

Immunogenicity - Tiered Approach to Assess ADA Positive Samples

Phil Bowsher

2017-05-12

Contents

1	Prerequisites	5
2	Introduction	7
2.1	Study Information	7
3	Literature	9
3.1	Case Study	9
3.2	Statistical Analysis	9
4	Methods	11
4.1	Background	11
5	Applications	13
5.1	Example one	13
5.2	Example two	13
6	Final Words	15

Chapter 1

Prerequisites

This is a *sample* book written in **Markdown**. You can use anything that Pandoc's Markdown supports, e.g., a math equation $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$.

For now, you have to install the development versions of **bookdown** from Github:

```
devtools::install_github("rstudio/bookdown")
```

Remember each Rmd file contains one and only one chapter, and a chapter is defined by the first-level heading #.

To compile this example to PDF, you need to install XeLaTeX.

Chapter 2

Introduction

2.1 Study Information

- Client name: Adello biologics, LLC (Formerly known as Therapeutic Proteins, LLC)
- Celerion Study No. CA18641

NOTE FROM BIN (1): in the experiment, subjects will undergo the following steps (in order):

- **ADA screening test.** If the screening result is *NEGATIVE*, then the result will be recorded *NEGATIVE*, otherwise screening *POSITIVE* and continue
- **ADA confirmatory test.** If the result is *NEGATIVE*, then the subject will have a confirmatory *NEGATIVE* result, otherwise a *POSITIVE* result with a “titer” value recorded and continue
- **ADA neutralizing test.** If the result is *NEGATIVE*, then the subject will have a neutralizing *NEGATIVE* result, otherwise *POSITIVE* with a “titer” value recorded and there will be no more test.

NOTE FROM BIN (2): The cut-off value to determine whether a subject has *POSITIVE* or *NEGATIVE* ADA result may be different (per assay). Therefore, when (if needed) analyzing titer results, there should be a batch effect.

```
par(mar = c(4, 4, .1, .1))
plot(pressure, type = 'b', pch = 19)
```

Reference a figure by its code chunk label with the `fig:` prefix, e.g., see Figure 2.1. Similarly, you can reference tables generated from `knitr::kable()`, e.g., see Table 2.1.

```
knitr::kable(
  head(iris, 20), caption = 'Here is a nice table!',
  booktabs = TRUE
)
```

You can write citations, too. For example, we are using the **bookdown** package (?) in this sample book, which was built on top of R Markdown and **knitr** (?).



Figure 2.1: Here is a nice figure!

Table 2.1: Here is a nice table!

Sepal.Length	Sepal.Width	Petal.Length	Petal.Width	Species
5.1	3.5	1.4	0.2	setosa
4.9	3.0	1.4	0.2	setosa
4.7	3.2	1.3	0.2	setosa
4.6	3.1	1.5	0.2	setosa
5.0	3.6	1.4	0.2	setosa
5.4	3.9	1.7	0.4	setosa
4.6	3.4	1.4	0.3	setosa
5.0	3.4	1.5	0.2	setosa
4.4	2.9	1.4	0.2	setosa
4.9	3.1	1.5	0.1	setosa
5.4	3.7	1.5	0.2	setosa
4.8	3.4	1.6	0.2	setosa
4.8	3.0	1.4	0.1	setosa
4.3	3.0	1.1	0.1	setosa
5.8	4.0	1.2	0.2	setosa
5.7	4.4	1.5	0.4	setosa
5.4	3.9	1.3	0.4	setosa
5.1	3.5	1.4	0.3	setosa
5.7	3.8	1.7	0.3	setosa
5.1	3.8	1.5	0.3	setosa

Chapter 3

Literature

3.1 Case Study

http://bcn2016.europeanbioanalysisforum.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/D2J4-4-Viswanath-Devanarayan_Abbvie.pdf

https://zerista.s3.amazonaws.com/item_files/f4b3/attachments/39608/original/aaps_nbc_2015_hendricks.pdf

The goal of this study is to compare immunogenicity of Theragrastim[®] (new drug) and Neupogen[®] (reference drug) after multiple subcutaneous (SC) administrations in healthy subjects. ADA levels for Theragrastim[®] and Neupogen[®] will be estimated and compared to evaluate potential difference between the two products in the incidence of human immune responses.

This is a one center, single-blind, randomized, parallel, multiple-dose, safety and immunogenicity study. A total number of one hundred thirty four (134) healthy adult male and female subjects will be enrolled and randomized to 1 of 2 treatments (67 subjects per treatment).

The sample size is chosen based on a target of 61 subjects per arm as calculated, to which 6 subjects (~10%) were added to each arm to account for potential dropouts. With 61 subjects per arm, the trial can show, with 80% power, that the upper bound of the one-sided 95% confidence interval of the difference in ADA+ rates between the two products is below (or above) the non-inferiority margin (10%)

The power calculation for sample size is based on the following assumptions:

- The ADA+ rate of Neupogen[®] is 3.3%
- The ADA+ rate of Theragrastim[®] is 3.3%
- The mean ADA+ rate difference (δ) between the two products is zero;
- The NI margin (δ_0) is 10%.

The power calculation is based on exact method (?) using δ -projected Z -statistic (i.e., the score statistic) with REML estimation procedure (?).

3.2 Statistical Analysis

The rate (or proportion) of subjects that have ADA+ in confirmatory test and neutralizing test (if needed) will be compared between Theragrastim[®] and Neupogen[®] treatments to determine if any differences are statistically meaningful.

Chapter 4

Methods

4.1 Background

Therapeutic proteins (sometimes also called biologics, biopharmaceuticals, biological products, or biological medicinal products) and peptides have the potential to induce immunogenicity. The consequences of product immunogenicity vary from no evidence of clinical effect to severe, life-threatening responses. Anti-drug antibodies (ADA) have been implicated in infusion reactions and anaphylaxis as well as immune complex-mediated disease. ADA have also caused secondary treatment failures (loss of efficacy) and, in rare occasions, more serious thrombocytopenia and pure red cell aplasia. Therefore, ADA are a medical concern in terms of safety and long-term efficacy of the drug and it is critical to evaluate their development in all patients during clinical studies, not just in a symptom-driven manner. With a goal of guiding medical practice, the elucidation of ADA responses and their characteristics relative to clinical consequences is vital (?).

ADA comprises neutralizing and non-neutralizing ADA. Other terms that have been used for ADA include anti-therapeutic antibody (ATA), anti-product antibody (APA), or anti-biologic antibody (ABA).

- Neutralizing ADA (NAb): ADA that inhibits or reduces the pharmacological activity of the biologic drug molecule, as determined by an *in vitro* test or animal-based bioassay method, regardless of its *in vitro* clinical relevance (i.e., whether or not test method results relate to clinical impact in the subject).
- Non-neutralizing ADA (non-neutralizing antibody, non- NAb): ADA that binds to the biologic drug molecule but does not inhibit its pharmacological activity in an *in vitro* test or animal-based bioassay method, regardless of its *in vivo* clinical relevance (i.e., whether or not test method results relate to clinical impact in the subject).

Chapter 5

Applications

Some *significant* applications are demonstrated in this chapter.

5.0.1 Statistical method

The rate difference between Theragrastim[®] and Neupogen[®] will be defined as:

$$\delta = \pi_1 - \pi_2 \quad (5.1)$$

where π_1 is the ADA+ rate of Theragrastim[®] and π_2 is that of Neupogen[®].

In hypotheses testing, the research or alternative hypothesis represents what the study aims to show. The null hypothesis is the opposite of the research hypothesis and is what the investigator hopes to disprove (?). Therefore, the primary statistical hypothesis for the clinical trial will be tested using

$$H_0 : \pi_1 - \pi_2 \geq 0.10 \quad VS \quad H_1 : \pi_1 - \pi_2 < 0.1 \quad (5.2)$$

Confidence intervals (CIs) will be calculated using the Farrington-Manning method (δ -projected Z -statistic) recommended by ?.

Note that in SAS, the null in Expression (5.2) is equivalently stated as

$$H_0 : \pi_2 - \pi_1 \leq -0.10 \quad VS \quad H_1 : \pi_2 - \pi_1 > -0.1 \quad (5.3)$$

Therefore, in the SAS output, the null is rejected (i.e., Theragrastim[®] is non-inferior to Neupogen[®] in terms of ADA+ rate) if the lower bound of the one-sided 95% CI of the difference is above NI margin (-0.10).

5.1 Example one

5.2 Example two

Chapter 6

Final Words

6.0.1 R implementation

Programmer note: the above code produces results as desired only if the input data set has the same structure as the data set created by the following code. If not, please sort the test data by time treat and response as this one.

`\end{verbatim}`