

# Ch 14 Stochastic Gradient Descent

Friday, March 20, 2020

3:28 PM

SGD = Stochastic Gradient Descent  
misnomer

$$\min_w f(w), \quad f(w) = L_D(w) \equiv \mathbb{E} L(w, z) \quad \text{SA = Stochastic Approximation}$$

or  $\hat{L}_S(w) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L(w, z_i) \quad \text{SAA = Sample Avg. Approximation = ERM}$

Algo, some analysis, covers both cases

(i.e. SAA is a special case of SA w/  $D = \text{Uniform}(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m)$ )

See supplemental notes for basics of gradient descent

$$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \eta \nabla f(w^{(t)})$$

$\eta$  is learning rate / stepsize

take  $T$  steps, output

- 1)  $w^{(T)}$
- 2)  $\arg \min_{w \in \{w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(T)}\}} f(w) \quad \leftarrow \text{can't always evaluate } f$
- or
- 3)  $\bar{w} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T w^{(t)}$

Corollary 14.2 Analysis of GD if  $f$  convex, Lipschitz, but not smooth

let  $f$  be convex,  $\rho$ -Lipschitz,  $w^* \in \arg \min_w f(w)$ ,  $\|w^*\| \leq B$ ,

iterate  $w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \eta d_t$ ,  $d_t \in \partial f(w^{(t)})$ ,

then choosing  $\eta = \sqrt{\frac{B^2}{\rho^2 T}}$  gives  $f(\bar{w}) - f(w^*) \leq \frac{B\rho}{\sqrt{T}} \quad \leftarrow \text{super slow!}$

## §14.3 SGD

SGD algo: for  $t=1, 2, \dots, T$

Draw r.v.  $V_t$  s.t.  $\mathbb{E}(V_t | w^{(t)}) \in \partial f(w^{(t)})$

$$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \eta V_t$$

$$\text{output } \bar{w} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T w^{(t)}$$

other possibilities too (Polyak-Ruppert averaging, various weights)

What might we want to show?

1. First, pick error metric or  $\bar{w}$

$$e_t = \begin{cases} f(w^{(t)}) - f(w^*) & \text{standard choice if } f \text{ convex (OK)} \\ \|w^{(t)} - w^*\| & \text{choice if } f \text{ strongly convex (best)} \\ \|\nabla f(w^{(t)})\| & \text{choice if } f \text{ not convex (weak)} \end{cases}$$

2.  $V_t$ , hence  $w^{(t)}$ , hence  $e_t$ , is a random variable

A.  $e_t \xrightarrow{P} 0$   $\leftarrow$  or anything convergence in probability (measure)

means  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(|e_t| > \varepsilon) = 0 \quad (\text{weak})$

B.  $e_t \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$  if  $\mathbb{E}|e_t|^p = 0$ ,  $L^p$  convergence  $\leftarrow$  (p=2 aka quadratic mean) (OK, p=1)

C.  $e_t \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  if  $\mathbb{P}(\lim e_t = 0) = 1$ , almost sure convergence aka w/ probability 1 (best)

other types as well (indistribution ...)  
see prob. textbook

Ex  $e_t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{w.p. } 1/t \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1-1/t \end{cases}$  then  $\forall r > 1, \mathbb{E} e_t = 1/t$   
so  $e_t \xrightarrow{L^r} 0$  ( $\forall r$ ) and  $e_t \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$   
but (I don't think)  $e_t \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$

$e_t = \begin{cases} t^\alpha & \text{w.p. } 1/t \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1-1/t \end{cases}$   $\alpha = 1/2$   $\mathbb{E} e_t = 1/\sqrt{t} \rightarrow 0$  so  $e_t \xrightarrow{L^1} 0$   
 $\mathbb{E} e_t^2 = 1$  so  $e_t \not\xrightarrow{L^2} 0$

(Fact:  $e_t \xrightarrow{L^r} 0 \Rightarrow e_t \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ )

$\alpha = 1$   $\mathbb{E} e_t = 1$  So doesn't converge in  $L^1$  even  
but  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \mathbb{P}(|e_t| > \epsilon) \leq 1/t \rightarrow 0$   
so converges in probability

What type to use?

Most ML results show  $L^1$  convergence,

$$\mathbb{E}(|e_t|) \rightarrow 0$$

(or, since usually  $e_t \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E} e_t \rightarrow 0$ )

However, if convergence is fast enough,

or for simplest cases (original Robbins-Monro '50's)

prove  $L^2$  or almost sure

### Thm 14.8 [SSS] $L^1$ convergence of SGD assuming...

Let  $f$  be convex,  $w^*$  a minimizer,  $\|w^*\| \leq B$ ,

$\|V_t\| \leq \rho \ \forall t \in [T]$  (w.p. 1) (like  $\rho$ -Lipschitz), then

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E} f(\bar{w}) - f(w^*) \leq \frac{B\rho}{\sqrt{T}}, \quad \text{ie. for } \epsilon \text{ error, } T \geq \frac{B^2 \rho^2}{\epsilon^2}$$

proof:

$(V_t)$  is a stochastic process

$\mathcal{F}_T = \sigma(V_t : t \leq T)$ , then  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a "filtration"

used to help w/ conditional probabilities

write  $\mathbb{E}(V_t | \{V_{t-1}, V_{t-2}, \dots, V_0\})$  as  $\mathbb{E}(V_t | \mathcal{F}_t)$

and use "law of total expectation" aka a "tower property"

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{F})) = \mathbb{E}(X)$$

ie. simpler notation,  $\mathbb{E}_\alpha g(w) = \mathbb{E}_\beta(\mathbb{E}_\alpha[g(w) | \beta])$

See, e.g.,

background

[https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/sloan-school-of-management/15-070j-advanced-stochastic-processes-fall-2013/lecture-notes/MIT15\\_070JF13\\_Lec9.pdf](https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/sloan-school-of-management/15-070j-advanced-stochastic-processes-fall-2013/lecture-notes/MIT15_070JF13_Lec9.pdf)

By default, write  $\mathbb{E}$  to mean  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_T]$

Define  $\bar{w} = \frac{1}{T} \sum w^{(t)}$ ,  $f(\bar{w}) \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum f(w^{(t)})$   
by Jensen,

$$f(\bar{w}) - f^* \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T f(w^{(t)}) - f^*$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{---}] \leq \mathbb{E}[\text{---}]$$

then via deterministic bounds (lemma 14.1)

$$\text{if } \eta = \frac{\sqrt{B^2}}{\rho \sqrt{T}}, \|w^*\| \leq B, \|v_t\| \leq \rho,$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T} \sum \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle\right] \leq \frac{B\rho}{\sqrt{T}}$$

Now claim  $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T} \sum f(w^{(t)}) - f^*\right] \leq \text{---}$  to conclude proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T} \sum \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle\right] &= \frac{1}{T} \sum \mathbb{E} \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle \quad \text{recall } w^{(t)} = w^{(t-1)} - \eta v_{t-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E}[\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \right) \\ &\quad \leftarrow \text{not random for now} \\ &\quad \text{and } \mathbb{E}[v_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \in \partial f(w^{(t)}) \\ &\quad \text{so by convexity } f(w^{(t)}) - f^* \leq \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, g_t \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{1}{T} \sum \mathbb{E} f(w^{(t)}) - f^* \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T} \sum f(w^{(t)}) - f^*\right] \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

## §14.5 Learning w/ SGD

$$\text{i.e. let } f(w) = L_D(w) := \mathbb{E}_{z \sim D} [l(w, z)]$$

can't compute  $f(w)$  or  $\nabla f(w)$  since we don't know  $D$ !

... but we can draw from  $D$  and use SGD.

$$\text{i.e., sample } z_t \sim D, \text{ let } v_t \in \partial l(w^{(t)}, z_t)$$

$\uparrow$  w.r.t  $w$

So immediate corollary

Corollary 14.2  $l$  is  $\rho$ -Lipschitz,  $\|w^*\| \leq B$ , then

$\forall \epsilon > 0$ , running SGD w/  $T \geq \frac{B^2 \rho^2}{\epsilon^2}$  iterations,

$$\text{w/ } \eta = \frac{\sqrt{B^2}}{\rho \sqrt{T}} \text{ then}$$

$$\mathbb{E} L_D(\bar{w}) \leq \min_{w \in \mathcal{H}} L_D(w) + \epsilon$$

$\nearrow$   
expected risk,  
like we discussed  
in Stability chapter

$\nearrow$  we didn't discuss constraints, but  
many simple ones (and regularizers)  
easily fit into SGD/GD

$T$  is like  $m$ , = # iid samples

(if someone says "epochs", they are in the SAA/ERM setting, and  $T = 4m$  is "4 epochs". In the true SA setting, like above corollary, we never reach a single epoch, i.e.,  $m = \infty$ )

Results also hold if  $f$  is  $\rho$ -smooth, and for RLM

For SAA work, a good review is

Optimization methods for large-scale machine learning

L Bottou, FE Curtis, J Nocedal

SIAM Review 60 (2), 223-311, 2018 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.04838>)

For SA in optimization context,  
See Nemirovski "Robust SA"  
or Nesterov "Primal-dual Ag"