distributor inventory management practices and general economic conditions;
- shifts in our fulfillment practices including increasing inventory levels in attempt to decrease customer lead times;
- inability of our contract manufacturers and suppliers to meet our demand;
- success and timing of new product introductions by us and the performance of our products;
- announcements by us or our competitors regarding products, promotions or other transactions;
- lost sales due to the proliferation of counterfeit versions of our products;
- costs related to the protection of our intellectual property, including defense against counterfeiting efforts;
- costs related to responding to government inquiries related to regulatory compliance;
- our ability to control and reduce product costs;
- expenses of our entry into new markets, such as video surveillance microwave backhaul and machine-to-machine communications;
- commencement of litigation or adverse results in litigation;
- changes in the manner in which we sell products;
- increased warranty costs;
- volatility in foreign exchange rates, changes in interest rates and/or the availability and cost of financing or other working capital to our distributors and their customers;
- the impact of write downs of excess and obsolete inventory; and
- the impact of any provisions for doubtful accounts.

In addition, our business may be subject to seasonality; however, our recent growth rates and timing of product introductions may have masked seasonal changes in demand. Although we have not perceived seasonality to date, we may experience seasonality in the future.

We could be adversely affected by unfavorable results from shareholder class action litigation.

Beginning on September 7, 2012, two shareholder class action complaints were filed against us. On January 30, 2013, the plaintiffs filed an Amended Consolidated Complaint, which alleges claims under the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 on behalf of a purported class of those who purchased our common stock between October 14, 2011 and August 9, 2012 and/or acquired our stock pursuant to or traceable to the registration statement for the initial public offering. The consolidated complaint seeks, among other things, damages and interest, rescission, and attorneys' fees and costs. Although we believe that the allegations in the complaint are without merit and we intend to vigorously contest the litigation, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in our defense. If one or more of these claims are resolved against us, our consolidated financial statements could be materially adversely affected.

If we fail to protect our intellectual property rights adequately, our ability to compete effectively or to defend ourselves from litigation could be impaired, which could reduce our revenues and increase our costs.

We rely primarily on patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as confidentiality and non-disclosure agreements and other methods, to protect our proprietary technologies and know-how. The prospective rights sought in our pending patent applications may not be meaningful or provide us with any commercial advantage and they could be opposed, contested, circumvented or designed around by our competitors or be declared invalid or unenforceable in judicial or administrative proceedings. Any failure of our patents to adequately protect our technology might make it easier for our competitors to offer similar products or technologies. In addition, patents may not be issued from any of our current or future applications.

Monitoring unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult and costly. Unauthorized use of our intellectual property, such as counterfeits of our products and unauthorized registration of our trademarks by third parties, has occurred in the past and may occur in the future without our knowledge. The steps we have taken may not prevent unauthorized use of our intellectual property. Further, we may not be able to detect unauthorized use of, or take appropriate steps to enforce our intellectual property rights. Our competitors may also independently develop similar technology. Our failure to effectively protect our intellectual property could reduce the value and potential application of our technology and could impair our ability to compete. Any failure by us to meaningfully protect our intellectual property could result in competitors offering products that incorporate our most technologically advanced features, which could seriously reduce demand for our products. We have

initiated and may continue to initiate infringement claims or litigation. Litigation, whether we are a plaintiff or a defendant, can be expensive and time-consuming and may divert the efforts of our technical staff and managerial personnel, which could result in lower revenues and higher expenses, whether or not such litigation results in a determination favorable to us.

Enforcement of our intellectual property rights abroad, particularly in China and South America, is limited and it is often difficult to protect and enforce such rights.

The intellectual property protection regimes outside the United States are generally not as comprehensive as in the United States and may not protect our intellectual property in some countries where our products are sold or may be sold in the future. In addition, effective enforcement of intellectual property rights in certain countries may not be available.

In particular, the legal regimes relating to intellectual property rights in China and South America are limited and it is often difficult to effectively protect and enforce such rights in those countries. For example, the regulatory scheme for enforcing China's intellectual property laws may not be as developed as regulatory schemes in other countries. Any advancement of an intellectual property enforcement claim through China's regulatory scheme may require an extensive amount of time, allowing intellectual property infringers to continue largely unimpeded, to our detriment in the Chinese and other export markets. In addition, rules of evidence may be unclear, inconsistent or difficult to comply with, making it difficult to prove infringement of our intellectual property rights. As a result, enforcement cases may be difficult or ineffective.

These factors may make it increasingly complicated for us to enforce our intellectual property rights against infringers, allowing them to harm our business in the Chinese or other export markets by affecting the pricing for our products, reducing our sales and diluting our brand or product quality reputation.

If our contract manufacturers do not respect our intellectual property and trade secrets and if they or others produce competitive products reducing our sales or causing customer confusion, our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Because our contract manufacturers operate in China, where prosecution of intellectual property infringement and trade secret theft is more difficult than in the United States, certain of our contract manufacturers, their affiliates, their other customers or their suppliers may attempt to misappropriate our intellectual property and trade secrets to manufacture our products for themselves or others without our knowledge. Although we attempt to enter into agreements with our contract manufacturers to preclude them from misusing our intellectual property and trade secrets, we may be unsuccessful in monitoring and enforcing our intellectual property rights. We have in the past found and expect in the future to find counterfeit goods in the market being sold as Ubiquiti products. Although we take steps to stop counterfeits, we may not be successful and network operators and service providers who purchase these counterfeit goods may have a bad experience, our brand may be harmed, and our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

Our business and prospects depend on the strength of our brand. Failure to maintain and enhance our brand would harm our ability to expand our base of distributors and the number of network operators and service providers who purchase our products.

Maintaining and enhancing the Ubiquiti brand is critical to expanding our base of distributors, network operators, service providers, and VARs who purchase our products. Maintaining and enhancing our brand will depend largely on our ability to continue to develop and provide products and solutions that address the price-performance characteristics sought by these customers and end-users in underserved and underpenetrated markets, which we may not do successfully. If we fail to promote, maintain and protect our brand successfully, our ability to sustain and expand our business and enter new markets will suffer. Furthermore, if we fail to replicate the Ubiquiti Community in other markets that we seek to enter, the strength of our brand in and beyond those markets could be adversely affected. Our brand may be impaired by a number of other factors, including product malfunctions and exploitation of our trademarks by others without permission. Despite our efforts to protect our trademarks, we have been unsuccessful to date in obtaining a trademark registration from the United States Patent and Trademark Office for the name of our company, Ubiquiti Networks, and as a result, we only have common law trademark rights in the United States in our name. Additionally, we have been subject to counterfeiting efforts which may damage our brand value. Any inability to effectively police our trademark rights against unauthorized uses by third parties could adversely impact the value of our trademarks and our brand recognition. If we fail to maintain and enhance the Ubiquiti brand, or if we need to incur unanticipated expenses to establish the brand in new markets, our operating results would be negatively affected from reduced sales and increased expenses related to strengthening our brand and our customers may be confused about which products are ours.

The networking, enterprise WLAN, video surveillance, microwave backhaul and machine-to-machine communications markets in which we compete are highly competitive and competitive pressures from existing and new products and solutions may have a material adverse effect on our business, revenues, growth rates and market share.

The networking, enterprise WLAN, video surveillance, microwave backhaul and machine-to-machine communications markets in which we compete are highly competitive and are influenced by competitive factors including:

- total cost of ownership and return on investment associated with the solutions;
- simplicity of deployment and use of the solutions;
- ability to rapidly develop high performance integrated solutions;
- reliability and scalability of the solutions;
- market awareness of a particular brand;
- ability to provide secure access to wireless networks;
- ability to offer a suite of products and solutions;
- ability to allow centralized management of the solutions; and
- ability to provide quality product support.

We expect competition to intensify in the future as other established and new companies introduce new products in the same markets we serve or intend to enter and as these markets continue to consolidate. In particular, companies with successful, widely known brands may price their products aggressively to compete with ours. This competition could result in increased pricing pressure, reduced profit margins, increased sales and marketing expenses and failure to increase, or the loss of, market share, any of which would likely seriously harm our business, operating results or financial condition. If we do not keep pace with product and technology advances, end users may switch to other suppliers and our ability to sell our products may be impaired, which could harm our competitive position, revenues and prospects for growth.

A number of our current or potential competitors have longer operating histories, greater brand recognition, larger customer bases and significantly greater financial, technical, sales, marketing and other resources than we do.

As we move into new markets for different types of equipment, our brand may not be as well known as incumbents in those markets. Potential customers may prefer to purchase from their existing suppliers rather than a new supplier, regardless of product performance or features. In the integrated radio market, our competitors include Alvarion, Motorola and Trango, and in the 900MHz product market, Cisco and Proxim. In the embedded radio market, our competitors include Mikrotiks and Senao. In the backhaul market, our competitors include Ceragon, DragonWave and Mikrotiks. In the CPE market, our competitors include Mikrotiks, Ruckus and TP-LINK. In the antenna market, we primarily compete with Andrew Corporation, PCTEL and Radio Waves. In the enterprise WLAN market, we primarily compete with Ruckus, Aruba Networks and Cisco. In the video surveillance market, we primarily compete with Vivotek, Axis Communications and Mobotix. In the microwave backhaul market, we primarily compete with DragonWave, SAF Tehnika and Trango. In the machine-to-machine communications market, we primarily compete with EnergyHub, Motorola and AlertMe.com. We expect increased competition from other established and emerging companies if our market continues to develop and expand. As we enter new markets, we expect to face competition from incumbent and new market participants.

In addition, some of our competitors have made acquisitions or entered into partnerships or other strategic relationships with one another to offer a more comprehensive solution than they had offered individually. We expect this consolidation to continue as companies attempt to strengthen or maintain their market positions in an evolving industry and as companies enter into partnerships or are acquired. Many of the companies driving this consolidation trend have significantly greater financial, technical and other resources than we do and are better positioned to acquire and offer complementary products and technologies. The competitors resulting from these possible consolidations may create more compelling product offerings and be able to offer greater pricing flexibility, making it more difficult for us to compete effectively, including on the basis of price, sales and marketing programs, technology or product functionality. Continued industry consolidation may adversely impact perceptions of the viability of smaller and even medium-sized technology companies and, consequently, willingness to purchase from such companies. These pricing pressures and competition from more comprehensive solutions could impair our ability to sell our products profitably, if at all, which could negatively affect our revenues and results of operations.

New entrants and the introduction of other distribution models in our markets may harm our competitive position.

The markets for development, distribution and sale of our products are rapidly evolving. New entrants seeking to gain market share by introducing new technology and new products may make it more difficult for us to sell our products, and could create increased pricing pressure, reduced profit margins, increased sales and marketing expenses or the loss of market share or expected market share, any of which may significantly harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

Historically, large, integrated telecommunications equipment suppliers controlled access to the wireless broadband infrastructure equipment and network management software that could be used to extend the geographic reach of wireless

internet networks. However, in recent years, network operators and service providers have been able to purchase wireless broadband infrastructure equipment and purchase and implement network management applications from distributors, resellers and OEMs. Increased competition from providers of wireless broadband equipment may result in fewer vendors providing complementary equipment, which could harm our business and revenues. Broadband equipment providers or system integrators may also offer wireless broadband infrastructure equipment for free or as part of a bundled offering, which could force us to reduce our prices or change our selling model to remain competitive. If there is a major shift in the market such that network operators and service providers begin to use closed network solutions that only operate with other equipment from the same vendor, we could experience a significant decline in sales because our products would not be interoperable with these proprietary standards.

We may not be able to enhance our products to keep pace with technological and market developments, or develop new products in a timely manner or at competitive prices.

The market for our wireless broadband networking equipment is emerging and is characterized by rapid technological change, evolving industry standards, frequent new product introductions and short product life cycles. Our future success in keeping pace with technological developments, satisfying increasing network operator and service provider requirements and achieving product acceptance depends upon our ability to enhance our current products and to continue to develop and introduce new product offerings and enhanced performance features and functionality on a timely basis at competitive prices. Our inability, for technological or other reasons, to enhance, develop, introduce or deliver compelling products in a timely manner, or at all, in response to changing market conditions, technologies or network operator and service provider expectations could have a material adverse effect on our operating results if end users fail to purchase our products. Our ability to compete successfully will depend in large measure on our ability to maintain a technically skilled development and engineering staff and to adapt to technological changes and advances in the industry, including providing for the continued compatibility of our products with evolving industry standards and protocols and competitive network management environments. Development and delivery schedules for our products are difficult to predict. We may fail to introduce new versions of our products in a timely fashion. If new releases of our products are delayed, our distributors may curtail their efforts to market and promote our products and network operators and service providers may switch to competing products, any of which would result in a delay or loss of revenues and could harm our business. In addition, we cannot assure you that the technologies and related products that we develop will be brought to market by us as quickly as anticipated or that they will achieve broad acceptance among network operators and service providers.

We may become subject to warranty claims, product liability and product recalls.

From time to time, we may become subject to warranty or product liability claims that may require us to make significant expenditures to defend these claims or pay damage awards. In the event of a warranty claim, we may also incur costs if we compensate the affected network operator or service provider. We also may incur costs and expenses relating to a recall of one or more of our products. The process of identifying recalled products that have been widely distributed may be lengthy and require significant resources and we may incur significant replacement costs, contract damage claims from our network operators or service providers and harm to our reputation. Costs or payments made in connection with warranty and product liability claims and product recalls could cause our operating results to decline and harm our brand.

Our distributors, network operators, service providers, VARs and system integrators may expect us to indemnify them for intellectual property infringement claims, damages caused by defective products and other losses.

Our distributors, network operators and service providers may expect us to indemnify them for losses suffered or incurred in connection with our products, including as a result of intellectual property infringement, damages caused by defects and damages caused by viruses, worms and other malicious software, although our agreements with them may not, in all cases, require us to provide this indemnification. In order to satisfy these parties' demands for indemnification and the maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make may be substantial or unlimited and could materially harm our business, operating results and financial condition. We may in the future agree to defend and indemnify our distributors, network operators and service providers, irrespective of whether we believe that we have an obligation to indemnify them or whether we believe that our services and products infringe the asserted intellectual property rights. Alternatively, we may reject certain of these indemnity demands, which may lead to disputes with a distributor, network operator or service provider and may negatively impact our relationships with the party demanding indemnification or result in litigation against us. Our distributors, network operators and service providers may also claim that any rejection of their indemnity demands constitutes a material breach of our agreements with them, allowing them to terminate such agreements. If, as a result of indemnity demands, substantial payments are required, our relationships with our distributors, network operators and service providers are negatively impacted or if any of our material agreements is terminated, our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

If we lose the services of our founder and chief executive officer, Robert J. Pera, other key members of our management team or key research and development employees, we would be required to replace these individuals and may incur additional expense to recruit and employ these persons.

Our success and future growth depend on the skills, working relationships and continued services of our management team and in particular, our founder and chief executive officer, Robert J. Pera. Our future performance will also depend on our ability to continue to retain our other senior management. We do not maintain key person insurance for any of our personnel, except for a small policy with respect to Mr. Pera. Further, our current chief financial officer will be resigning effective February 28, 2013. We cannot be certain that there will be smooth transition to our new chief financial officer.

Our business model relies in part on leanly staffed, independent and efficient research and development teams. Our research and development personnel tend to be key contributors for a given platform and there is little overlap in knowledge and responsibilities. In the event that we are unable to retain the services of these key contributors, we may be unable to bring our products to market in a timely manner, if at all, due to disruption in our development activities.

Our future success will also depend on our ability to attract, retain and motivate skilled personnel in the United States and internationally. All of our employees work for us on an at will basis. Competition for personnel is intense in the networking equipment industry, and particularly, for persons with specialized experience in areas such as antenna design and RF equipment. As a result, we may be unable to attract or retain qualified personnel. Our inability to attract and retain the necessary personnel could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We operate in an industry with extensive intellectual property litigation. Claims of infringement against us or our suppliers may cause us to incur substantial expenses to defend ourselves and could impair our ability to sell our products if an adverse outcome were to occur.

Our commercial success depends in part upon us and our component suppliers not infringing intellectual property rights owned by others and being able to resolve claims of intellectual property infringement without major financial expenditures. We operate in an industry with extensive intellectual property litigation and it is not uncommon for suppliers of certain components of our products, such as chipsets, to be involved in infringement lawsuits by or against third parties. Many industry participants that own, or claim to own, intellectual property aggressively assert their rights. Our key component suppliers are often targets of such assertions, and we may become a target as well. In addition, the network operators and service providers, whom we agree in certain circumstances to indemnify for intellectual property infringement claims related to our products, may be targets of such assertions. We cannot determine with certainty whether any existing or future third party intellectual property rights would require us to alter our technologies, obtain licenses or cease certain activities.

We have received, and may in the future receive, claims from third parties asserting intellectual property infringement and other related claims. Future litigation may be necessary to defend ourselves and demand indemnification from our suppliers, if appropriate, by determining the scope, enforceability and validity of third party proprietary rights or to establish our own proprietary rights. Some of our competitors may have substantially greater resources than we do and may be able to sustain the costs of complex intellectual property litigation to a greater degree and for longer periods of time than we could. In addition, patent holding companies and other third-party non-practicing entities that focus solely on extracting royalties and settlements by enforcing patent rights may target our component suppliers, manufacturers, us, our distributors, members of our sales channels, our network operators and service providers, or other purchasers of our products. These companies typically have little or no product revenues and therefore our patents may provide little or no deterrence against such companies filing patent infringement lawsuits against our component suppliers, manufacturers, us, our distributors, members of our sales channels, network operators and service providers, or other purchasers of our products. For example, we have received correspondence from certain patent holding companies who assert that we infringe certain patents related to wireless communication technologies. We believe that these patents are either invalid or not infringed by us. However, we cannot assure you that a court adjudicating a claim that we infringe these patents would rule in our favor should these patent holding companies file suit against us. We believe that in the event of a claim we may be entitled to seek indemnification from our suppliers. However, we cannot provide any assurances that if we seek such indemnification, we will receive it. At any time, any of these third parties could initiate litigation against us, or we may be forced to initiate litigation against them. Regardless of whether claims that we are infringing patents, trademarks or other intellectual property rights have any merit, these claims can be time consuming and costly to evaluate and defend and could:

- adversely affect our relationships with our current or future network operators and service providers or suppliers;
- cause delays or stoppages in the shipment of our products, or cause us to modify or redesign our products;
- cause us to incur significant expenses in defending claims brought against us, for which we may not be able to obtain indemnification, if applicable, from our suppliers;
- divert management's attention and resources;

- subject us to significant damages or settlements;
- require us to enter into settlements, royalty or licensing agreements on unfavorable terms; or
- require us to cease certain activities.

Moreover, even if some of our contract manufacturers are obligated to indemnify us, these contract manufacturers may contest their obligations to indemnify us, or their available assets or indemnity obligation may not be sufficient to cover our losses.

In addition to liability for monetary damages against us or, in certain circumstances, our network operators and service providers, we may be prohibited from developing, commercializing or continuing to provide certain of our products unless we obtain licenses from the holders of the patents or other intellectual property rights. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain any such licenses on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. If we do not obtain such licenses, our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely affected and we could, for example, be required to cease offering our products or be required to materially alter our products, which could involve substantial costs and time to develop.

For information regarding our trademarks, see the risk factor above titled “Our business and prospects depend on the strength of our brand. Failure to maintain and enhance our brand would harm our ability to expand our base of distributors and the number of network operators and service providers who purchase our products.”

We are subject to numerous U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and a substantial majority of our sales are into countries outside of the United States. Sales outside of the United States represented 85% and 76% of our revenues in the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Although we did not intend to do so, we have violated certain of these laws in the past, and we cannot currently assess the nature and extent of any fines or other penalties, if any, that U.S. governmental agencies may impose against us or our employees for any such violations. Any fines, if materially different from our estimates, or other penalties, could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Sale of certain of our products into Iran, Cuba, Syria, the Sudan and North Korea is restricted or prohibited under U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws. In addition, certain of our products incorporate encryption components and may be exported from and outside the United States only with the required authorization or eligibility for a license exception. Until early 2010, we lacked sufficient familiarity with the export control and sanctions laws and their applicability to our products. Our lack of sufficient familiarity was largely due to our lean corporate infrastructure, the inexperience of our management team in these matters and the fact that our products are manufactured outside the United States and most of our products never enter the United States. In early 2010, as a result of diligence undertaken in connection with the Summit transaction, we learned that our products could not be sold, directly or indirectly, into Iran and other countries subject to a U.S. embargo and we learned that some of our products were listed on the Commerce Control List in the EAR, and require authorization from the BIS, prior to export. We then began to evaluate the export controls and sanctions applicable to our product sales and to take steps to comply with these laws. For instance, we revised our standard form distribution agreements to clearly articulate the restrictions imposed by export control and sanctions laws governing business with embargoed countries, disabled downloads of our software by users in these countries, and obtained the required Commodity Classification Rulings for our encryption products as required by the EAR. In February 2011, our Audit Committee retained outside counsel to conduct a review of our export control compliance and possible sales of our products by third persons to embargoed countries. This review was conducted to fully respond to and cooperate with a request for information from OEE, relating to two foreign companies and the export classification of our products and to ensure that we were in compliance with the export control and sanctions laws. The review was completed in April 2011 and we took the actions described below as a result of our review. In May 2011, we filed a disclosure report regarding our findings as a result of this review with OEE. In August 2011, we received a warning letter from the OEE indicating that the OEE had completed its investigation of us, was closing out the matter without issuing a penalty, had not referred the matters described below for criminal or administrative prosecution and closed the investigation of us. In June 2011, we also filed a voluntary self-disclosure with OFAC, the results of which is still pending.

Transactions Involving Possible Sales of Products into Iran

Although we do not believe that we directly sold, exported or shipped our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo, we believe our products have been sold into Iran by third parties. However, until early 2010, we did not prohibit our distributors from selling our products into Iran or any other country subject to a U.S. embargo.

From 2008 to early 2010, we had a distribution arrangement with a distributor (“Distributor 1”), in the United Arab Emirates (“UAE”) that gave this distributor exclusive jurisdiction over eleven countries in the Middle East, including Iran, as well as authorization to sell worldwide. We had no sales to Distributor 1 in fiscal 2012, and sales to Distributor 1 represented 4% and 6% of our revenues in fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010, respectively. We cannot determine which of our products Distributor 1 sold directly or indirectly to persons in Iran. At some point prior to February 2010, Distributor 1 requested that we list two resellers on our website as authorized resellers of our products in Iran and we did so. We removed these resellers from our website in late February 2010 upon learning of restrictions under the U.S. embargo.

In early 2010, we began implementing policies prohibiting sales of our products into the countries subject to the U.S. embargo, revised our standard form distribution agreements to clearly articulate this policy and disabled downloads of our software by users in these countries. We also entered into a new distribution agreement with Distributor 1 that excluded Iran as one of its territories and contained explicit covenants that Distributor 1 would comply with U.S. export control and economic sanction laws, including a covenant not to sell our products into Iran.

From March 2010 until February 2011, we continued doing business with Distributor 1 under the amended distribution agreement. However, we now believe that Distributor 1 continued to sell our products into Iran after February 2010 and that we overlooked emails from Distributor 1 that included information about Distributor 1's possible activities related to shipping our products to Iran. In February 2011, we suspended sales of our products to Distributor 1 due to the information learned during our export control review that indicated Distributor 1 may still be selling products into Iran. Also, during the export review we recently conducted, we learned that from December 2009 through February 2011, another distributor, Distributor 2, was selling our products to a company in Iran. At the time of these transactions, we did not have a distribution agreement with Distributor 2 and we had not specifically instructed Distributor 2 that our products could not be sold into Iran. Distributor 2, a distributor in Europe, received orders from an Iranian entity, placed those orders with us and instructed us to ship the products to a third party in the UAE. As such, we believed the products' final destination was the UAE. Our records indicate that we may have made up to 13 shipments to Distributor 2 involving an aggregate value of approximately \$340,000 that may have been resold into Iran during this time. Prior to February 2011, we had not previously notified Distributor 2 of our prohibition against sales of our products into Iran. In March 2011, upon learning that it was receiving orders from a company in Iran, we notified Distributor 2 that the end customer was in Iran and of our prohibition on sales to Iran and also entered into a distribution agreement with Distributor 2. The agreement contains clear language requiring compliance with the export control and economic sanctions laws. We continue to sell products to Distributor 2, as we believe this issue has been resolved and these sales did not represent a material portion of Distributor 2's business with us.

Export Classification of Our Products

Following the Summit transaction, we began to research whether our products were subject to U.S. export controls and we hired outside counsel to assist us with this analysis. We learned that a number of our products, although they are foreign produced and do not enter into the United States, may be considered encryption items under the EAR and required an encryption review by BIS. In May 2010, we filed encryption reviews with BIS for our products, and we obtained the required Commodity Classification Rulings for our products between June 2010 and November 2010. We shipped our products prior to receiving these rulings and these shipments appear to have violated the EAR. In addition, we used incorrect export authorizations on our shipping documents even after we received the required Commodity Classification Rulings.

Accordingly, prior to May 2010, we did not fully comply with applicable encryption controls in the EAR, despite having made foreign sales of such items, and continued to use incorrect export authorizations on shipping documents until February 2011, as we did not fully understand the scope of the requirements. In addition, throughout this period, we lacked an effective compliance program with respect to these laws. We have implemented a significant number of policies and procedures and continue to implement further policies and procedures that will help us to comply with these laws.

Inquiry from U.S. Department of Commerce's Office of Export Enforcement

In January 2011, OEE contacted us to request that we provide information related to our relationship with a logistics company in the UAE and with a company in Iran, as well as information on the export classification of our products, neither of which are Distributor 1 or Distributor 2. As a result of this inquiry we, assisted by outside counsel, conducted a review of our export transactions from 2008 through March 2011 to not only gather information responsive to OEE's request but also to review our overall compliance with export control and sanctions laws. It was in the course of this review that we identified the Iranian sales of Distributor 1 after February 2010 and the Iranian sales of Distributor 2. Our review also found that while we had obtained required Commodity Classification Rulings for our products in June 2010 and November 2010, we did not advise our shipping personnel to change the export authorizations used on our shipping documents until February 2011. During the course of our export control review, we also determined that we had failed to maintain adequate records for the five year period required by the EAR and the sanctions regulations due to our lack of infrastructure and because it was prior to our transition to our current system of record, NetSuite.

In May 2011, we filed a self-disclosure statement with the BIS and OEE. In June 2011, we filed a self-disclosure statement with OFAC regarding the compliance issues noted above. The disclosures address the above described findings and the remedial actions we have taken to date. However, the findings also indicate that both Distributor 1 and Distributor 2 continued to sell, directly or indirectly, our products into Iran during the period from February 2010 through March 2011 and that we received various email communications from them indicating that they were continuing to do so. Since January 2011, we have cooperated with OEE and, prior to our disclosure filing, we informally shared with the OEE the substance of our findings with

respect to Distributor 1 and Distributor 2. From May 2011 to August 2011, we provided additional information regarding our review and our findings to OEE to facilitate its investigation and OEE advised us in August 2011 that it had completed its investigation of us. In August 2011, we received a warning letter from OEE stating that OEE had not referred the findings of our review for criminal or administrative prosecution of us and closed the investigation of us without penalty.

OFAC is still reviewing our voluntary disclosure. In our submission, we have provided OFAC with an explanation of the activities that led to the sales of our products in Iran and the failure to comply with the EAR and OFAC sanctions. Although our OFAC and OEE voluntary disclosures covered similar sets of facts that led the OEE to resolve the case with the issuance of a warning letter, OFAC may conclude that our actions resulted in violations of U.S. export control and economic sanctions laws and warrant the imposition of penalties that could include fines, termination of our ability to export our products, and/or referral for criminal prosecution. Any such fines may be material to our financial results in the period in which they are imposed. The penalties may be imposed against us and/or our management. The maximum civil monetary penalty for the violations is up to \$250,000 or twice the value of the transaction, whichever is greater, per violation. Also, disclosure of our conduct and any fines or other action relating to this conduct could harm our reputation and indirectly have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. We cannot predict when OFAC will complete its review or decide upon the imposition of possible penalties.

While we have now taken actions to ensure that export classification information is distributed to the appropriate personnel in a timely manner and have adopted policies and procedures to promote our compliance with these laws and regulations, including obtaining written distribution agreements with substantially all of our distributors that contain covenants requiring compliance with U.S. export control and economic sanctions law; notifying all of our distributors of their obligations and obtaining updated distribution agreements from distributors that account for over 99% of our revenue in fiscal 2012. Our failure to amend all our distribution agreements and to implement more robust compliance controls immediately after the discovery of Iran-related sales activity in early 2010 may be aggravating factors that could impact the imposition of penalties imposed on us or our management. Based on the facts known to us to date, we recorded an expense of \$1.6 million for this export compliance matter in fiscal 2010, which represents management's estimated exposure for fines in accordance with applicable accounting literature. This amount was calculated from information discovered through our internal review and we deem this loss to be probable and reasonably estimable. However, we believe that it is reasonably possible that the loss may be higher, but we cannot reasonably estimate the range of any further potential losses. Specific information has come to our attention and as we cannot estimate any further range of possible losses. Should additional facts be discovered in the future and/or should actual fines or other penalties substantially differ from our estimates, our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations would be materially negatively impacted.

We may also be subject to export control and economic sanctions laws of jurisdictions outside of the United States and a substantial majority of our sales are into countries outside of the United States. If we fail to comply with those foreign export control and economic sanctions laws, we may be unable to sell our products and our business would be materially and adversely affected and our revenues would decline.

In addition to U.S. export regulations, various other countries regulate the import of certain encryption technology and products, and these laws could limit our ability to distribute our products or our customers' ability to implement our products in those countries. Changes in our products or changes in export and import regulations may create delays in the introduction of our products in other countries, prevent our customers with international operations from deploying our products or, in some cases, prevent the transfer of our products to certain countries altogether. Any change in export or import regulations or related legislation, shift in approach to the enforcement or scope of existing regulations, or change in the countries, persons or technologies targeted by such regulations, could negatively impact our ability to sell our products to existing customers or the ability of our current and potential distributors, network operators and service providers outside the United States.

We rely on the Ubiquiti Community to generate awareness of, and demand for, our products. If participation in the Ubiquiti Community decreases materially, or if negative information, justified or otherwise, spreads quickly through the community, we would need to incur substantial additional expenses to generate awareness of, and demand for, our products.

We believe a significant portion of our rapid growth to date has been driven by the diverse and actively engaged Ubiquiti Community and our business model is predicated on the assumption that the Ubiquiti Community will continue to be actively engaged. Given our lack of a direct sales force and limited marketing expenditures, the marketing model enabled by the Ubiquiti Community is central to the success of our business but is ultimately outside of our control. In light of the rapid spread of information within the Ubiquiti Community and the material influence such community has over product adoption by network operators and service providers, any negative information about us or our products, whether or not justified, could quickly and materially decrease demand for our products and be difficult for us to overcome. If the members of the Ubiquiti Community were to reject our products and solutions or adopt competitors' products on a broad basis, our business, operating

results and financial condition would be materially and adversely affected because we would need to incur substantial additional expenses to generate awareness of, and demand for, our products.

We rely on the Ubiquiti Community to provide network operators and service providers with support to install, operate and maintain our products. Any inaccurate information regarding our products that is spread by the Ubiquiti Community could lead to a poor user experience or dissatisfaction with our products.

As we offer limited technical support for our products, we rely on the Ubiquiti Community to provide assistance and, in many cases documentation, to network operators and service providers for the installation, operation and maintenance of our products. Because we do not generate or control the information provided through the Ubiquiti Community, inaccurate information regarding the installation, operation and maintenance of our products could be promulgated through forum postings by members of the Ubiquiti Community. Inaccurate information could lead to a poor customer experience or dissatisfaction with our products, which could negatively impact our reputation and disrupt our sales. Although we moderate and review forum postings to learn of reported problems and assess the accuracy of advice provided by the Ubiquiti Community, as our operations continue to grow, we may not have adequate time or resources to adequately monitor the quality of Ubiquiti Community information.

We rely on the Ubiquiti Community to provide our engineers with valuable feedback central to our research and development processes and if the members of the Ubiquiti Community were to stop providing feedback, our internal research and development costs could increase.

We rely on the Ubiquiti Community to provide rapid and substantive feedback on the functionality and effectiveness of our products. The insights, problems and suggestions raised by the Ubiquiti Community enable our engineers to quickly resolve issues with our existing products and improve functionality in subsequent product releases. For example, we developed airSync (part of the airMAX platform) in response to collocation interference issues that were described in forum postings by members of the Ubiquiti Community. If the members of the Ubiquiti Community were to become less engaged or otherwise stopped providing valuable, timely feedback, our internal research and development costs and our time to market would increase, which could cause us to incur additional expenses or make our products less attractive to network operators and service providers.

Our profitability may decline as we expand into new product areas.

We receive a substantial majority of our revenues from the sale of outdoor wireless networking equipment. We have limited experience in selling our products outside of our distribution model. As we expand into new product areas, such as enterprise WLAN, video surveillance equipment, wireless backhaul and machine-to-machine communications, we may not be able to compete effectively with existing market participants and may not be able to realize a positive return on the investment we have made in these products or services. Entering these markets may result in increased product development costs and our new products may have extended time to market relative to our current products. If our introduction of a new product is not successful or we are not able to achieve the revenues or margins we expect, our operating results may be harmed and we may not recover our product development and marketing expenditures. We may also be required to add a direct sales force and customer support personnel to market and support new or existing products, which would require us to accept substantially lower product margins or increase our operating expenses. Adding a direct sales force or customer support personnel could reduce our operating income and may not be successful.

We rely on a limited number of contract manufacturers to produce and test all of our products, and failure to successfully manage our relationships with these parties could adversely affect our ability to market and sell our products.

We retain contract manufacturers, which are primarily located in China, to manufacture and quality control our products. We currently do not have long-term supply contracts with any of these contract manufacturers. Any significant change in our relationship with these manufacturers could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. We make substantially all of our purchases from our contract manufacturers on a purchase order basis. Our contract manufacturers are not otherwise required to manufacture our products for any specific period or in any specific quantity. We expect that it would take approximately three to six months to transition manufacturing and quality assurance services to new providers. Relying on contract manufacturers for manufacturing and quality assurance also presents significant risks to us, including the inability of our contract manufacturers to:

- assure the quality of our products;
- manage capacity during periods of volatile demand;
- qualify appropriate component suppliers;
- ensure adequate supplies of materials;
- protect our intellectual property;
- deliver finished products at agreed upon prices and schedules; and

- safeguard consigned materials.

The ability and willingness of our contract manufacturers to perform is largely outside our control. For example, during mid-2009, the technology market was rebounding from the sharp economic contraction that was experienced in 2008. Many suppliers and contract manufacturers were unprepared for the speed of the rebound. This led to significant component shortages and capacity constraints at contract manufacturers. During this time, our contract manufacturers claimed difficulty in procuring components and extended our order lead times significantly, which forced us to extend the lead time for our distributors.

From time to time, we may change contract manufacturers, which may disrupt our ability to obtain our products in a timely manner. We believe that our orders may not represent a material portion of our contract manufacturers' total orders and, as a result, fulfilling our orders may not be a priority in the event our contract manufacturers are constrained in their abilities or resources to fulfill all of their customer obligations in a timely manner. If any of our contract manufacturers suffers an interruption in its business, experiences delays, disruptions or quality control problems in its manufacturing operations or we have to change or add additional contract manufacturers, our ability to ship products to our customers would be delayed and our revenues could become volatile and our cost of revenues may increase.

We recently retained the services of a third party logistics and warehousing provider in China. The failure or inability of this service provider to accurately segregate, record and report our inventory and/or deliver products to our customers in an accurate and timely manner could disrupt our business and harm our reputation and operating results.

Beginning in the quarter ended December 31, 2012, we began using a third party logistics and warehousing provider in China to fulfill the majority of our worldwide sales. Prior to that, our shipments were mainly fulfilled by our contract manufacturers. Depending on the terms of our arrangements with the contract manufacturers, legal title to this inventory may belong to them or to us. We rely on this third party logistics and warehousing provider to accurately segregate and record our inventory for us and to report to us the receipt and shipments of our products. We also rely on them to accurately deliver on a timely basis our products to our customers. If this service provider fails to accurately segregate and record our inventory and/or deliver products to our customers in an accurate and timely manner, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We and our contract manufacturers purchase some components, subassemblies and products from a limited number of suppliers. The loss of any of these suppliers may substantially disrupt our ability to obtain orders and fulfill sales as we design in and qualify new components.

We rely on third party components and technology to build and operate our products, and we rely on our contract manufacturers to obtain the components, subassemblies and products necessary for the manufacture of our products. Shortages in components that we use in our products are possible, and our ability to predict the availability of such components is limited. If shortages occur in the future, as they have in the past, our business, operating results and financial condition would be materially adversely affected. Unpredictable price increases of such components due to market demand may occur. While components and supplies are generally available from a variety of sources, we and our contract manufacturers currently depend on a single or limited number of suppliers for several components for our products. If our suppliers of these components or technology were to enter into exclusive relationships with other providers of networking equipment or were to discontinue providing such components and technology to us and we were unable to replace them cost effectively, or at all, our ability to provide our products would be impaired. We and our contract manufacturers generally rely on purchase orders rather than long-term contracts with these suppliers. As a result, even if available, we and our contract manufacturers may not be able to secure sufficient components at reasonable prices or of acceptable quality to build our products in a timely manner. Therefore, we may be unable to meet customer demand for our products, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We are dependent on Qualcomm Atheros, Inc. ("Qualcomm Atheros") for chipsets for our products and do not have short-term alternatives if Qualcomm Atheros were to terminate its agreement with us, which could cause us to be unable to fulfill short-term demand and delay our ability to fulfill orders.

Substantially all of our products currently include chipsets from Qualcomm Atheros. Our license agreement with Qualcomm Atheros may be terminated for convenience at the end of the annual contract term which is September 1, 2013 upon 90 days prior written notice by either party. The termination of our license agreement with Qualcomm Atheros could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. To the extent we are unable to secure an adequate supply of chipsets from Qualcomm Atheros, we would be required to redesign our products to incorporate components from alternative sources, a process which would cause significant delays and would adversely impact our revenues. In accordance with the current terms of the agreement, Qualcomm Atheros may choose to terminate the agreement without cause at the end of the annual contract term by giving us at least 90 days prior written notice before September 1, 2013. We do not stockpile

sufficient chipsets to cover the time it would take to re-engineer our products to replace the Qualcomm Atheros chipsets. Furthermore, if we sought a suitable second source for Qualcomm Atheros chipsets in our products, there can be no assurances that we would be able to successfully second source our chipsets on suitable terms, if at all. In any event, our use of chipsets from multiple sources may require us to significantly modify our product designs to accommodate these different chipsets.

Our reliance on third party components and technology means that we may not be able to introduce new products that include certain advanced features and functionality without obtaining technology licenses from third parties. For example, we currently rely upon a license from Qualcomm Atheros, whose chipsets are incorporated in a majority all of our products. This process is critical to our ability to manufacture our products. Obtaining these licenses may be costly and may delay the introduction of such features and functionality, and these licenses may not be available on commercially favorable terms, or at all. The inability to offer advanced features or functionality, or a delay in our introduction of new products, may adversely affect demand for our products and consequently, materially adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We base our production on our forecasts of future sales. If these forecasts are materially inaccurate, we may overbuild product, which we may be unable to sell in a timely manner or at all, or we may underbuild product, which may impair our customer relationships.

Our distributors typically provide us with purchase orders for delivery within 60 days. We provide our contract manufacturers forecasts of up to approximately five months of demand for long lead time components. To the extent our forecasts are materially inaccurate because we do not receive anticipated purchase order volume, we may under or overbuild product. We may over or under forecast the distributors' actual demand for our products or the mix of products and the components associated with the building of our products. We have experienced volatility in orders with limited advanced notice, and we expect such volatility to occur in the future. If we are unable to meet any increases in demand, our business, operating results and financial condition would be materially adversely affected and our reputation with our customers may be damaged. Conversely, if we over forecast demand, we may build excess inventory which could materially adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We have limited experience and personnel to manage our supply chain, our contract manufacturers and our third party logistics services provider, which may cause us to experience lower product margins, impair product quality and result in our inability to fulfill demand for our products and solutions.

We rely on our contract manufacturers to produce and test all of our products. We also rely on our contract manufacturers to obtain the components, subassemblies and products necessary for the manufacture of our products. We have limited experience and personnel to manage our relationships with our contract manufacturers and our supply chain. Inaccurately forecasting our demand for key components, including the Qualcomm Atheros chipsets, could materially adversely affect our ability to build our products in a timely manner and our margins could decline. Any failure by us to effectively and proactively manage these relationships and activities could result in material adverse effects on our business, operating results and financial condition. If we were required or choose to transition some of our supply chain activities from our contract manufacturers to within our organization, we would be required to hire more experienced personnel and develop more supply chain policies and procedures. This transition could be lengthy and could cause significant delays in the production, testing and shipment of our products, any of which may result in material adverse effects, including an increase in our costs and our ability to ship our products and solutions. We cannot assure you that we would ever be able to effectively complete any such transition.

We have significantly increased our transactional sales volumes in recent periods, and if we fail to effectively manage the challenges associated with this transaction volume growth, we may experience difficulty in properly fulfilling customer orders and may incur increased operational costs.

Over the past several years we have and continue to expand our product offerings, the number of customers we sell to and the number of contract manufacturers we utilize to produce our products. Failure to effectively manage the increased logistical complexities associated with this expansion would make it difficult to fulfill customer orders in a timely manner and could lead to customer dissatisfaction. Further, we may need to increase costs to add personnel, upgrade or replace our existing reporting systems as well as improve our business processes and controls. Failure to effectively manage any of these logistical challenges would adversely impact our business performance and operating results.

If we experience material weaknesses in the future, as we have in the past, or otherwise fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls in the future, we may not be able to accurately report our financial condition or results of operations which may adversely affect investor confidence in our company and, as a result, the value of our common stock.

As a result of becoming a public company, we will be required, under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, to furnish a report by management on, among other things, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting beginning with the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2013. This assessment will need to include disclosure of any material

weaknesses identified by our management in our internal control over financial reporting. A material weakness is a deficiency or combination of deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of a company's annual and interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

We are in the early stages of further enhancing our process of compiling the computer system and process documentation necessary to perform the evaluation needed to comply with Section 404. We may not be able to complete our evaluation, testing and any required remediation in a timely fashion. During the evaluation and testing process, if we identify one or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, we will be unable to assert that our internal controls are effective. We have in the past identified material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, and although we have remediated the material weaknesses identified we cannot assure you that there will not be material weaknesses in our internal controls in the future. If we are unable to conclude that our internal control over financial reporting is effective, we could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which would cause the price of our common stock to decline. In connection with our fiscal 2009 audit, our independent registered public accounting firm identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting related to our ability to account for income taxes in accordance with GAAP. Subsequently, during fiscal 2010, we identified two other material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. The first related to our ability to account for inventory and prepaid advances made to our contract manufacturers in accordance with GAAP. The second related to our ability to account for taxes and other amounts due on payments to our employees in foreign jurisdictions.

We have taken steps to address the material weaknesses as disclosed in the preceding paragraph, including hiring a chief financial officer, a corporate controller and other accounting personnel, forming an audit committee and implementing additional financial accounting controls and procedures. As a result of these actions, we believe that these material weaknesses have been remediated. However, we have not completed the necessary documentation and testing procedures under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and cannot assure you that we will be able to implement and maintain an effective internal control over financial reporting in the future. Any failure to maintain such controls could severely inhibit our ability to accurately report our financial condition or results of operations.

Unfavorable tax law changes, an unfavorable government review of our tax returns, changes in our geographic earnings mix, or imposition of withholding taxes on repatriated earnings could adversely affect our effective tax rate and our operating results.

We conduct operations in multiple jurisdictions and therefore our effective tax rate is influenced by the amounts of income and expense attributed to each such jurisdiction. If such amounts were to change so as to increase the amounts of our net income subject to taxation in higher tax jurisdictions, or if we were to commence operations in jurisdictions assessing relatively higher tax rates, our effective tax rate could be adversely affected. Historically, we have earned a significant amount of our operating income from outside the United States in low tax rate jurisdictions. The continued availability of these rates is dependent on how we conduct our business operation across all tax jurisdictions. We are subject to periodic audits or other reviews by tax authorities in the jurisdictions in which we conduct our activities and there is a risk that tax authorities could challenge our assertion that we have conducted our business operations appropriately in order to benefit in these lower tax rate jurisdictions. In addition, there are possible tax proposals that are being considered by the U.S. Congress or the legislative bodies in foreign jurisdictions that could affect our tax rate, the carrying value of deferred tax assets or our other tax liabilities. We cannot predict the form or timing of potential legislative changes, but any newly enacted tax law could have a material adverse impact on our tax provision, net income and cash flows. In the event of an unfavorable outcome, this may result in additional tax liabilities or other adjustments to our historical results. In addition, we may determine that it is advisable from time to time to repatriate earnings from non-U.S. subsidiaries under circumstances that could give rise to imposition of potentially significant withholding taxes by the jurisdictions in which such amounts were earned and substantial tax liabilities in the United States. In addition, we may not receive the benefit of any offsetting tax credits, which also could adversely impact our effective tax rate. As of December 31, 2012, we held \$141.1 million of our \$148.3 million of cash and cash equivalents in accounts of our subsidiaries outside of the United States and we will incur significant tax liabilities if we were to repatriate those amounts.

Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, the ultimate tax outcome may materially differ from the tax amounts recorded in our consolidated financial statements and may materially affect our income tax provision, net income or cash flows in the period or periods for which such determination is made.

The final determination of our income tax liability may be materially different from our income tax provision.

The final determination of our income tax liability may be materially different from our income tax provision. We are subject to income taxes in both the United States and international jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes. In the ordinary course of our business, there are many transactions where the ultimate

tax determination is uncertain. Additionally our calculations of income taxes are based on our interpretations of applicable tax laws in the jurisdictions in which we file. Although we believe our tax estimates are appropriate, there is no assurance that the final determination of our income tax liability will not be materially different than what is reflected in our income tax provisions and accruals.

We are also subject to the periodic examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service in the United States and other tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes. The outcomes from these examinations may have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Should additional taxes be assessed as a result of new legislation, an audit or litigation; if our effective tax rate should change as a result of changes in federal, international or state and local tax laws; if we are found to not be in compliance with tax regulations; or if we were to change the locations where we operate, there could be a material effect on our income tax provision and results of operations in the period or periods in which that determination is made, and potentially to future periods as well.

Furthermore, our provision for income tax could increase as we expand our international operations, adopt new products, implement changes to our operating structure or undertake intercompany transactions in light of acquisitions, changing tax laws, expiring rulings, and our current and anticipated business and operational requirements.

Our operating expenses will increase as we make further expenditures to enhance and expand our operations in order to support additional growth in our business and public company reporting and compliance obligations.

Historically, we limited our investment in infrastructure but in the future, we expect our infrastructure investments to increase substantially to support our anticipated growth and as a result of our becoming a public company. We are making significant investments in information systems, hiring more administrative personnel, using more professional services and expanding our operations outside the United States. We intend to make additional investments in systems and personnel and continue to expand our operations to support anticipated growth in our business. In addition, we may determine the need in the future to build a direct sales force to market and sell our products or provide additional resources or cooperative funds to our distributors. Such changes to our existing sales model would likely result in higher selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of our revenues. We expect our increased investments to adversely affect operating income. As a result of these factors, we expect our operating expenses to increase.

We have experienced rapid growth in recent periods. If we fail to manage our growth effectively and develop and implement appropriate control systems, our business and financial performance may suffer.

We have substantially expanded our overall business, number of distributors and contract manufacturers, headcount and operations in recent periods. We have made investments in our information systems and significantly expanded our operations outside the United States, including an expansion of our research and development activities in Lithuania and Taiwan. Our expansion has placed, and our expected future growth will continue to place, a significant strain on our managerial, administrative, operational, financial and other resources. Our business model reflects our decision to operate with minimal infrastructure and low support and administrative headcount, so risks related to managing our growth are particularly salient and we may not have sufficient internal resources to adapt or respond to unexpected challenges. As a result of our focus on managing our rapid growth, we may have not allocated sufficient resources to complying with applicable regulatory and other requirements, such as spectrum operating regulations, export and embargoed countries regulations and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, and our development of infrastructure designed to identify and monitor our compliance with these regulatory and other compliance obligations is at an early stage. For example, in February 2011 we hired our first employee charged with complying with spectrum use requirements and we hired a chief counsel in May 2011. Although we have put certain policies and procedures in place following the hiring of our chief financial officer in May 2010, certain of these policies have recently been adopted and our procedures have recently changed and we have limited staff responsible for their implementation and enforcement. For example, we have put in place procedures to verify foreign buyers against U.S. disqualified persons lists and to identify the need for export licenses based on proposed bills of material for new products. Furthermore, our employees who have the most contact with our distributors or who are involved with order entry have recently attended training regarding export controls sponsored by the BIS. If we are unable to manage our growth successfully, or if our control systems do not operate effectively, our business and operating results will suffer.

We do not expect our historical growth rates to continue into the future.

From fiscal 2006 to fiscal 2012, we experienced a CAGR of our revenues of over 137%. We do not expect to sustain this growth rate in the future. Our growth rate to date has reflected our acquisition of market share in a new market that was rapidly

expanding, our introduction of products complementary to our initial offerings and our product pricing strategy designed to accelerate overall market penetration. Given our leadership role in, and the increasing maturity of, the global wireless broadband market, we expect that our revenue growth will slow in the future as it tracks more closely, and is constrained by, the growth rates of the overall market. Although we intend to employ a strategy consistent with our approach to wireless broadband networking as we seek to enter adjacent markets, such as enterprise WLAN, video surveillance, wireless backhaul and machine-to-machine communications, we cannot assure you that we will be successful in penetrating these markets in a manner that achieves rapid revenue growth, or at all. If we are unable to maintain adequate revenue growth, we may not have sufficient resources to execute our business objectives and our share price may decline.

A large percentage of our research and development operations are conducted in Illinois, Lithuania and Taiwan and our ability to introduce new products and support our existing products cost effectively depends on our ability to manage these disparate development sites successfully.

Our success depends on our ability to enhance current products and develop new products rapidly and cost effectively. We currently have a number of our research and development personnel in Illinois, Lithuania and Taiwan. We must successfully allocate product development activities across the various development centers and manage them in such a manner as to meet our time to market windows while maintaining product consistency and quality. We could incur unexpected costs or delays in product development at these remote facilities that could impair our ability to meet market windows or cause us to forego certain new product opportunities.

We rely on third parties for financial and operational services essential to our ability to manage our business. A failure or disruption in these services would materially and adversely affect our ability to manage our business effectively.

We currently use NetSuite to conduct our order management and financial processes. The availability of this service is essential to the management of our business. As we expand our operations, we expect to utilize additional systems and service providers that may also be essential to managing our business. Although the systems and services that we require are typically available from a number of providers, it is time consuming and costly to qualify and implement these relationships. Therefore, our ability to manage our business would suffer if one or more of our providers suffer an interruption in their business, or experience delays, disruptions or quality control problems in their operations, or we have to change or add additional systems and services. We may not be able to control the quality of the systems and services we receive from third party service providers, which could impair our ability to implement appropriate internal controls over financial reporting and may impact our business, operating results and financial condition.

Increased debt levels could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations or limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry.

As of June 30, 2012 we had \$29.6 million of debt related to a term loan with East West Bank. Additionally, in August 2012 we increased the term loan facility to \$50.0 million and entered into a revolving line of credit for up to another \$50.0 million from East West Bank and U.S. Bank under a new loan agreement which replaced our prior loan agreement with East West Bank. As of December 31, 2012, our balance outstanding under these facilities was \$78.6 million.

Our increased debt level could have important consequences, including:

- increasing our vulnerability to general economic and industry conditions;
- requiring a substantial portion of cash flows from operations to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, therefore reducing our ability to use our cash flows to fund our operations, capital expenditures and future business opportunities;
- restricting us from making strategic acquisitions or causing us to make non-strategic divestitures;
- limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes; and
- limiting our ability to adjust to changing market conditions and placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors who are less highly leveraged.

In addition, we may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. If new indebtedness is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we now face could intensify.

We are subject to risks related to our recently announced common stock repurchase program.

In August 2012, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock. The share repurchase program commenced Monday, August 13, 2012. The share repurchase program will be funded from existing cash on hand and from the proceeds from a loan agreement with East West Bank and U.S. Bank. By repurchasing our common stock we will reduce liquidity of our common stock in the open market and could experience increased volatility

in our stock price. Additionally, in order to repurchase our common stock we have further increased our indebtedness to financial institutions, therefore substantially increasing our leverage and interest costs.

Failure to comply with the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (“FCPA”), and similar laws associated with our activities outside the United States could subject us to penalties and other adverse consequences.

As a substantial majority of our revenues is and will be from jurisdictions outside of the United States, we face significant risks if we fail to comply with the FCPA and other laws that prohibit improper payments or offers of payment to foreign governments and their officials and political parties by us and other business entities for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. In many foreign countries, particularly in countries with developing economies, which represent our principal markets, it may be a local custom that businesses operating in such countries engage in business practices that are prohibited by the FCPA or other laws and regulations. Although we have implemented a company policy requiring our employees and consultants to comply with the FCPA and similar laws, we have a limited number of employees engaged in sales so we have not conducted formal FCPA compliance training. We have not engaged in training of our distributors and resellers and are in the process of amending our distributor agreements to provide clear requirements for our distributors’ and resellers’ compliance with U.S. laws, including the FCPA, therefore there can be no assurance that all of our employees, and agents, as well as those companies to which we outsource certain of our business operations, will not take actions in violation of our policies, for which we may be ultimately held responsible. We have not historically entered into written agreements with our distributors and resellers and to the extent we did, those agreements did not clearly state our expectations for our distributors and resellers compliance with U.S. law. As a result of our focus on managing our rapid growth, our development of infrastructure designed to identify FCPA matters and monitor compliance is at an early stage. Any violation of FCPA and related policies could result in severe criminal or civil sanctions and suspension or debarment from U.S. government contracting, which could have a material and adverse effect on our reputation, business, operating results and financial condition.

Our products rely on the availability of unlicensed RF spectrum and if such spectrum were to become unavailable through overuse or licensing, the performance of our products could suffer and our revenues from their sales could decrease.

Our products operate in unlicensed RF spectrum, which is used by a wide range of consumer devices such as cordless phones, baby monitors, and microwave ovens, and is becoming increasingly crowded. If such spectrum usage continues to increase through the proliferation of consumer electronics and products competitive with ours, the resultant higher levels of clutter and interference in the bands of operation our products use could decrease the effectiveness of our products, which could adversely affect our ability to sell our products and our business could be further harmed if currently unlicensed RF spectrum becomes licensed in the United States or elsewhere. Network operators and service providers that use our products may be unable to obtain licenses for RF spectrum at reasonable prices or at all. Even if the unlicensed spectrum remains unlicensed, existing and new government regulations may require we make changes in our products. For example, to provide products for network operators and service providers who utilize unlicensed RF spectrum, we may be required to limit their ability to use our products in licensed RF spectrum. The operation of our products by network operators or service providers in the United States or elsewhere in a manner not in compliance with local law could result in fines, operational disruption, or harm to our reputation.

The complexity of our products could result in unforeseen delays or expenses caused by undetected defects or bugs, which could reduce the market acceptance of our new products, damage our reputation with current or prospective customers and adversely affect our operating costs.

Our products may contain defects and bugs when they are first introduced or as new versions are released. We have focused, and intend to focus in the future, on getting our new products to market quickly. Due to our rapid product introductions, defects and bugs that may be contained in our products may not yet have manifested. We have in the past experienced, and may in the future experience, defects and bugs. If any of our products contains material defects or bugs, or has reliability, quality or compatibility problems, we may not be able to successfully correct these problems. Consequently, our reputation may be damaged and network operators or service providers may be reluctant to buy our products, which could materially and adversely affect our ability to retain existing network operators or service providers and attract new network operators or service providers. In addition, these defects or bugs could interrupt or delay sales to our distributors. If any of these problems is not found until after we have commenced commercial production and distribution of a new product, we may be required to incur additional development costs and product recall, repair or replacement costs. These problems may also result in claims against us by our network operators, service providers or others. As a result, our operating costs could be adversely affected.

Confidentiality agreements with employees and others may not adequately prevent disclosure of our trade secrets and other proprietary information.

We have devoted substantial resources to the development of our proprietary technology and trade secrets. In order to protect our proprietary technology and trade secrets, we rely in part on confidentiality agreements with our employees, licensees, independent contractors and other advisors. These agreements may not effectively prevent disclosure of our trade secrets and may not provide an adequate remedy in the event of unauthorized disclosure of our trade secrets. In addition, others may independently discover trade secrets and proprietary information, and in such cases we could not assert any trade secret rights against such parties. Costly and time consuming litigation could be necessary to determine and enforce the scope of our proprietary rights, and failure to obtain or maintain trade secret protection could adversely affect our competitive business position.

Although we primarily rely on confidentiality agreements to protect our trade secrets, we have failed to obtain such agreements from certain of our former employees due to administrative oversights, including those who participated in the development of certain of our products. Our employment policies require these former employees to continue to protect our trade secrets and to assign to us any intellectual property related to their activities on our behalf. However, we may have difficulty enforcing these rights, which could reduce our competitive differentiation and result in lost sales and customer confusion.

We use open source software in our products that may subject our firmware to general release or require us to re-engineer our products and the firmware contained therein, which may cause harm to our business.

We use open source software in our products, including in connection with our proprietary software, and may use more open source software in the future. From time to time, there have been claims challenging the ownership of open source software against companies that incorporate open source software into their products. As a result, we could be subject to suits by parties claiming ownership of what we believe to be open source software. Some open source licenses contain requirements that we make available source code for modifications or derivative works we create based upon the open source software and that we license such modifications or derivative works under the terms of a particular open source license or other license granting third parties certain rights of further use. If we combine our proprietary firmware or other software with open source software in a certain manner, we could, under certain of the open source licenses, be required to release our proprietary source code publicly or license such source code on unfavorable terms or at no cost. In addition to risks related to license requirements, usage of open source software can lead to greater risks than use of third party commercial software, as open source licensors generally do not provide warranties or controls on origin of the software. Open source license terms relating to the disclosure of source code in modifications or derivative works to the open source software are often ambiguous and few if any courts in jurisdictions applicable to us have interpreted such terms. As a result, many of the risks associated with usage of open source software cannot be eliminated, and could, if not properly addressed, negatively affect our business. We currently disclose or plan to disclose the source code for certain of our proprietary software in an effort to comply with the terms of the licenses applicable to the open source software that we use, and we believe that such disclosure represents the entirety of our source code disclosure obligations under these licenses. However, if we were found to have inappropriately used open source software, we may be required to release our proprietary source code, re-engineer our firmware or other software, discontinue the sale of our products in the event re-engineering cannot be accomplished on a timely basis or take other remedial action that may divert resources away from our development efforts, any of which could adversely increase our expenses and delay our ability to release our products for sale.

Our business is susceptible to risks associated with operations outside of the United States.

As of December 31, 2012 we had international operations in Hong Kong, Lithuania and Taiwan. We also sell to distributors outside the United States and for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, our revenues from sales outside the United States were 85% and 76%, respectively. Our operations outside the United States subject us to risks that we have not generally faced in the United States. These include:

- the burdens of complying with a wide variety of U.S. laws applicable to export controls, foreign operations, foreign laws and different legal standards;
- fluctuations in currency exchange rates;
- unexpected changes in foreign regulatory requirements;
- counterfeiting of our products or infringement on our intellectual property by third parties;
- difficulties in managing the staffing of remote operations;
- potentially adverse tax consequences, including the complexities of foreign value added tax systems, restrictions on the repatriation of earnings and changes in tax rates;
- dependence on distributors in various countries with different pricing policies, inventory management and forecasting practices;

- reduced or varied protection for intellectual property rights in some countries;
- demand for reliable wireless broadband networks in those countries;
- requirements that we comply with local telecommunication regulations in those countries;
- increased financial accounting and reporting burdens and complexity;
- political, social and economic instability in some jurisdictions; and
- terrorist attacks and security concerns in general.

If any of these risks were to come to fruition, it could negatively affect our business outside the United States and, consequently, our operating results. Additionally, operating in markets outside the United States requires significant management attention and financial resources. We cannot be certain that the investment and additional resources required to establish, acquire or integrate operations in other countries will produce desired levels of revenues or profitability.

Our contract manufacturers, shipping points and certain administrative and research and development operations are located in areas likely to be subject to natural disasters or other events that could stop us from having our products made or shipped or could result in a substantial delay in our production or development activities.

Our manufacturing capacity may be reduced or eliminated at one or more facilities because our manufacturing, assembly, testing and shipping contractors are all located in southern China, the majority of our products are shipped from China and we have research and development offices in Taiwan and California. Our principal executive offices are also located in California. The risk of earthquakes, typhoons and other natural disasters in these geographic areas is significant due to the proximity of major earthquake fault lines. Southern China and Taiwan are also subject to typhoons and other Pacific storms. Earthquakes, fire, flooding or other natural disasters in California, southern China or Taiwan, or political unrest, war, labor strikes, work stoppages or public health crises, in countries where our or our contractors' facilities are located could result in the disruption of our development, manufacturing, assembly, testing or shipping capacity. Any disruption resulting from these events could cause significant delays in product development or shipments of our products until we are able to shift our development, manufacturing, assembly or testing from the affected contractor to another third party vendor or our research and development activities to another location. We cannot assure you that alternative capacity could be obtained on favorable terms, if at all.

New safety regulations or changes in existing safety regulations related to our products may result in unanticipated costs or liabilities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, financial condition and future sales, and could place additional burdens on the operations of our business.

Radio emissions are subject to regulation in the United States and the other countries in which we do business. In the United States, various federal agencies including the Center for Devices and Radiological Health of the Food and Drug Administration, the Federal Communications Commission, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration and various state agencies have promulgated regulations that concern the use of radio/electromagnetic emissions standards. Member countries of the EU have enacted similar standards concerning electrical safety and electromagnetic compatibility and emissions standards. If any of our products becomes subject to new regulations or if any of our products becomes specifically regulated by additional government entities, compliance with such regulations could become more burdensome, and we may be unable to ship our products or they may cost substantially more to produce, which would reduce our revenues and increase our cost of revenues.

Government regulations designed to protect consumer privacy may make it difficult for us to sell our products.

Our products may transmit and store personal information. This information is increasingly subject to legislation and regulations in numerous jurisdictions around the world. This government action is typically intended to protect the privacy and security of personal information that is collected, stored and transmitted in or from the governing jurisdiction. In addition, because various foreign jurisdictions have different laws and regulations concerning the storage and transmission of personal information, we may face unknown requirements that pose compliance challenges in new geographic markets that we seek to enter. Such variation could subject us to costs, delayed product launches, liabilities or negative publicity that could impair our ability to expand our operations into some countries and therefore limit our future growth.

As privacy and data protection have become more sensitive issues, we may also become exposed to potential liabilities as a result of differing views on the privacy of personal information. These and other privacy concerns could adversely impact our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, our attempts to protect the privacy of customer data may fail if our encryption is inadequate or fails to operate as expected.

We cannot predict our future capital needs and we may not be able to obtain additional financing to fund our operations.

We may need to raise additional funds in the future. Any required additional financing may not be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all. If we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities or convertible debt, investors may experience significant dilution of their ownership interest, and the newly issued securities may have rights senior to those of the holders of

our common stock. If we raise additional funds by obtaining loans from third parties, we will incur interest expense and may have to comply with covenants and secure that debt obligation with our assets. If additional financing is not available when required or on acceptable terms, we may have to scale back our operations or limit our production activities. As a result, we may not be able to expand our business, develop or enhance our products, take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures, which could result in lower revenues and reduce the competitiveness of our products.

Our existing credit facilities preclude us from entering into additional credit agreements, other than in limited circumstances, and, as a result, we may be required to issue equity securities rather than obtain additional debt financing.

If we are unable to integrate future acquisitions successfully, our operating results and prospects could be harmed.

We have not made any acquisitions to date. In the future, we may make acquisitions to improve or expand our product offerings. Our future acquisition strategy will depend on our ability to identify, negotiate, complete and integrate acquisitions. Mergers and acquisitions are inherently risky and any mergers and acquisitions we complete may not be successful. Any mergers and acquisitions we may pursue would involve numerous risks, including the following:

- difficulties in integrating and managing the operations, technologies and products of the companies we acquire, particularly in light of our lean organizational structure;
- diversion of our management's attention from normal daily operation of our business;
- our inability to maintain the key business relationships and the brand equity of the businesses we acquire;
- our inability to retain key personnel of the acquired company, particularly in light of the demands we place on individual contributors;
- uncertainty of entry into markets in which we have limited or no prior experience and in which competitors have stronger market positions;
- our dependence on unfamiliar affiliates and partners of the companies we acquire;
- insufficient revenues to offset our increased expenses associated with acquisitions;
- our responsibility for the liabilities of the businesses we acquire, including those which we may not anticipate; and
- our inability to maintain internal standards, controls, procedures and policies, particularly in light of our lean organizational structure.

We may be unable to secure the equity or debt funding necessary to finance future acquisitions on terms that are acceptable to us. Completing acquisitions could consume significant amounts of cash. If we finance acquisitions by issuing equity or convertible debt securities, our existing stockholders will likely experience dilution, and if we finance future acquisitions with debt funding, we will incur interest expense and may have to comply with covenants and secure that debt obligation with our assets.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Common Stock Repurchase

Common stock repurchase activity during the three months ended December 31, 2012 was as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased (1)
October 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012	371,665	\$ 11.72	371,665	\$ 64,377
November 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012	657,700	\$ 11.16	657,700	\$ 57,024
December 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	957,771	\$ 11.86	957,771	\$ 45,646

- (1) On August 9, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase up to \$100 million of its common stock, with no expiration from the date of authorization. The share repurchase program commenced Monday, August 13, 2012.

Item 5. Other Information

Our annual meeting of stockholders was held on December 14, 2012. At the annual meeting, the following matters were voted upon and approved by the stockholders:

1. The election of two (2) Class I directors until the third annual meeting of stockholders following their election or until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. The results of the voting were as follows:

a. J. William Gurley

Number of shares voted FOR	21,384,742
Number of shares WITHHELD	57,839,865
Number of Broker Non-Votes	7,369,832

b. John L. Ocampo

Number of shares voted FOR	79,030,312
Number of shares WITHHELD	194,295
Number of Broker Non-Votes	7,369,832

2. Non-binding advisory vote on approval of named executive officer compensation. The results of the voting were as follows:

Number of shares voted FOR	79,180,900
Number of shares voted AGAINST	37,113
Number of shares ABSTAINING	6,594
Number of Broker Non-Votes	7,369,832

3. Non-binding advisory vote on the frequency of holding an advisory stockholder vote on executive officer compensation. The results of the voting were as follows:

Number of shares voted 1 YEAR	2,419,174
Number of shares voted 2 YEARS	59,582,985
Number of shares voted 3 YEARS	17,218,794
Number of shares ABSTAINING	3,654
Number of Broker Non-Votes	7,369,832

4. Ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012. The results of the voting were as follows:

Number of shares voted FOR	86,093,571
Number of shares voted AGAINST	491,318
Number of shares ABSTAINING	9,550

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	
10.1	Retention Agreement by and between the Registrant and John Ritchie dated December 21, 2012.
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. §1350.
101.INS(1)	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Schema Linkbase Document
101.CAL(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document
(1) In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits is furnished and deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.	

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.

Dated: February 8, 2013

By: /s/ Robert J. Pera

Robert J. Pera

*Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)*

Dated: February 8, 2013

By: /s/ John Ritchie

John Ritchie

*Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)*

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	
10.1	Retention Agreement by and between the Registrant and John Ritchie dated December 21, 2012.
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. §1350.
101.INS(1)	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Schema Linkbase Document
101.CAL(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE(1)	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document
(1) In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits is furnished and deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.	

RETENTION AGREEMENT

This Retention Agreement (the “ **Agreement** ”) is entered into as of December 21, 2012 between Ubiquiti Networks, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “ **Company** ”), and John Ritchie (“ **Employee** ”). Reference is made to that certain employment agreement dated May 10, 2010 by and between Employee and the Company (the “ **Employment Agreement** ”).

WHEREAS, Employee is currently employed by the Company as its Chief Financial Officer;

WHEREAS, Employee previously tendered his resignation, which Employee had intended to take effect as of December 31, 2012;

WHEREAS, Employee performs services that are important to the satisfaction of the Company's reporting obligations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “ **Exchange Act** ”); and

WHEREAS, the Company desires to provide Employee with certain incentive to continue to serve the Company as its principal financial officer and to assist in the timely filing of the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the second fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2012 (the “ **Form 10-Q** ”) and to provide the certification required by Rules 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b) under the Exchange Act.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and the mutual agreements contained herein, the parties hereby agree as follows:

1. Employment with the Company. Employee shall continue to serve as the Company's principal financial officer through February 28, 2012 (the “ **Retention Period** ”) and to assist the Company in the timely filing of its Form 10-Q, to sign the Form 10-Q and to provide the certification required by Rules 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b) under the Exchange Act. Upon the end of the day on February 28, 2012, Employee's employment with the Company will voluntarily terminate, as governed by Section 9(c) of the Employment Agreement, except as otherwise provided herein.

2. Payment of Retention Compensation. Upon the signing of this Agreement and provided that Employee remains continuously employed by the Company on a full-time basis through the end of the Retention Period, Employee shall be eligible for compensation as follows:

(a) Salary. During the period of Employee's employment with the Company, Employee shall continue to receive his salary at the rate currently in effect.

(b) Target Bonus. Subject to Employee entering into and not revoking a Release in accordance with Section 5, Employee shall receive a pro rata portion of his target bonus for the fiscal year of the Company ending June 30, 2013 (the “ **Target Bonus** ”) to be paid as follows: (i) \$82,500, representing 1/2 of the target bonus, to be paid on December 31, 2012; and (ii) \$27,500, representing 1/6 of the target bonus, to be paid on February 28, 2013.

(c) Options. During the period of Employee's employment with the Company, all stock options held by Employee shall continue to vest and be exercisable in accordance with their terms. There shall be no acceleration of vesting of any of Employee's stock options or restricted stock units upon his termination of employment.

(d) Benefits; COBRA. During the period of Employee's employment with the Company, Employee shall continue to participate in the Company's employee benefit plans in accordance with their terms. If Employee elects to continue his health coverage under the Company's group health plan following his termination of employment in accordance with the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985, as amended ("COBRA"), and subject to Employee entering into and not revoking a Release in accordance with Section 5, the Company shall pay or reimburse Employee for the COBRA premiums payable by Employee for such coverage until the earlier of the expiration of such coverage or December 31, 2013.

3. Termination of Employment by Company During Retention Period. If Employee satisfactorily performs his duties as described above in Section 1 and the Company terminates Employee without Cause (as defined in the Employment Agreement) prior to the conclusion of the Retention Period, the Company shall pay to Employee the full pro rata portion of the Target Bonus described in Section 2(b) above and the payment or reimbursement of COBRA premiums pursuant to Section 2(d).

4. Termination of Employment by Employee During Retention Period. If Employee terminates employment with the Company prior to the completion of the Retention Period or prior to the signing by Employee, filing and certification of the Form 10-Q, Employee shall not be eligible to receive any portion of the Target Bonus to be paid February 28, 2013 or the payment or reimbursement of COBRA premiums pursuant to Section 2(d).

5. Release, Confidentiality, Nonsolicitation and Non-Disparagement Agreement. As a condition to receiving the Target Bonus and the COBRA benefits described in Section 2(d) above, Employee shall be required to sign a waiver and release of all claims arising out of his employment and termination of employment with the Company attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Release").

6. Payment Timing; Federal and State Taxes. The Company shall deduct from the Target Bonus all required federal and state withholding taxes in accordance with Employee's Form W-4 on file with the Company and all applicable employment taxes.

7. Successors; Binding Agreement. This Agreement shall be binding upon, inure to the benefit of and be enforceable by the Company and its successors and assigns and Employee and his or her personal or legal representatives, executors, administrators, successors, heirs, distributees, devisees and legatees.

8. Governing Law; Validity. The interpretation, construction and performance of this Agreement shall be governed by and construed and enforced in accordance with the internal laws of the State of California without regard to the principle of conflicts of laws.

9. Section 409A. This Agreement is intended to comply with the requirements of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and will be interpreted and construed consistently with such intent. The payments under the Agreement are also intended to be exempt from Section 409A of the Code to the maximum extent possible as short-term deferrals pursuant to Treasury regulations. To the extent any amounts under this Agreement are payable by reference to Employee's "termination of employment," that term shall be deemed to refer to Employee's "separation from service," within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code.

10. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in two counterparts, either of which shall be deemed to be an original and both of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

11. Miscellaneous. No provision of this Agreement may be modified or waived unless such modification or waiver is agreed to in writing and executed by Employee and by a duly authorized officer of the Company. No waiver by either party hereto at any time of any breach by the other party hereto of, or compliance with, any condition or provision of this Agreement to be performed by such other party shall be deemed a waiver of similar or dissimilar provisions or conditions at the same or at any prior or subsequent time. Failure by Employee or the Company to insist upon strict compliance with any provision of this Agreement or to assert any right which Employee or the Company may have hereunder shall not be deemed to be a waiver of such provision or right or any other provision or right of this Agreement. Any confidentiality, inventions, proprietary information, arbitration or other similar agreement between the Company and Employee shall remain in full force and effect, and Employee agrees to remain bound by the terms of such agreements.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Company has caused this Agreement to be executed by its duly authorized officer and Employee has executed this Agreement as of the day and year first above written.

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.

By /s/Jessica Zhou
Jessica Zhou
General Counsel & Vice President of Legal Affairs

EMPLOYEE

/s/ John Ritchie
John Ritchie

Exhibit A
RELEASE OF CLAIMS

This Release of Claims ("Agreement") is made by and between Ubiquiti Networks, Inc., and John Ritchie ("Employee").

WHEREAS, Employee has agreed to enter into a release of claims in favor of the Company pursuant to the Retention Agreement dated December 21, 2012 by and between Company and Employee (the "Employment Agreement").

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises made herein, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

1. Termination. Employee's employment from the Company will terminate on February 28, 2013 (the "Termination Date").
2. Confidential Information. Employee shall continue to maintain the confidentiality of all confidential and proprietary information of the Company and shall continue to comply with the terms and conditions of the At-Will Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment and Arbitration Agreement (the "Confidential Information Agreement"). Employee shall return all the Company property and confidential and proprietary information in his possession to the Company on the Effective Date of this Agreement.
3. Payment of Salary. Employee acknowledges and represents that the Company has paid all salary, wages, bonuses, accrued vacation, commissions and any and all other benefits due to Employee.
4. Release of Claims. Employee agrees that the foregoing consideration represents settlement in full of all outstanding obligations owed to Employee by the Company. Employee, on behalf of himself, and his respective heirs, family members, executors and assigns, hereby fully and forever releases the Company and its past, present and future officers, agents, directors, employees, investors, shareholders, administrators, affiliates, divisions, subsidiaries, parents, predecessor and successor corporations, and assigns, from, and agrees not to sue or otherwise institute or cause to be instituted any legal or administrative proceedings concerning any claim, duty, obligation or cause of action relating to any matters of any kind, whether presently known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, that he may possess arising from any omissions, acts or facts that have occurred up until and including the Effective Date of this Agreement including, without limitation,
 - (a) any and all claims relating to or arising from Employee's employment relationship with the Company and the termination of that relationship;
 - (b) any and all claims relating to, or arising from, Employee's right to purchase, or actual purchase of shares of stock of the Company, including, without limitation, any claims for fraud, misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of duty under applicable state corporate law, and securities fraud under any state or federal law;
 - (c) any and all claims for wrongful discharge of employment; termination in violation of public policy; discrimination; breach of contract, both express and implied; breach of a covenant of good faith and fair dealing, both express and implied; promissory estoppel; negligent or intentional infliction of emotional distress; negligent or intentional misrepresentation; negligent or intentional interference with contract or prospective economic advantage; unfair business practices; defamation; libel; slander; negligence; personal injury; assault; battery; invasion of privacy; false imprisonment; and conversion;
 - (d) any and all claims for violation of any federal, state or municipal statute, including, but not limited to, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Rights Act of 1991, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the Fair Labor Standards Act, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, The Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, and Labor Code section 201, *et seq.* and section 870, *et seq.* and all amendments to each such Act as well as the regulations issued thereunder;
 - (e) any and all claims for violation of the federal, or any state, constitution;
 - (f) any and all claims arising out of any other laws and regulations relating to employment or employment discrimination;and
 - (g) any and all claims for attorneys' fees and costs.

Employee agrees that the release set forth in this section shall be and remain in effect in all respects as a complete general release as to the matters released. This release does not extend to any severance obligations due or any other obligations owing to Employee under the Employment Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement waives Employee's rights to indemnification or any payments under any fiduciary insurance policy, if any, provided by any act or agreement of the Company, state or federal law or policy of insurance.

5. Acknowledgment of Waiver of Claims under ADEA. Employee acknowledges that he is waiving and releasing any rights he may have under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 ("ADEA") and that this waiver and release is knowing and voluntary. Employee and the Company agree that this waiver and release does not apply to any rights or claims

that may arise under the ADEA after the Effective Date of this Agreement. Employee acknowledges that the consideration given for this waiver and release Agreement is in addition to anything of value to which Employee was already entitled. Employee further acknowledges that he has been advised by this writing that (a) he should consult with an attorney prior to executing this Agreement; (b) he has at least twenty-one (21) days within which to consider this Agreement; (c) he has seven (7) days following the execution of this Agreement by the parties to revoke the Agreement; (d) this Agreement shall not be effective until the revocation period has expired; and (e) nothing in this Agreement prevents or precludes Employee from challenging or seeking a determination in good faith of the validity of this waiver under the ADEA, nor does it impose any condition precedent, penalties or costs for doing so, unless specifically authorized by federal law. Any revocation should be in writing and delivered to the Vice-President of Human Resources at the Company by close of business on the seventh day from the date that Employee signs this Agreement.

6. Civil Code Section 1542. Employee represents that he is not aware of any claims against the Company other than the claims that are released by this Agreement. Employee acknowledges that he has been advised by legal counsel and is familiar with the provisions of California Civil Code 1542, below, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Employee, being aware of said code section, agrees to expressly waive any rights he may have thereunder, as well as under any statute or common law principles of similar effect.

7. No Pending or Future Lawsuits. Employee represents that he has no lawsuits, claims, or actions pending in his name, or on behalf of any other person or entity, against the Company or any other person or entity referred to herein. Employee also represents that he does not intend to bring any claims on his own behalf or on behalf of any other person or entity against the Company or any other person or entity referred to herein.

8. Application for Employment. Employee understands and agrees that, as a condition of this Agreement, he shall not be entitled to any employment with the Company, its subsidiaries, or any successor, and he hereby waives any right, or alleged right, of employment or re-employment with the Company.

9. No Cooperation. Employee agrees that he will not counsel or assist any attorneys or their clients in the presentation or prosecution of any disputes, differences, grievances, claims, charges, or complaints by any third party against the Company and/or any officer, director, employee, agent, representative, shareholder or attorney of the Company, unless under a subpoena or other court order to do so.

10. No Admission of Liability. Employee understands and acknowledges that this Agreement constitutes a compromise and settlement of disputed claims. No action taken by the Company, either previously or in connection with this Agreement shall be deemed or construed to be (a) an admission of the truth or falsity of any claims heretofore made or (b) an acknowledgment or admission by the Company of any fault or liability whatsoever to the Employee or to any third party.

11. Costs. The Parties shall each bear their own costs, expert fees, attorneys' fees and other fees incurred in connection with this Agreement.

12. Arbitration. The Parties agree that any and all disputes arising out of the terms of this Agreement, their interpretation, and any of the matters herein released, including any potential claims of harassment, discrimination or wrongful termination shall be subject to binding arbitration, to the extent permitted by law, as specified in the Confidential Information Agreement.

13. Authority. Employee represents and warrants that he has the capacity to act on his own behalf and on behalf of all who might claim through him to bind them to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

14. No Representations. Employee represents that he has had the opportunity to consult with an attorney, and has carefully read and understands the scope and effect of the provisions of this Agreement. Neither party has relied upon any representations or statements made by the other party hereto which are not specifically set forth in this Agreement.

15. Severability. In the event that any provision hereof becomes or is declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal, unenforceable or void, this Agreement shall continue in full force and effect without said provision.

16. Entire Agreement. This Agreement, along with the Confidential Information Agreement and Employee's written equity compensation agreements with the Company, represents the entire agreement and understanding between the Company and Employee concerning Employee's separation from the Company.

17. No Oral Modification. This Agreement may only be amended in writing signed by Employee and the CEO of the Company or the Chair of the Board's Compensation Committee.

18. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by the internal substantive laws, but not the choice of law rules, of the State of California.

19. Effective Date. This Agreement is effective eight (8) days after it has been signed by both Parties.

20. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and each counterpart shall have the same force and effect as an original and shall constitute an effective, binding agreement on the part of each of the undersigned.

21. Voluntary Execution of Agreement. This Agreement is executed voluntarily and without any duress or undue influence on the part or behalf of the Parties hereto, with the full intent of releasing all claims. The Parties acknowledge that:

(a) They have read this Agreement;

(b) They have been represented in the preparation, negotiation, and execution of this Agreement by legal counsel of their own choice or that they have voluntarily declined to seek such counsel;

(c) They understand the terms and consequences of this Agreement and of the releases it contains;

(d) They are fully aware of the legal and binding effect of this Agreement.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, parties hereto have executed this Agreement on the dates set forth below.

EMPLOYEE

By: /s/ John Ritchie

Date: December 21, 2012

UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.

By: /s/ Jessica Zhou

Name: Jessica Zhou

Title: General Counsel & Vice President of Legal Affairs

Date: December 21, 2012

I, Robert J. Pera, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) [paragraph omitted in accordance with Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)];
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 8, 2013

/s/ Robert J. Pera

Robert J. Pera
Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

I, John Ritchie, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) [paragraph omitted in accordance with Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)];
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 8, 2013

/s/ John Ritchie

John Ritchie
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Robert J. Pera, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2012 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that information contained in such Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q fairly presents in all material respects the financial condition and results of operations of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.

Date: February 8, 2013

By: /s/ Robert J. Pera
Name: Robert J. Pera
Title: Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

I, John Ritchie, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2012 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that information contained in such Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q fairly presents in all material respects the financial condition and results of operations of Ubiquiti Networks, Inc.

Date: February 8, 2013

By: /s/ John Ritchie
Name: John Ritchie
Title: Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)