Complete Segal spaces of spans

Angus Rush

May 4, 2020

Contents

1.	Cocartesian fibrations between complete Segal spaces		
	1.1.	Marked bisimplicial sets	1
	1.2.	Cocartesian morphisms	4
2.	Complete Segal spaces of spans		
	2.1.	Cocartesian fibrations	7
	2.2.	Bimarked simplicial sets	8
	2.3.	Cartesian morphisms	13
A.	Background results		15
	A.1.	Results from J+T	15
	A.2.	Results about equivalences	15

1. Cocartesian fibrations between complete Segal spaces

1.1. Marked bisimplicial sets

We need a basic theory of marked bisimplicial sets.

Definition 1. A *marked bisimplicial set* (X, \mathcal{E}) is a bisimplicial set X together with a distinguished subset $\mathcal{E} \subseteq (X_1)_0$ containing all degenerate edges, i.e. all edges in the image of $s_0 \colon (X_0)_0 \to (X_1)_0$.

Equivalently, a marked bisimplicial set is bisimplicial set together with a marking \mathcal{E} on the simplicial set i_1^*X .

The category of marked bisimplicial sets is finitely complete and cocomplete.

Definition 2. For a marked simplicial set A and an unmarked simplicial set B, define a marking on the bisimplicial set $A \square B$ as follows: a simplex $(a, b) \in A_1 \times B_0$ is marked if and only if a is marked.

Note 3. Toby: At the moment, I am not notationally distinguishing between the marked and unmarked versions of the \square and -/- constructions. (The unmarked versions are from [1].) This requires that readers keep track for themselves when a (bi)simplicial set is or isn't marked. If you think this is confusing, I'll try and come up with some other notation.

For any marked simplicial set A, denote the underlying unmarked simplicial set by \mathring{A} . Similarly, for any marked bisimplicial set X, denote the underlying unmarked simplicial set by \mathring{X} .

Definition 4. Let A denote a marked simplicial set, B an unmarked simplicial set, and X a marked bisimplicial set.

• Define an unmarked simplicial set $A \setminus X$ level-wise by

$$(A\backslash X)_n = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Set}_{\triangle^2}}^+(A\square\Delta^n, X).$$

• Define a marked simplicial set X/B as follows. The underlying simplicial set is the same as $\mathring{X}/\mathring{B}$, and a 1-simplex $\Delta^1 \to X/B$ is marked if and only if the corresponding map $\Delta^1 \square B \to X$ of unmarked bisimplicial sets comes from a map of marked bisimplicial sets $(\Delta^1)^\sharp \square B \to X$.

Proposition 5. We have the following adjunctions.

1. For each marked simplicial set $A \in \mathbf{Set}^+_{\wedge}$ there is an adjunction.

$$A \square - \colon \mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}^2}^+ : A \backslash -$$

2. For each unmarked simplicial set $B \in \mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}$ there is an adjunction.

$$-\Box B \colon \mathbf{Set}^+_{\wedge} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{Set}_{\wedge^2} : -/B.$$

Proof. In the first case, we know that the bijection

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Set}_{\mathbb{A}^2}^+}(A\square\Delta^n,X)\cong\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}}(\Delta^n,A\backslash X)$$

holds for each *n*, and both sides preserve colimits.

In the second case, we

This shows that the marked version of \square is, in the language of [1], *divisible on the left and on the right*. This implies that $A \setminus X$ is a functor of both A and X, and that $X \setminus B$ is a

functor of both *X* and *B*. This in turn implies that there is a bijection between maps

$$A \square B \to X$$
, $A \to X/B$ and $B \to A \backslash X$.

Thus, the functors $-\X$ and X/- are mutually right adjoint. Since $\mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}$, $\mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}^+$, and $\mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}^2}^+$ are all finitely complete and cocomplete, the results of [1, Sec. 7] imply that we get functors

Note 6. Toby: This would go on for a while. I'll probably reproduce the relevant parts of [1] in a separate section, and then reference stuff there.

Lemma 7. For any marked simplicial set A and marked bisimplicial set X, the space $A \setminus X$ is a Kan complex, and the inclusion $A \setminus X \hookrightarrow A^{\flat} \setminus X \cong \mathring{A} \setminus \mathring{X}$ is a full subcategory inclusion.

Definition 8. Let X be a bisimplicial set, and let \mathcal{E} be a marking on X. We will say that \mathcal{E} is SOMETHING if it has the following property: for any map $\Delta^1 \to X_1$ representing an edge $e \to e'$ between morphisms e and e', the morphism e is marked if and only if the morphism e' is marked.

Proposition 9. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a Reedy fibration between marked bisimplicial sets, each having the property SOMETHING, and let $u: A \to A'$ be a morphism of marked simplicial sets whose underlying morphism of unmarked simplicial spaces is a monomorphism. Then the map $\langle u \backslash f \rangle$ is a Kan fibration.

Proof. We need to show that for each $n \ge 0$ and $0 \le k \le n$ we can solve the lifting problem

$$\Lambda_k^n \longrightarrow A' \backslash X$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\Delta^n \longrightarrow A \backslash X \times_{A' \backslash Y} A' \backslash X$$

First assume that $n \ge 2$. We can augment the above square as follows.

$$\Lambda_k^n \longrightarrow A' \backslash X \longrightarrow A^b \backslash X$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\Delta^n \longrightarrow A \backslash X \times_{A' \backslash Y} A' \backslash X \longrightarrow A^b \backslash X \times_{(A')^b \backslash Y} (A')^b \backslash X$$

Since the map on the right is a Kan fibration, we can solve the outer lifting problem. All the vertices of Δ^n belong to Λ^n_k , so a lift of the outside square factors through $A' \setminus X$.

We need to treat the cases $\Lambda_0^1 \hookrightarrow \Delta^1$ and $\Lambda_1^1 \hookrightarrow \Delta^1$ separately. Equivalently, we need to be able to solve the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \longrightarrow & X/\Delta^n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
A' & \longrightarrow & X/\Lambda^n_k \times_{Y/\Lambda^n_k} Y/\Lambda^n_k
\end{array}$$

1.2. Cocartesian morphisms

Definition 10. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a Reedy fibration between Segal spaces. A morphism $e \in X_{10}$ is f-cocartesian if the square

is homotopy pullback.

Definition 11. For $n \ge 1$, define the following simplicial subsets of Δ^n .

• Denote by I_n the **spine** of Δ^n , i.e. the space

$$\Delta^{\{0,1\}} \coprod_{\Delta^{\{1\}}} \Delta^{\{1,2\}} \coprod_{\Delta^{\{2\}}} \cdots \coprod_{\Delta^{\{n-1\}}} \Delta^{\{n-1,n\}}.$$

• Denote by Σ_n the space

$$\Sigma_n = \Delta^{\{0,1\}} \coprod_{\Delta^{\{0\}}} \Delta^{\{0,2\}} \coprod_{\Delta^{\{2\}}} \cdots \coprod_{\Delta^{\{n-1\}}} \Delta^{\{n-1,n\}}.$$

That is, Σ_n is the union of $\Delta^{\{0,1\}}$ with the spine of $d_1\Delta^n$.

We will call Σ_n the *left spine* of Δ^n .

Note that $\Sigma_2 \cong \Lambda_0^2$.

Lemma 12. Let *f*

Proposition 13. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a Reedy fibration between Segal spaces, and let

 $e \in X_{10}$ be an f-cocartesian morphism. Then the square

$$\Delta^{n}\backslash X \times_{\Delta^{\{0,1\}}\backslash X} \{e\} \longrightarrow \Sigma_{n}\backslash X \times_{\Delta^{\{0,1\}}\backslash X} \{e\}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\Delta^{n}\backslash Y \times_{\Delta^{\{0,1\}}\backslash Y} \{fe\} \longrightarrow \Sigma_{n}\backslash Y \times_{\Delta^{\{0,1\}}\backslash Y} \{fe\}$$

is homotopy pullback for all $n \ge 2$.

Proof. The map

$$\Delta^{\{0,1,2\}}\coprod_{\Delta^{\{2\}}}$$

For any simplicial subset $A \subseteq \Delta^n$, denote the marking where the only marked nondegenerate edge is $\Delta^{\{0,1\}}$ by $A^{\mathcal{L}}$. For any simplicial set A, define a marked simplicial set $(\Delta^1 \star A, \mathcal{L}')$ where the only nondegenerate simplex belonging to \mathcal{L}' is Δ^1 .

Lemma 14. Let $A \hookrightarrow B$ be a monomorphism of simplicial sets, and suppose that B is n-skeletal (and therefore that A is n-skeletal). Then the map

$$(\Delta^{\{0\}} \star B)^{\flat} \coprod_{(\Delta^{\{0\}} \star A)^{\flat}} (\Delta^{1} \star A)^{\mathcal{L}'} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^{1} \star B)^{\mathcal{L}'}$$

is in the saturated hull of

$$(\Lambda_0^k)^{\mathcal{L}} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^k)^{\mathcal{L}}, \qquad 2 \le k \le n+2.$$

Proof. It suffices to show this for $A \hookrightarrow B = \partial \Delta^m \hookrightarrow \Delta^m$ for $0 \le m \le n$. In this case the necessary map is of the form

$$(\Lambda_0^{m+2})^{\mathcal{L}} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^{m+2})^{\mathcal{L}}.$$

We will say a collection of morphisms $\mathcal{A} \subset \operatorname{Mor}(\operatorname{\mathbf{Set}}^+_{\mathbb{A}})$ has the *right cancellation property* if for all $u, v \in \operatorname{Mor}(\operatorname{\mathbf{Set}}^+_{\mathbb{A}})$,

$$u \in \mathcal{A}, \quad vu \in \mathcal{A} \implies v \in A.$$

Lemma 15. Let \mathcal{A} be a saturated set of morphisms of $\mathbf{Set}^+_{\mathbb{A}}$ all of whose underlying morphisms are monomorphisms, and which has the right cancellation property. Further suppose that \mathcal{A} contains the following classes of morphisms.

1. Spine inclusions $(I_n)^{\flat} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^n)^{\flat}, n \geq 2$.

2. Left spine inclusions $(\Sigma_n)^{\mathcal{L}} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^n)^{\mathcal{L}}, n \geq 2$.

Then A contains the following classes of morphisms.

- 1'. Inner horn inclusions $(\Lambda_i^n)^{\flat} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^n)^{\flat}$, $n \geq 2$, 0 < i < n.
- 2'. Left horn inclusions $(\Lambda_0^n)^{\mathcal{L}} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^n)^{\mathcal{L}}, n \geq 2$.

Proof. It is shown in [2] that 1. \implies 1'. It suffices

Definition 16. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a Reedy fibration between complete Segal spaces. We will say that f is a *cocartesian fibration* if each morphism in Y has an f-cocartesian lift in X.

Theorem 17. Let $f: X^{\natural} \to Y^{\sharp}$ be a cocartesian fibration of Segal spaces together with the canonical marking. Then for any marked anodyne morphism u, the map $\langle u \backslash f \rangle$ is a trivial fibration.

Corollary 18. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a cocartesian fibration between complete Segal spaces. Then the corresponding map f/Δ^0 between first rows is a cocartesian fibration of quasicategories.

Proof. Since $\langle u \backslash f \rangle$ is a trivial fibration, for any marked anodyne map $u \colon A \to A'$ we can solve the lifting problem

$$\emptyset \longrightarrow A' \backslash X^{\natural}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\Delta^{0} \longrightarrow A \backslash X^{\natural} \times_{A' \backslash Y^{\sharp}} A' \backslash Y^{\sharp}$$

This is equivalent to the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \longrightarrow X^{\ddagger}/\Delta^{0} \\
\downarrow & & \nearrow & \downarrow \\
A' & \longrightarrow Y^{\sharp}/\Delta^{0}
\end{array}$$

Thus, the map f/Δ^0 has the right lifting property with respect to all marked anodyne morphisms and every morphism in Y/Δ^0 is marked, which implies that the map f/Δ^0 is a cocartesian fibration.

2. Complete Segal spaces of spans

2.1. Cocartesian fibrations

We start with some notation.

The main goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 19. Let \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{D} be quasicategories admitting all pullbacks, and let $p \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$ be a bicartesian fibration which preserves pullbacks. Further suppose that p has the following property:

For any square


$$\sigma = \bigvee_{x'} \xrightarrow{f'} y$$

$$x' \xrightarrow{f'} y'$$


in $\mathcal C$ such that the morphism f' is p-cocartesian and $p(\sigma)$ is pullback in $\mathcal D$, the following are equivalent.

- The morphism f is p-cocartesian.
- The square σ is pullback.

Then the functor $\pi \colon \mathbf{Span}(\mathfrak{C}) \to \mathbf{Span}(\mathfrak{D})$ is a cocartesian fibration of Segal spaces, whose cocartesian morphisms are spans of the form



Note 20. Since the definition of $Span(\mathcal{C})$ is self-dual, the functor $Span(\mathcal{C}) \to Span(\mathcal{D})$ is also a cartesian fibration with cartesian morphisms of the form



We prove Theorem 19 in several steps. Since we will be working with bicartesian fibrations, it will be helpful to adapt some of the tools of marked simplicial sets to our purposes.

7

2.2. Bimarked simplicial sets

Our proof of Theorem 19 will involve working with with bicartesian fibrations. For this reason, it will be helpful to have results about simplicial sets with two markings, one of which controls the cocartesian structure and one of which controls the cartesian structure. This section consists mainly of verifications that some key results about marked simplicial sets which can be found in [3, Sec. 3.1] hold in the bimarked case. The only results we will make use of are Proposition 30, Example 25, and Example 26.

Definition 21. A *bimarked simplicial set* is a triple $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}')$, where X is a simplicial set and \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' are markings. We will often shorten this to $X^{(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}')}$. A morphism of bimarked simplicial sets is a morphism of the underlying simplicial sets which preserves each class of markings separately. We will denote the category of bimarked simplicial sets by $\mathbf{Set}^{++}_{\Delta}$.

Example 22. For any simplicial set *X* we will denote

- The bimarked simplicial set where \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' contain only the degenerate edges by $X^{(\flat,\flat)}$.
- The bimarked simplicial set where \mathcal{E} contains only the degenerate edges and \mathcal{E}' contains every edge by $X^{(\flat,\sharp)}$,
- The bimarked simplicial set where \mathcal{E} contains every edge and \mathcal{E}' contains only the degenerate edges by $X^{(\sharp,\flat)}$, and
- The bimarked simplicial set where $\mathcal E$ and $\mathcal E'$ contain every edge by $X^{(\sharp,\sharp)}$.

Example 23. Let $p \colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a bicartesian fibration. Denote by \mathcal{C}^{\natural} the bimarked simplicial set where

- The set \mathcal{E} is the set of all p-cocartesian morphisms, and
- The set \mathcal{E}' is the set of all p-cartesian morphisms.

In this way every bicartesian fibration gives a morphism of bimarked simplicial sets.

The category $\mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}^{++}$ is closely connected to the category $\mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}^{+}$ and the category $\mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}$. We have the following obvious results.

- There is a forgetful functor $u \colon \mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}^{++} \to \mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}$ which forgets both markings. This has left adjoint $\iota \colon X \mapsto (X, \flat, \flat)$. The functor ι is a full subcategory inclusion.
- There is a forgetful functor u₁: Set⁺⁺_△ → Set⁺_△, which forgets the second marking, sending (X, E, E') → (X, E). This has a left adjoint ι₁ given by the functor which sends (X, E) → (X, E, b). The functor ι₁ is a full subcategory inclusion; there is a bijection between maps between marked simplicial sets (X, E) → (Y, E') and maps (X, E, b) → (Y, E', b). The same is true of the functor u₂ which forgets the first marking and its left adjoint ι₂.

Just as in the marked case, we will a set of bimarked anodyne morphisms, the morphisms with the left lifting property with respect to bicartesian fibrations.

Definition 24. The class of *bimarked anodyne morphisms* is the saturated hull of the union of the following classes of morphisms.

(1) For each 0 < i < n, the inner horn inclusions

$$(\Lambda_i^n)^{(\flat,\flat)} \to (\Delta^n)^{(\flat,\flat)}.$$

(2) For every n > 0, the inclusion

$$(\Lambda_0^n)^{(\mathcal{L},\flat)} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^n)^{(\mathcal{L},\flat)},$$

where \mathcal{L} denotes the set of all degenerate edges of Δ^n together with the edge $\Lambda^{\{0,1\}}$.

(2') For every n > 0, the inclusion

$$(\Lambda_n^n)^{(\flat,\mathcal{R})} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^n)^{(\flat,\mathcal{R})},$$

where \mathcal{R} denotes the set of all degenerate edges of Δ^n together with the edge $\Delta^{\{n-1,n\}}$.

(3) The inclusion

$$(\Lambda_1^2)^{(\sharp,\flat)}\coprod_{(\Lambda_1^2)^{(\flat,\flat)}}(\Delta^2)^{(\flat,\flat)}\to (\Delta^2)^{(\sharp,\flat)}.$$

(3') The inclusion

$$(\Lambda_1^2)^{(b,\sharp)} \coprod_{(\Lambda_1^2)^{(b,b)}} (\Delta^2)^{(b,b)} \to (\Delta^2)^{(b,\sharp)}.$$

(4) For every Kan complex K, the map


$$K^{(\flat,\flat)} \to K^{(\sharp,\flat)}$$

(4') For every Kan complex K, the map

$$K^{(\flat,\flat)} \to K^{(\flat,\sharp)}$$
.

Example 25. Define a bimarked structure $(asd(\Delta^1), \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') = asd(\Delta^1)^{\heartsuit}$ on $asd(\Delta^1)$, where the morphism $01 \to 11$ is \mathcal{E} -marked, and the morphism $01 \to 00$ is \mathcal{E}' -marked. Denoting \mathcal{E} -marked morphisms with a \bullet and \mathcal{E}' -marked morphisms with a \circ , we can


draw this as follows.




The inclusion $\{00\} = \operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{\{0\}}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{asd}(\Delta^1)^{\circ}$ is bimarked anodyne: we can factor it

$$\{00\} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^1)^{(\flat,\sharp)} \hookrightarrow asd(\Delta^1)^\heartsuit,$$

where the first inclusion is of the form (2') and the second is a pushout of a morphism of the form (2). We can draw this process as follows.



Example 26. Define a bimarked structure $asd(\Delta^2)^{\heartsuit}$ on $asd(\Delta^2)$, following the notation of Example 25, as follows.



Note that the bimarking of Example 25 is the restriction of $asd(\Delta^2)^{\heartsuit}$ to $asd(\Delta^{\{0,1\}})$. Denote the restriction of the bimarking $asd(\Delta^2)^{\heartsuit}$ to $asd(\Delta^{\{0,2\}})$ by $asd(\Delta^{\{0,2\}})^{\heartsuit}$; this agrees with the (\flat, \flat) -marking.

The inclusion $asd(\Delta^{\{0,2\}})^{\heartsuit} \hookrightarrow asd(\Delta^2)^{\heartsuit}$ is bimarked anodyne. To see this, note that... factorization...

Proposition 27. A map $p: (X, \mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{E}_X') \to (S, \mathcal{E}_S, \mathcal{E}_S')$ of bimarked simplicial sets has the right lifting property with respect to bimarked anodyne morphisms if and only if the following conditions are satisifed.

- (A) The map p is an inner fibration of simplicial sets.
- (B) An edge e of X is \mathcal{E}_X -marked if and only if p(e) is \mathcal{E}_S -marked and e is p-cocartesian.

- (B') An edge e of X is \mathcal{E}'_X -marked if and only if p(e) is \mathcal{E}'_S -marked and e is p-cartesian.
- (C) For every object y of X and every \mathcal{E}_S -marked edge $\bar{e} : \bar{x} \to p(y)$ in S, there exists a \mathcal{E}_X marked edge $e : x \to y$ of X with $p(e) = \bar{e}$.
- (C') For every object y of X and every \mathcal{E}'_S -marked edge $\bar{e} \colon \bar{x} \to p(y)$ in S, there exists a \mathcal{E}'_X marked edge $e \colon x \to y$ of X with $p(e) = \bar{e}$.

Proof. By [3, Prop. 3.1.1.6], (A), (B) and (C) are equivalent to (1), (2), and (3). By its dual, (A), (B') and (C') are equivalent to (1), (2'), and (3') \Box

We would like to define cofibrations of bimarked simplicial sets to be maps of bimarked simplicial sets whose underlying map of simplicial sets is a monomorphism, and then show that the class of bimarked anodyne maps is stable under smash products with arbitrary cofibrations. Unfortunately, this turns out not to be quite true; the candidate class of cofibrations described above is generated by the following classes of maps.

- (I) Boundary fillings $(\partial \Delta^n)^{(\flat,\flat)} \to (\Delta^n)^{(\flat,\flat)}$.
- (II) Markings $(\Delta^1)^{(b,b)} \to (\Delta^1)^{(\sharp,b)}$.
- (III) Markings $(\Delta^1)^{(b,b)} \to (\Delta^1)^{(b,\sharp)}$.

There is nothing that tells us, for example, that the smash product of a bimarked anodyne map of type (2) with a cofibration of type (III) should be bimarked anodyne. Denoting arrows with the first marking using a \bullet and the second using a \circ , this amounts, in the case n=0, to the statement that the map

should be bimarked anodyne, which it isn't. However, we have the following weaker statement.

Lemma 28. The class of bimarked anodyne maps in $\mathbf{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}^{++}$ is stable under smash products with flat monomorphisms, i.e. morphisms $A^{(\flat,\flat)} \to B^{(\flat,\flat)}$ such that the underlying morphism of simplicial sets $A \to B$ is a monomorphism. That is, if $f: X \to Y$ is bimarked anodyne and $A \to B$ is a monomorphism of simplicial sets, then

$$(X \times B^{(\flat,\flat)}) \coprod_{X \times A^{(\flat,\flat)}} (Y \times A^{(\flat,\flat)}) \longrightarrow Y \times B^{(\flat,\flat)}$$

is bimarked anodyne.

Proof. It suffices to show that for any flat boundary inclusion $(\partial \Delta^n)^{(\flat,\flat)} \to (\Delta^n)^{(\flat,\flat)}$ and

any generating bimarked anodyne morphism $X \to Y$, the map

$$(X \times (\Delta^n)^{(\flat,\flat)}) \coprod_{X \times (\partial \Delta^n)^{(\flat,\flat)}} (Y \times (\partial \Delta^n)^{(\flat,\flat)}) \to Y \times (\Delta^n)^{(\flat,\flat)}$$

is bimarked anodyne. If $X \to Y$ belongs to one of the classes (1), (2'), (3'), or (4'), then this is true by the arguments of [3, Prop. 3.1.2.3]. If $X \to Y$ belongs to one of the classes (1), (2), (3), or (4), then it is true by the dual arguments.

Definition 29. For any bimarked simplicial sets X, Y, define a simplicial set Map^(b,b)(X,Y) by the following universal property: for any simplicial set Z, there is a bijection

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}}(Z, \operatorname{Map}^{(\flat,\flat)}(X, Y)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Set}_{\mathbb{A}}^{++}}(Z^{(\flat,\flat)} \times X, Y).$$

Proposition 30. Let $p \colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a bicartesian fibration of quasicategories, and denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\natural} \to \mathcal{D}^{(\sharp,\sharp)}$ the associated map of bimarked simplicial sets as in Example 23. Let $X \to Y$ be any bimarked anodyne map of simplicial sets. Then the square

$$\mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(Y,\mathcal{C}^{\natural})^{\simeq} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(X,\mathcal{C}^{\natural})^{\simeq}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(Y,\mathcal{D}^{(\sharp,\sharp)})^{\simeq} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(X,\mathcal{D}^{(\sharp,\sharp)})^{\simeq}$$

is a homotopy pullback in the Kan model structure.

Proof. First, we show that the right-hand map is a Kan fibration. In fact, the underlying map

$$\operatorname{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(X,\mathcal{C}^{\natural}) \to \operatorname{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(X,\mathcal{D}^{(\sharp,\sharp)})$$

is a trivial Kan fibration, since by Lemma 28 together with Proposition 27 we can solve the necessary lifting problems. This, together with the fact that each of the objects is a Kan complex, implies that in order to show that the above square is homotopy pullback it suffices to check that the map

$$\mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(Y,\mathcal{C}^{\natural})^{\simeq} \to \mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(X,\mathcal{C}^{\natural})^{\simeq} \times_{\mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(Y,\mathcal{D}(\sharp,\sharp))^{\simeq}} \mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(X,\mathcal{D}^{(\sharp,\sharp)})^{\simeq}$$

is a trivial Kan fibration. Since the functor $(-)^{\approx}$ is a right adjoint it preserves limits, so it again suffices to show that the underlying map

$$\mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(Y,\mathcal{C}^{\natural}) \to \mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(X,\mathcal{C}^{\natural}) \times_{\mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(Y,\mathcal{D}^{(\sharp,\sharp)})} \mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(X,\mathcal{D}^{(\sharp,\sharp)})$$

is a trivial fibration, which follows from Lemma 28.

2.3. Cartesian morphisms

In this section, we show that we really have identified the cocartesian morphisms correctly. We will first show that morphisms of the form




are *p*-cocartesian.

Proposition 31. Let $\pi \colon \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{D}$ be a bicartesian fibration of quasicategories which preserves pullbacks and satisfies the condition of Theorem 19, and let

$$p \colon \mathsf{Span}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathsf{Span}(\mathcal{D})$$

be the corresponding map between complete Segal spaces of spans. If a morphism in $Span(\mathcal{C})$ is of the form



where the morphism marked with a \circ is π -cartesian and the morphism marked with a \bullet is π -cocartesian, then it is p-cocartesian.

Proof. In order to show that a morphism $e: x \leftarrow y \rightarrow x'$ of the form given in Proposition 31 are cocartesian, we have to show that the square

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C})_2 \times_{\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C})_{\{0,1\}}} \{e\} & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C})_{\{0,2\}} \times_{\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C})_{\{0\}}} \{x\} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{D})_2 \times_{\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{D})_{\{0,1\}}} \{\pi e\} & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{D})_{\{0,2\}} \times_{\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{D})_{\{0\}}} \{\pi x\} \end{aligned}$$


is homotopy pullback. Expanding, we have to show that the square

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Fun}^{\mathrm{Cart}}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{2}), \mathbb{C})^{\simeq} \times_{\mathbf{Fun}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,1\}}), \mathbb{C})^{\simeq}} \{e\} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,2\}}), \mathbb{C})^{\simeq} \times_{\mathbf{Fun}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{\{0\}}), \mathbb{C})^{\simeq}} \{x\} \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Fun}^{\mathrm{Cart}}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{2}), \mathbb{D})^{\simeq} \times_{\mathbf{Fun}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,1\}}), \mathbb{D})^{\simeq}} \{\pi e\} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,2\}}), \mathbb{D})^{\simeq} \times_{\mathbf{Fun}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{\{0\}}), \mathbb{D})^{\simeq}} \{\pi x\} \end{aligned}$$

is homotopy pullback.

Recall the bimarked structure $asd(\Delta^2)^{\heartsuit} = (asd(\Delta^2), \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}')$ on $asd(\Delta^2)$ of Example 26, reproduced below, where the nondegenerate edges in \mathcal{E} are distinguished with a \bullet , and

the nondegenerate edges in \mathcal{E}' are distinguished with a \circ .



Denote the induced bimarked structures on $asd(\Delta^{\{0,1\}})$, $asd(\Delta^{\{0,2\}})$, and $asd(\Delta^{\{0\}})$ also with a heart. Except in the case of $asd(\Delta^{\{0,1\}})^{\heartsuit}$, these agree with the (\flat, \flat) -bimarked structure.

We now note that we can decompose the above square into two squares

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Fun}^{\mathrm{Cart}}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{2}), \mathcal{C})^{\simeq} \times_{\mathbf{Fun}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,1\}}), \mathcal{C})^{\simeq}} \{e\} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat, \flat)}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{2})^{\heartsuit}, \mathcal{C}^{\natural})^{\simeq} \times_{\mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat, \flat)}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,1\}})^{\heartsuit}, \mathcal{C}^{\natural})^{\simeq}} \{e\} \\ & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Fun}^{\mathrm{Cart}}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{2}), \mathcal{D})^{\simeq} \times_{\mathbf{Fun}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,1\}}), \mathcal{D})^{\simeq}} \{\pi e\} & \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat, \flat)}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{2})^{\heartsuit}, \mathcal{D}^{(\sharp, \sharp)})^{\simeq} \times_{\mathbf{Fun}^{(\flat, \flat)}(\mathrm{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,1\}})^{\heartsuit}, \mathcal{D}^{(\sharp, \sharp)})^{\simeq}} \{\pi e\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(\operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{2})^{\heartsuit},\mathcal{C}^{\natural})^{\simeq} \times_{\operatorname{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(\operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,1\}})^{\heartsuit},\mathcal{C}^{\natural})^{\simeq}} \{e\} & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,2\}}),\mathcal{C})^{\simeq} \times_{\operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{\{0\}}),\mathcal{C})^{\simeq}} \{x\} \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(\operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{2})^{\heartsuit},\mathcal{D}^{(\sharp,\sharp)})^{\simeq} \times_{\operatorname{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(\operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,1\}})^{\heartsuit},\mathcal{D}^{(\sharp,\sharp)})^{\simeq}} \{\pi e\} & \to \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,2\}}),\mathcal{D})^{\simeq} \times_{\operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{\{0\}}),\mathcal{D})^{\simeq}} \{\pi x\} \end{aligned}$$

The first square is a homotopy pullback because the bottom morphism is a full subcategory inclusion of connected components, and the fiber over a connected component corresponding to Cartesian functors $asd(\Delta^2) \to \mathcal{D}$ is consists precisely of Cartesian functors $asd(\Delta^2) \to \mathcal{C}$ by the condition of Theorem 19.

Therefore, we need to show that the second square is homotopy pullback. For this it suffices to show separately that the squares

$$\begin{split} & Fun^{(\flat,\flat)}(asd(\Delta^2)^\heartsuit,\mathbb{C}^\natural)^\simeq \longrightarrow Fun(asd(\Delta^{\{0,2\}}),\mathbb{C})^\simeq \\ & \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad . \\ & \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad . \\ & Fun^{(\flat,\flat)}(asd(\Delta^2)^\heartsuit,\mathbb{D}^{(\sharp,\sharp)})^\simeq \longrightarrow Fun(asd(\Delta^{\{0,2\}}),\mathbb{D})^\simeq \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \textbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(\text{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,1\}})^\heartsuit,\mathbb{C}^{\natural})^\simeq & \longrightarrow \textbf{Fun}(\text{asd}(\Delta^{\{0\}}),\mathbb{C})^\simeq \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ \textbf{Fun}^{(\flat,\flat)}(\text{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,1\}})^\heartsuit,\mathbb{D}^{(\sharp,\sharp)})^\simeq & \longrightarrow \textbf{Fun}(\text{asd}(\Delta^{\{0\}}),\mathbb{D})^\simeq \end{split}$$

are homotopy pullback. But it is easy to see that these are of the form of the square in Proposition 30; the first has $X \to Y = \operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,2\}})^{\heartsuit} \to \operatorname{asd}(\Delta^2)^{\heartsuit}$, which we saw in Example 26 was bimarked anodyne, and the second has $X \to Y = \operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{\{0\}})^{\heartsuit} \to \operatorname{asd}(\Delta^{\{0,1\}})^{\heartsuit}$, which we saw in Example 25 was bimarked anodyne. Both are therefore homotopy pullback.

Thus we have shown that if a morphism has the form of Equation 1, it is p-cocartesian. It remains to show the reverse implication.

First note that since $\operatorname{asd}(\Delta^0)^{\circ} \to \operatorname{asd}(\Delta^1)^{\circ}$ is bimarked anodyne, every morphism has a lift of the form Equation 1, which we have just shown is p-cocartesian. Since any two p-cocartesian lifts are equivalent, any morphism which is a p-cocartesian lift is equivalent to such a morphism, and hence must be of that form.

A. Background results

A.1. Results from J+T

A.2. Results about equivalences

References

- [1] M. Tierney A. Joyal. "Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces". In: (2014). eprint: arXiv: 1409.0837.
- [2] Andre Joyal and Myles Tierney. "Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces". In: (July 31, 2006). arXiv: math/0607820v2 [math.AT].
- [3] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory*. Princeton University Press, 2009. ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0.