

ANOVA & Testing in the Multiple Linear Regression Models

ANOVA in the Multiple Regression Model

- Variance decomposition
- Degrees of freedom (by factor and for the model)
- Type-I and Type-III SS
- Testing multiple effects jointly (Long and Short regression and the F-test)
- Type-I error control and sequential testing

ANOVA in the Multiple Regression Model

Model equation (Ha): $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ED_i + \beta_2 W_i + \beta_3 B_i + \varepsilon_i$

Null Hypothesis (H0): $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ or $y_i = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i$

Predictions & Residuals from Ha:

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 ED_i + \hat{\beta}_2 W_i + \hat{\beta}_3 B_i \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i = (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 ED_i + \hat{\beta}_2 W_i + \hat{\beta}_3 B_i)$$

Predictions & Residuals from H0: $\hat{\varepsilon}_{i(0)} = (y_i - \bar{y})$

Variance partition:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Total: } SST = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \\ \text{Un-Explained: } SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2 \\ \text{Explained (regression): } SSR = SST - SSE \end{array} \right\} R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SSE + SSR}$$

Degrees of freedom

Model equation (Ha): $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ED_i + \beta_2 W_i + \beta_3 B_i + \varepsilon_i$ p=4 parameters

Null Hypothesis (H0): $y_i = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i$ q=1 parameter

Residual degrees of freedom: $n-p=n-4$

Model degrees of freedom: $p-q=3$

Total degrees of freedom (that is residual df of H0): $n-q=\text{model df}+\text{res. df}$

Example in R :

https://github.com/gdlc/EPI809/blob/master/ANOVA_MLR.md

Testing in the Multiple Regression Model

- t-test for 1-df tests
- F-test for more than 1-df tests
- Testing groups of predictors
- Error control

Multiple Regression Model

Regression of,
Wages (y) on
Region, Sex,
Experience and
Education.

Model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + South_{1i}\beta_1 + Fe_{2i}\beta_2 + Exp_{3i}\beta_3 + Edu_{4i}\beta_4 + \varepsilon_i$$

Dummy:
South (1) ; North(0)

Dummy:
Female (1) ; Male (0)

Years of Education

Years of Experience

Examples of tests of hypothesis that we can do once we fit the model

Type of Test	Example/Description	Set of Hypothesis	Stat. Test
Single-parameter	Are there differences between South & North?	$\begin{cases} H_0 & \beta_1 = 0 \\ H_a & \beta_1 \neq 0 \end{cases}$	t-test (Parameter Estimates Table)
Model as a whole	Do Region, Sex, Experience and Education together have any effect on wages?	$\begin{cases} H_0 & \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \\ H_a & \text{At least one of them} \neq 0. \end{cases}$	F-test (ANOVA table)
Groups of predictors	Do Experience and Education together have any effect on wages?	$\begin{cases} H_0 & \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \\ H_a & \text{At least one of the two} \neq 0. \end{cases}$	F-test (we will calculate it)

Single-Effect Test

$$\begin{cases} H_0 & \beta_j = 0 \\ H_a & \beta_j \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Standard Errors & t-statistic

Standard Error of Estimates


$$SE(\hat{\beta}) = \sqrt{Var(\hat{\beta})}$$

Standardized Estimates
(t-statistic)

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}}{SE(\hat{\beta})}$$

pValue: We compare the t-statistic against a reference distribution (the distribution of the t-statistic under the null hypothesis ($H_0: b_1=0$)). If the observed statistic is 'unusual' for this reference distribution that provides evidence against H_0 .

Specifically, the p-value is the probability of observing a t-statistic at least as extreme as the one observed if the null hypothesis holds.



Let's discuss this from
the JMP output

Testing the Model as a whole (all effects together)

$$y_i = \beta_0 + South_{1i}\beta_1 + Fe_{2i}\beta_2 + Exp_{3i}\beta_3 + Edu_{4i}\beta_4 + \varepsilon_i$$

$$\begin{cases} H_0 & \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \\ H_a & \text{At least one of them} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

If the NULL Hypothesis holds...

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i$$

Mean of Y

To test the model as a whole we will use the ANOVA Table...

ANOVA and F-test

$$H_0 : y_i = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i$$

$$H_a : y_i = \beta_0 + South_{1i}\beta_1 + Fe_{2i}\beta_2 + Exp_{3i}\beta_3 + Edu_{4i}\beta_4 + \varepsilon_i$$

Mean Square=Sum Sq. / DF

Analysis of Variance				
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
Model	4	3707.363	926.841	47.3474
Error	523	10237.889	19.575	Prob > F
C. Total	527	13945.252		<.0001*

F-statistic:
MS.Model/MS.Error

Note:

The F-statistic is directly related to the R-squared of the model.


The larger the R-sq. the larger the F-stat.

SS Under H0

SS Under Ha

Is the F-ratio large enough to reject the NULL
(see H0 above)?

ANOVA and F-test



Testing Groups of Predictors

(e.g., Do Education and Experience Have Any Effect on Wages?)

Null and Alternative

$$y_i = \beta_0 + South_{1i}\beta_1 + Fe_{2i}\beta_2 + Exp_{3i}\beta_3 + Edu_{4i}\beta_4 + \varepsilon_i$$

Short Regression

$$\begin{cases} H_0 & y_i = \beta_0 + South_{1i}\beta_1 + Fe_{2i}\beta_2 + \varepsilon_i \\ Ha & y_i = \beta_0 + South_{1i}\beta_1 + Fe_{2i}\beta_2 + Exp_{3i}\beta_3 + Edu_{4i}\beta_4 + \varepsilon_i. \end{cases}$$

Long Regression

Implementing the above test boils down to comparing the short and long regressions.

The R-squared of the Long Regression will always be \geq than that of the Short Regression

How can we test whether the reduction in R^2 we observed is large enough to reject the null hypothesis?

F-test

F Test for the Long Vs Short Regression

Long Regression $y_i = \beta_0 + South_{1i}\beta_1 + Fe_{2i}\beta_2 + Exp_{3i}\beta_3 + Edu_{4i}\beta_4 + \varepsilon_i$

Short Regression (H_0) $y_i = \beta_0 + South_{1i}\beta_1 + Fe_{2i}\beta_2 + \varepsilon_i$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_0 : \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \\ H_a : \text{At least one } \neq 0 \end{array} \right.$

ANOVA Table of the Short Regression (k=3)

Source	DF	SS	MS	R ²	f
Model	2	SSR ₀	MSR ₀	SSR ₀ /SST	MSR ₀ /MSE ₀
Error	N-3	SSE ₀	MSE ₀		
Total	N-1	SST	MST		

ANOVA Table of Long Regression (k=5)


Source	DF	SS	MS	R ²	f
Model	4	SSR _A	MSR _A	SSR _A /SST	MSR _A /MSE _A
Error	N-5	SSE _A	MSE _A		
Total	N-1	SST	MST		

F-Test

Source	DF	SS	MS	R ²	F
Model (H _a)	2	$SSE_0 - SSE_A$	$(SSE_0 - SSE_A)/2$	$(SSE_0 - SSE_A)/SSE_0$	$\frac{(SSE_0 - SSE_A)/2}{SSE_A/(N-5)}$
Error	N-5	SSE_A	$SSE_A/(N-5)$		
Total (H ₀)	N-3	SSE_0			

F Test for the Long Vs Short Regression

Long Regression $y_i = \beta_0 + South_{1i}\beta_1 + Fe_{2i}\beta_2 + Exp_{3i}\beta_3 + Edu_{4i}\beta_4 + \varepsilon_i$

Short Regression (H_0) $y_i = \beta_0 + South_{1i}\beta_1 + Fe_{2i}\beta_2 + \varepsilon_i$  $\begin{cases} H_0 : \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \\ H_a : \text{At least one} \neq 0 \end{cases}$

ANOVA Short Regression (H_0)

Analysis of Variance				
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
Model	2	950.000	475.000	19.1897
Error	525	12995.252	24.753	Prob > F
C. Total	527	13945.252		<.0001*

ANOVA Long Regression (H_A)

Analysis of Variance				
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
Model	4	3707.363	926.841	47.3474
Error	523	10237.889	19.575	Prob > F
C. Total	527	13945.252		<.0001*

ANOVA Table For Testing H_0 Vs H_A						
	DF	SS	MS	R2	f	P(F>f)
Model	2	2757.4	1378.7	0.2122	70.43	8.22909E-28
Error	523	10237.9	19.6			
Total	525	12995.3				

Sequential testing

- Once we fitted a model there are various test we typically do.
- First we assess whether the model as a whole have any merit. For this we look at the R-sq. and at the F-test (both from the ANOVA table). In this case we are comparing our model against an intercept-only (or mean) model.
- Then, if the model is “significant” (determined by the F-test) we proceed to test:
 - Either individual predictors (we do this with a t-test, from the parameter estimates table)
 - Or groups of predictors. We do this by fitting and comparing the short and long regressions.