

Cameron and Trivedi 15-2, 15-3, 15-4

Robert Ackerman
University of North Carolina

July 18, 2014

15 - 2

Use a 50% subsample of the fishing mode choice data of Section 15.2.

(a)

Estimate the conditional logit model of Section 15.2.1.

Estimates are reported in Table 1. See attached .do and .log files for more detail.

(b)

Comment on the statistical significance of parameter estimates.

The reported Wald statistic for the joint significance of p , q , and inc is 124, making the regressors highly statistically significant (jointly).

(c)

What is the effect of an increase in price on the various modes of fishing?

Marginal effects are reported in Table 2. See attached .do and .log files for more detail. While, all the changes in probabilities are small they are all significant at the 5% level, and have the expected signs. In all cases, a one dollar price increase in a mode of fishing has a negative effect on the probabilities on those modes, and a positive effect on the other three. This mirrors the results reported in Cameron and Trivedi using the full sample, although they report their results for \$100 changes in prices.

15 - 3

Use a 50% subsample of the fishing mode choice data of Section 15.2.

(a)

Estimate the multinomial logit model of Section 15.2.2.

Estimates are reported in Table 1. See attached .do and .log files for more detail.

(b)

Comment on the statistical significance of parameter estimates.

A Wald test of the joint significance yields a test statistic of 14.28, making the coefficient estimates jointly significant at the 5% level.

(c)

What is the effect of an increase in income on the various modes of fishing?

Marginal effects are reported in Table 2. See attached .do and .log files for more detail. For a \$1,000 change in income, only two of the reported coefficients are significant at the 5% level. Those are the decrease in probability for Pier fishing, and the increase in the probability of Private. The direction of these changes is as expected.

Table 1, 15-2 and 15-3 Estimation Results						
Regressor	Type	Coefficient	CL (Mixed)	Model Type		
				P-value	MNL	P-value
Price (P)	Specific	β_P	-0.022	(0.000)	-	-
Catch rate (C)	Specific	β_{CR}	0.208	(0.162)	-	-
Intercept	Invariant	$\alpha_1 : Beach$	-	-	-	-
		$\alpha_2 : Pier$	0.859	(0.009)	0.869	(0.008)
		$\alpha_3 : Private$	0.931	(0.003)	1.070	(0.000)
		$\alpha_2 : Charter$	1.953	(0.000)	1.533	(0.000)
Income (I)	Invariant	$\beta_{I1} : Beach$	-	-	-	-
		$\beta_{I2} : Pier$	-0.171	(0.022)	-0.177	(0.018)
		$\beta_{I3} : Private$	-0.003	(0.963)	0.021	(0.707)
		$\beta_{I4} : Charter$	-0.122	(0.080)	-0.096	(0.097)
Log likelihood			-622		-739	
Pseudo- R^2			-		0.0104	

Table 2, 15-2 and 15-3 Marginal Effects					
	\$1 Change in Price of*				\$1,000 Change
	Beach	Pier	Private	Charter	in Income*
Change in $\Pr[\textit{Beach}]$	-0.0013	0.0001	0.0006	0.0006	0.0062
Change in $\Pr[\textit{Pier}]$	0.0001	-0.0015	0.007	0.0007	-0.0173
Change in $\Pr[\textit{Private}]$	0.0006	0.0007	-0.0055	0.0042	0.0272
Change in $\Pr[\textit{Charter}]$	0.0006	0.0007	0.0042	-0.0055	-0.0162

*These results are from the multinomial logit model, the marginal effects for price changes are for the conditional (mixed) logit model.

15 - 4

Use a 50% subsample of the fishing mode choice data of Section 15.2. Suppose we collapse the model to three alternatives and order the alternatives, with $y = 0$ if fishing from a pier or beach, $y = 1$ if fishing from a private boat and $y = 2$ if fishing from a charter boat.

(a)

Estimate an ordered logit model with income as the only regressor.

See attached .do and .log files.

(b)

Provide an interpretation of the estimated coefficient.

The coefficient estimate is positive, indicating that an increase in income is positively correlated with moving up the "scale" of fishing modes. It is not clear to me that the order here is meaningful for the private vs. charter modes. In fact the results from the multinomial logit model indicate that as income increases, the probability of private boat increases (this result is statistically significant). Whereas for charter, the probability decreased as income increased although the result wasn't statistically significant. This would point to the possibility that the suggested ordering here is not correct, and perhaps a model flipping the order of private and charter might be a better fit.

(c)

Compare the fit of this model with that from a three-choice multinomial model with income as the regressor.

See attached .do and .log files for this estimation. The three-choice multinomial model performs better than the ordered logit. The Pseudo- R^2 for the ordered logic was 0.0000, whereas it is 0.0133 for the multinomial logit model. The explanation in part (a), provides some possible intuition behind why this is the case.


```

1  *****
2  *****
3  ***** Spring 2014
4  *****
5  ***** Robert Ackerman
6  *****
7  **** HW2: Cameron and Trivedi, Microeconometrics: Methods and
8  Applications ****
9
10 ***** 1. Initial Settings *****
11 clear
12 clear matrix
13 capture cd "/Users/robertackerman/Desktop/Dropbox/
14 log using "HW2_Ackerman.log", replace
15
16 set more off
17 pause on
18
19 ***** 2. Load the Entire Sample *****
20
21 infile mode price crate dbeach dpier dprivate dcharter pbeach ppier
22 pprivate pcharter qbeach qpier qprivate qcharter income using NLDATA
23 .ASC
24
25 ***** 3. Generate 50% Subsample *****
26
27 ** Fix Seed, so the Same Subsample is Drawn Each Time **
28 set seed 123456789
29
30 ** 50% Randomly Generated Subsample **
31 sample 50
32 su dbeach
33 su dpier
34 su dprivate
35 su dcharter
36
37 ***** 4. Data Magagement, Note: This is based heavily on Cameron
38 and Trivedi's mma15p2gev.do file *****
39
40 ** Re-scale income by 1000 **
41 gen inc = income/1000
42
43 ** Data are one entry per individual **
44 ** Reshape to 4 observations per individual; one for each
45 alternative **
46
47 ** Use reshape to do this **
48
49 ** Note: alternatv = 1 if beach, = 2 if pier; = 3 if private boat;
50 = 4 if charter **

```

```

40
41 ** First generate new variables **
42 gen id = _n
43 gen d1 = dbeach
44 gen p1 = pbeach
45 gen q1 = qbeach
46 gen d2 = dpier
47 gen p2 = ppier
48 gen q2 = qpier
49 gen d3 = dprivate
50 gen p3 = pprivate
51 gen q3 = qprivate
52 gen d4 = dcharter
53 gen p4 = pcharter
54 gen q4 = qcharter
55
56 ** Now use the reshape command **
57 reshape long d p q, i(id) j(alterntv)
58 ** This automatically generates variable alterntv = 1 (beach), 2
   (pier), 3 (boat) and 4 (charter) **
59
60 ***** 5. 15-2 *****
61
62 ** (a) Estimate the conditional logit model of Section 15.2.1. **
63 asclogit d p q, case(id) alternatives(alterntv) casevars(inc)
64
65 ** (c) What is the effect of an increase in price on the various
   modes of fishing? **
66 estat mfx, varlist(p)
67
68 ***** 6. 15-3 *****
69 clear
70
71 ** Re-load the data, re-scale income and generate the subsample**
72 infile mode price crate dbeach dpier dprivate dcharter pbeach ppier
   pprivate pcharter qbeach qpier qprivate qcharter income using NLDATA
   .ASC
73 gen inc = income/1000
74 set seed 123456789
75 sample 50
76
77 ** (a) Estimate the multinomial logit model of Section 15.2.2. **
78 mlogit mode inc, b(1)
79
80 ** (b) Comment on the statistical significance of the parameter
   estimates. **
81 test inc
82

```

```

83  ** (c) What is the effect of an increase in income on the various
    modes of fishing? **
84  margins, dydx(inc) predict(outcome(1)) atmean
85  margins, dydx(inc) predict(outcome(2)) atmean
86  margins, dydx(inc) predict(outcome(3)) atmean
87  margins, dydx(inc) predict(outcome(4)) atmean
88
89
90  ***** 7. 15-4 *****
91  ** Collapse the data into a three alternative model **
92  replace mode=0 if mode==1 | mode==2
93  replace mode=1 if mode==3
94  replace mode=2 if mode==4
95
96  ** (a) Estimate an ordered logit model with income as the only
    regressor. **
97  ologit mode inc
98
99  ** (b) Provide an interpretation of the estimated coefficient **
100
101  ** (c) Compare the fit of this model with that from a three-choice
    multinomial model with income as the regressor **
102  mlogit mode inc, b(1)
103
104  clear
105
106  log close

```



```

-----
name: <unnamed>
log: /Users/robertackerman/Desktop/Dropbox/
HW2_Ackerman.log
log type: text
opened on: 24 Jan 2014, 16:17:18

```

```

.
. set more off

```

```

. pause on

```

```

. ***** 2. Load the Entire Sample *****

```

```

. infile mode price crate dbeach dpier dprivate dcharter pbeach ppier
pprivate pcharter qbeach qpier qprivate qcharter
> r income using NLDATA.ASC
(1182 observations read)

```

```

. ***** 3. Generate 50% Subsample *****

```

```

. ** Fix Seed, so the Same Subsample is Drawn Each Time **
. set seed 123456789

```

```

. ** 50% Randomly Generated Subsample **
. sample 50
(591 observations deleted)

```

```

. su dbeach

```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
-----+-----					
dbeach	591	.1167513	.3213957	0	1

```

. su dpier

```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
-----+-----					
dpier	591	.142132	.3494812	0	1

```

. su dprivate

```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
-----+-----					
dprivate	591	.3722504	.4838141	0	1

```
. su dcharter
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
dcharter	591	.3688663	.4829064	0	1

```
.  
. ***** 4. Data Magagement, Note: This is based heavily on Cameron  
and Trivedi's mma15p2gev.do file *****
```

```
.  
. ** Re-scale income by 1000 **  
. gen inc = income/1000
```

```
.  
. ** Data are one entry per individual **  
. ** Reshape to 4 observations per individual; one for each  
alternative **  
. ** Use reshape to do this **  
. ** Note: alternatv = 1 if beach, = 2 if pier; = 3 if private boat;  
= 4 if charter **
```

```
.  
. ** First generate new variables **  
. gen id = _n
```

```
. gen d1 = dbeach
```

```
. gen p1 = pbeach
```

```
. gen q1 = qbeach
```

```
. gen d2 = dpier
```

```
. gen p2 = ppier
```

```
. gen q2 = qpier
```

```
. gen d3 = dprivate
```

```
. gen p3 = pprivate
```

```
. gen q3 = qprivate
```

```
. gen d4 = dcharter
```

```
. gen p4 = pcharter
```

```
. gen q4 = qcharter
```

```
.  
. ** Now use the reshape command **
```

```
. reshape long d p q, i(id) j(alterntv)
(note: j = 1 2 3 4)
```

Data	wide	->	long
Number of obs.	591	->	2364
Number of variables	30	->	22
j variable (4 values)		->	alterntv
xij variables:			
	d1 d2 ... d4	->	d
	p1 p2 ... p4	->	p
	q1 q2 ... q4	->	q

```
. ** This automatically generates variable alterntv = 1 (beach), 2
(pier), 3 (boat) and 4 (charter) **
```

```
.
. ***** 5. 15-2 *****
.
. ** (a) Estimate the conditional logit model of Section 15.2.1. **
. asclogit d p q, case(id) alternatives(alterntv) casevars(inc)
```

```
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -650.20658
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -624.53682
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -622.23988
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -622.2215
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -622.2215
```

Alternative-specific conditional logit	Number of obs	=
2364		
Case variable: id	Number of cases	=
591		

Alternative variable: alterntv	Alts per case: min =
4	
	avg =
4.0	
	max =
4	

	Wald chi2(5)	=
123.84		
Log likelihood = -622.2215	Prob > chi2	=
0.0000		

d	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.
---	-------	-----------	---	------	------------

Interval]

```

-----
+-----
alterntv      |
p      |      -.022383      .0021848      -10.24      0.000      -.0266651
-.0181009
q      |      .2083431      .1489423      1.40      0.162      -.
0835784      .5002646
-----

```

```

+-----
1      |      (base alternative)
-----

```

```

+-----
2      |
inc      |      -.1711379      .0746539      -2.29      0.022      -.3174569
-.024819
_cons      |      .8594627      .3266417      2.63      0.009      .2192567
1.499669
-----

```

```

+-----
3      |
inc      |      -.0031477      .0680852      -0.05      0.963      -.
1365923      .1302968
_cons      |      .9310191      .312062      2.98      0.003      .3193888
1.542649
-----

```

```

+-----
4      |
inc      |      -.1215695      .0693994      -1.75      0.080      -.
2575897      .0144508
_cons      |      1.95299      .3195131      6.11      0.000      1.326756
2.579224
-----

```

```

.
. ** (c) What is the effect of an increase in price on the various
modes of fishing? **
. estat mfx, varlist(p)

```

Pr(choice = 1|1 selected) = .06266738

```

-----
variable      |      dp/dx      Std. Err.      z      P>|z|      [      95% C.I.      ]
X
+-----
p      1      |      -.001315      .00017      -7.72      0.000      -.001649      -.000981
98.279

```

	2		.000103	.000024	4.38	0.000	.000057	.000149
98.279								
	3		.000607	.000083	7.34	0.000	.000445	.000769
52.413								
	4		.000605	.000083	7.32	0.000	.000443	.000767
81.514								

Pr(choice = 2|1 selected) = .07350399

variable			dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]
X									
p									
	1		.000103	.000024	4.38	0.000	.000057	.000149	
98.279									
	2		-.001524	.000186	-8.20	0.000	-.001889	-.00116	
98.279									
	3		.000712	.000092	7.73	0.000	.000531	.000892	
52.413									
	4		.000709	.000092	7.70	0.000	.000529	.00089	
81.514									

Pr(choice = 3|1 selected) = .43263014

variable			dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]
X									
p									
	1		.000607	.000083	7.34	0.000	.000445	.000769	
98.279									
	2		.000712	.000092	7.73	0.000	.000531	.000892	
98.279									
	3		-.005494	.000559	-9.83	0.000	-.006589	-.004399	
52.413									
	4		.004176	.000545	7.66	0.000	.003107	.005244	
81.514									

Pr(choice = 4|1 selected) = .43119849

Log likelihood = -739.15281
0.0104

Pseudo R2 =

mode		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
1		(base outcome)				
2						
	inc	-.1773109	.0749714	-2.37	0.018	-.3242521
	_cons	.8689192	.3270223	2.66	0.008	.2279672
3						
	inc	.020615	.0548374	0.38	0.707	-.0868643
	_cons	1.070403	.2726271	3.93	0.000	.5360635
4						
	inc	-.0959633	.0578337	-1.66	0.097	-.2093152
	_cons	1.532838	.2748424	5.58	0.000	.9941565

. ** (b) Comment on the statistical significance of the parameter estimates. **

. test inc

```
( 1)  [1]o.inc = 0
( 2)  [2]inc = 0
( 3)  [3]inc = 0
( 4)  [4]inc = 0
      Constraint 1 dropped

      chi2( 3) =    14.28
      Prob > chi2 =    0.0025
```

. ** (c) What is the effect of an increase in income on the various

```

modes of fishing? **
. margins, dydx(inc) predict(outcome(1)) atmean

```

```

Conditional marginal effects          Number of obs   =
591

```

```

Model VCE      : OIM

```

```

Expression      : Pr(mode==1), predict(outcome(1))
dy/dx w.r.t.    : inc
at              : inc              =    3.993232 (mean)

```

			Delta-method			
		dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.
Interval]						
<hr/>						
	inc	.0061745	.0053773	1.15	0.251	-.0043649
		.0167138				

```

. margins, dydx(inc) predict(outcome(2)) atmean

```

```

Conditional marginal effects          Number of obs   =
591

```

```

Model VCE      : OIM

```

```

Expression      : Pr(mode==2), predict(outcome(2))
dy/dx w.r.t.    : inc
at              : inc              =    3.993232 (mean)

```

			Delta-method			
		dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.
Interval]						
<hr/>						
	inc	-.0172535	.0069147	-2.50	0.013	-.0037009

```

. margins, dydx(inc) predict(outcome(3)) atmean

```

```

Conditional marginal effects          Number of obs   =
591

```

```

Model VCE      : OIM

```



```

Expression   : Pr(mode==3), predict(outcome(3))
dy/dx w.r.t. : inc
at           : inc                      =    3.993232 (mean)

```

		dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.
Interval]						
<hr/>						
	inc	.0272352	.0084595	3.22	0.001	.
0106549	.0438155					

```

. margins, dydx(inc) predict(outcome(4)) atmean

```

```

Conditional marginal effects          Number of obs   =
591
Model VCE      : OIM

```

```

Expression   : Pr(mode==4), predict(outcome(4))
dy/dx w.r.t. : inc
at           : inc                      =    3.993232 (mean)

```

		dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.
Interval]						
<hr/>						
	inc	-.0161561	.0089038	-1.81	0.070	-.
0336072	.001295					

```

.
.
. ***** 7. 15-4 *****
. ** Collapse the data into a three alternative model **
. replace mode=0 if mode==1 | mode==2
(153 real changes made)

. replace mode=1 if mode==3
(220 real changes made)

. replace mode=2 if mode==4

```

(218 real changes made)

```
.  
. ** (a) Estimate an ordered logit model with income as the only  
regressor. **  
. ologit mode inc
```

```
Iteration 0:    log likelihood = -641.57828  
Iteration 1:    log likelihood = -641.35973  
Iteration 2:    log likelihood = -641.35972
```

Ordered logistic regression	Number of obs	=
591		
	LR chi2(1)	=
0.44		
	Prob > chi2	=
0.5085		
Log likelihood = -641.35972	Pseudo R2	=
0.0003		

```
-----  
-----  
mode |      Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf.  
Interval]  
-----+-----  
inc |   -.0207248   .0313372    -0.66   0.508     -.  
0821446   .040695  
-----+-----  
/cut1 |  -1.137323   .160189           -1.451288  
-.8233587  
/cut2 |   .4524875   .1536252           .  
1513877   .7535873  
-----  
-----
```

```
.  
. ** (b) Provide an interpretation of the estimated coefficient **  
. ** (c) Compare the fit of this model with that from a three-choice  
multinomial model with income as the regressor *  
> *  
. mlogit mode inc, b(1)
```

```
Iteration 0:    log likelihood = -641.57828  
Iteration 1:    log likelihood = -636.71194  
Iteration 2:    log likelihood = -636.70685  
Iteration 3:    log likelihood = -636.70685
```

Multinomial logistic regression
591

Number of obs =

9.74

LR chi2(2) =

0.0077

Prob > chi2 =

Log likelihood = -636.70685

Pseudo R2 =

0.0076

mode	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
------	-------	-----------	---	------	----------------------

0					
inc	-.1054007	.0454581	-2.32	0.020	-.194497
-.0163044					
_cons	.0659322	.2100869	0.31	0.754	-.4776949
3458305					

1	(base outcome)				
---	----------------	--	--	--	--

2					
inc	-.1151731	.0412571	-2.79	0.005	-.1960355
-.0343106					
_cons	.4567516	.1914001	2.39	0.017	.8318889
0816144					

.

. clear

.

. log close

name: <unnamed>

log: /Users/robertackerman/Desktop/Dropbo

HW2_Ackerman.log

log type: text

closed on: 24 Jan 2014, 16:17:20
