Bayesian Statistics III/IV (MATH3341/4031)

Michaelmas term, 2017

# Handout 17: Asymptotic behavior of the posterior distribution <sup>a</sup>

Lecturer: Georgios P. Karagiannis

georgios.karagiannis@durham.ac.uk

**Aim:** We examine properties of the posterior distribution  $\Pi(\theta|y)$  as the number of observations increases  $n \to \infty$ . We show that, as  $n \to \infty$ ,:

- posterior beliefs about  $\theta \in \Theta$  would become more and more concentrated around a "true" value  $\theta^*$  (if exists)
- the unknown parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$  has Normal distribution as asymptotic distribution (if  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ ).

#### References:

- Van der Vaart, A. W. (2000, Chapter 10). Asymptotic statistics. Cambridge series in statistical and probabilistic mathematics.
- Ferguson, T. S. (1996, Section 21). A course in large sample theory. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- DeGroot, M. H. (1970, Chapter 10). Optimal statistical decisions (Vol. 82). John Wiley & Sons.

### Web-applets

- https://georgios-stats-1.shinyapps.io/demo\_conjugatepriors/
- https://georgios-stats-1.shinyapps.io/demo\_conjugatejeffreyslaplacepriors/
- https://georgios-stats-1.shinyapps.io/demo\_mixturepriors/

#### What is about?

We consider the Bayesian model  $(F(x_{1:n}|\theta), \Pi(\theta))$  as

$$\begin{cases} x_i | \theta & \stackrel{\text{IID}}{\sim} F(x_i | \theta), \qquad i = 1, ..., n \\ \theta & \sim \Pi(\theta) \end{cases}$$
 (1)

- where a sequence of observables  $x_{1:n} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$  are drawn from the parametric model  $F(x|\theta)$  with unknown parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$ . We study the behavior of the posterior distribution  $\Pi(\theta|x_{1:n})$  with respect to the number of observables n, first when  $\theta$  is a discrete parameter, and them when it is a continuous one.
- All the theorems in this chapter are frequentist in character, namely we study the posterior laws under the assumption that the observables  $x_{1:n}$  are a random IID sample from the sampling distribution  $F(x|\theta^*)$  for some fixed, non-random  $\theta^* \in \Theta$ .

### 1 Asymptotic consistency: when $\theta$ is discrete

In this section we focus in the case that  $\theta \in \Theta$  is a discrete parameter, and  $\Theta$  is a countable space, given the Bayesian model (1). In particular, the theorem below states that, for countable  $\Theta$ , the posterior distribution function for  $\theta \in \Theta$  ultimately degenerates to a step function with a single (unit) step at  $\theta = \theta^*$ , where  $\theta^*$  is the real value of the unknown discrete parameter  $\theta$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Author: Georgios P. Karagiannis.

**Theorem 1.** (Discrete case) Assume the Bayesian model (1), let  $x_{1:n} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$  be a sequence of observables,  $\theta \in \Theta$  be the unknown parameter with prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$ , and posterior distribution  $\pi(\theta|x_{1:n})$ , where  $\Theta$  is a countable parametric space. Suppose  $\theta^* \in \Theta$  is the (only) true value of  $\theta$  such that  $\pi(\theta^*) > 0$ , and  $-KL(f(\cdot|\theta^*), f(\cdot|\theta)) := \int \log \frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta^*)} dF(x|\theta^*) < 0$  for all  $\theta \neq \theta^*$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi(\theta | x_{1:n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \theta = \theta^* \\ 0 & , \theta \neq \theta^* \end{cases}.$$

Proof. It is

$$\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) = \frac{f(x_{1:n}|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\sum_{\forall \theta \in \Theta} f(x_{1:n}|\theta)\pi(\theta)} = \frac{\frac{f(x_{1:n}|\theta)}{f(x_{1:n}|\theta^*)}\pi(\theta)}{\sum_{\forall \theta \in \Theta} \frac{f(x_{1:n}|\theta)}{f(x_{1:n}|\theta^*)}\pi(\theta)}.$$

Due to exchangeability of  $x_{1:n}$ , it is

$$\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f(x_{i}|\theta)}{f(x_{i}|\theta^{*})}]\pi(\theta)}{\sum_{\forall \theta \in \Theta} [\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f(x_{i}|\theta)}{f(x_{i}|\theta^{*})}]\pi(\theta)} = \frac{\exp(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \frac{f(x_{i}|\theta)}{f(x_{i}|\theta^{*})})\pi(\theta)}{\sum_{\forall \theta \in \Theta} \exp(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \frac{f(x_{i}|\theta)}{f(x_{i}|\theta^{*})})\pi(\theta)} = \frac{\exp(S_{n}(\theta))\pi(\theta)}{\sum_{\forall \theta \in \Theta} \exp(S_{n}(\theta))\pi(\theta)}$$

Now about  $S_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{f(x_i|\theta)}{f(x_i|\theta^*)}$ . From the SLLN, as  $n \to \infty$ , it is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \frac{f(x_i | \theta)}{f(x_i | \theta^*)} = \mathbb{E}_F \left( \log \frac{f(x | \theta)}{f(x | \theta^*)} | \theta^* \right), \quad \text{a.s.}$$
 (2)

By using Jensen's inequality and the fact that log is concave, it is

$$E_{F}\left(\log \frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta^{*})}|\theta^{*}\right) \leq \log E_{F}\left(\frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta^{*})}|\theta^{*}\right) = \log(1) = 0$$

$$\implies E_{F}\left(\log \frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta^{*})}|\theta^{*}\right) \leq 0$$
(3)

In the (3), the equality holds for  $\theta = \theta^*$  a.s., and the inequality holds for  $\theta \neq \theta^*$  a.s., since  $\Theta$  is a countable space and  $\theta^* \in \Theta$ ,  $\theta^*$  is "distinguishable" from the others, according to Theorem 15. Notice that, for any  $\theta \neq \theta^*$ , (2) and (3) imply that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n(\theta) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{f(x_i | \theta)}{f(x_i | \theta^*)} < 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n(\theta) = -\infty, \quad \text{as}$$

Therefore,

• for any  $\theta \neq \theta^*$  , it is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi(\theta | x_{1:n}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\exp(S_n(\theta))\pi(\theta)}{\sum_{\forall \theta \in \Theta} \exp(S_n(\theta))\pi(\theta)} = 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

- for 
$$\theta = \theta^*$$
, it is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi(\theta^* | x_{1:n}) = 1 - \sum_{\forall \theta \neq \theta^*} \lim_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ -0 \text{ for } \theta \neq \theta^*}} \pi(\theta | x_{1:n}) = 1, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

- *Remark* 2. It can be shown that if  $\theta^* \notin \Theta$ , the posterior degenerates onto the value in  $\Theta$  which gives the parametric model closest  $\theta^*$ .
- *Remark* 3. One important condition we considered was that the real parameter value  $\theta^*$  is unique. If there was another  $\theta^{**}$  such that  $f(x|\theta^{**}) = f(x|\theta^*)$ , we would observe IID data when  $\theta$  equaled  $\theta^*$  or  $\theta^{**}$ , and hence the data could not discriminate between the two values.
- *Remark* 4. If  $\theta$  is a continuous parameter, then  $\pi(\theta|x_{1:n})$  is always zero for any finite sample, and so the above theorem 1 apply.

# 2 Asymptotic consistency and normality: when $\theta$ is continuous

- In this section, we focus in the case that  $\theta \in \Theta$  may be a continuous parameter,  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is compact with  $k \geq 1$ , given the Bayesian model (1). In particular, under specific conditions, we prove that as  $n \to \infty$ :
  - 1. the posterior PDF of  $\theta$  becomes more and more concentrated above an area around the true value  $\theta^*$
  - 2. the limiting posterior distribution of  $\theta$  is a Normal distribution with specific parameters, and remarkably
  - 3. these conclusions do not depend on the choice of the prior distribution provided that  $\pi(\theta^*) > 0$ .
- We recall asymptotic results of MLE in Appendix B.
- Consider the Bayesian model  $(F(x_{1:n}|\theta), \Pi(\theta))$  in (1), with  $\theta \in \Theta$ , where  $\Theta$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Assume a prior distribution  $\Pi(\theta)$  with a PDF  $\pi(\theta)$  where  $\pi(\theta)$  continuous in  $\Theta$ . To easy notation, we denote the likelihood as  $L_n(\theta) := f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$ . So the posterior PDF of  $\theta$  is

$$\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) = \frac{L_n(\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int L_n(\theta)\pi(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)\pi(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta}$$

We present the Bernstein-von Mises theorem which (more-or-less) states that the posterior PDF  $\pi(\theta|x_{1:n})$  is close to a normal density N  $\left(\theta|\hat{\theta}_n,\frac{1}{n}\mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{-1}\right)$  centered at  $\hat{\theta}_n$  (the MLE of (16)), with variance  $\frac{1}{n}\mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{-1}$  when  $\theta^*$  is the true value, when the size of the number of the observables n is really big. Here,  $\mathscr{I}(\theta)$  is the Fisher information, where

$$\mathscr{I}(\theta) = \mathsf{E}_F \left( \left( \nabla_\theta \log f(x|\theta) \right)^\top (\nabla_\theta \log f(x|\theta)) | \theta \right) = -\mathsf{E}_F \left( \nabla_\theta^2 \log f(x|\theta) | \theta \right)$$

The following version of the theorem is due to Le Cam (1953). It equivalently states that the posterior PDF of (the linear transformation)  $\vartheta = \sqrt{n}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)$  given the data

$$\pi(\vartheta|x_{1:n}) = \frac{L_n(\vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \hat{\theta}_n)\pi(\vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \hat{\theta}_n)}{\int L_n(\vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \hat{\theta}_n)\pi(\vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \hat{\theta}_n)d\vartheta}$$

- approaches the PDF of N(0,  $\mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{-1}$ ) and  $n \to \infty$ . Remarkably (!!!), this limiting posterior distribution is independent of the prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$ .
- Theorem 5. (Bernstain-von Mises) Let  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,... be IID random variables drawn from a sample distribution with density  $f(x|\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$ , and let  $\theta^* \in \Theta$  denote the true value of  $\theta$ . Let  $L_n(\theta) = f(x_{1:n}|\theta)$  denote the likelihood. Assume that the prior density  $\pi(\theta)$  is continuous and  $\pi(\theta) > 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Under the following assumptions:
  - **d1**  $\Theta$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$
- **d2** second partial derivatives of  $f(x|\theta)$  with respect to  $\theta$  exist and are continuous for all x, and may be passed under the integral operator in  $\int f(x|\theta) dx$

**d3** there is a function K(x) such that  $\mathrm{E}^{f(x|\theta_0)}(K(x)) < \infty$  and each component of  $\nabla^2_{\theta} \log(f(x|\theta))$  is bounded in absolute value by K(x) uniformly in some neighborhood of  $\theta^*$ 

**d4** 
$$\mathscr{I}(\theta_0) = -E_F\left(\nabla_{\theta}^2 \log f(x|\theta)|\theta_0\right)$$
 is positive definite

**d5** (identifiability)  $f(x|\theta) = f(x|\theta^*)$  a.s. then  $\theta = \theta^*$ 

It is

$$\frac{L_n(\hat{\theta}_n + \vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})}{L_n(\hat{\theta}_n)} \pi(\hat{\theta}_n + \vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\vartheta^\top \mathscr{I}(\theta_0)\vartheta) \pi(\theta^*), \tag{4}$$

where  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is the strongly consistent sequence of roots of the likelihood equation (16) of Theorem 17. If, additionally,

$$\int_{\Theta} \frac{L_n(\hat{\theta}_n + \vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})}{L_n(\hat{\theta}_n)} \pi(\hat{\theta}_n + \vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) d\vartheta \xrightarrow{a.s.} \int_{\Theta} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \vartheta^{\top} \mathscr{I}(\theta^*) \vartheta) \pi(\theta^*) d\vartheta \tag{5}$$

2 then

$$\int_{\Theta} |\pi(\vartheta|x_{1:n}) - N(\vartheta|0, \mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{-1})|d\theta \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0.$$
(6)

*Proof.* We prove: fist the existence of the the MLE, then (4), and finally (6).

#### • Existence of consistent roots

I gonna use Theorem 17 to prove that there exists a consistent sequence  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of roots of (16), and hence I need to show that its conditions are satisfied. Let  $S_{\rho} = \{\theta : |\theta - \theta^*| \le \rho\}$ , with  $\rho > 0$ , be a neighborhood of  $\theta^*$  on which (d3) is satisfied. So for  $\Theta = S_{\rho}$  (in Theorem 17) I have:

- Conditions (c1), (c2), (c5), of that theorem are automatic!
- Condition (c4) follows from continuity of  $f(x|\theta)$  at  $\theta$ . !
- Condition (c3), ok.... By Taylor's theorem, I expand  $U(x,\theta) = \log(f(x|\theta)) \log(f(x|\theta^*))$  around  $\theta^*$  as

$$\begin{split} U(x,\theta) &= U(x,\theta^*) + \nabla_{\theta} \log(f(x|\theta^*))(\theta - \theta^*) \\ &+ (\theta - \theta^*) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 v \nabla_{\theta}^2 \log(f(x|\theta_0 + uv(\theta - \theta^*))) \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \, (\theta - \theta^*) \end{split}$$

So because  $U(x,\theta^*)=0$ ,  $\nabla_{\theta}\log(f(x|\theta^*))$  is integrable, and the components of  $\nabla^2_{\theta}\log(f(x|\theta))$  are bounded by K(x) uniformly on  $S_{\rho}$ , we get that  $U(x,\theta)$  is bounded on  $S_{\rho}$ . So (c3) holds. So

#### • Asymptotic Normality

Let

$$\ell_n(\theta) = \log(L_n(\theta));$$
  $\dot{\ell}_n(\theta) = \nabla_{\theta} \log(L_n(\theta));$   $\ddot{\ell}_n(\theta) = \nabla_{\theta}^2 \log(L_n(\theta))$ 

By Taylor's Theorem 14, we expand  $\ell_n(\theta)$  around  $\hat{\theta}_n$  as

$$\ell_n(\theta) = \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n) + \dot{\ell}_n(\hat{\theta}_n)(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n) + (\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)^{\top} I_n(\theta)(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)$$

where

$$I_n(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 v \ddot{\ell}_n(\hat{\theta}_n + uv(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n) du dv)$$
 (7)

Because, it is  $\dot{\ell}_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$  a.s., we get:

$$\ell_n(\theta) = \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n) + (\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)^{\top} I_n(\theta) (\theta - \hat{\theta}_n) \iff \frac{L_n(\theta)}{L_n(\hat{\theta}_n)} = \exp(-(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)^{\top} I_n(\theta) (\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)), \text{ a.s.}$$

Let's work on the asymptotics of (7); it is:

$$\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\ell}_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n}\nabla_{\theta}^2 \log(L_n(\theta)) = \frac{1}{n}\nabla_{\theta}^2 \log(\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\theta}^2 \log(f(x_i|\theta))$$

$$\stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\longrightarrow} E_F\left(\nabla_{\theta}^2 \log f(x|\theta)|\theta_0\right) \tag{8}$$

as  $n \to \infty$  by SLLN. Also, it is

$$E_F\left(\nabla_{\theta}^2 \log f(x|\theta)|\theta_0\right) = -\mathscr{I}(\theta_0) \tag{9}$$

by Lemma ??. Hence, from (8) and (9), I get

$$\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\ell}_n(\theta) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} -\mathscr{I}(\theta_0) \tag{10}$$

Therefore,

$$I_n(\hat{\theta}_n + \vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) = -\frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 v \ddot{\ell}_n(\hat{\theta}_n + uv(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n) du dv \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \frac{1}{2} \mathscr{I}(\theta^*)$$
 (11)

because of (10) and because of  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \theta^*$  from Theorem 17.

So back to what we wish to prove, and putting all these together, it is

$$\frac{L_n(\hat{\theta}_n + \vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})}{L_n(\hat{\theta}_n)} \pi(\hat{\theta}_n + \vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) = \exp(-\vartheta^\top I_n(\hat{\theta}_n + \vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})\vartheta) \pi(\hat{\theta}_n + \vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\vartheta^\top \mathscr{I}(\theta^*)\vartheta) \pi(\theta^*)$$

because of (11) and  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \theta^*$ .

Now, about the second part of the proof. If (5) then by dividing (4) and (5), I get

$$\pi(\vartheta|x_{1:n}) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbf{N}(\vartheta|0, \mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{-1})$$

for all  $\theta \in \Theta$  . Hence By Scheffe's Theorem 12 we get (6).

*Remark* 6. Note that Bernstain-von Mises Theorem 5 and Theorem A imply that the posterior distribution of  $\vartheta = \sqrt{n}(\theta - \hat{\theta})$  given the data converges to the Normal distribution  $N(0, \mathscr{I}(\theta_0)^{-1})$  in Total Variation Norm, namely

$$\sup_{\forall A \subset \Theta} |\pi(\vartheta \in A|x_{1:n}) - \mathbf{N}(\vartheta \in A|0, \mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{-1})| \mathrm{d}x \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

Remark 7. Bernstain-von Mises Theorem 5 says that the posterior PDFs of  $\sqrt{n}\mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{1/2}(\theta-\hat{\theta}_n)$  converges to the PDF of Normal N(0,  $I_k$ ). Hence, this imply the CDF of  $\sqrt{n}\mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{1/2}(\theta-\hat{\theta}_n)$  converges to the PDF of Normal N(0,  $I_k$ ) as well. Namely

$$\sqrt{n}\mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{1/2}(\theta-\hat{\theta}_n)\stackrel{D}{\longrightarrow} z$$
 where  $z\sim \mathrm{N}(0,I_k)$  (convergence in distribution),

and  $\mathscr{I}(\cdot) = [\mathscr{I}(\cdot)^{1/2}][\mathscr{I}(\cdot)^{1/2}]^{\mathsf{T}}$ .

**Corollary.** If the conditions of Bernstain-von Mises Theorem 5 hold, and if  $\mathscr{I}(\theta)$  is continuous at  $\Theta$ , then

$$\sqrt{n}\mathscr{I}(\hat{\theta}_n)^{-1/2}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n) \xrightarrow{D} z, \quad \text{where } z \sim N(0, I_k)$$
(12)

this is the result was stated in Stat Concepts II notes (Term 2 2017).

*Proof.* From Bernstain-von Mises Theorem implies  $\sqrt{n}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{-1})$  or equiv.

$$Y_n = \sqrt{n} \mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{1/2} (\theta - \hat{\theta}_n) \xrightarrow{D} Z, \tag{13}$$

with  $Z \sim N(0, I_k)$ . From Theorem 17 I get  $\hat{\theta}_n \to \theta^*$  a.s.. Due to continuity of  $\mathscr{I}(\theta)$ , it is

$$X_n = \mathscr{I}(\hat{\theta}_n)^{1/2} \mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{-1/2} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} I_k \tag{14}$$

According to Slutsky's theorem<sup>1</sup> by multiplying (13),(14), I get  $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{D} Z$ , i.e.,  $\sqrt{n} \mathscr{I}(\hat{\theta}_n)^{1/2} (\theta - \hat{\theta}_n) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, I_k)$ .

# Asymptotic efficiency of Bayes Estimates

Consider the squared error loss  $\ell(\theta, \delta) = (\theta - \delta)^{\top}(\theta - \delta)$  which implies the posterior expectation  $\delta^{\pi} = E_{\Pi}(\theta|x_{1:n})$  as Bayes point estimator. Given that, we can interchange the limit and the expectation operator of  $\theta = \sqrt{n}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)$ , we get  $\sqrt{n}(\delta^{\pi} - \hat{\theta}_n) \to 0$  meaning that  $\delta^{\pi}$  and  $\hat{\theta}_n$  are asymptotically equivalent; i.e.  $\delta^{\pi} = \hat{\theta}_n$  a.s.. Hence

$$\sqrt{n}(\delta^{\pi} - \theta^*) = \sqrt{n}(\delta^{\pi} - \hat{\theta}_n) + \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{-1})$$

which means that the Bayes estimator is asymptotically efficient.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Sluky's theorem: If  $Y_n \xrightarrow{D} Z$  and  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} c$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is a constant, then  $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{D} cZ$ 

# 3 Implementation

Nowadays, Bayesian asymptotics can be mainly be used for theoretical purposes such as investigating the behavior of Bayesian procedures-methods-algorithms mainly addressing big dataset problems.

Below, we demonstrate a toy example for pedagogical purposes.

**Example 8.** Consider a Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} x_i | \theta & \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \operatorname{Bn}(\theta), \\ \theta & \sim \operatorname{Be}(a, b) \end{cases} i = 1, ..., n$$

where a > 0, b > 0, and n > 2.

- 1. Find the asymptotic posterior distribution of  $\theta$  as  $n \to \infty$ , by using Bernstein-von Mises Theorem.
- 2. What is the Bayes estimators under the square loss and under the 0-1 loss. How do they behave as  $n \to \infty$ .

#### Solution.

1. I will find the MLE by computing the roots of the equation (16). The likelihood is  $f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Bn}(x_i|\theta)$ . Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \log f(x_{1:n}|\theta) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(\mathrm{Bn}(x_{i}|\theta)) = \frac{n\theta - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{\theta(1-\theta)} \Longrightarrow$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \log f(x_{1:n}|\theta)|_{\theta = \hat{\theta}_{n}} = 0 \implies \hat{\theta}_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$$

Now, I will find the Fisher Information; it is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\theta^2} \log(f(x|\theta)) = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\theta^2} \log(\mathrm{Bn}(x|\theta)) = -\frac{x}{\theta^2} - \frac{1-x}{(1-\theta)^2} \Longrightarrow$$

$$\mathscr{I}(\theta) = -\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Bn}(\theta)} \left( -\frac{x}{\theta^2} - \frac{1-x}{(1-\theta)^2} \right) = \frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)} \tag{15}$$

So according to Bernstein-von Mises Theorem 5, it is

$$\pi(\theta|x_{1:n}) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbf{N}(\theta|\underbrace{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}}_{=\hat{\theta}_{-}},\underbrace{\frac{1}{n}\theta^{*}(1-\theta^{*})}_{=\frac{1}{n}\mathscr{I}(\theta^{*})^{-1}})$$

2. The exact posterior distr. is Be $(a+n\bar{x},b+n-n\bar{x})$ . The squared loss and the 0-1 loss imply the posterior mean  $\delta_1(x_{1:n}) = \frac{n\bar{x}+a}{n+a+b}$  and posterior mode  $\delta_2(x_{1:n}) = \frac{n\bar{x}+a-1}{n+a+b-2}$  as Bayes estimators correspondingly. Both converge to the MLE  $\hat{\theta}_n = \bar{x}$  since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_1(x_{1:n}) = \bar{x}$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_2(x_{1:n}) = \bar{x}$ .

# **Appendix**

# A An inventory of definitions

- The following are definitions and statements used in proofs in Sections 1 and 2.
- **Definition 9.** (Types of converge) Assume a probability triplet  $\{\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P\}$ , and a sequence of random quantities  $\{x_n; n=1,2,...\}$ , such that  $x_n:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^d, d>0$ . Then
  - $\{x_n\}$  converges almost surely to a random quantify x if and only if

$$P(\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x) = 1.$$

- It is demoted as  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} x$ .
- $\{x_n\}$  converges in distribution to a random quantify x if and only if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{x_n}(t) = F_x(t)$$

- for all continuity points t of F. in  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $F_{x_n}(t) = P(x_n \leq t)$  and  $F_x(t) = P(x \leq t)$  are CDFs of  $x_n$  and x. It is demoted as  $x_n \xrightarrow{D} x$ .
- $\{x_n\}$  converges in total variation a random quantity x if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\forall B \subset \Theta} |P(x_n \in B) - P(x \in B)| = 0,$$

- It is demoted as  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{T.V.}} x$ .
- **Definition 10.** (Upper semicontinuous) A real-valued function,  $f(\theta)$ , defined on  $\Theta$  is said to be upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.) on  $\Theta$ , if for all  $\theta \in \Theta$  and for any sequence  $\theta_n$  in  $\Theta$ such that  $\theta_n \to \theta$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup f(\theta_n) \le f(\theta)$$

**Proposition 11.** If  $\pi_n(\cdot)$  and  $\pi(\cdot)$  are the PDFs of  $x_n$  and x correspondingly, then

$$\sup_{\forall B \subset \Theta} |P(x_n \in B) - P(x \in B)| = \int \frac{1}{2} |\pi_n(t) - \pi(t)| dt$$

Theorem 12. (Scheffe convergence theorem<sup>2</sup>) If  $f_n(\cdot)$  and  $g(\cdot)$  are density functions such that for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$   $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x) = g(x)$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathcal{X}} |f_n(x) - g(x)| \mathrm{d}x = 0$$

- (that is a point-wise convergence of densities)
- Theorem If random variables  $x_n$  has density  $f_n(x)$  and random variable x has density g(x), and if  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathcal{X}}|f_n(x)-g(x)|\mathrm{d}x=0$  then

$$\sup_{\forall A \subset \mathcal{X}} |\mathsf{P}(x_n \in A) - \mathsf{P}(x \in A)| dx \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

- that is called convergence in Total Variation.
- Theorem 13. (A Strong low of large numbers (SLLN)) Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a sequence of IID random quantities, with  $E(x_i) = \mu < \infty$ , and  $\bar{x}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  then  $\bar{x}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This is not the original version, but it is what we need

**Theorem 14.** (Taylor's theorem) If  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ , and if  $\nabla^2 f(x) = \nabla (\nabla f(x))^\intercal$  is continuous in the ball  $\{x \in \mathcal{X} : |x - x_0| < r\}$ , then for |t| < r, it is

$$f(x_0 + t) = f(x_0) + \nabla f(x_0)t + t^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u \nabla^2 f(x_0 + uvt) du dv \cdot t$$

**Lemma 15.** (Shannon-Kolmogorov Information Inequality) Let  $f_0(x)$  and  $f_1(x)$  be densities with respect to Lesbeque measure dx. Then

$$\mathit{KL}(f_0, f_1) = \mathsf{E}^{f_0(\mathsf{d} x)}(\log \frac{f_0(x)}{f_1(x)}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \log \frac{f_0(x)}{f_1(x)} f_0(x) \mathrm{d} x \ge 0,$$

- with equality if and only if  $f_1(x) = f_0(x)$  a.s.
- **Lemma 16.** (Passing the derivative under the integral operator) If  $(\partial/\partial\theta)g(x,\theta)$  exists and is continuous in  $\theta$  for all x and all  $\theta$  in an open interval x and if  $|(\partial/\partial\theta)g(x,\theta)| \le K(x)$  on x where x where x and if x and if x and x exists on x, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \int g(x,\theta) \mathrm{d}x = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} g(x,\theta) \mathrm{d}x$$

# **B** Strong consistency of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

In frequentist statistics, given that  $\nabla_{\theta} f(x|\theta)$  exists, one may seek to find the MLE  $\hat{\theta}_n$  as the solution of the likelihood equation:

$$\hat{\theta}_n: \left. \nabla_{\theta} \log f(x_{1:n}|\theta) \right|_{\theta = \hat{\theta}_n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left. \nabla_{\theta} \log f(x_i|\theta) \right|_{\theta = \hat{\theta}_n} = 0 \tag{16}$$

- The following theorem states (more or less) that the MLE  $\hat{\theta}_n$  in (16) is consistent.
- **Theorem 17.** (Strong consistency of MLE) Let  $x_1, x_2,...$  be IID random variables with density  $f(x|\theta)$  (with respect to measure dx),  $\theta \in \Theta$ , and let  $\theta^*$  denote the true value of  $\theta$ . If the following conditions are satisfied:
- $\mathbf{c1} \;\; \mathbf{c1} \;\; \Theta$  is a closed and bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$
- 20 **c2**  $f(x|\theta)$  is u.s.c. in  $\theta$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$
- c3 there is a function K(x) such that  $\mathrm{E}^{f(x|\theta^*)}(|K(x)|) < \infty$  and

$$\log(f(x|\theta)) - \log(f(x|\theta^*)) \le K(x), \quad \forall x, \, \forall \theta$$

- **c4** for all  $\theta \in \Theta$  and sufficiency small  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\sup_{|\theta' \theta| < \rho} f(x|\theta')$  is measurable in x
- c5 (identifiability)  $f(x|\theta) = f(x|\theta^*)$  a.s. then  $\theta = \theta^*$
- then, for any sequence of maximum-likelihood estimates  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of  $\theta$ , it is

$$\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta^* \tag{17}$$

- The following theorem states (more or less) that the MLE is asymptotically normal.
- **Theorem 18.** (Cramer) Let  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,... be IID random variables density  $f(x|\theta)$  (with respect to some distribution  $F(x|\theta)$ ),  $\theta \in \Theta$ , and let  $\theta^*$  denote the true value of  $\theta$ . If the conditions<sup>3</sup> (d1)-(d5) stated in Theorem 5 (check in the next Theorem) are satisfied, then there exists a strongly consistent sequence  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of roots of the likelihood equation (16) such that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \mathscr{I}(\theta^*)^{-1})$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The conditions of the theorem are the (d1)-(d5) in Theorem 5.