

# Comparative Methods

*Brian O'Meara*

*2017-04-05*



# Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	First steps	7
3	Getting data and trees into R	9
4	Visualizing data before use	11
5	Dull model testing	13
6	Testing models and methods	15
7	Testing methods	17
8	Continuous traits	19
9	Brownian Motion and Correlations	21
10	Discrete Traits	23
11	Diversification	25
12	SSE methods	27
13	RAxML	29
14	Gene Tree Species Tree	31
15	Dating	33
16	Placeholder	35
17	Appendix	37



# Chapter 1

## Introduction



## Chapter 2

### First steps





## Chapter 3

# Getting data and trees into R



## Chapter 4

# Visualizing data before use



## Chapter 5

# Dull model testing



## Chapter 6

# Testing models and methods





# Chapter 7

## Testing methods

### 7.0.1 Objectives

- Identify and avoid pitfalls in evaluating methods
- Be able to identify methods that have been tested well.

### 7.0.2 Kinds of testing

There are two kinds of testing. One can test the software to make sure it works properly. If you are trying to calculate the average of a set of observations, are you using **mean** or incorrectly using **median**? Does it use all the data or does it drop anything past the fifth observation? For this kind of question, it can be helpful to do test driven development: write a test, then write code, and automatically check the code to see if it passes the test. Then, as you change code, you can rerun all the old tests to verify they still work. This is often known as unit testing.

But even if software has correctly implemented a method, a more compelling question is whether the method itself is any good. This comes down to a few questions:

### 7.0.3 Type I error

This is when a model incorrectly rejects a true null hypothesis. For example, do clade A and clade B have exactly the same rate of evolution? If the truth is that they do, rejecting that to say they are unequal is a type I error. To test this property, data are often simulated under the null, analyzed under the null and alternate hypotheses, and the proportion of times the null is incorrectly rejected noted. For a typical significance threshold of 0.05, this should be 5% of the time.

This is a major focus...

### 7.0.4 Type II error

This is incorrectly accepting a false null.

### 7.0.5 Getting rid of typological thinking

In biology, typological thinking is bad: one of Darwin's great insights was that there is substantial variation in nature. However, our statistical thinking is often limited (see also chapter on null hypothesis testing).

Appropriate Type I error rates is a nice property, but how often is the null *actually* true? Never.

## Chapter 8

# Continuous traits



## Chapter 9

# Brownian Motion and Correlations



## Chapter 10

# Discrete Traits





## Chapter 11

# Diversification



## Chapter 12

### SSE methods



## Chapter 13

### RAxML



## Chapter 14

# Gene Tree Species Tree





## Chapter 15

# Dating



## Chapter 16

# Placeholder



## Chapter 17

## Appendix



# Bibliography