• Ingredients of a linear model include an  $n \times 1$  response vector  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$  and an  $n \times p$  design matrix (e.g. including regressors)  $X = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p]$ , assumed to have been observed without error. The linear model:

$$\mathbf{y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}; \ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 I)$$

- The linear model is the most fundamental of all serious statistical models encompassing:
  - ANOVA:  $\mathbf{y}$  is continuous,  $\mathbf{x}_i$ 's are categorical
  - REGRESSION:  $\mathbf{y}$  is continuous,  $\mathbf{x}_i$ 's are continuous
  - ANCOVA: y is continuous, some x<sub>i</sub>'s are continuous, some categorical.
- Unknown parameters include the regression parameters  $\beta$  and the variance  $\sigma^2$ . We assume X is observed without error and all inference is conditional on X.

• The classical unbiased estimates of the regression parameter  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  are

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y};$$
 
$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} (\mathbf{y} - X \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T (\mathbf{y} - X \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}).$$

• The above estimate of  $\beta$  is also a least-squares estimate. The *predicted* value of **y** is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = X\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = P_X\mathbf{y}$$
 where  $P_X = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T$ .

- P<sub>X</sub> is called the *projector* of X. It projects any vector to the space spanned by the columns of X.
- The model residual is estimated as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} = (\mathbf{y} - X\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T (\mathbf{y} - X\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_X) \mathbf{y}.$$

- For Bayesian analysis, we will need to specify priors for the unknown regression parameters  $\beta$  and the variance  $\sigma^2$ .
- Consider independent flat priors on  $\beta$  and  $\log \sigma^2$ :

$$p(\beta) \propto 1; \ p(\log(\sigma^2)) \propto 1 \ \text{or equivalently} \ p(\beta, \sigma^2) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^2}.$$

- None of the above two "distributions" are valid probabilities (they do not integrate to any finite number). So why is it that we are even discussing them?
- It turns out that even if the priors are improper (that's what
  we call them), as long as the resulting posterior
  distributions are valid we can still conduct legitimate
  statistical inference on them.



• With a flat prior on  $\beta$  we obtain, after some algebra, the *conditional posterior* distribution:

$$p(\boldsymbol{\beta} \,|\, \sigma^2, \mathbf{y}) = N(\boldsymbol{\beta} \,|\, (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \mathbf{y}, \, \sigma^2 (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1}).$$

- The conditional posterior distribution of  $\beta$  would have been the desired posterior distribution had  $\sigma^2$  been known.
- Since that is not the case, we need to obtain the *marginal* posterior distribution by integrating out  $\sigma^2$  as:

$$p(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \mathbf{y}) = \int p(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \sigma^2, \mathbf{y}) p(\sigma^2 \mid \mathbf{y}) d\sigma^2$$

• Can we solve this integration using composition sampling? YES: if we can generate samples from  $p(\sigma^2 \mid \mathbf{y})!$ 

• So, we need to find the marginal posterior distribution of  $\sigma^2$ . With the choice of the flat prior we obtain:

$$p(\sigma^2 \mid \mathbf{y}) \propto \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^{(n-p)/2+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{(n-p)s^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$= IG\left(\sigma^2 \mid \frac{n-p}{2}, \frac{(n-p)s^2}{2}\right),$$

where  $s^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} \mathbf{y}^T (I - P_X) \mathbf{y}$ .

- This is known as an *inverted Gamma* distribution (also called a *scaled chi-square* distribution)  $IG(\sigma^2 \mid (n-p)/2, (n-p)s^2/2)$ .
- In other words:  $[(n-p)s^2/\sigma^2\,|\,\mathbf{y}]\sim\chi^2_{n-p}$  (with n-p degrees of freedom). A striking similarity with the classical result: The distribution of  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is also characterized as  $(n-p)s^2/\sigma^2$  following a chi-square distribution.

- Now we are ready to carry out composittion sampling from  $p(\beta, \sigma^2 \,|\, \mathbf{y})$  as follows:
  - Draw M samples from  $p(\sigma^2 \mid \mathbf{y})$ :

$$\sigma^{2(j)} \sim IG\left(\frac{n-p}{2}, \frac{(n-p)s^2}{2}(n-p)\right), \ j = 1, \dots M$$

• For  $j=1,\ldots,M$ , draw from  $p(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \sigma^{2(j)}, \mathbf{y})$ :

$$m{eta}^{(j)} \sim N\left( (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y}, \, \sigma^{2(j)} (X^T X)^{-1} \right)$$

- The resulting samples  $\{\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(j)}, \sigma^{2(j)}\}_{j=1}^{M}$  represent M samples from  $p(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{y})$ .
- $\{\beta^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{M}$  are samples from the marginal posterior distribution  $p(\beta \mid \mathbf{y})$ . This is a *multivariate t* density:

$$p(\pmb{\beta} \,|\, \pmb{y}) = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{(\pi(n-p))^{p/2}\Gamma((n-p)/2)|s^2(X^TX)^{-1}|} \left[1 + \frac{(\pmb{\beta} - \hat{\pmb{\beta}})^T(X^TX)(\pmb{\beta} - \hat{\pmb{\beta}})}{(n-p)s^2}\right]^{-n/2}.$$

• The marginal distribution of each individual regression parameter  $\beta_j$  is a non-central univariate  $t_{n-p}$  distribution. In fact,

$$\frac{\beta_j - \hat{\beta}_j}{s\sqrt{(X^T X)_{jj}^{-1}}} \sim t_{n-p}.$$

The 95% credible intervals for each  $\beta_j$  are constructed from the quantiles of the t-distribution. The credible intervals exactly coicide with the 95% classical confidence intervals, but the intervelation is direct: the probability of  $\beta_j$  falling in that interval, given the observed data, is 0.95.

• Note: an intercept only linear model reduces to the simple univariate  $N(\bar{y} \mid \mu, \sigma^2/n)$  likelihood, for which the marginal posterior of  $\mu$  is:

$$\frac{\mu - \bar{y}}{s/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}.$$

• Suppose we have observed the new predictors  $\tilde{X}$ , and we wish to predict the outcome  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ . We specify  $p(\tilde{\mathbf{y}},\mathbf{y}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\theta})$  to be a normal distribution:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Y} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} \end{array}\right) \sim N\left(\left[\begin{array}{c} X \\ \tilde{X} \end{array}\right]\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 \left[\begin{array}{cc} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{array}\right]\right)$$

- $\bullet \ \, \mathsf{Note} \,\, p(\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \,|\, \mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = p(\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \,|\, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = N(\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \,|\, \tilde{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 I).$
- The posterior predictive distribution:

$$p(\tilde{\mathbf{y}} | \mathbf{y}) = \int p(\tilde{\mathbf{y}} | \mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) p(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{y}) d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\sigma^2$$
$$= \int p(\tilde{\mathbf{y}} | \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) p(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{y}) d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\sigma^2.$$

- By now we are comfortable evaluating such integrals:
  - First obtain:  $(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(j)}, \sigma^{2(j)}) \sim p(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{y}), j = 1, \dots, M$
  - Next draw:  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{(j)} \sim N(\tilde{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(j)}, \sigma^{2(j)}I)$ .



- Consider the linear model with  $p(\sigma^2) = IG(\sigma^2 \, | \, a,b)$  and  $p(\beta) \propto 1$ .
- The Gibbs sampler proceeds by computing the full conditional distributions:

$$\begin{split} p(\pmb{\beta} \,|\, \mathbf{y}, \sigma^2) &= N(\pmb{\beta} \,|\, (X^TX)^{-1}X^T\mathbf{y}, \sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1}) \\ p(\sigma^2 \,|\, \mathbf{y}, \pmb{\beta}) &= IG\left(\sigma^2 \,|\, a + n/2, b + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - X\pmb{\beta})^T(\mathbf{y} - X\pmb{\beta})\right). \end{split}$$

- Thus, the Gibbs sampler will initialize  $(\beta^{(0)}, \sigma^{2(0)})$  and draw, for  $j = 1, \dots, M$ :
  - Draw  $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(j)} \sim N((X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y}, \sigma^{2(j-1)} (X^T X)^{-1})$
  - Draw  $\sigma^{2(j)} \sim IG\left(a+n/2,b+\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}-X\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(j)})^T(\mathbf{y}-X\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(j)})\right)$

- Example: For the linear model, our parameters are  $(\beta, \sigma^2)$ . We write  $\theta = (\beta, \log(\sigma^2))$  and, at the j-th iteration, propose  $\theta^* \sim N(\theta^{(j-1)}, \Sigma)$ . The log transformation on  $\sigma^2$  ensures that all components of  $\theta$  have support on the entire real line and can have meaningful proposed values from the multivariate normal. But we need to transform our prior to  $p(\beta, \log(\sigma^2))$ .
- Let  $z = \log(\sigma^2)$  and assume  $p(\beta, z) = p(\beta)p(z)$ . Let us derive p(z). REMEMBER: we need to adjust for the jacobian. Then  $p(z) = p(\sigma^2)|d\sigma^2/dz| = p(e^z)e^z$ . The jacobian here is  $e^z = \sigma^2$ .
- Let  $p(\beta)=1$  and an  $p(\sigma^2)=IG(\sigma^2\mid a,b).$  Then log-posterior is:

$$-(a+n/2+1)z+z-\frac{1}{e^{z}}\{b+\frac{1}{2}(Y-X\beta)^{T}(Y-X\beta)\}.$$

- A symmetric proposal distribution, say  $q(\theta^*|\theta^{(j-1)}, \Sigma) = N(\theta^{(j-1)}, \Sigma)$ , cancels out in r. In practice it is better to compute  $\log(r)$ :  $\log(r) = \log(p(\theta^*|\mathbf{y}) \log(p(\theta^{(j-1)}|\mathbf{y}))$ . For the proposal,  $N(\theta^{(j-1)}, \Sigma)$ ,  $\Sigma$  is a  $d \times d$  variance-covariance matrix, and  $d = \dim(\theta) = p + 1$ .
- $\bullet \quad \text{If } \log r \geq 0 \text{ then set } \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^*. \text{ If } \log r \leq 0 \text{ then draw } U \sim (0,1). \text{ If } U \leq r \text{ (or } \log U \leq \log r) \text{ then } \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^*. \text{ Otherwise, } \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j-1)}.$
- lacktriangled Repeat the above procedure for  $j=1,\ldots M$  to obtain samples  $m{ heta}^{(1)},\ldots,m{ heta}^{(M)}.$

