

# PLSC 504 – Autumn 2017

## Panel Models for Binary Responses

October 31, 2016

Start with:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{it}^* &= \mathbf{X}_{it}\beta + u_{it} \\ Y_{it} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Y_{it}^* \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } Y_{it}^* > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which is generically:

$$Y_{it} = f(\mathbf{X}_{it}\beta + u_{it})$$

# What Can Go Wrong?

Suppose:

$$\begin{aligned}X_{it} &= \rho_X \mathbf{X}_{it-1} + \nu_{it} \\ u_{it} &= \rho_u u_{it-1} + \epsilon_{it}\end{aligned}$$

For high values of  $\rho$ , logit/probit:

- $\hat{\beta}$ s are consistent, but s.e.s are biased, inefficient (Poirier and Ruud 1988);
- underestimate  $\text{Var}(\beta)$  by up to 50 percent (Beck and Katz 1997).

One-way unit effects:

$$Y_{it} = f(\mathbf{X}_{it}\beta + \alpha_i + u_{it})$$

for logit only, so:

$$\Pr(Y_{it} = 1) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{X}_{it}\beta + \alpha_i)}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{X}_{it}\beta + \alpha_i)} \equiv \Lambda(\mathbf{X}_{it}\beta + \alpha_i)$$

# Incidental Parameters

- Nonlinearity  $\rightarrow$  inconsistency in both  $\hat{\alpha}$ s and  $\hat{\beta}$ .
- Anderson:

$$L^U = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{t=1}^T \Lambda(\mathbf{x}_{it} + \alpha_i)^{Y_{it}} [1 - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}_{it} + \alpha_i)]^{1-Y_{it}}$$

- Chamberlain:

$$L^C = \prod_{i=1}^N \Pr \left( Y_{i1} = y_{i1}, Y_{i2} = y_{i2}, \dots, Y_{iT} = y_{iT} \mid \sum_{t=1}^T Y_{it} \right)$$

Intuition:

- $\Pr(Y_{i1} = 0 \text{ and } Y_{i2} = 0 \mid \sum_T Y_{it} = 0) = 1.0$
- $\Pr(Y_{i1} = 1 \text{ and } Y_{i2} = 1 \mid \sum_T Y_{it} = 2) = 1.0$

## Fixed-Effects (continued)

More intuition:

$$\Pr\left(Y_{i1} = 0 \text{ and } Y_{i2} = 1 \mid \sum_T Y_{it} = 1\right) = \frac{\Pr(0, 1)}{\Pr(0, 1) + \Pr(1, 0)}$$

with a similar statement for  $\Pr(Y_{i1} = 1 \text{ and } Y_{i2} = 0 \mid \sum_T Y_{it} = 1)$ .

Points:

- Fixed effects = no estimates for  $\beta_b$
- Interpretation: per logit, but  $\mid \hat{\alpha}_j$ .
- BTSCS in IR: Green et al. (2001) v. B&K (2001).

Model is:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{it}^* &= \mathbf{X}_{it}\beta + u_{it} \\ Y_{it} &= 0 \text{ if } Y_{it}^* \leq 0 ; \\ &= 1 \text{ if } Y_{it}^* > 0 \end{aligned}$$

with:

$$u_{it} = \alpha_i + \eta_{it}$$

with  $\eta_{it} \sim \text{i.i.d. } N(0,1)$ , and  $\alpha_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\alpha^2)$ .

## Random Effects (continued)

Implies:

$$\text{Var}(u_{it}) = 1 + \sigma_{\alpha}^2$$

and so:

$$\text{Corr}(u_{it}, u_{is}, t \neq s) \equiv \rho = \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^2}{1 + \sigma_{\alpha}^2}$$

which means that we can write  $\sigma_{\alpha}^2 = \left( \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \right)$ .



Probit:

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= \text{Prob}(Y_{i1} = y_{i1}, Y_{i2} = y_{i2}, \dots Y_{iT} = y_{iT}) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{X_{i1}\beta} \int_{-\infty}^{X_{i2}\beta} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{X_{iT}\beta} \phi(u_{i1}, u_{i2} \dots u_{iT}) du_{iT} \dots du_{i2} du_{i1} \end{aligned}$$

Logit:

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= \text{Prob}(Y_{i1} = y_{i1}, Y_{i2} = y_{i2}, \dots Y_{iT} = y_{iT}) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{X_{i1}\beta} \int_{-\infty}^{X_{i2}\beta} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{X_{iT}\beta} \lambda(u_{i1}, u_{i2} \dots u_{iT}) du_{iT} \dots du_{i2} du_{i1} \end{aligned}$$

Solution?

$$\phi(u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \dots u_{iT}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \dots u_{iT} \mid \alpha_i) \phi(\alpha_i) d\alpha_i$$

- $\hat{\rho}$  = proportion of the variance due to the  $\alpha_i$ s.
- Implementation: Gauss-Hermite quadrature or MCMC.
- Best with  $N$  large and  $T$  small.
- Critically requires  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}, \alpha) = 0$  (see notes re: Chamberlain's CRE Estimator).

## R

- `glmmML` (Gauss-Hermite quadrature)
- `pglm` (panel GLMs) (maximum likelihood + quadrature)
- `MCMCpack` (`MCMChlogit`)
- Various user-generated functions (e.g., [here](#)).

## Stata

- `xtprobit`, `xtlogit`, `xtcloglog`
- Plus `xttrans` (transition probabilities), `quadchk` (quadrature checking), `xtrho` / `xtrhoi` (estimation of within-unit covariances)

# Example: Segal (1986) Search & Seizure Cases

$Y = 1$  (search allowed)

- **warrant**: Whether (=1) or not (=0) a warrant was issued,
- **house**: Whether (=1) or not (=0) the search was of a private home,
- **person**: Whether (=1) or not (=0) the search was of a person,
- **business**: Whether (=1) or not (=0) the search was of a business,
- **car**: Whether (=1) or not (=0) the search was of an automobile,
- **us**: Whether (=1) or not (=0) the U.S. government was the petitioner,
- **except**: The number of “exceptions” outlined by the Court under which the search fell, and
- **justideo**: The justice’s Segal-Cover (1989) ideology score, ranging from zero (most conservative) to 1 (most liberal).

$N = 14$ ,  $\bar{T} = 74.1$ .

```
> summary(Segal)
```

justid	caseid	year	vote	warrant
Min. : 1.0	Min. : 1	Min. :63	Min. :0.00	Min. :0.00
1st Qu.: 6.0	1st Qu.: 34	1st Qu.:69	1st Qu.:0.00	1st Qu.:0.00
Median : 8.0	Median : 64	Median :73	Median :1.00	Median :0.00
Mean : 8.1	Mean : 64	Mean :73	Mean :0.53	Mean :0.15
3rd Qu.:11.0	3rd Qu.: 94	3rd Qu.:78	3rd Qu.:1.00	3rd Qu.:0.00
Max. :14.0	Max. :123	Max. :81	Max. :1.00	Max. :1.00

  

house	person	business	car	us
Min. :0.00	Min. :0.00	Min. :0.00	Min. :0.0	Min. :0.00
1st Qu.:0.00	1st Qu.:0.00	1st Qu.:0.00	1st Qu.:0.0	1st Qu.:0.00
Median :0.00	Median :0.00	Median :0.00	Median :0.0	Median :0.00
Mean :0.23	Mean :0.31	Mean :0.15	Mean :0.2	Mean :0.45
3rd Qu.:0.00	3rd Qu.:1.00	3rd Qu.:0.00	3rd Qu.:0.0	3rd Qu.:1.00
Max. :1.00	Max. :1.00	Max. :1.00	Max. :1.0	Max. :1.00

  

except	justideo
Min. :0.00	Min. :0.05
1st Qu.:0.00	1st Qu.:0.17
Median :0.00	Median :0.73
Mean :0.35	Mean :0.59
3rd Qu.:1.00	3rd Qu.:0.88
Max. :3.00	Max. :1.00

# Plain-Vanilla Logit

```
> SegalLogit<-glm(vote~warrant+house+person+business+car+us+
                  except,data=Segal,family="binomial")
> summary(SegalLogit)
```

Deviance Residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-1.9802	-1.0391	0.6581	1.1220	1.5798

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )	
(Intercept)	0.4164	0.2246	1.854	0.06369	.
warrant	0.4818	0.1932	2.493	0.01265	*
house	-1.1742	0.2575	-4.560	5.12e-06	***
person	-0.7509	0.2387	-3.146	0.00166	**
business	-1.3258	0.2758	-4.807	1.53e-06	***
car	-0.7541	0.2609	-2.890	0.00385	**
us	0.4077	0.1377	2.961	0.00307	**
except	0.8679	0.1307	6.639	3.17e-11	***

---

Signif. codes:

0 \*\*\* 0.001 \*\* 0.01 \* 0.05 . 0.1 1

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 1434.9 on 1036 degrees of freedom  
Residual deviance: 1338.7 on 1029 degrees of freedom  
AIC: 1354.7

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

```
> library(glmML)
> SegalFE<-glmboot(vote~warrant+house+person+business+car+us+
  except,data=Segal,family="binomial",
  cluster=justid)
> summary(SegalFE)

Call:  glmboot(formula = vote ~ warrant + house + person + business +
  car + us + except, family = "binomial", data = Segal, cluster = justid)
```

	coef	se(coef)	z	Pr(> z )
warrant	0.599	0.228	2.63	8.7e-03
house	-1.473	0.305	-4.82	1.4e-06
person	-1.124	0.282	-3.99	6.7e-05
business	-1.837	0.326	-5.63	1.8e-08
car	-1.202	0.308	-3.90	9.6e-05
us	0.537	0.162	3.32	9.1e-04
except	1.093	0.155	7.03	2.1e-12

Residual deviance: 1050 on 1016 degrees of freedom AIC: 1090

# Random Effects

```
> SegalRE<-glmmML(vote~warrant+house+person+business+car+us+
                  except+justideo,data=Segal,family="binomial",
                  cluster=justid)
> summary(SegalRE)
```

```
Call: glmmML(formula = vote ~ warrant + house + person + business +
car + us + except + justideo, family = "binomial", data = Segal, cluster = justid)
```

	coef	se(coef)	z	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	2.016	0.565	3.57	3.6e-04
warrant	0.594	0.226	2.63	8.5e-03
house	-1.434	0.303	-4.73	2.2e-06
person	-1.104	0.280	-3.95	7.9e-05
business	-1.799	0.324	-5.56	2.7e-08
car	-1.181	0.306	-3.86	1.1e-04
us	0.531	0.160	3.31	9.3e-04
except	1.070	0.154	6.95	3.6e-12
justideo	-2.344	0.737	-3.18	1.5e-03

```
Scale parameter in mixing distribution: 0.926 gaussian
Std. Error: 0.195
```

```
LR p-value for H_0: sigma = 0: 4.63e-24
```

```
Residual deviance: 1100 on 1027 degrees of freedom AIC: 1120
```