

# 1 Hom functors and the Yoneda lemma

## 1.1 The Hom functor

Given any locally small category (Definition ??)  $\mathcal{C}$  and two objects  $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ , we have thus far notated the set of all morphisms  $A \rightarrow B$  by  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ . This may have seemed an odd notation, but there was a good reason for it:  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is really a functor.

To be more explicit, we can view  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}$  in the following way: it takes two objects, say  $A$  and  $B$ , and returns the set of morphisms  $A \rightarrow B$ . It's a functor  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ !

(Actually, as we'll see, this isn't quite right: it is actually a functor  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ . But this is easier to understand if it comes about in a natural way, so we'll keep writing  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$  for the time being.)

Okay, so  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}$  takes a pair of objects and returns the set of morphisms between them, but any functor has to send morphisms to morphisms. How does it do that?

A morphism in  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$  between two objects  $(A', A)$  and  $(B', B)$  is an ordered pair  $(f', f)$  of two morphisms:

$$f: A \rightarrow B \quad \text{and} \quad f': A' \rightarrow B'.$$

We can draw this as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

We have to send this to a set-function  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A', A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B', B)$ . So let  $m$  be a morphism in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, A')$ . We can draw this into our little diagram above like so.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' \\ m \downarrow & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

Notice: we want to build from  $m$ ,  $f$ , and  $f'$  a morphism  $B' \rightarrow B$ . Suppose  $f'$  were going the other way.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & \xleftarrow{f'} & B' \\ m \downarrow & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

Then we could get an element of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, B')$  simply by taking the composition  $f \circ m \circ f'$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & \xleftarrow{f'} & B' \\ m \downarrow & & \downarrow f \circ m \circ f' \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

But we can make  $f'$  go the other way simply by making the first argument of  $\text{Hom}_C$  come from the opposite category: that is, we want  $\text{Hom}_C$  to be a functor  $C^{\text{op}} \times C \rightarrow \text{Set}$ .

As the function  $m \mapsto f \circ m \circ f'$  is the action of the functor  $\text{Hom}_C$  on the morphism  $(f', f)$ , it is natural to call it  $\text{Hom}_C(f', f)$ .

Of course, we should check that this *really* is a functor, i.e. that it treats identities and compositions correctly. This is completely routine, and you should skip down to [Definition 1](#) if you read the last sentence with annoyance.

First, we need to show that  $\text{Hom}_C(\text{id}_{A'}, \text{id}_A)$  is the identity function  $\text{id}_{\text{Hom}_C(A', A)}$ . It is, since if  $m \in \text{Hom}_C(A', A)$ ,

$$\text{Hom}_C(\text{id}_{A'}, \text{id}_A): m \mapsto \text{id}_A \circ m \circ \text{id}_{A'} = m.$$

Next, we have to check that compositions work the way they're supposed to. Suppose we have objects and morphisms like this:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A' & \xleftarrow{f'} & B' & \xleftarrow{g'} & C' \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \end{array}$$

where the primed stuff is in  $C^{\text{op}}$  and the unprimed stuff is in  $C$ . This can be viewed as three objects  $(A', A)$ , etc. in  $C^{\text{op}} \times C$  and two morphisms  $(f', f)$  and  $(g', g)$  between them.

Okay, so we can compose  $(f', f)$  and  $(g', g)$  to get a morphism

$$(g', g) \circ (f', f) = (f' \circ g', g \circ f): (A', A) \rightarrow (C', C).$$

Note that the order of the composition in the first argument has been turned around: this is expected since the first argument lives in  $C^{\text{op}}$ . To check that  $\text{Hom}_C$  handles compositions correctly, we need to verify that

$$\text{Hom}_C(g, g') \circ \text{Hom}_C(f, f') = \text{Hom}_C(f' \circ g', g \circ f).$$

Let  $m \in \text{Hom}_C(A', A)$ . We victoriously compute

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{Hom}_C(g, g') \circ \text{Hom}_C(f, f')](m) &= \text{Hom}_C(g, g')(f \circ m \circ f') \\ &= g \circ f \circ m \circ f' \circ g' \\ &= \text{Hom}_C(f' \circ g', g \circ f)(m). \end{aligned}$$

Let's formalize this in a definition.

**Definition 1** (hom functor). Let  $C$  be a locally small category. The hom functor  $\text{Hom}_C$  is the functor

$$C^{\text{op}} \times C \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

which sends the object  $(A', A)$  to the set  $\text{Hom}_C(A', A)$  of morphisms  $A' \rightarrow A$ , and the morphism  $(f', f): (A', A) \rightarrow (B', B)$  to the function

$$\text{Hom}_C(f', f): \text{Hom}_C(A', A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_C(B', B); \quad m \mapsto f \circ m \circ f'.$$

**Example 2.** Hom functors give us a new way of looking at the universal property for products and coproducts.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category with products, and let  $X, A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ . Recall that the universal property for the product  $A \times B$  allows us to exchange two morphisms

$$f_1: X \rightarrow A \quad \text{and} \quad f_2: X \rightarrow B$$

for a morphism

$$f: X \rightarrow A \times B.$$

We can also compose a morphism  $g: X \rightarrow A \times B$  with the canonical projections

$$\pi_A: A \times B \rightarrow A \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_B: A \times B \rightarrow B$$

to get two morphisms

$$\pi_A \circ f: X \rightarrow A \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_B \circ f: X \rightarrow B.$$

This means that there is a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, A \times B) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, A) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, B).$$

In fact this bijection is natural in  $X$ . That is to say, there is a natural bijection between the following functors  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ :

$$h_{A \times B}: X \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, A \times B) \quad \text{and} \quad h_A \times h_B: X \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, A) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, B).$$

Let's prove naturality. Let

$$\Phi_X: \text{Hom}(X, A \times B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X, A) \times \text{Hom}(X, B); \quad f \mapsto (\pi_A \circ f, \pi_B \circ f)$$

be the components of the above transformation, and let  $g: X' \rightarrow X$ . Naturality follows from the fact that the diagram below commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(X, A \times B) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(g, \text{id}_{A \times B})} & \text{Hom}(X', A \times B) \\ \Phi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_{X'} \\ \text{Hom}(X, A) \times \text{Hom}(X, B) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(g, \text{id}_A) \times \text{Hom}(g, \text{id}_B)} & \text{Hom}(X', A) \times \text{Hom}(X', B) \\ f \mapsto & \xrightarrow{\quad} & f \circ g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\pi_A \circ f, \pi_B \circ g) & \mapsto & (\pi_A \circ f \circ g, \pi_B \circ f \circ g) \end{array}$$

The coproduct does a similar thing: it allows us to trade two morphisms

$$A \rightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad B \rightarrow X$$

for a morphism

$$A \amalg B \rightarrow X,$$

and vice versa. Similar reasoning yields a natural bijection between the following functors  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ :

$$h^{A \amalg B} \Rightarrow h^A \times h^B.$$

This example is really a reflection of a concept we will meet in Chapter ??: the hom functor commutes with limits in the first slot, and turns colimits into limits in the second.

**Example 3.** Hom functors also give us a new way of looking at exponential objects. The universal property for exponentials is a little bit more complicated: it allows us to trade a morphism

$$X \times A \rightarrow B$$

for a morphism

$$X \rightarrow B^A,$$

and vice versa. That is to say, we have a bijection between two functors  $\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$

$$X \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X \times A, B) \quad \text{and} \quad X \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, B^A).$$

It is easy to check that this bijection is again natural.

This example is a reflection of yet another concept (which we will meet in Section ??), that of an adjunction.

## 1.2 Currying the hom functor

The hom functor as defined above is cute, but not a whole lot else. Things get interesting when we curry it.

Recall from computer science the concept of currying. Suppose we are given a function of two arguments, say  $f(x, y)$ . The idea of currying is this: if we like, we can view  $f$  as a family of functions of only one variable  $y$ , indexed by  $x$ :

$$h_x(y) = f(x, y).$$

We can even view  $h$  as a function which takes one argument  $x$ , and which returns a *function*  $h_x$  of one variable  $y$ .

This sets up a correspondence between functions  $f: A \times B \rightarrow C$  and functions  $h: A \rightarrow C^B$ , where  $C^B$  is the set of functions  $B \rightarrow C$ . The map which replaces  $f$  by  $h$  is called *currying*. We can also go the other way (i.e.  $h \mapsto f$ ), which is called *uncurrying*.

We have been intentionally vague about the nature of our function  $f$ , and what sort of arguments it might take; everything we have said also holds for, say, bifunctors.

In particular, it gives us two more ways to view our bifunctor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}$ . We can fix any  $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$  and curry either argument. This gives us the following.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\mathcal{F}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  be a functor between locally small categories. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  induces a natural transformation with components

$$\mathcal{F}_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, B) \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{F}(A), \mathcal{F}(B)); \quad (f: A \rightarrow B) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}(f): \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(B)).$$

*Proof.* The following square commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Hom}_C(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{A,B}} & \text{Hom}_D(\mathcal{F}(A), \mathcal{F}(B)) \\
\downarrow g \circ (-) \circ f & & \downarrow \mathcal{F}(g) \circ \mathcal{F}(-) \circ \mathcal{F}(f) \\
\text{Hom}_C(A', B') & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{A',B'}} & \text{Hom}_D(\mathcal{F}(A'), \mathcal{F}(B'))
\end{array}$$

$h \mapsto \mathcal{F}(h)$   
 $g \circ h \circ f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(g \circ h \circ f) = \mathcal{F}(g) \circ \mathcal{F}(h) \circ \mathcal{F}(f)$

□

**Definition 5** (curried hom functor). Let  $C$  be a locally small category,  $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$ . We can construct from the hom functor  $\text{Hom}_C$

- a functor  $h^A: C \rightarrow \text{Set}$  which maps
  - an object  $B \in \text{Obj}(C)$  to the set  $\text{Hom}_C(A, B)$ , and
  - a morphism  $f: B \rightarrow B'$  to a Set-function

$$h^A(f): \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_C(A, B'); \quad m \mapsto f \circ m.$$

- a functor  $h_A: C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  which maps
  - an object  $B \in \text{Obj}(C)$  to the set  $\text{Hom}_C(B, A)$ , and
  - a morphism  $f: B \rightarrow B'$  to a Set-function

$$h_A(f): \text{Hom}_C(B', A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_C(B, A); \quad m \mapsto m \circ f.$$

**Definition 6** (representable functor). Let  $C$  be a category. A functor  $\mathcal{F}: C \rightarrow \text{Set}$  is representable if there is an object  $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$  and a natural isomorphism (Definition ??)

$$\eta: \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} h^A, & \text{if } \mathcal{F} \text{ is covariant} \\ h_A, & \text{if } \mathcal{F} \text{ is contravariant.} \end{cases}$$

*Note 7.* Since natural isomorphisms are invertible, we could equivalently define a representable functor with the natural isomorphism going the other way.

**Example 8.** Consider the forgetful functor  $\mathcal{U}: \text{Grp} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  which sends a group to its underlying set, and a group homomorphism to its underlying function. This functor is represented by the group  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .

To see this, we have to check that there is a natural isomorphism  $\eta$  between  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $h^{\mathbb{Z}} = \text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathbb{Z}, -)$ . That is to say, for each group  $G$ , there a Set-isomorphism (i.e. a bijection)

$$\eta_G: \mathcal{U}(G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathbb{Z}, G)$$

satisfying the naturality conditions in Definition ??.

First, let's show that there's a bijection by providing an injection in both directions. Pick some  $g \in G$ . Then there is a unique group homomorphism which sends  $1 \mapsto g$ , so we have an injection  $\mathcal{U}(G) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathbb{Z}, G)$ .

Now suppose we are given a group homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ . This sends 1 to some element  $g \in G$ , and this completely determines the rest of the homomorphism. Thus, we have an injection  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathbb{Z}, G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(G)$ .

All that is left is to show that  $\eta$  satisfies the naturality condition. Let  $F$  and  $H$  be groups, and  $f: G \rightarrow H$  a homomorphism. We need to show that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}(G) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}(f)} & \mathcal{U}(H) \\ \eta_G \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_H \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathbb{Z}, G) & \xrightarrow{h^{\mathbb{Z}}(f)} & \text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathbb{Z}, H) \end{array}$$

The upper path from top left to bottom right assigns to each  $g \in G$  the function  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$  which maps  $1 \mapsto f(g)$ . Walking down  $\eta_G$  from  $\mathcal{U}(G)$  to  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(\mathbb{Z}, G)$ ,  $g$  is mapped to the function  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$  which maps  $1 \mapsto g$ . Walking right, we compose this function with  $f$  to get a new function  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H$  which sends  $1 \mapsto f(g)$ . This function is really the image of a homomorphism and is therefore unique, so the diagram commutes.

## 1.3 The Yoneda embedding

The Yoneda embedding is a very powerful tool which allows us to prove things about any locally small category by embedding that category into  $\text{Set}$ , and using the enormous amount of structure that  $\text{Set}$  has.

**Definition 9** (Yoneda embedding). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category. The Yoneda embedding is the functor

$$\mathcal{Y}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}], \quad A \mapsto h_A = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, A).$$

where  $[\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]$  is the category of functors  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , defined in Definition ??.

Of course, we also need to say how  $\mathcal{Y}$  behaves on morphisms. Let  $f: A \rightarrow A'$ . Then  $\mathcal{Y}(f)$  will be a morphism from  $h_A$  to  $h_{A'}$ , i.e. a natural transformation  $h_A \Rightarrow h_{A'}$ . We can specify how it behaves by specifying its components  $(\mathcal{Y}(f))_B$ .

Plugging in definitions, we find that  $(\mathcal{Y}(f))_B$  is a map  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A')$ . But we know how to get such a map—we can compose all of the maps  $B \rightarrow A$  with  $f$  to get maps  $B \rightarrow A'$ . So  $\mathcal{Y}(f)$ , as a natural transformation  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, A')$ , simply composes everything in sight with  $f$ .

**Theorem 10** (Yoneda lemma). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a functor from  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$  to  $\text{Set}$ . Let  $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then there is a set-isomorphism (i.e. a bijection)  $\eta$  between the set  $\text{Hom}_{[\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]}(h_A, \mathcal{F})$  of natural transformations  $h_A \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  and the set  $\mathcal{F}(A)$ . Furthermore, this isomorphism is natural in  $A$ .

*Note 11.* A quick admission before we begin: we will be sweeping issues with size under the rug in what follows by tacitly assuming that  $\text{Hom}_{[\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]}(h_A, \mathcal{F})$  is a set. The reader will have to trust that everything works out in the end.

*Proof.* A natural transformation  $\Phi: h_A \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  consists of a collection of Set-morphisms (that is to say, functions)  $\Phi_B: \text{Hom}_C(B, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)$ , one for each  $B \in \text{Obj}(C)$ . We need to show that to each element of  $\mathcal{F}(A)$  there corresponds exactly one  $\Phi$ . We will do this by showing that any  $\Phi$  is completely determined by where  $\Phi_A$  sends the identity morphism  $\text{id}_A \in \text{Hom}_C(A, A)$ , so there is exactly one natural transformation for each place  $\Phi$  can send  $\text{id}_A$ .

The proof that this is the case can be illustrated by the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{h_A(f)} & \text{Hom}(B, A) \\
 \downarrow \Phi_A & & \downarrow \Phi_B \\
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{id}_A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & f \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 a & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\mathcal{F}f)(a) \stackrel{!}{=} \Phi_B(f)
 \end{array} & & \\
 \mathcal{F}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} & \mathcal{F}(B)
 \end{array}$$

Here's what the above diagram means. The natural transformation  $\Phi: h_A \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  has a component  $\Phi_A: \text{Hom}_C(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)$ , and  $\Phi_A$  has to send the identity transformation  $\text{id}_A$  *somewhere*. It can send it to any element of  $\mathcal{F}(A)$ ; let's call  $\Phi_A(\text{id}_A) = a$ .

Now the naturality conditions force our hand. For any  $B \in \text{Obj}(C)$  and any  $f: B \rightarrow A$ , the naturality square above means that  $\Phi_B(f)$  *has to be* equal to  $(\mathcal{F}f)(a)$ . We get no choice in the matter.

But this completely determines  $\Phi$ ! So we have shown that there is exactly one natural transformation for every element of  $\mathcal{F}(A)$ . We are done!

Well, almost. We still have to show that the bijection we constructed above is natural. To that end, let  $f: B \rightarrow A$ . We need to show that the following square commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_{[C^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]}(h_A, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & \mathcal{F}(A) \\
 \text{Hom}_{[C^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]}(\mathcal{Y}(f), \mathcal{F}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{F}(f) \\
 \text{Hom}_{[C^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]}(h_B, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_B} & \mathcal{F}(B)
 \end{array}$$

This is notationally dense, and deserves a lot of explanation. The natural transformation  $\text{Hom}_{[C^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]}(\mathcal{Y}(f), \mathcal{F})$  looks complicated, but it's really not since we know what the hom functor does: it takes every natural transformation  $h_A \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  and pre-composes it with  $\mathcal{Y}(f)$ . The natural transformation  $\eta_A$  takes a natural transformation  $\Phi: h_A \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  and sends it to the element  $\Phi_A(\text{id}_A) \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ .

So, starting at the top left with a natural transformation  $\Phi: h_A \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ , we can go to the bottom right in two ways.

1. We can head down to  $\text{Hom}_{[C^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]}(h_B, \mathcal{F})$ , mapping

$$\Phi \mapsto \Phi \circ \mathcal{Y}(f),$$

then use  $\eta_B$  to map this to  $\mathcal{F}(B)$ :

$$\Phi \circ \mathcal{Y}(f) \mapsto (\Phi \circ \mathcal{Y}(f))_B(\text{id}_B).$$

We can simplify this right away. The component of the composition of natural transformations is the composition of the components, i.e.

$$(\Phi \circ \mathcal{Y}(f))_B(\text{id}_B) = (\Phi_B \circ \mathcal{Y}(f)_B)(\text{id}_B).$$

We also know how  $\mathcal{Y}(f)$  behaves: it composes everything in sight with  $f$ .

$$\mathcal{Y}(f)(\text{id}_B) = f.$$

Thus, we have

$$(\Phi \circ \mathcal{Y}(f))(\text{id}_B) = \Phi_B(f).$$

2. We can first head to the right using  $\eta_A$ . This sends  $\Phi$  to

$$\Phi_A(\text{id}_A) \in \mathcal{F}(A).$$

We can then map this to  $\mathcal{F}(B)$  with  $f$ , getting

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\Phi_A(\text{id}_A)).$$

The naturality condition is thus

$$(\mathcal{F}(f))(\Phi_A(\text{id}_A)) = \Phi_B(f),$$

which we saw above was true for any natural transformation  $\Phi$ . □

**Lemma 12.** The Yoneda embedding is fully faithful (Definition ??).

*Proof.* We have to show that for all  $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ , the map

$$\mathcal{Y}_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{[\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]}(h_A, h_B)$$

is a bijection.

Fix  $B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ , and consider the functor  $h_B$ . By the Yoneda lemma, there is a bijection between  $\text{Hom}_{[\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]}(h_A, h_B)$  and  $h_B(A)$ . By definition,

$$h_B(A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B).$$

But  $\text{Hom}_{[\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]}(h_A, h_B)$  is nothing else but  $\text{Hom}_{[\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}]}(h_A, h_B)$ , so we are done. □

*Note 13.* We defined the Yoneda embedding to be the functor  $A \mapsto h_A$ ; this is sometimes called the *contravariant Yoneda embedding*. We could also have studied to map  $A$  to  $h^A$ , called the *covariant Yoneda embedding*, in which case a slight modification of the proof of the Yoneda lemma would have told us that the map

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(B, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{[\mathcal{C}, \text{Set}]}(h^A, h^B)$$

is a natural bijection. This is often called the *covariant Yoneda lemma*.

Here is a situation in which the Yoneda lemma is commonly used.

**Corollary 14.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a locally small category. Suppose for all  $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$  there is a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B')$$

which is natural in  $A$ . Then  $B \simeq B'$

*Proof.* We have a natural isomorphism  $h_B \Rightarrow h_{B'}$ . Since the Yoneda embedding is fully faithful, it is injective on objects up to isomorphism (Lemma ??). Thus, since  $h_B$  and  $h_{B'}$  are isomorphic, so must be  $B \simeq B'$ . □



## 1.4 Applications

We have now built up enough machinery to make the proofs of a great variety of things trivial, as long as we accept a few assertions.

It is possible, for example, to prove that the product is associative in *any* locally small category, just from the fact that it is associative in **Set**.

**Lemma 15.** The Cartesian product is associative in **Set**. That is, there is a natural isomorphism  $\alpha$  between  $(A \times B) \times C$  and  $A \times (B \times C)$  for any sets  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ .

*Proof.* The isomorphism is given by  $\alpha_{A,B,C}: ((a, b), c) \mapsto (a, (b, c))$ . This is natural because for functions like this,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A' \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & B' \\ C & \xrightarrow{h} & C' \end{array}$$

the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((a, b), c) & \xrightarrow{((f,g),h)} & ((f(a), g(b)), h(c)) \\ \alpha_{A,B,C} \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{A',B',C'} \\ (a, (b, c)) & \xrightarrow{(f,(g,h))} & (f(a), (g(b), h(c))) \end{array}$$

□

**Theorem 16.** In any locally small category  $\mathbf{C}$  with products, there is a natural isomorphism  $(A \times B) \times C \simeq A \times (B \times C)$ .

*Proof.* We have the following string of natural isomorphisms for any  $X, A, B, C \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, (A \times B) \times C) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, A \times B) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, C) \\ &\simeq (\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, A) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, B)) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, C) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, A) \times (\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, B) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, C)) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, A) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, B \times C) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, A \times (B \times C)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by [Corollary 14](#),  $(A \times B) \times C \simeq A \times (B \times C)$ .

□

*Note 17.* By induction, all finite products are associative.

**Theorem 18.** In any category with products  $\times$ , coproducts  $+$ , initial object  $0$ , final object  $1$ , and exponentials, we have the following natural isomorphisms.

1.  $A \times (B + C) \simeq (A \times B) + (A \times C)$
2.  $C^{A+B} \simeq C^A \times C^B$ .
3.  $(C^A)^B \simeq C^{A \times B}$
4.  $(A \times B)^C \simeq A^C \times B^C$

5.  $C^0 \simeq 1$

6.  $C^1 \simeq C$

*Proof.*

1. We have the following list of natural isomorphisms.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_C(A \times (B + C), X) &\simeq \text{Hom}_C(B + C, X^A) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_C(B, X^A) \times \text{Hom}_C(C, X^A) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_C(B \times A, X) \times \text{Hom}_C(C \times A, X) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_C((B \times A) + (C \times A), X). \end{aligned}$$

2. We have the following list of natural isomorphisms.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(X, C^{A+B}) &\simeq \text{Hom}(X \times (A + B), C) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_C((X \times A) + (X \times B), C) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_C(X \times A, C) \times \text{Hom}_C(X \times B, C) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_C(X, C^A) \times \text{Hom}_C(X, C^B) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_C(X, C^A \times C^B). \end{aligned}$$

Etc.

□