References

- Abadi, E. and Hazan, I. (2020). Improved Feature Engineering for Free-Text Keystroke Dynamics. In Markantonakis, K. and Petrocchi, M., editors, *Security and Trust Management*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 93–105, Cham. Springer International Publishing.
- Acien, A., Morales, A., Monaco, J. V., Vera-Rodriguez, R., and Fierrez, J. (2021). TypeNet: Deep Learning Keystroke Biometrics. *arXiv*:2101.05570 [cs].
- Allen, L. K., Jacovina, M. E., Dascalu, M., Roscoe, R. D., Kent, K. M., Likens, A. D., and McNamara, D. S. (2016). {ENTER} ing the time series {SPACE}: Uncovering the writing process through keystroke analyses. *International Educational Data Mining Society*.
- Altman, D. G. and Bland, J. M. (1994). Statistics notes: Diagnostic tests 2: predictive values. *Bmj*, 309(6947):102.
- Anderson, R. P. and Anderson, G. V. (1962). Development of an Instrument for Measuring Rapport. *The Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 41(1):18–24.
- Ashby, J. and Clifton Jr., C. (2005). The prosodic property of lexical stress affects eye movements during silent reading. *Cognition*, 96(3):B89–B100.
- Auerswald, M. and Moshagen, M. (2019). How to determine the number of factors to retain in exploratory factor analysis: A comparison of extraction methods under realistic conditions. *Psychological methods*, 24(4):468.
- Baaijen, V. M., Galbraith, D., and de Glopper, K. (2012). Keystroke Analysis: Reflections on Procedures and Measures. *Written Communication*, 29(3):246–277.
- Bajaj, S. and Kaur, S. (2013). Typing speed analysis of human for password protection (based on keystrokes dynamics). *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE)*, 3(2):2278–3075.
- Ball, K. et al. (2021). Electronic monitoring and surveillance in the workplace. *European Commission Joint Research Centre*.
- Ballier, N., Pacquetet, E., and Arnold, T. (2019). Investigating Keylogs as Time-Stamped Graphemics. In *Graphemics in the 21st Century*, pages 353–365, Brest. Fluxus Editions.
- Banerjee, S. P. and Woodard, D. (2012). Biometric Authentication and Identification Using Keystroke Dynamics: A Survey. *Journal of Pattern Recognition Research*, 7(1):116–139.
- Barghouthi, H. (2009). Keystroke dynamics: How typing characteristics differ from one application to another. Master's thesis, Gjovik University College.

Barnett, M., Sawyer, J., and Moore, J. (2020). An experimental investigation of the impact of rapport on Stroop test performance. *Applied Neuropsychology: Adult*, pages 1–5.

- Barnett, M. D., Parsons, T. D., Reynolds, B. L., and Bedford, L. A. (2018). Impact of rapport on neuropsychological test performance. *Applied Neuropsychology: Adult*, 25(3):258–265.
- Barrett, M., González-Garduño, A. V., Frermann, L., and Søgaard, A. (2018a). Unsupervised induction of linguistic categories with records of reading, speaking, and writing. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 2028–2038.
- Barrett, M., González-Garduño, A. V., Frermann, L., and Søgaard, A. (2018b). Unsupervised induction of linguistic categories with records of reading, speaking, and writing. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 2028–2038.
- Bazillon, T., Esteve, Y., and Luzzati, D. (2008). Manual vs assisted transcription of prepared and spontaneous speech. In *LREC*, page 5.
- Bell, L., Gustafson, J., and Heldner, M. (2003). Prosodic adaptation in human-computer interaction. In *Proceedings of ICPHS*, volume 3, pages 833–836. Citeseer.
- Benus, S., Enos, F., Hirschberg, J. B., and Shriberg, E. (2006). Pauses in deceptive speech. *Speech Prosody (ISCA)*.
- Beňuš, Š., Trnka, M., Kuric, E., Marták, L., Gravano, A., Hirschberg, J., and Levitan, R. (2018). Prosodic entrainment and trust in human-computer interaction. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Speech Prosody*, pages 220–224. International Speech Communication Association Baixas, France.
- Bertero, D., Siddique, F. B., Wu, C.-S., Wan, Y., Chan, R. H. Y., and Fung, P. (2016). Real-Time Speech Emotion and Sentiment Recognition for Interactive Dialogue Systems. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1042–1047, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Blaauw, E. (1994). The contribution of prosodic boundary markers to the perceptual difference between read and spontaneous speech. *Speech Communication*, 14(4):359–375.
- Blacfkmer, E. R. and Mitton, J. L. (1991). Theories of monitoring and the timing of repairs in spontaneous speech. *Cognition*, 39(3):173–194.
- Bobicev, V. and Sokolova, M. (2017). Inter-annotator agreement in sentiment analysis: Machine learning perspective. In *Proceedings of the International Conference Recent Advances in Natural Language Processing, RANLP 2017*, pages 97–102.
- Borj, P. R. and Bours, P. (2019). Detecting Liars in Chats using Keystroke Dynamics. In *Proceedings* of the 2019 3rd International Conference on Biometric Engineering and Applications ICBEA 2019, pages 1–6, Stockholm, Sweden. ACM Press.
- Bos, N., Olson, J., Gergle, D., Olson, G., and Wright, Z. (2002). Effects of four computer-mediated communications channels on trust development. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 135–140.

Bothe, C., Magg, S., Weber, C., and Wermter, S. (2017). Dialogue-Based Neural Learning to Estimate the Sentiment of a Next Upcoming Utterance. In Lintas, A., Rovetta, S., Verschure, P. F., and Villa, A. E., editors, *Artificial Neural Networks and Machine Learning – ICANN 2017*, volume 10614, pages 477–485, Cham. Springer International Publishing.

- Brandt, D. (2014). The Rise of Writing: Redefining Mass Literacy. Cambridge University Press.
- Branigan, H. P., Pickering, M. J., Pearson, J., McLean, J. F., and Brown, A. (2011). The role of beliefs in lexical alignment: Evidence from dialogs with humans and computers. *Cognition*, 121(1):41–57.
- Breen, M. (2014a). Empirical investigations of the role of implicit prosody in sentence processing. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 8(2):37–50.
- Breen, M. (2014b). Empirical Investigations of the Role of Implicit Prosody in Sentence Processing. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 8(2):37–50.
- Bridges, D., Pitiot, A., MacAskill, M. R., and Peirce, J. W. (2020). The timing mega-study: Comparing a range of experiment generators, both lab-based and online. *PeerJ*, 8:e9414.
- Brizan, D. G., Goodkind, A., Koch, P., Balagani, K., Phoha, V. V., and Rosenberg, A. (2015). Utilizing linguistically enhanced keystroke dynamics to predict typist cognition and demographics. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 82:57–68.
- Bruce, G. and Touati, P. (1990). On the analysis of prosody in spontaneous dialogue. *Working papers/Lund University, Department of Linguistics and Phonetics*, 36:37–55.
- Bukeer, A., Roffo, G., and Vinciarelli, A. (2019). Type Like a Man! Inferring Gender From Keystroke Dynamics in Live-Chats. *AFFECTIVE COMPUTING AND SENTIMENT ANALYSIS*, page 9.
- Buker, A. A. and Vinciarelli, A. (2021). I feel it in your fingers: Inference of self-assessed personality traits from keystroke dynamics in dyadic interactive chats. In 2021 9th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII), pages 1–8. IEEE.
- Burman, P. (1989). A comparative study of ordinary cross-validation, v-fold cross-validation and the repeated learning-testing methods. *Biometrika*, 76(3):503–514.
- Busso, C., Bulut, M., Lee, C.-C., Kazemzadeh, A., Mower, E., Kim, S., Chang, J. N., Lee, S., and Narayanan, S. S. (2008). Iemocap: Interactive emotional dyadic motion capture database. *Language resources and evaluation*, 42(4):335–359.
- Carlson, J. R. and Zmud, R. W. (1994). Channel expansion theory: A dynamic view of medial and information richness perceptions. In *Academy of management proceedings*, pages 280–284. academy of management Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510.
- Carlson, J. R. and Zmud, R. W. (1999). Channel expansion theory and the experiential nature of media richness perceptions. *Academy of management journal*, 42(2):153–170.
- Carney, D. R., Colvin, C. R., and Hall, J. A. (2007). A thin slice perspective on the accuracy of first impressions. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 41(5):1054–1072.
- Cascone, L., Nappi, M., Narducci, F., and Pero, C. (2022). Touch keystroke dynamics for demographic classification. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 158:63–70.

Chafe, W. and Tannen, D. (1987). The Relation between Written and Spoken Language. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 1:383–407.

- Chandler, D. and Kapelner, A. (2013). Breaking monotony with meaning: Motivation in crowd-sourcing markets. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 90:123–133.
- Chang, C.-H., Tan, S., Lengerich, B., Goldenberg, A., and Caruana, R. (2021a). How interpretable and trustworthy are gams? In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 95–105.
- Chang, H.-C., Li, J., Wu, C.-S., and Stamp, M. (2021b). Machine Learning and Deep Learning for Fixed-Text Keystroke Dynamics. *arXiv*:2107.00507 [cs].
- Charrad, M., Ghazzali, N., Boiteau, V., and Niknafs, A. (2014). Nbclust: an r package for determining the relevant number of clusters in a data set. *Journal of statistical software*, 61:1–36.
- Chawla, N. V., Bowyer, K. W., Hall, L. O., and Kegelmeyer, W. P. (2002). Smote: synthetic minority over-sampling technique. *Journal of artificial intelligence research*, 16:321–357.
- Chen, R., Levy, R., and Eisape, T. (2021). On factors influencing typing time: Insights from a viral online typing game. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, volume 43.
- Chicco, D. and Jurman, G. (2020). The advantages of the matthews correlation coefficient (mcc) over f1 score and accuracy in binary classification evaluation. *BMC genomics*, 21(1):1–13.
- Choe, H. (2018). Type your listenership: An exploration of listenership in instant messages. *Discourse Studies*, 20(6):703–725.
- Chung, C. K. and Pennebaker, J. W. (2014). Using Computerized Text Analysis to Track Social Processes. In Holtgraves, T. M., editor, *The Oxford Handbook of Language and Social Psychology*. Oxford University Press.
- Clark, H. and Fox Tree, J. E. (2002). Using uh and um in spontaneous speaking. *Cognition*, 84(1):73–111.
- Clark, H. H. (1996). *Using Language*. Cambridge university press.
- Clark, H. H. and Brennan, S. E. (1991). Grounding in communication. In Resnick, L. B., Levine, J. M., and Teasley, S. D., editors, *Perspectives on Socially Shared Cognition.*, pages 127–149. American Psychological Association, Washington.
- Clark, H. H. and Murphy, G. L. (1982). Audience design in meaning and reference. In *Advances in Psychology*, volume 9, pages 287–299. Elsevier.
- Clark, H. H. and Schaefer, E. F. (1989). Contributing to Discourse. *Cognitive Science*, 13(2):259–294.
- Cohen, J. (1988). The effect size index: d. statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. *Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge Academic*.
- Conijn, R. (2020). *The Keys to Writing: A Writing Analytics Approach to Studying Writing Processes Using Keystroke Logging*. PhD thesis, Tilburg University.

Conijn, R., Roeser, J., and Van Zaanen, M. (2019). Understanding the keystroke log: The effect of writing task on keystroke features. *Reading and Writing*, 32(9):2353–2374.

- Convertino, G., Mentis, H. M., Rosson, M. B., Carroll, J. M., Slavkovic, A., and Ganoe, C. H. (2008). Articulating common ground in cooperative work: content and process. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, pages 1637–1646.
- Coover, J. E. (1923). A method of teaching typewriting based on a psychological analysis of expert typing. In *National Education Association Addresses and Proceedings*, volume 61, pages 561–567.
- Core, M. G. and Allen, J. F. (1997). Coding dialogs with the DAMSL annotation scheme. In *In Proc. Working Notes AAAI Fall Symp. Commun. Action in Humans*.
- Cowan, B. R., Branigan, H. P., Obregón, M., Bugis, E., and Beale, R. (2015). Voice anthropomorphism, interlocutor modelling and alignment effects on syntactic choices in human-computer dialogue. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 83:27–42.
- Cowen, A. S., Elfenbein, H. A., Laukka, P., and Keltner, D. (2019). Mapping 24 emotions conveyed by brief human vocalization. *American Psychologist*, 74(6):698.
- Crookes, G. (1990). The utterance, and other basic units for second language discourse analysis. *Applied linguistics*, 11(2):183–199.
- Daft, R. L. and Lengel, R. H. (1986). Organizational information requirements, media richness and structural design. *Management science*, 32(5):554–571.
- Dagum, P. (2018). Digital biomarkers of cognitive function. NPJ digital medicine, 1(1):1–3.
- Dahlmann, I. and Adolphs, S. (2007). Pauses as an indicator of psycholinguistically valid multi-word expressions (MWEs)? In *Proceedings of the Workshop on a Broader Perspective on Multiword Expressions MWE '07*, pages 49–56, Prague, Czech Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil, C. and Lee, L. (2011). Chameleons in imagined conversations: A new approach to understanding coordination of linguistic style in dialogs. *arXiv:1106.3077* [physics].
- Deane, P. (2013). On the relation between automated essay scoring and modern views of the writing construct. *Assessing Writing*, 18(1):7–24.
- Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., and Toutanova, K. (2019). Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *North American Association for Computational Linguistics (NAACL)*.
- Dhakal, V., Feit, A. M., Kristensson, P. O., and Oulasvirta, A. (2018). Observations on Typing from 136 Million Keystrokes. In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems CHI '18*, pages 1–12, Montreal QC, Canada. ACM Press.
- Dhillon, R. (2008). Using pause durations to discriminate between lexically ambiguous words and dialog acts in spontaneous speech. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 123(5):3425–3425.
- D'Onofrio, A. (2020). Personae in sociolinguistic variation. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science*, 11(6):e1543.

- Edelsky, C. (1981). Who's got the floor? Language in society, 10(3):383–421.
- Edlund, J., Heldner, M., and Gustafson, J. (2005). Utterance segmentation and turn-taking in spoken dialogue systems. *Sprachtechnologie, mobile Kommunikation und linguistische Ressourcen*, pages 576–587.
- Epp, C., Lippold, M., and Mandryk, R. L. (2011). Identifying emotional states using keystroke dynamics. In *Proceedings of the 2011 Annual Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems CHI '11*, page 715, Vancouver, BC, Canada. ACM Press.
- Erkens, G., Jaspers, J., Prangsma, M., and Kanselaar, G. (2005). Coordination processes in computer supported collaborative writing. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 21(3):463–486.
- Faggioli, G., Ferrante, M., Ferro, N., Perego, R., and Tonellotto, N. (2021). Hierarchical dependence-aware evaluation measures for conversational search. In *Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 1935–1939.
- Fairclough, S. H. (2009). Fundamentals of physiological computing. *Interacting with Computers*, 21(1-2):133–145.
- Faraj, S., Jarvenpaa, S. L., and Majchrzak, A. (2011). Knowledge collaboration in online communities. *Organization science*, 22(5):1224–1239.
- Fasel, B. and Luettin, J. (2003). Automatic facial expression analysis: a survey. *Pattern recognition*, 36(1):259–275.
- Flynn, J. (2022). 25 Trending Remote Work Statistics [2022]: Facts, Trends, And Projections Zippia.
- Fodor, J. D. (2002a). Prosodic Disambiguation In Silent Reading. *North East Linguistics Society* (*NELS*), 32:21.
- Fodor, J. D. (2002b). Psycholinguistics Cannot Escape Prosody. In *International Conference in Speech Prosody*, page 7.
- Forsyth, E. N. (2007). *Improving Automated Lexical and Discourse Analysis of Online Chat Dialog*. PhD thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA.
- Fujie, S., Kobayashi, T., Yagi, D., and Kikuchi, H. (2004). Prosody based attitude recognition with feature selection and its application to spoken dialog system as para-linguistic information. In *Eighth International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*.
- Fulk, J., Schmitz, J., and Ryu, D. (1995). Cognitive elements in the social construction of communication technology. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 8(3):259–288.
- Fulk, J., Steinfield, C. W., Schmitz, J., and Power, J. G. (1987). A social information processing model of media use in organizations. *Communication research*, 14(5):529–552.
- Galbraith, D. and Baaijen, V. M. (2019). Aligning keystrokes with cognitive processes in writing. In *Observing Writing*, pages 306–325. Brill.

Ganesan, A., Varadarajan, V., Mittal, J., Subrahmanya, S., Matero, M., Soni, N., Guntuku, S. C., Eichstaedt, J., and Schwartz, H. A. (2022). WWBP-SQT-lite: Multi-level models and difference embeddings for moments of change identification in mental health forums. In *Proceedings of the Eighth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology*, pages 251–258, Seattle, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Garrod, S. (1999). The challenge of dialogue for theories of language processing. *Language processing*, pages 389–415.
- Garrod, S. and Pickering, M. J. (2009). Joint Action, Interactive Alignment, and Dialog. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 1(2):292–304.
- Gergle, D. (2017). Discourse Processing in Technology-Mediated Environments. In *The Routledge Handbook of Discourse Processes*. Routledge.
- Gergle, D., Millen, D. R., Kraut, R. E., and Fussell, S. R. (2004). Persistence matters: Making the most of chat in tightly-coupled work. In *Proceedings of the 2004 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems CHI '04*, pages 431–438, Vienna, Austria. ACM Press.
- Gero, K. I., Ashktorab, Z., Dugan, C., Pan, Q., Johnson, J., Geyer, W., Ruiz, M., Miller, S., Millen, D. R., Campbell, M., Kumaravel, S., and Zhang, W. (2020). Mental Models of AI Agents in a Cooperative Game Setting. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–12, Honolulu HI USA. ACM.
- Ghosal, D., Majumder, N., Gelbukh, A., Mihalcea, R., and Poria, S. (2020). Cosmic: Commonsense knowledge for emotion identification in conversations. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2010.02795.
- Gidron, M., Sabag, M., Yarmolovsky, J., and Geva, R. (2020). Participant–experimenter rapport in experimental settings: A test case of executive functions among children with ADHD. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 149(9):1615.
- Gill, A. J., Gergle, D., French, R. M., and Oberlander, J. (2008). Emotion rating from short blog texts. In *Proceeding of the Twenty-Sixth Annual CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems CHI '08*, page 1121, Florence, Italy. ACM Press.
- Gnjatović, M. and Delić, V. (2013). Electrophysiologically-inspired evaluation of dialogue act complexity. In 2013 IEEE 4th International Conference on Cognitive Infocommunications (CogInfoCom), pages 167–172. IEEE.
- Godfrey, J., Holliman, E., and McDaniel, J. (1992). SWITCHBOARD: Telephone speech corpus for research and development. In *ICASSP-92: 1992 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics*, *Speech, and Signal Processing*, volume 1, pages 517–520.
- Goodkind, A., Brizan, D. G., and Rosenberg, A. (2017). Utilizing overt and latent linguistic structure to improve keystroke-based authentication. *Image and Vision Computing*, 58:230–238.
- Goodkind, A. and Rosenberg, A. (2015). Muddying The Multiword Expression Waters: How Cognitive Demand Affects Multiword Expression Production. In *Proceedings of the 11th Workshop on Multiword Expressions*, pages 87–95, Denver, Colorado. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Grahe, J. E. and Bernieri, F. J. (2002). Self-awareness of judgment policies of rapport. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28(10):1407–1418.

Gravano, A. and Hirschberg, J. (2009). Turn-Yielding Cues in Task-Oriented Dialogue. In *Proceedings of the SIGDIAL 2009 Conference*, pages 253–261, London, UK. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Gravano, A. and Hirschberg, J. (2011). Turn-taking cues in task-oriented dialogue. *Computer Speech & Language*, 25(3):601–634.
- Gravano, A., Levitan, R., Willson, L., Beňuš, Š., Hirschberg, J., and Nenkova, A. (2011). Acoustic and prosodic correlates of social behavior. In *Twelfth Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association*.
- Gregory, M., Johnson, M., and Charniak, E. (2004). Sentence-internal prosody does not help parsing the way punctuation does. In *Proceedings of the Human Language Technology Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: HLT-NAACL 2004*, pages 81–88.
- Hara, K., Adams, A., Milland, K., Savage, S., Hanrahan, B. V., Bigham, J. P., and Callison-Burch, C. (2019). Worker demographics and earnings on amazon mechanical turk: An exploratory analysis. In *Extended abstracts of the 2019 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, pages 1–6.
- Hastie, T. and Tibshirani, R. (1987). Generalized additive models: some applications. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 82(398):371–386.
- Hazarika, D., Poria, S., Zadeh, A., Cambria, E., Morency, L.-P., and Zimmermann, R. (2018). Conversational memory network for emotion recognition in dyadic dialogue videos. In *Proceedings* of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers), pages 2122–2132.
- Heath, M. (2021). No need to yell: A prosodic analysis of writing in all caps. *University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics*, 27(1):10.
- Hegselmann, S., Volkert, T., Ohlenburg, H., Gottschalk, A., Dugas, M., and Ertmer, C. (2020). An evaluation of the doctor-interpretability of generalized additive models with interactions. In *Machine Learning for Healthcare Conference*, pages 46–79. PMLR.
- Heldner, M. and Edlund, J. (2010a). Pauses, gaps and overlaps in conversations. *Journal of Phonetics*, 38(4):555–568.
- Heldner, M. and Edlund, J. (2010b). Pauses, gaps and overlaps in conversations. *Journal of Phonetics*, 38(4):555–568.
- Herzig, J., Feigenblat, G., Shmueli-Scheuer, M., Konopnicki, D., and Rafaeli, A. (2016). Predicting customer satisfaction in customer support conversations in social media using affective features. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on User Modeling Adaptation and Personalization*, pages 115–119.
- Hieronymus, J. L. and Williams, B. J. (1991). A comparison of the prosody in read speech and directed monologue in british english. In *Phonetics and Phonology of Speaking Styles*.
- Hirschberg, J. B., Benus, S., Brenier, J. M., Enos, F., Friedman, S., Gilman, S., Girand, C., Graciarena, M., Kathol, A., Michaelis, L., et al. (2005). Distinguishing deceptive from non-deceptive speech. *Interspeech*.

Horton, W. S. (2017). Theories and Approaches to the Study of Conversation and Interactive Discourse. *The Routledge Handbook of Discourse Processes*.

- Horton, W. S. and Gerrig, R. J. (2016). Revisiting the Memory-Based Processing Approach to Common Ground. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 8(4):780–795.
- Hosmer Jr, D. W., Lemeshow, S., and Sturdivant, R. X. (2013). *Applied logistic regression*, volume 398. John Wiley & Sons.
- Housen, A. and Kuiken, F. (2009). Complexity, Accuracy, and Fluency in Second Language Acquisition. *Applied Linguistics*, 30(4):461–473.
- Howe, E., Suh, J., Bin Morshed, M., McDuff, D., Rowan, K., Hernandez, J., Abdin, M. I., Ramos, G., Tran, T., and Czerwinski, M. P. (2022). Design of digital workplace stress-reduction intervention systems: Effects of intervention type and timing. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–16.
- Hutto, C. J. and Gilbert, E. (2014). VADER: A Parsimonious Rule-Based Model for Sentiment Analysis of Social Media Text. In *Eighth International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media*.
- Iliinsky, N. and Steele, J. (2011). *Designing data visualizations: Representing informational Relationships*. "O'Reilly Media, Inc.".
- Indiparambil, J. J. (2019). Privacy and beyond: Socio-ethical concerns of 'on-the-job' surveillance. *Asian Journal of Business Ethics*, 8(1):73–105.
- Ivanovic, E. (2005). Dialogue act tagging for instant messaging chat sessions. In *Proceedings of the ACL Student Research Workshop on ACL '05*, page 79, Ann Arbor, Michigan. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Janssen, D. and Carradini, S. (2021). Generation z workplace communication habits and expectations. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 64(2):137–153.
- Jokinen, K. (2010). Hesitation and uncertainty as feedback. In DiSS-LPSS Joint Workshop 2010.
- Joshi, A., Kale, S., Chandel, S., and Pal, D. K. (2015). Likert scale: Explored and explained. *British journal of applied science & technology*, 7(4):396.
- Jurafsky, D., Shriberg, E., and Biasca, D. (1997). Switchboard swbd-damsl shallow-discourse-function annotation coders manual, draft 13. *University of Colorado at Boulder & SRI International*.
- Kalman, Y. M. (2007). Silence In Text-Based Computer Mediated Communication: The Invisible Component. PhD thesis, University of Haifa.
- Kalman, Y. M. and Gergle, D. (2009). Repeats as Cues in CMC Letter and Punctuation Mark Repeats as Cues in Computer-Mediated Communication. In 5th Annual Meeting of the National Communication Association, Chicago, IL.
- Kalman, Y. M., Scissors, L. E., and Gergle, D. R. (2010). Chronemic Aspects of Chat and Their Relationship to Trust in a Virtual Team. *Proceedings of the Fifth Mediterranean Conference on Information Systems: Professional Development Consortium*.

Kalman, Y. M., Scissors, L. E., Gill, A. J., and Gergle, D. (2013a). Online chronemics convey social information. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(3):1260–1269.

- Kalman, Y. M., Scissors, L. E., Gill, A. J., and Gergle, D. (2013b). Online chronemics convey social information. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(3):1260–1269.
- Kasher, A. (1972). Sentences and Utterances Reconsidered. *Foundations of Language*, 8(3):313–345.
- Katerina, T. and Nicolaos, P. (2018). Mouse behavioral patterns and keystroke dynamics in end-user development: What can they tell us about users' behavioral attributes? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 83:288–305.
- Khawaja, M. A., Chen, F., and Marcus, N. (2014). Measuring cognitive load using linguistic features: implications for usability evaluation and adaptive interaction design. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 30(5):343–368.
- Khawaji, A., Chen, F., Zhou, J., and Marcus, N. (2014). Trust and Cognitive Load in the Text-Chat Environment: The Role of Mouse Movement. *Proceedings of the 26th Australian Computer-Human Interaction Conference on Designing Futures: The Future of Design*, page 4.
- Killourhy, K. S. and Maxion, R. A. (2012). Free vs. transcribed text for keystroke-dynamics evaluations. In *Proceedings of the 2012 Workshop on Learning from Authoritative Security Experiment Results LASER* '12, pages 1–8, Arlington, Virginia. ACM Press.
- Kołakowska, A. (2013). A review of emotion recognition methods based on keystroke dynamics and mouse movements. In 2013 6th International Conference on Human System Interactions (HSI), pages 548–555.
- Kołakowska, A. (2015). Recognizing emotions on the basis of keystroke dynamics. In 2015 8th International Conference on Human System Interaction (HSI), pages 291–297.
- Kołakowska, A. (2018). Usefulness of Keystroke Dynamics Features in User Authentication and Emotion Recognition. In Hippe, Z. S., Kulikowski, J. L., and Mroczek, T., editors, *Human-Computer Systems Interaction: Backgrounds and Applications 4*, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, pages 42–52. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Koudenburg, N., Postmes, T., and Gordijn, E. H. (2017). Beyond content of conversation: The role of conversational form in the emergence and regulation of social structure. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 21(1):50–71.
- Krauss, R. M. and Fussell, S. R. (1996). Social psychological models of interpersonal communication. In *Social Psychology: Handbook of Basic Principles*. Guilford Publications.
- Kuhn, M. and Silge, J. (2022). *Tidy Modeling with R*. "O'Reilly Media, Inc.".
- Kuzminykh, I., Ghita, B., and Silonosov, A. (2020). Impact of network and host characteristics on the keystroke pattern in remote desktop sessions. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2012.03577.
- LaBahn, D. W. (1996). Advertiser Perceptions of Fair Compensation, Confidentiality and Rapport: The Influence of Advertising Agency Cooperativeness and Diligence. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 36.

Lai, C. (2012). Rises all the way up: The interpretation of prosody, discourse attitudes and dialogue structure. University of Pennsylvania.

- Leach, M. J. (2005). Rapport: A key to treatment success. *Complementary therapies in clinical practice*, 11(4):262–265.
- LeDell, E. and Poirier, S. (2020). H2o automl: Scalable automatic machine learning. In *Proceedings* of the AutoML Workshop at ICML, volume 2020.
- Lee, P.-M., Tsui, W.-H., and Hsiao, T.-C. (2014). The influence of emotion on keyboard typing: An experimental study using visual stimuli. *BioMedical Engineering OnLine*, 13(1):81.
- Lee, P.-M., Tsui, W.-H., and Hsiao, T.-C. (2015a). The Influence of Emotion on Keyboard Typing: An Experimental Study Using Auditory Stimuli. *PLOS ONE*, 10(6):e0129056.
- Lee, P.-M., Tsui, W.-H., and Hsiao, T.-C. (2015b). The Influence of Emotion on Keyboard Typing: An Experimental Study Using Auditory Stimuli. *PLOS ONE*, 10(6):e0129056.
- Leijten, M. and Van Waes, L. (2013). Keystroke Logging in Writing Research: Using Inputlog to Analyze and Visualize Writing Processes. *Written Communication*, 30(3):358–392.
- Leinonen, J., Ihantola, P., and Hellas, A. (2017). Preventing Keystroke Based Identification in Open Data Sets. In *Proceedings of the Fourth (2017) ACM Conference on Learning @ Scale*, pages 101–109, Cambridge Massachusetts USA. ACM.
- Levinson, S. C. and Torreira, F. (2015). Timing in turn-taking and its implications for processing models of language. *Frontiers in psychology*, 6:731.
- Li, Y., Ishi, C. T., Ward, N., Inoue, K., Nakamura, S., Takanashi, K., and Kawahara, T. (2017a). Emotion recognition by combining prosody and sentiment analysis for expressing reactive emotion by humanoid robot. In 2017 Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA ASC), pages 1356–1359. IEEE.
- Li, Y., Ishi, C. T., Ward, N., Inoue, K., Nakamura, S., Takanashi, K., and Kawahara, T. (2017b). Emotion recognition by combining prosody and sentiment analysis for expressing reactive emotion by humanoid robot. In 2017 Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA ASC), pages 1356–1359, Kuala Lumpur. IEEE.
- Liebman, N. and Gergle, D. (2016a). Capturing Turn-by-Turn Lexical Similarity in Text-Based Communication. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing CSCW '16*, pages 552–558, San Francisco, California, USA. ACM Press.
- Liebman, N. and Gergle, D. (2016b). It's (Not) Simply a Matter of Time: The Relationship Between CMC Cues and Interpersonal Affinity. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing CSCW '16*, pages 569–580, San Francisco, California, USA. ACM Press.
- Lindgren, E., Westum, A., Outakoski, H., and Sullivan, K. P. (2019). Revising at the leading edge: Shaping ideas or clearing up noise. In *Observing Writing*, pages 346–365. Brill.
- Ling, R. and Baron, N. S. (2007). Text messaging and im: Linguistic comparison of american college data. *Journal of language and social psychology*, 26(3):291–298.

Linzen, T., Chrupała, G., and Alishahi, A. (2018). Proceedings of the 2018 emnlp workshop blackboxnlp: Analyzing and interpreting neural networks for nlp. In *Proceedings of the 2018 EMNLP Workshop BlackboxNLP: Analyzing and Interpreting Neural Networks for NLP*.

- Liu, Y., Ott, M., Goyal, N., Du, J., Joshi, M., Chen, D., Levy, O., Lewis, M., Zettlemoyer, L., and Stoyanov, V. (2019). Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *arXiv* preprint *arXiv*:1907.11692.
- Locklear, H., Govindarajan, S., Sitová, Z., Goodkind, A., Brizan, D. G., Rosenberg, A., Phoha, V. V., Gasti, P., and Balagani, K. S. (2014). Continuous authentication with cognition-centric text production and revision features. In *IEEE International Joint Conference on Biometrics*, pages 1–8.
- Logan, G. D. and Crump, M. J. (2011). Hierarchical Control of Cognitive Processes. In *Psychology of Learning and Motivation*, volume 54, pages 1–27. Elsevier.
- López-Carral, H., Santos-Pata, D., Zucca, R., and Verschure, P. F. (2019). How you type is what you type: Keystroke dynamics correlate with affective content. In 2019 8th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII), pages 1–5.
- Lovric, N. (2003). *Implicit Prosody in Silent Reading: Relative Clause Attachment in Croatian*. PhD thesis, City University of New York (CUNY Graduate Center).
- Lubold, N. and Pon-Barry, H. (2014). Acoustic-Prosodic Entrainment and Rapport in Collaborative Learning Dialogues. In *Proceedings of the 2014 ACM Workshop on Multimodal Learning Analytics Workshop and Grand Challenge*, pages 5–12, Istanbul Turkey. ACM.
- Maalej, A. and Kallel, I. (2020). Does keystroke dynamics tell us about emotions? a systematic literature review and dataset construction. In 2020 16th International Conference on Intelligent Environments (IE), pages 60–67. IEEE.
- Malhotra, G., Waheed, A., Srivastava, A., Akhtar, M. S., and Chakraborty, T. (2022). Speaker and time-aware joint contextual learning for dialogue-act classification in counselling conversations. In *Proceedings of the Fifteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pages 735–745.
- Manandhar, R., Wolf, S., and Borowczak, M. (2019). One-class classification to continuously authenticate users based on keystroke timing dynamics. In 2019 18th IEEE international conference on machine learning and applications (ICMLA), pages 1259–1266. IEEE.
- Marcus, M., Santorini, B., and Marcinkiewicz, M. A. (1993). Building a large annotated corpus of english: The penn treebank. *Computational Linguistics*, 19(2):313–330.
- Marra, G. and Wood, S. N. (2011). Practical variable selection for generalized additive models. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 55(7):2372–2387.
- Matsumoto, S. and Araki, M. (2016). Scoring of response based on suitability of dialogue-act and content similarity. In *Proceedings of the 12th NTCIR Conference on Evaluation of Information Access Technologies*.
- McCauley, S. M., Isbilen, E. S., and Christiansen, M. H. (2017). Chunking ability shapes sentence processing at multiple levels of abstraction. In *Proceeding of CogSci*.

McHugh, M. L. (2012). Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. *Biochemia medica*, 22(3):276–282.

- Medimorec, S. and Risko, E. F. (2017). Pauses in written composition: On the importance of where writers pause. *Reading and Writing*, 30(6):1267–1285.
- Meta Platforms (2022). React a javascript library for building user interfaces. Accessed: 2022-03-06.
- Michalsky, J. and Schoormann, H. (2017). Pitch Convergence as an Effect of Perceived Attractiveness and Likability. In *Interspeech*, pages 2253–2256.
- Microsoft (2021). The next great disruption is hybrid work: Are we ready? https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/worklab/work-trend-index/hybrid-work.
- Mijic, I., Sarlija, M., and Petrinovic, D. (2017). Classification of cognitive load using voice features: A preliminary investigation. In 2017 8th IEEE International Conference on Cognitive Infocommunications (CogInfoCom), pages 000345–000350, Debrecen. IEEE.
- Miller, G. (1956a). Human memory and the storage of information. *IRE Transactions on Information Theory*, 2(3):129–137.
- Miller, G. (1956b). The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psychological review*, 63(2):81.
- Mohammad, S. (2016). A practical guide to sentiment annotation: Challenges and solutions. In *Proceedings of the 7th workshop on computational approaches to subjectivity, sentiment and social media analysis*, pages 174–179.
- Monaco, J. V. and Tappert, C. C. (2016). Obfuscating keystroke time intervals to avoid identification and impersonation. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1609.07612.
- Monaco, J. V. and Tappert, C. C. (2017). Obfuscating Keystroke Time Intervals to Avoid Identification and Impersonation. *arXiv:1609.07612 [cs]*.
- Monrose, F. and Rubin, A. (1997a). Authentication via keystroke dynamics. In *Proceedings of the 4th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security CCS* '97, pages 48–56, Zurich, Switzerland. ACM Press.
- Monrose, F. and Rubin, A. (1997b). Authentication via keystroke dynamics. In *Proceedings of the 4th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security CCS* '97, pages 48–56, Zurich, Switzerland. ACM Press.
- Morales, A., Acien, A., Fierrez, J., Monaco, J. V., Tolosana, R., Vera-Rodriguez, R., and Ortega-Garcia, J. (2020). Keystroke Biometrics in Response to Fake News Propagation in a Global Pandemic. *arXiv*:2005.07688 [cs].
- Moroney, L. (2017). The firebase realtime database. In *The Definitive Guide to Firebase*, pages 51–71. Springer.
- Muir, K., Joinson, A., Cotterill, R., and Dewdney, N. (2017). Linguistic Style Accommodation Shapes Impression Formation and Rapport in Computer-Mediated Communication. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 36(5):525–548.

Müller, P., Huang, M. X., and Bulling, A. (2018). Detecting Low Rapport During Natural Interactions in Small Groups from Non-Verbal Behaviour. In 23rd International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, pages 153–164, Tokyo Japan. ACM.

- Murphy, B. (2022). They called 911 for help. police and prosecutors used a new junk science to decide they were liars. *propublica.org*.
- Murray, G., Carenini, G., and Ng, R. (2010). Interpretation and transformation for abstracting conversations. In *Human Language Technologies: The 2010 Annual Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 894–902.
- Mushin, I., Stirling, L., Fletcher, J., and Wales, R. (2003). Discourse Structure, Grounding, and Prosody in Task-Oriented Dialogue. *Discourse Processes*, 35(1):1–31.
- Nahin, A. N. H., Alam, J. M., Mahmud, H., and Hasan, K. (2014). Identifying emotion by keystroke dynamics and text pattern analysis. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 33(9):987–996.
- Niederhoffer, K. G. and Pennebaker, J. W. (2002). Linguistic Style Matching in Social Interaction. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 21(4):337–360.
- Nottbusch, G., Weingarten, R., and Sahel, S. (2007). From written word to written sentence production. *Studies in Writing*, pages 30–53.
- Novotney, S. and Callison-Burch, C. (2010). Cheap, Fast and Good Enough: Automatic Speech Recognition with Non-Expert Transcription. In *Human Language Technologies: The 2010 Annual Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 207–215, Los Angeles, California. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ojamaa, B., Jokinen, K., and Muischenk, K. (2015). Sentiment analysis on conversational texts. In *Proceedings of the 20th Nordic Conference of Computational Linguistics (NODALIDA 2015)*, pages 233–237.
- Olive, T., Alves, R. A., and Castro, S. L. (2009). Cognitive processes in writing during pause and execution periods. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 21(5):758–785.
- Olsen, J. K. and Finkelstein, S. (2017). Through the (Thin-Slice) Looking Glass: An Initial Look at Rapport and Co-Construction Within Peer Collaboration. *International Society of the Learning Sciences*, page 8.
- Ondobaka, S., Kilner, J., and Friston, K. (2017). The role of interoceptive inference in theory of mind. *Brain and cognition*, 112:64–68.
- Ooms, J. (2022). *hunspell: High-Performance Stemmer, Tokenizer, and Spell Checker*. https://docs.ropensci.org/hunspell/ (docs), https://github.com/ropensci/hunspell (devel) https://hunspell.github.io (upstream).
- Orwell, G. (1949). Nineteen Eighty-Four. Secker and Warburg.
- Palan, S. and Schitter, C. (2018). Prolific. ac—a subject pool for online experiments. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*, 17:22–27.
- Paolacci, G., Chandler, J., and Ipeirotis, P. G. (2010). Running experiments on amazon mechanical turk. *Judgment and Decision making*, 5(5):411–419.

Park, Y., Cho, J., and Kim, G. (2018). A hierarchical latent structure for variational conversation modeling. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 1792–1801.

- Pecune, F., Chen, J., Matsuyama, Y., and Cassell, J. (2018). Field Trial Analysis of Socially Aware Robot Assistant. In *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, page 9.
- Pecune, F., Murali, S., Tsai, V., Matsuyama, Y., and Cassell, J. (2019). A Model of Social Explanations for a Conversational Movie Recommendation System. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Human-Agent Interaction*, pages 135–143, Kyoto Japan. ACM.
- Peer, E., Rothschild, D., Gordon, A., Evernden, Z., and Damer, E. (2022). Data quality of platforms and panels for online behavioral research. *Behavior Research Methods*, 54(4):1643–1662.
- Peng, W., Hu, Y., Xing, L., Xie, Y., Sun, Y., and Li, Y. (2022). Control globally, understand locally: A global-to-local hierarchical graph network for emotional support conversation. *IJCAI* 2022.
- Pennebaker, J. (2011). *The Secret Life of Pronouns: What Our Words Say about Us.* Bloomsbury Press.
- Pennebaker, J. W., Mehl, M. R., and Niederhoffer, K. G. (2003). Psychological Aspects of Natural Language Use: Our Words, Our Selves. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 54(1):547–577.
- Pew Research Center (2019). Americans favor mobile devices over desktops and laptops for getting news. https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2019/11/19/americans-favor-mobile-devices-over-desktops-and-laptops-for-getting-news/.
- Pew Research Center (2020). How Coronavirus Has Changed the Way Americans Work. https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2020/12/09/how-the-coronavirus-outbreak-has-and-hasnt-changed-the-way-americans-work/.
- Picard, R. W. (2000). Affective Computing. MIT press.
- Pierrehumbert, J. and Hirschberg, J. B. (1990). The Meaning of Intonational Contours in the Interpretation of Discourse. *Intentions in Communication*.
- Pinet, S. and Nozari, N. (2021). The role of visual feedback in detecting and correcting typing errors: A signal detection approach. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 117:104193.
- Pinet, S., Ziegler, J. C., and Alario, F.-X. (2016). Typing is writing: Linguistic properties modulate typing execution. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*, 23(6):1898–1906.
- Pinet, S., Zielinski, C., Mathôt, S., Dufau, S., Alario, F.-X., and Longcamp, M. (2017). Measuring sequences of keystrokes with jsPsych: Reliability of response times and interkeystroke intervals. *Behavior research methods*, 49(3):1163–1176.
- Plank, B. (2016). Keystroke dynamics as signal for shallow syntactic parsing. In *Proceedings* of COLING 2016, the 26th International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Technical Papers, pages 609–619, Osaka, Japan. The COLING 2016 Organizing Committee.
- Poesio, M. and Rieses, H. (2010). Completions, coordination, and alignment in dialogue. *Dialogue & Discourse*, 1(1).

Pommeranz, A., Broekens, J., Wiggers, P., Brinkman, W.-P., and Jonker, C. M. (2012). Designing interfaces for explicit preference elicitation: a user-centered investigation of preference representation and elicitation process. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*, 22(4):357–397.

- Popescu-Belis, A. (2005). Dialogue acts: One or more dimensions. ISSCO WorkingPaper, 62:1–46.
- Pradhan, A., Findlater, L., and Lazar, A. (2019). "phantom friend" or just a box with information personification and ontological categorization of smart speaker-based voice assistants by older adults. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(CSCW):1–21.
- Priva Cohen, U. (2010). Constructing Typing-Time Corpora: A New Way to Answer Old Questions. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, page 7.
- Qi, Z. and Li, M. (2014). Mining domain-dependent noun opinion words for sentiment analysis. In *Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering*, pages 165–171. Springer.
- Rafaeli, S. and Ariel, Y. (2008). Online motivational factors: Incentives for participation and contribution in wikipedia. *Psychological aspects of cyberspace: Theory, research, applications*, 2(08):243–267.
- Raj Prabhu, N., Raman, C., and Hung, H. (2020). Defining and Quantifying Conversation Quality in Spontaneous Interactions. In *Companion Publication of the 2020 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*, pages 196–205, Virtual Event Netherlands. ACM.
- Rauch, G. (2013). Socket.io-the cross-browser websocket for realtime apps.
- Reichman, R. (1978). Conversational coherency. Cognitive science, 2(4):283–327.
- Reid, F. J., Ball, L. J., Morley, A. M., and Evans, J. S. B. (1997). Styles of group discussion in computer-mediated decision making. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 36(3):241–262.
- Riordan, M. A. (2011). *The Use of Verbal and Nonverbal Cues in Computer-Mediated Communication: When and Why?* Ph.D., The University of Memphis, United States Tennessee.
- Roffo, G., Giorgetta, C., Ferrario, R., Riviera, W., and Cristani, M. (2014). Statistical Analysis of Personality and Identity in Chats Using a Keylogging Platform. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Multimodal Interaction ICMI '14*, pages 224–231, Istanbul, Turkey. ACM Press.
- Rozumowski, A. V., Kotowski, W., and Klaas, M. (2020). Resistance to Customer-driven Business Model Innovations: An Explorative Customer Experience Study on Voice Assistant Services of a Swiss Tourism Destination. *ATHENS JOURNAL OF TOURISM*, 7(4):191–208.
- Rumelhart, D. E. and Norman, D. A. (1982). Simulating a Skilled Typist: A Study of Skilled Cognitive-Motor Performance. *Cognitive Science*, 6(1):1–36.
- Sacks, H., Schegloff, E. A., and Jefferson, G. (1974). A simplest systematics for the organization of turn taking for conversation. In *Studies in the Organization of Conversational Interaction*, pages 7–55. Elsevier.
- Saevanee, H., Clarke, N. L., and Furnell, S. M. (2012). Multi-modal Behavioural Biometric Authentication for Mobile Devices. In Gritzalis, D., Furnell, S., and Theoharidou, M., editors, *Information Security and Privacy Research*, volume 376, pages 465–474. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.

- Schegloff, E. A. and Sacks, H. (1973). *Opening up closings*. Walter de Gruyter.
- Schilperoord, J. (2002). On the cognitive status of pauses in discourse production. In *Contemporary Tools and Techniques for Studying Writing*, pages 61–87. Springer.
- Scissors, L. E. and Gergle, D. (2013). "back and forth, back and forth" channel switching in romantic couple conflict. In *Proceedings of the 2013 conference on Computer supported cooperative work*, pages 237–248.
- Scissors, L. E., Gill, A. J., Geraghty, K., and Gergle, D. (2009). In CMC we trust: The role of similarity. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems CHI 09*, page 527, Boston, MA, USA. ACM Press.
- Scissors, L. E., Roloff, M. E., and Gergle, D. (2014). Room for interpretation: The role of self-esteem and cmc in romantic couple conflict. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, pages 3953–3962.
- Scott, C. R. (2009). A Whole-Hearted Effort to Get It Half Right: Predicting the Future of Communication Technology Scholarship. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 14(3):753–757.
- Seide, F., Li, G., Chen, X., and Yu, D. (2011). Feature engineering in context-dependent deep neural networks for conversational speech transcription. In 2011 IEEE Workshop on Automatic Speech Recognition & Understanding, pages 24–29. IEEE.
- Selkirk, E. (1995). Sentence prosody: Intonation, stress, and phrasing. *The handbook of phonological theory*, 1:550–569.
- Seo, S. H., Griffin, K., Young, J. E., Bunt, A., Prentice, S., and Loureiro-Rodríguez, V. (2018). Investigating People's Rapport Building and Hindering Behaviors When Working with a Collaborative Robot. *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 10(1):147–161.
- Shao, J. (1993). Linear model selection by cross-validation. *Journal of the American statistical Association*, 88(422):486–494.
- Shapley, L. S. (1953). A value for n-person games. In *Contributions to the Theory of Games (AM-28), Volume II*, pages 307–318. Princeton University Press.
- Shon, S., Brusco, P., Pan, J., Han, K. J., and Watanabe, S. (2021). Leveraging Pre-trained Language Model for Speech Sentiment Analysis. *arXiv:2106.06598 [cs, eess]*.
- Short, J., Williams, E., and Christie, B. (1976). *The Social Psychology of Telecommunications*. Toronto; London; New York: Wiley.
- Shriberg, E., Stolcke, A., Hakkani-Tür, D., and Tür, G. (2000). Prosody-based automatic segmentation of speech into sentences and topics. *Speech Communication*, 32(1):127–154.
- Sisman, B., Yamagishi, J., King, S., and Li, H. (2021). An Overview of Voice Conversion and Its Challenges: From Statistical Modeling to Deep Learning. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 29:132–157.
- Snow, D. (1994). Phrase-Final Syllable Lengthening and Intonation in Early Child Speech. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 37(4):831–840.

Stivers, T. (2012). Sequence Organization. In Sidnell, J. and Stivers, T., editors, *The Handbook of Conversation Analysis*, pages 191–209. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK.

- Stolcke, A., Ries, K., Coccaro, N., Shriberg, E., Bates, R., Jurafsky, D., Taylor, P., Martin, R., Ess-Dykema, C. V., and Meteer, M. (2000). Dialogue act modeling for automatic tagging and recognition of conversational speech. *Computational linguistics*, 26(3):339–373.
- Stolcke, A., Shriberg, E., Bates, R., Coccaro, N., Jurafsky, D., Martin, R., Meteer, M., Ries, K., Taylor, P., and Ess-Dykema, C. V. (1998). Dialog Act Modeling for Conversational Speech. In *AAAI Spring Symposium on Applying Machine Learning to Discourse Processing*, pages 98–105.
- Stragapede, G., Delgado-Santos, P., Tolosana, R., Vera-Rodriguez, R., Guest, R., and Morales, A. (2022). Mobile keystroke biometrics using transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.07596*.
- Stranc, S. and Muldner, K. (2019). Learning from videos showing a dialog fosters more positive affect than learning from a monolog. In *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education*, pages 275–280. Springer.
- Su, M.-H., Wu, C.-H., and Chen, L.-Y. (2019). Attention-based response generation using parallel double q-learning for dialog policy decision in a conversational system. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 28:131–143.
- Suzuki, N. and Katagiri, Y. (2007). Prosodic alignment in human–computer interaction. *Connection Science*, 19(2):131–141.
- Svec, J. G. and Granqvist, S. (2010). Guidelines for Selecting Microphones for Human Voice Production Research. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 19(4):356–368.
- Svennevig, J. (2014). Direct and indirect self-presentation in first conversations. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 33(3):302–327.
- Swerts, M. and Geluykens, R. (1994). Prosody as a Marker of Information Flow in Spoken Discourse. *Language and Speech*, 37(1):21–43.
- Tannen, D. (1984). Conversational Style: Analyzing Talk Among Friends. Oxford University Press.
- Teevan, J., Baym, N., Butler, J., Hecht, B., Jaffe, S., Nowak, K., Sellen, A., and Yang, L. (2022). Microsoft new future of work report 2022. Technical report, Technical Report. Microsoft Research Tech Report MSR-TR-2022–3.
- Tegos, S., Demetriadis, S., Psathas, G., and Tsiatsos, T. (2020). A configurable agent to advance peers' productive dialogue in moocs. In *International Workshop on Chatbot Research and Design*, pages 245–259. Springer.
- Tham, T. L. and Holland, P. (2022). Electronic monitoring and surveillance: The balance between insights and intrusion. In *The Emerald Handbook of Work, Workplaces and Disruptive Issues in HRM*, pages 493–512. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Tickle-Degnen, L. and Rosenthal, R. (1990). The Nature of Rapport and Its Nonverbal Correlates. *Psychological Inquiry*, 1(4):285–293.
- Tidwell, L. C. and Walther, J. B. (2002). Computer-mediated communication effects on disclosure, impressions, and interpersonal evaluations: Getting to know one another a bit at a time. *Human communication research*, 28(3):317–348.

Tolles, J. and Meurer, W. J. (2016). Logistic regression: relating patient characteristics to outcomes. *Jama*, 316(5):533–534.

- Tolmeijer, S., Gadiraju, U., Ghantasala, R., Gupta, A., and Bernstein, A. (2021). Second chance for a first impression? trust development in intelligent system interaction. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM Conference on user modeling, adaptation and personalization*, pages 77–87.
- Trott, S., Reed, S., Ferreira, V., and Bergen, B. (2019). Prosodic cues signal the intent of potential indirect requests. In *Proceedings of CogSci 2019*, page 7.
- Tsimperidis, I. and Arampatzis, A. (2020). The Keyboard Knows About You: Revealing User Characteristics via Keystroke Dynamics. *International Journal of Technoethics*, 11:34–51.
- Twenge, J. M. and Farley, E. (2021). Not all screen time is created equal: Associations with mental health vary by activity and gender. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 56(2):207–217.
- Van Waes, L. and Leijten, M. (2015). Fluency in writing: A multidimensional perspective on writing fluency applied to 11 and 12. *Computers and Composition*, 38:79–95.
- Vicsi, K. and Szaszák, G. (2010). Using prosody to improve automatic speech recognition. *Speech Communication*, 52(5):413–426.
- Villani, M., Tappert, C., Ngo, G., Simone, J., Fort, H., and Cha, S.-H. (2006). Keystroke Biometric Recognition Studies on Long-Text Input under Ideal and Application-Oriented Conditions. In 2006 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshop (CVPRW'06), pages 39–39.
- Vizer, L. M. (2009). Detecting cognitive and physical stress through typing behavior. In *Proceedings* of the 27th International Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems CHI EA '09, page 3113, Boston, MA, USA. ACM Press.
- Vizer, L. M. and Sears, A. (2017). Efficacy of personalized models in discriminating high cognitive demand conditions using text-based interactions. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 104:80–96.
- Walther, J. B. (1992). Interpersonal Effects in Computer-Mediated Interaction: A Relational Perspective. *Communication Research*, 19(1):52–90.
- Walther, J. B. (2011). Theories of Computer- Mediated Communication and Interpersonal Relations. In *The Handbook of Interpersonal Communication*, volume 4, pages 443–479. Sage.
- Walther, J. B. (2018). The Emergence, Convergence, and Resurgence of Intergroup Communication Theory in Computer-Mediated Communication. *Atlantic Journal of Communication*, 26(2):86–97.
- Walther, J. B. and Parks, M. R. (2002). Cues filtered out, cues filtered in: Computer-mediated communication and relationships. *Handbook of interpersonal communication*, 3:529–563.
- Wang, Z., Wang, L., Ji, Y., Zuo, L., and Qu, S. (2022). A novel data-driven weighted sentiment analysis based on information entropy for perceived satisfaction. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 68:103038.

Wardhani, N. W. S., Rochayani, M. Y., Iriany, A., Sulistyono, A. D., and Lestantyo, P. (2019). Cross-validation metrics for evaluating classification performance on imbalanced data. In 2019 international conference on computer, control, informatics and its applications (IC3INA), pages 14–18. IEEE.

- Wei, K., Knox, D., Radfar, M., Tran, T., Müller, M., Strimel, G. P., Susanj, N., Mouchtaris, A., and Omologo, M. (2022). A neural prosody encoder for end-to-end dialogue act classification. In *ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 7047–7051. IEEE.
- Welch, C., Pérez-Rosas, V., Kummerfeld, J. K., and Mihalcea, R. (2019). Learning from personal longitudinal dialog data. *IEEE Intelligent systems*, 34(4):16–23.
- Willemyns, M., Gallois, C., and Callan, V. (2003). Trust me, I'm your boss: Trust and power in supervisor–supervisee communication. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 14(1):117–127.
- Wilson, T. P., Wiemann, J. M., and Zimmerman, D. H. (1984). Models of turn taking in conversational interaction. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 3(3):159–183.
- Wood, S. (2022). Package 'mgcv'. R package version 1.8.
- Wood, S. N. (2006). Generalized additive models: an introduction with R. chapman and hall/CRC.
- Wood, S. N. (2013). On p-values for smooth components of an extended generalized additive model. *Biometrika*, 100(1):221–228.
- Wood, S. N., Pya, N., and Säfken, B. (2016). Smoothing parameter and model selection for general smooth models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 111(516):1548–1563.
- Yamaguchi, M., Crump, M. J. C., and Logan, G. D. (2013). Speed–accuracy trade-off in skilled type-writing: Decomposing the contributions of hierarchical control loops. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 39(3):678–699.
- Yan, M., Tan, H., Jia, L., and Akram, U. (2020). The antecedents of poor doctor-patient relationship in mobile consultation: A perspective from computer-mediated communication. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(7):2579.
- Yang, L. and Qin, S.-f. (2021). A review of emotion recognition methods from keystroke, mouse, and touchscreen dynamics. *IEEE Access*.
- Yeh, S.-L., Lin, Y.-S., and Lee, C.-C. (2019). An Interaction-aware Attention Network for Speech Emotion Recognition in Spoken Dialogs. In *ICASSP 2019 2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 6685–6689, Brighton, United Kingdom. IEEE.
- Yu, G. (2010). Lexical Diversity in Writing and Speaking Task Performances. *Applied Linguistics*, 31(2):236–259.
- Yuasa, M., Saito, K., and Mukawa, N. (2006). Emotions convey emotions without cognition of faces: an fmri study. In *CHI'06 extended abstracts on Human factors in computing systems*, pages 1565–1570.

Zhang, D., Wu, L., Sun, C., Li, S., Zhu, Q., and Zhou, G. (2019). Modeling both context-and speaker-sensitive dependence for emotion detection in multi-speaker conversations. In *IJCAI*, pages 5415–5421.

- Zhang, Y., Tiwari, P., Song, D., Mao, X., Wang, P., Li, X., and Pandey, H. M. (2021). Learning interaction dynamics with an interactive LSTM for conversational sentiment analysis. *Neural Networks*, 133:40–56.
- Zhao, R., Papangelis, A., and Cassell, J. (2014). Towards a Dyadic Computational Model of Rapport Management for Human-Virtual Agent Interaction. In Bickmore, T., Marsella, S., and Sidner, C., editors, *Intelligent Virtual Agents*, volume 8637, pages 514–527. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Zhao, R., Sinha, T., Black, A. W., and Cassell, J. (2016). Socially-aware virtual agents: Automatically assessing dyadic rapport from temporal patterns of behavior. In *International conference on intelligent virtual agents*, pages 218–233. Springer.
- Zhu, Q. (2020). On the performance of matthews correlation coefficient (mcc) for imbalanced dataset. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 136:71–80.