

Argument

I propose a reconstruction of the following phonological processes in Welsh

- North Welsh shows a familiar connection between vowel length and tenseness: [e: o: ε ɔ], /e: o: e o/
- South-West Welsh phonologizes tenseness due to a historical height dissimilation which creates [ε: ɔ:]: /e: o: ε: ɔ: ε ɔ/
- South(-East) Welsh identifies [tense] with [spread glottis] found in consonants, due to phonological interactions between [e: o:] and stops

North Welsh

Example: Dyffryn Alyn, Flintshire (A. R. Thomas 1966)

- Long vowels only found under stress, but not all stressed vowels are long
- In particular, stressed vowels are long before [b̥ d̥ ɡ̊], short before [pʰ tʰ kʰ]
- All stressed vowels are short before clusters
- (Single) consonants are long after short stressed vowels
- Long vowels are tense, short vowels are lax

(1) a. ['he:n] ‘old’ ≠ ['pʰɛn] ‘head’
b. ['tʰo:n] ‘tune’ ≠ ['tʰɔn] ‘wave’

- Variation in unstressed position (unsurprising: no contrast)
- Disagreement in literature on whether tense or length is “phonemic” (A. R. Thomas 1966, G. E. Jones 1984, Awbery 1986)
- Length definitely active in phonology, quality inert in alternations

☞ Only quantity is phonologically relevant, tenseness could be (non-automatic) phonetic implementation: /e: o: e o/

- But the consistency of the tenseness/length pairing is a potential cue for speakers to phonologize (seemingly redundant) tenseness

Contact

Email pavel.iosad@ed.ac.uk
Web <http://www.anghyflawn.net>

South-West Welsh

In SW Welsh (Awbery 1986, C. Jones & Thorne 1992) *long* mid vowels can be tense or lax: tense before [−high] vowels, lax before [+high] vowels:

(4) a. ['kʰɔ:ɖi] ‘to rise’ ≠ ['kʰo:ɖɔð] ‘((s)he) rose’
b. ['ɡwɛ:ɖɔχ] ‘(you (pl.)) say’ ≠ ['ɡwe:ɖɔð] ‘((s)he) said’

Preliminary analysis indicates that the alternation is unlikely to be (only) phonetic implementation

- [e: o:] cannot just result from undershoot: this is the realization in monosyllables
- [ε: ɔ:] in penults seem to be targets




Figure 1: Spectrogram of ['ɖɔ:lɪɡ̊] ‘Christmas’, SW Wales speaker. Note steady formants in [ɔ:]

- ☞ Alternation is categorical and sensitive to *phonology* ([±high] specification)
- ☞ Phonological inventory: at least /e: o: ε: ɔ: ε ɔ/

References

Awbery, Gwenllian M. 1986. *Pembrokeshire Welsh: a phonological study*. Llandysul: Welsh Folk Museum.
Greene, David. 1967. Varia: provection and *calediad*. *Studia Celtica* 2. 101–104.
Iverson, Gregory K. & Joseph C. Salmons. 1995. Aspiration and laryngeal representation in Germanic. *Phonology* 12(3). 369–396.
Jones, Christine & David Thorne. 1992. *Dyfed: blas ar ei thafodiaithoedd*. Llandysul: Gwasg Gomer.
Jones, Glyn E. 1984. The distinctive vowels and consonants of Welsh. In Martin J. Ball & Glyn E. Jones (eds.), *Welsh phonology: Selected readings*, 40–64. Cardiff: University of Wales Press.
Kiparsky, Paul. 1995. The phonological basis of sound change. In John A. Goldsmith (ed.), *The handbook of phonological theory*, 640–670. Oxford: Blackwell.
Thomas, Alan R. 1966. Systems in Welsh phonology. *Studia Celtica* 1. 93–127.
Thomas, Ceinwen H. 1993. *Tafodiaith Nantgarw: Astudiaeth o Gymraeg llafar Nantgarw yng Nghwm Taf, Morgannwg*. Caerdydd: Gwasg Prifysgol Cymru.
Thomas, Siân Elizabeth. 1983. *Astudiaeth o galediad yn Ystalyfera*. Swansea: University College of Swansea MA thesis.
Vaux, Bert. 1996. The status of ATR in feature geometry. *Linguistic Inquiry* 27(1). 175–182.

South-East Welsh

Calediad (“provection”) (Greene 1967): “devoicing” of stops after a stressed vowel

(2) Nantgarw (C. H. Thomas 1993), transcription as in source

a. [gwɾɛ'gasa] ‘belts’ ~ [ˈgwɾekɪ] ‘belt’
b. [ˈkənɪɡ] ‘offer’ ~ [kəˈnɪkjon] ‘offers’

However, *calediad* does not neutralize laryngeal contrast

- Underlying fortis stops yield [VT:V]: [tuk:o] ‘tuck’
- Provetced stops yield [V:TV]: [ke:kin] ‘kitchen’
- Fortis stops are aspirated, provetced stops are not (S. E. Thomas 1983)

Suggestion: unaspirated fortis (provetced) stops result from double link of [SG], as with [st] clusters (e.g. Iverson & Salmons 1995)
Substance-free alert: [tense] identified with [SG], probably because [SG] was already available (Kiparsky 1995)
Stress favours spreading of [tense] from vowels

(3) gwɾekɪ
 |
 [tense]

Underlying fortis stops are unaffected because vowels are short (→ non-tense) before them

- ☞ Length apparently ceases to play the central role once [tense] is so strongly integrated in phonology
- ☞ Account required for provection after lax vowels as in *cynigion*: probably new syllabic/moraic structure

Reconstruction

Provection is a possible counterexample to the generalization that “tense”/[ATR] in vowels correlates with voicing in consonants (e.g. Vaux 1996)

- I suggest that provection is a phonological change, not a phonologization of some phonetic change
- ☞ No recorded dialect with regular provection, always lexically specific and socially constrained

If [tense] is phonological, its distribution is restricted

- [ε ɔ] are found before all consonants
 - From */e o/ in closed syllables
 - From */e: o:/ in open syllables
- [e o] are only found in long contexts, which for stops means
 - Before [b̥ d̥ ɡ̊]
 - Not before [pʰ tʰ kʰ]
- In other words, the phonology allowed [eɖ eɖ ɛtʰ] but not *[etʰ]
- Calediad* fills the gap by identifying [tense] with [SG] and creating doubly linked structures as in [ˈɡwɾekɪ]
- The lack of aspiration follows from the rules of phonetic interpretation in the language, as attested in [st ɬt]-type clusters
- SE Wales does not have the [e: o:] ~ [ε: ɔ:] alternations of SW dialects: presumably the phonologization of tenseness was independent of the SW pattern

Conclusions

- ☞ Categorical distribution of tenseness and length (as in North Wales) leads to the treatment of tenseness as a phonological feature
- ☞ Phonological change leads to further involvement of tenseness in the phonological computation
- ☞ The phonological conceptualization of this feature depends on what other features it interacts with
 - Aperture in SW Wales
 - Laryngeal features in SE Wales
- ☞ This account of change supports the claim that features are emergent/substance-free and are based on phonological activity