

COMP2913: GitHub grading rubric

Mark	Structure	Issues	Sprint 1 start	Sprint 1 end	Meetings
0	Not used	Not used	Not present	Not present	Not present
1	Exists in basic form	Exists but not used	Documented with no detail	Documented with no detail	Present with no real structure
2	Some deficiencies in structure and organisation	Used in a simple way but could be improved	Some deficiencies in formal structure	Some deficiencies in formal structure	Some deficiencies in structure
3	A clear structure with no obvious deficiencies	Good use with tasks described. Not always clear on ownership, links to code repository or resolution	A clear minuted structure which identifies work to be completed in this sprint and assigns responsibility	A clear minuted structure which reviews work that was completed in this sprint and considers future work	Good use of the wiki to record meetings and documentation. Links between documentation not always clear.
4	Most aspects are high quality	Most aspects are high quality	Most aspects are high quality	Most aspects are high quality	Most aspects are high quality
5	Excellent structure with careful organisation of code repository and wiki	Excellent use of issue tracking with unambiguous description of tasks and ownership of tasks. Labels used to cross reference to planning work and to resolution in the repository or wiki.	Exemplary minuted structure with individuals identified and relevant actions described, assigned and cross-referenced to the documentation or repository	Exemplary minuted structure with individuals identified and progress against the relevant actions reviewed with clear outcomes for future work	A clear structure and record on the wiki of meetings for sprint 1 with an appropriate level of detail and reference to relevant documentation