A More Detailed Proof of Prop. 9.3.5

In the slides we explained our goal was to show

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{M}^N} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{M}_N} \tilde{\omega}^{N,j} f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j}) \stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{\to} \nu L(f)$$

for any $f \in \tilde{C}$. First, let's write

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\tilde{M}^N}\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_N}\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j}) - \frac{1}{\tilde{M}^N}\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_N}E\left[\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})\mid\mathcal{F}^N\right]\right\} + \left\{\frac{1}{\tilde{M}^N}\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_N}E\left[\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})\mid\mathcal{F}^N\right]\right\}$$

The first term converges to 0, and the second converges to $\mu(f)$.

1 The Trick

Recall in the slides we discussed that

$$\begin{split} L(\xi^{N,i},f) &= \int L(\xi^{N,i},dy)f(y) \\ &= \int R(\xi^{N,i},dy) \frac{dL(\xi^{N,i},\cdot)}{dR(\xi^{N,i},\cdot)}(y)f(y) \\ &= E\left[\tilde{\omega}^{N,i}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j}) \mid \mathcal{F}^N\right] \end{split}$$

The reason that this is useful is that it is a function of ν samples only. Therefore, as long as this function is sufficiently well-behaved, we only need to worry about ν - consistency.

Another thing: the sum over j (new samples) turns into a sum over i (old

samples) because $\alpha_N M_N = \tilde{M}_N$:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{M}_{N}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_{N}} E\left[\tilde{\omega}^{N,j} f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j}) \mid \mathcal{F}^{N}\right] &= \tilde{M}_{N}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_{N}} L(\xi^{N,i}, f) \\ &= \alpha_{N}^{-1} M_{N}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_{N}} L(\xi^{N,i}, f) \\ &= \alpha_{N}^{-1} M_{N}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{M_{N}} \alpha_{N} L(\xi^{N,i}, f) \\ &= M_{N}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{M_{N}} L(\xi^{N,i}, f), \end{split}$$

So is $L(x, f) \in \mathbb{C}$? The answer is yes, as long as we pick $f \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$, and that follows just by the definition of it:

$$\tilde{\mathsf{C}} = \left\{ f \in L^1(\mathsf{X}, \mu) : x \mapsto L(x, |f|) \in \mathsf{C} \right\}.$$

2 Showing Convergence to Zero using Theorem 9.5.7

We showed the second part of

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\tilde{M}^N}\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_N}\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j}) - \frac{1}{\tilde{M}^N}\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_N}E\left[\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})\mid\mathcal{F}^N\right]\right\} + \left\{\frac{1}{\tilde{M}^N}\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_N}E\left[\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})\mid\mathcal{F}^N\right]\right\}$$

converges in probability to $\nu L(f)$ for any $f \in \tilde{\mathsf{C}}$. Now we must show the fist part converges to 0. To show this, we set $V_{N,j} = \frac{1}{\tilde{M}_N} \tilde{\omega}^{N,j} f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})$, and check the three conditions of 9.5.7.

2.1 First condition of 9.5.7

The first condition, translated into our notation, is: the triangular array $\{V_{N,j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq M_N}$ is conditionally independent given \mathcal{F}^N , and for any $N, j = 1, \ldots, \tilde{M}_N$, we have $E[|V_{N,j}| \mid \mathcal{F}^N] < \infty$.

The first condition of 9.5.7 is true because of the description of the algorithm used for 9.3.5, and because $f \in \tilde{\mathsf{C}}$ by assumption.

2.2 Second Condition of 9.5.7

The second condition is that the sequence (in N)

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_N} E[|V_{N,j}| \mid \mathcal{F}^N] \right\}_N$$

is bounded in probability. This is true because it converges in probability. To see this more easily, use the same trick we've been using a few times:

2.3 Third Condition of 9.5.7

The third condition is that for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_N} E\left[|V_{N,j}| \mathbf{1} \left(|V_{N,j}| \ge \epsilon \right) \mid \mathcal{F}^N \right] \stackrel{\text{p}}{\to} 0.$$

Before, we show the main work, note that, after we pick $f \in \tilde{\mathsf{C}}$, then for any C,

$$L(x, |f|\mathbf{1}_{\{h(x,x') \ge C\}}) = \int L(x, dx') |f(x')| \mathbf{1}_{\{h(x,x') \ge C\}} \le L(x, |f|).$$

This is useful because if we assume $f \in \tilde{C}$, this will imply that $L(x, |f|) \in C$, and by propriety, this will also imply that $L(x, |f| \mathbf{1}_{\{h(x,x') \geq C\}}) \in C$. We need this in the last line of the following work:

$$\lim_{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{N}} E\left[|V_{N,j}|1_{\{|V_{N,j}| \geq \epsilon\}} \mid \mathcal{F}^{N}\right] = \lim_{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{N}} E\left[|\tilde{M}_{N}^{-1}\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})|1_{\{|\tilde{M}_{N}^{-1}\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})| \geq \epsilon\}} \mid \mathcal{F}^{N}\right]$$

$$(defn of V)$$

$$= \lim_{N} \tilde{M}_{N}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_{N}} E\left[\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}|f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})|1_{\{|\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})| \geq \epsilon\}} \mid \mathcal{F}^{N}\right]$$

$$(algebra)$$

$$\leq \lim_{N} \tilde{M}_{N}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_{N}} E\left[\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}|f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})|1_{\{|\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})| \geq C\}} \mid \mathcal{F}^{N}\right]$$

$$(if \, \tilde{M}_{N}\epsilon \geq C)$$

$$= \lim_{N} M_{N}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{M_{N}} \int L(\xi^{N,i}, d\tilde{\xi}^{N,j}) \left|f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})\right| 1_{\{|\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})| \geq C\}}$$

$$(defn of expec. and the trick with replacing i and j)
$$= \iint \nu(dx) L(x, dx')|f|(x') 1_{\{|\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})| \geq C\}}$$

$$(discussion above)$$$$

The last term is bounded above by $\nu L(|f|) < \infty$, so we can use dominated convergence on the above work when we take the limit with $C \uparrow \infty$:

$$\lim_{N} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_{N}} E\left[|V_{N,j}| 1_{\{|V_{N,j}| \ge \epsilon\}} \mid \mathcal{F}^{N}\right] = \lim_{C} \lim_{N} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}_{N}} E\left[|V_{N,j}| 1_{\{|V_{N,j}| \ge \epsilon\}} \mid \mathcal{F}^{N}\right]$$

$$\leq \lim_{C} \iint \nu(dx) L(x, dx') |f(x')| 1_{\{|\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})| \ge C\}}$$
(above)
$$= \iint \nu(dx) L(x, dx') |f(x')| \lim_{C} 1_{\{|\tilde{\omega}^{N,j}f(\tilde{\xi}^{N,j})| \ge C\}}$$
(DCT)
$$= 0.$$