

Predicting Student Job Placements

Angie Achram



Table of contents

01

Introduction

02

Pre-processing steps

03

CatBoost and SMOTE

04

Results

01

Introduction

Understanding the topic and data



Introduction

The aim is to classify students into two classes, placed in a job(0) and not placed in a job(1).

To achieve this, we work with the training data by implementing several models and then predict whether the student will get placed or not using our test data.

But... we are dealing with imbalanced data 😞

What is imbalanced data? How do we work with it?

count	
Placement	
0	239
1	61

02

Pre-processing steps

Steps taken before implementing the models



Process before implementing models

1. Convert categorical features to numerical values. (I used binary and label encoding)
2. Dropped the ID column.
3. Implemented the same changes on the test data.
4. Split training data into train, test and cross validation (cv) with stratify.
 - Split it into 70% training and 30% testing.
 - Split the training further into 80% training and 20% cv.

03

CatBoost and SMOTE

Explaining the model



CatBoost

What is CatBoost?

CatBoost is a gradient boosting algorithm that uses ordered boosting based on decision trees, but with unique features:

- Handles categorical features, no need for much pre-processing.
- More accurate with its default settings.
- Faster to train.

Why CatBoost?

- Handles missing data.
- Reduces overfitting.
- Good at handling bias and complex patterns.

SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique)

What is SMOTE?

SMOTE adds more data to our minority class (oversampling).

How it works:

- Start with x (minority) find its K -nearest neighbor (only among the minority class)
- Randomly selects one or more of these neighbors.
- We get: new point = $x + \delta(x_{neighbor} - x)$.

Why SMOTE?

- Adds diversity to minority class.
- Does not copy existing data (adds variation).
- Model fairness.
- Prevents overfitting unlike the typical oversampling.

Drawbacks

- **CatBoost:**
 - Black-box behavior, harder to interpret.
 - Risk of overfitting (without tuning).
- **SMOTE:**
 - Does not handle high dimensional data well.
 - Ignores majority class.
 - Can cause noise. (creates new points close to the majority class)

04

Results

Results after implementing the model



Results

Classification report:

How many predicted
positives were actually
correct

How many actual
positives did the model
catch

Balance between
precision and recall
(Harmonic mean
between precision and
recall)

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.83	0.79	0.81	72
1	0.29	0.33	0.31	18
accuracy			0.70	90
macro avg	0.56	0.56	0.56	90
weighted avg	0.72	0.70	0.71	90

Formulas

	Predicted: 0	Predicted: 1
Actual: 0	True Negative (TN)	False Positive (FP)
Actual: 1	False Negative (FN)	True Positive (TP)

- Precision: $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$
- Recall: $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
- F1-score: $\frac{2 * Precision * Recall}{Precision + Recall}$

Thanks!

