

COMP2913: Documentation and GitHub grading rubric

Criteria	High Quality (Points)	Medium Quality (Points)	Low Quality (Points)	No evidence	Mark
(a) Issue tracking / Project board / Kanban	A detailed and up-to-date project board or Kanban is included (4)	A project board or Kanban is included, but lacks some updates or details (2)	Project board or Kanban lacks crucial information (1)	0	/4
(b) GitHub Repository-branches and commits	Commit messages are informative, commits are distributed sensibly throughout the sprints, branches have been used effectively (8)	Commits and branches are used, and most aspects are used effectively. Good spread of commits through the sprints. Some are present but can be improved (4)	Poor repository management: commit messages, distribution of commits, use of branches need improvement (2)	0	/8
(c) GitHub Repository – issues, labels and milestones.	Issues have been created and resolved, labels and milestones have been used effectively (3)	Issues, labels and milestones are all used and most aspects are used effectively. Some are present but can be improved (2)	Poor repository management: issue resolution, use of labels and milestones need improvement (1)	0	/3
(d) Records of regular meetings	Detailed records of all meetings are present (3)	Records of most meetings are present, but some details may be missing (2)	Few records of meetings, or records lack important details (1)	0	/3
(e) User manual and technical documentation . Includes final requirements list	Comprehensive and clear user manual and technical documentation is provided. Final list of product backlog items with their statuses are all present (3)	Basic user manual and technical documentation is provided but lacks some details or clarity. Includes the requirements list. (2)	User manual and technical documentation is lacking or unclear. Missing status or not a complete list. (1)	0	/3

COMP2913: Documentation and GitHub grading rubric

(f) UI designs	Detailed and clear UI designs are included. Includes iterations through the sprints. (3)	Basic UI designs are included, but lack some clarity or detail (2)	UI designs are unclear or lacking detail (1)	0	/3
(g) Overall technical design and data modelling	A detailed and clear overall technical design is included. This includes data model, any APIs used, RESTful if it was used, and business logic if it is non-trivial. (3)	A basic overall technical design is included, but lacks some clarity or detail (2)	Overall technical design is unclear or lacking detail (1)	0	/3
(h) Testing plan and evidence	A detailed testing plan is included, along with clear evidence of testing, automated where appropriate (3)	A basic testing plan is included, but evidence of testing is lacking or unclear (2)	Testing plan is lacking detail; insufficient evidence of testing (1)	0	/3
(i) Software Code	Code meets all the requirements, is structured sensibly, uniform, and well-commented; includes testing files and clear installation/execution instructions (14)	Code meets most requirements, has some structure and uniformity, and includes some comments; may lack some testing files or clear instructions (8)	Code does not meet requirements, lacks structure and uniformity, and is poorly commented; lacks testing files and clear instructions (3)	0	/14
(j) Use of tools and APIs	APIs used and integrated seamlessly for payment & authentication (6)	API used for 1 feature and well implemented, eg. payment (4)	No use of APIs but features implemented appropriately (2)	0	/6
				Total	/50