

PLSC 503: “Multivariate Analysis for Political Research”

Regression: A Conceptual Overview

January 17, 2017

Some Housekeeping...

- The github repo is now up and running; go there for all your PLSC 503 needs.
- The books are not in the bookstore; you can do better (price-wise) buying them on-line anyway.
- There is a set of “Introduction to R” slides on the github repo; take a look at them if you want, as they might teach you something (or maybe not).

Regression: A Conceptual Overview

Since this is a course about regression, it behooves us to think a bit about what regression is, at a general level. To do that, we’ll also spend a bit of time talking about what regression is *not* – that is, other things one might do with (multivariate) data, and the statistical / quantitative methods and approaches one uses to do them.

Regression Revealed

The basic idea of regression is one of *conditional distributions*. More specifically, regression usually (but not always) involves a *single* response / dependent variable Y , and a set of *multiple* independent variables / covariates \mathbf{X} . What we are usually interested in is the distribution of Y given \mathbf{X} :

$$\Pr(Y|\mathbf{X}) = f(\mathbf{X}) \tag{1}$$

In other words, we want to know something about the conditional density / “shape” of Y over a range of values of our covariates \mathbf{X} , where we’ll typically denote \mathbf{X} as a $N \times k$ matrix (and Y as a $N \times 1$ vector). The reasons we might want to know this are several:

- We might be interested in knowing / learning about *associations* between Y and the elements of \mathbf{X} ,
- we might want to know the *causal effect* of (one or more elements of) \mathbf{X} on Y , and/or
- we might want to *predict* what Y is likely to be at some particular configuration of values for \mathbf{X} .

These three things – association, causation, and prediction – are what we do 90 percent or so of the time we undertake quantitative analyses.

All that seems easy enough, in principle. In practice, things get a bit more complicated. In particular, the term in equation (1) implies two things:

1. The distribution of Y is *conditional on all variables in \mathbf{X}* , and
2. The conditional distribution is, in fact, conditional on the *joint distribution* of the elements of \mathbf{X} . That complicates matters, because the variables in \mathbf{X} are very likely to be conditionally non-independent of each other. So, in conditioning on \mathbf{X} , we cannot simply do so “one at a time;” rather, we must consider the full *joint* distribution of \mathbf{X} to get at (1).

As an intuitive matter, consider the example below...

An Example: Infant Mortality in 2000

The slides have a series of figures that plot infant mortality rates (that is, infant deaths per 1000 live births, denoted IM) against some factors that might be thought to be correlated with them. Consider each of the following variables:

1. *Life Expectancy* (LE) (in years):
 - What is the distribution of infant mortality at $LE = 45$? At $LE = 55$? At $LE = 75$?
 - What (in general) can one say about how $E(IM)$ and $\text{Var}(IM)$ change over different values of LE ?
2. Figure 2 shows the “residuals” – the difference between the infant mortality we actually observe for that country and what we would expect if the country were “on the line.”
3. Figure 3 shows infant mortality plotted against *Fertility* (measured as births per woman):
 - One might ask the same questions as above...
4. Figure 4 shows infant mortality plotted against *Wealth* (measured as GDP per capita):
 - Ditto:
 - Monotonic
 - Curvilinear / diminishing returns in IM to wealth
 - High variance at low levels of wealth, decreasing as wealth increases
 - The second wealth figure (Figure 5) – with axes plotted on the log scale – shows how the log-log relationship between the two is linear...

5. Figure 6 shows infant mortality as a function of *Democracy* (measured as POLITY IV -10 to 10 score):
 - Non-monotonic, curvilinear (inverted-U-shaped)
 - Relatively constant variance
6. The second *Democracy* plot (Figure 7) conditions on both *Democracy* and (in a simple dichotomous way) *wealth*...
 - Rich countries have low infant mortality, low *variance* in infant mortality, and *IM* is unresponsive to *democracy*.
 - Poor countries have (on average) higher infant mortality, more highly variable infant mortality, and *IM* is responsive (in that curvilinear way discussed above) to *democracy*.

All of this underscores exactly how complex regression modeling is; in the last figure above, for example, we are conditioning simultaneously (and in a very simple way) on only two variables, and yet there's a lot of nuance presented. Trying to do the same for three, four, five, etc. would be exceedingly difficult (though not impossible...).

What Else Is There?

Measurement

Exercises in data / dimensional reduction (going from multivariate to less-multivariate or even univariate).

An example are simple (additive, etc.) indices, of the sort described in the slides. Here' I've just:

- Taken each of five measures of “health” (IM, Fertility, LE, measles, and DPT percentages),
- “standardized” each (to put them all on the same “scale”), so that each is $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, and then
- added (or subtracted, as the case may be) them together.

The result is the simple additive index in the last row/column of Figure 9 in the slides. Of course, there are a host of other, more complex approaches to statistical measurement as well. These include:

- Principal Components Analysis ($\mathbf{Y}^T = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \dots$)
- Factor Analysis (like PCA, but somewhat different...)

- Uni- and Multidimensional Scaling (e.g., Guttman & Mokken scaling, etc.).
- Structural Equation Modeling [used with continuous variables, where there is a strong *a priori* understanding that the variables measure the same underlying factor(s)]
- Item-Response (IRT) Models (a la the SATs... usually used with binary- or ordinal-response data, rather than continuous indicators)

Classification

- Cluster Analysis (hierarchical or not; agglomerative or divisive, etc.).
- Classification and Regression “Trees” (akin to cluster analysis...) → random forests.
- Pattern Recognition (gene sequencing, etc.)
- Machine learning, support vector machines, etc.

So What Good Is Regression?

If we consider the three (general) things that one wants to do with data – describe, explain, and predict – then regression-like models tend to fall most clearly in the “explanation” category. They tend to be:

- multivariate, but not *too* multivariate
- theory-driven, not atheoretical
- of greatest interest when *marginal* quantities / associations are of interest.

This little table outlines the three “things” we do with data, and suggests why regression tends to fall in the middle column.

	Description	Explanation	Prediction
Task	Summarize data	Correlation/causation	Forecast OOS / future data
Emphasis	Data	Theory / Hypotheses	Outcomes
Focus	Univariate	Multivariate	Multivariate
Typical Application	Summarize / “reduce” data	Discuss marginal associations between predictors and an outcome of interest	Optimize out-of-sample predictive power / minimize prediction error