



**MONASH** University

**ETC3250**

# **Business Analytics**

**Week 7**




**Principal Components Analysis**

4 September 2017

# Outline

Week	Topic	Chapter	Lecturer
1	Introduction to business analytics & R	1	Souhaib
2	Statistical learning	2	Souhaib
3	Regression for prediction	3,7	Tas & David
4	Classification	4	Souhaib
5	Classification	4, 9	Souhaib
6	Model selection and resampling methods	5	Souhaib
7	<b>Dimension reduction</b>	6,10	Souhaib
	Principal Components Analysis		
	Advanced dimension reduction methods		
8	Advanced regression	6	Souhaib
9	Advanced learning methods	8	Souhaib
	<b>Semester break</b>		
10	Clustering	10	Souhaib
11	Visualization		Souhaib
12	Data wrangling		Souhaib

# The curse of dimensionality



When the dimensionality increases, the volume of the space increases so fast that the available data become sparse.

# The curse of dimensionality

Consider the **hyper-cube**  $[-a, a]^d$  and the inscribed **hyper-sphere**. What does your intuition tell you about the relative sizes of these two objects?

- 1 volume of sphere  $\approx$  volume of cube
- 2 volume of sphere  $\gg$  volume of cube
- 3 volume of sphere  $\ll$  volume of cube

# The curse of dimensionality

$$s_d = \frac{\text{Volume(hyper-sphere)}}{\text{Volume(hyper-cube)}} = \frac{a^d \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)} \frac{1}{(2a)^d} = \left( \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}}_{<1} \right)^d \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)}$$

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma function.

d	1	2	3	4	5	6
$s_d$	1	.785	.524	.308	.164	.080

- $s_d$  does not depend on  $a$ , just on  $d$ !
- As the dimension increases the volume of the area between the cube and the sphere becomes larger
- High dimensional spaces are strange → never trust your intuition in high dimensions!

# The curse of dimensionality

- Most data points are closer to the boundary of the sample space than to any other data point
- Prediction is much more difficult near the edges of the training sample: extrapolation vs interpolation

→ Can we learn in high dimension?

# The curse of dimensionality

- Most data points are closer to the boundary of the sample space than to any other data point
- Prediction is much more difficult near the edges of the training sample: extrapolation vs interpolation

→ Can we learn in high dimension?

**Yes!**

- First, real data will often be confined to a region of the space having *lower intrinsic dimensionality*. The data *lives* in a low dimensional subspace.
- Second, real data will typically exhibit some smoothness properties (at least locally)

→ Dimensionality reduction

# Why dimensionality reduction?

- Curse of dimensionality
- Intrinsic dimensionality
- Visualization
- Reduce computation and storage demand

We avoid unnecessary dimensions which can be measured in two ways:

- features are not useful
- features are not independent



# Dimension reduction methods

- Feature selection vs feature extraction
- Unsupervised vs supervised
- Linear vs nonlinear

**Principal components analysis** (PCA) is an unsupervised linear feature extraction method.

# Principal components analysis

PCA produces a **low-dimensional representation** of a dataset. It finds a sequence of **linear combinations of the variables** that have **maximal variance**, and are **mutually uncorrelated**.

## Why?

- We may have **too many predictors** for a regression. Instead, we can use the first few principal components.
- **Understanding** relationships between variables.
- We can **plot a small number of variables**

# Principal components analysis

PCA produces a **low-dimensional representation** of a dataset. It finds a sequence of **linear combinations of the variables** that have **maximal variance**, and are **mutually uncorrelated**.

## Why?

- We may have **too many predictors** for a regression. Instead, we can use the first few principal components.
- **Understanding** relationships between variables.
- We can **plot a small number of variables**

# Principal components analysis

The first principal component of a set of features  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$  is the linear combination

$$Z_1 = \phi_{11}x_1 + \phi_{21}x_2 + \dots + \phi_{p1}x_p$$


that has the largest variance such that  $\sum_{j=1}^p \phi_{j1}^2 = 1$ .

$\phi_{11}, \dots, \phi_{p1}$  are the loadings of the first principal component.

# Geometry of PCA

- The loading vector  $\phi_1 = [\phi_{11}, \dots, \phi_{p1}]'$  defines a direction in feature space along which the data vary the most.
- If we project the  $n$  data points  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  onto this direction, the projected values are the principal component scores  $z_{11}, \dots, z_{n1}$ .
- The second principal component is the linear combination  $z_{i2} = \phi_{12}x_{i1} + \phi_{22}x_{i2} + \dots + \phi_{p2}x_{ip}$  that has maximal variance among all linear combinations that are *uncorrelated* with  $z_1$ .
- Equivalent to constraining  $\phi_2$  to be orthogonal (perpendicular) to  $\phi_1$ . And so on.
- There are at most  $\min(n - 1, p)$  PCs.



# PCA Example



Green: first PC.



Blue: second PC

# Further principal components



PCA can be thought of as fitting an  $n$ -dimensional ellipsoid to the data, where each axis of the ellipsoid represents a principal component.

# Further principal components



PCA can be thought of as fitting an  $n$ -dimensional ellipsoid to the data, where each axis of the ellipsoid represents a principal component.



# Computation of PCs

Suppose we have a  $n \times p$  data set  $\mathbf{X} = [x_{ij}]$ .

- Centre each of the variables to have mean zero (i.e., the column means of  $\mathbf{X}$  are zero).
- $z_{i1} = \phi_{11}x_{i1} + \phi_{21}x_{i2} + \cdots + \phi_{p1}x_{ip}$
- Sample variance of  $z_{i1}$  is  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{i1}^2$ .

$$\underset{\phi_{11}, \dots, \phi_{p1}}{\text{maximize}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_{j1} x_{ij} \right)^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_{j1}^2 = 1$$

# Computation of PCs

- 1 Compute the covariance matrix (after scaling the columns of  $\mathbf{X}$ )

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$$

- 2 Find eigenvalues and eigenvectors:

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$$

where columns of  $\mathbf{V}$  are orthonormal (i.e.,  $\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$ )

- 3 Compute PCs:  $\Phi = \mathbf{V}$ .  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X}\Phi$ .

# Computation of PCs

## Singular Value Decomposition

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}'$$

- $\mathbf{X}$  is  $n \times p$  matrix
- $\mathbf{U}$  is  $n \times r$  matrix with orthonormal columns ( $\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$ )
- $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is  $r \times r$  diagonal matrix with non-negative elements.
- $\mathbf{V}$  is  $p \times r$  matrix with orthonormal columns ( $\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$ ).

It is always possible to uniquely decompose a matrix in this way.

# Computation of PCs

- 1 Compute SVD:  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}'$ .
- 2 Compute PCs:  $\Phi = \mathbf{V}$ .  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X}\Phi$ .

## Relationship with covariance:

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}^2\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$$


- Eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{C}$  are squares of singular values of  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- Eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{C}$  are right singular vectors of  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- The PC directions  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \dots$  are the right singular vectors of the matrix  $\mathbf{X}$ .

# Example: National track records


The data on national track records for men are listed in the following table (as at 1984):

Country	100m (s)	200m (s)	400m (s)	800m (min)	1500m (min)	5000m (min)	10000m (min)	Marathon (min)
Argentina	10.39	20.81	46.84	1.81	3.70	14.04	29.36	137.72
Australia	10.31	20.06	44.84	1.74	3.57	13.28	27.66	128.30
Austria	10.44	20.81	46.82	1.79	3.60	13.26	27.72	135.90
Belgium	10.34	20.68	45.04	1.73	3.60	13.22	27.45	129.95
Bermuda	10.28	20.58	45.91	1.80	3.75	14.68	30.55	146.62
Brazil	10.22	20.43	45.21	1.73	3.66	13.62	28.62	133.13
⋮								
Turkey	10.71	21.43	47.60	1.79	3.67	13.56	28.58	131.50
USA	9.93	19.75	43.86	1.73	3.53	13.20	27.43	128.22
USSR	10.07	20.00	44.60	1.75	3.59	13.20	27.53	130.55
W.Samoa	10.82	21.86	49.00	2.02	4.24	16.28	34.71	161.83


# Example: National track records



# Example: National track records



## Example: National track records





# Example: National track records

```
> pca
```

Standard deviations:

```
[1] 2.573 0.937 0.399 0.352 0.283 0.261 0.215 0.150
```

Rotation:

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6	PC7	PC8
X100m	0.318	0.5669	0.332	-0.1276	0.263	-0.5937	0.13624	-0.105542
X200m	0.337	0.4616	0.361	0.2591	-0.154	0.6561	-0.11264	0.096054
X400m	0.356	0.2483	-0.560	-0.6523	-0.218	0.1566	-0.00285	0.000127
X800m	0.369	0.0124	-0.532	0.4800	0.540	-0.0147	-0.23802	0.038165
X1500m	0.373	-0.1398	-0.153	0.4045	-0.488	-0.1578	0.61001	-0.139291
X5K	0.364	-0.3120	0.190	-0.0296	-0.254	-0.1413	-0.59130	-0.546697
X10K	0.367	-0.3069	0.182	-0.0801	-0.133	-0.2190	-0.17687	0.796795
Marathon	0.342	-0.4390	0.263	-0.2995	0.498	0.3153	0.39882	-0.158164

# Proportion of variance explained

**Total variance** in data (assuming variables centered at 0):

$$TV = \sum_{j=1}^p \text{Var}(x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij}^2$$

**Variance explained** by  $m$ th PC:

$$V_m = \text{Var}(z_m) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{im}^2$$

$$TV = \sum_{m=1}^M V_m \quad \text{where } M = \min(n - 1, p).$$

## Proportion of variance explained:

$$PVE_m = V_m / TV$$

# Scree plots and biplots


## Scree plot

Plot of variance explained by each component vs number of component.


## Biplot

Plot of PC2 vs PC1, overlaid with directions of the loading vectors ( $\phi_{j1}, \phi_{j2}$ ).

# Scree plot



# Biplot



# Scaling

- If the variables are in different units, scaling each to have standard deviation equal to one is recommended.
- If they are in the same units, you might or might not scale the variables.