

Figure 9.7: Projecting A onto the subspace defined by a set of two model vectors, B and C. The model triangle is shaded.

 $R^2$  for models has a similar interpretation. Consider the model A  $\sim$  B+C. Since there are two explanatory vectors involved, there is an ambiguity: which is the angle to consider: the angle A to B or the angle A to C?

Figure 9.7 shows the situation. Since there are two explanatory vectors, the response is projected down onto the space that holds both of them, the **model subspace**. There is still a vector of fitted model values and a residual vector. The three vectors taken together — response variable, fitted model values, and residual — form a right triangle. The angle between the response variable and the fitted model values is the one of interest. R is the cosine of that angle.

Because the vectors B and C could be oriented in any direction relative to one another, there's no sense in worrying about whether the angle is acute (less than  $90^{\circ}$ ) or obtuse. For this reason,  $R^2$  is used — there's no meaning in saying that R is negative.

## 9.6 Computational Technique

The coefficient of determination,  $R^2$ , compares the variation in the response variable to the variation in the fitted model value. It can be calculated as a ratio of variances:



```
> swim = fetchData("swim100m.csv")
> mod = lm(time ~ year + sex, data=swim)
> with(swim, var(fitted(mod)) / var(time))
[1] 0.844
```

The **regression report** is a standard way of summarizing models. Such a report is produced by most statistical software packages and used in many fields. The

first part of the table contains the coefficients — labeled "Estimate" — along with other information that will be introduced starting in Chapter 12. The  $\mathbb{R}^2$  statistic is a standard part of the report; look at the second line from the bottom.

```
> summary(mod)
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                       33.7999
                                 16.44 < 2e-16 ***
(Intercept) 555.7168
            -0.2515
                        0.0173
                               -14.52 < 2e-16 ***
            -9.7980
                                 -9.67 8.8e-14 ***
                        1.0129
sexM
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
Residual standard error: 3.98 on 59 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.844,
                                 Adjusted R-squared: 0.839
F-statistic: 160 on 2 and 59 DF, p-value: <2e-16
```

Occasionally, you may be interested in the correlation coefficient r between two quantities. You can, of course, compute r by fitting a model, finding  $R^2$ , and taking a square root.

```
> mod2 = lm(time ~ year, data=swim)
> summary(mod2)
```

The summary report (not shown here — you can do the calculation yourself!) gives  $R^2 = 0.5965$ . This corresponds to r of

```
> sqrt(0.5965)
[1] 0.772
```

The cor() function computes this directly:

```
> with(swim, cor(time, year))
[1] -0.772
```

Note that the negative sign on r indicates that record swim time decreases as year increases. This information about the direction of change is contained in the sign of the coefficient from the model. The magnitude of the coefficient tells how fast the time is changing (with units of seconds per year). The correlation coefficient (like  $R^2$ ) is without units.

Keep in mind that the correlation coefficient r summarizes only the simple linear model A  $\sim$  B where B is quantitative. But the coefficient of determination,  $R^2$ , summarizes any model; it is much more useful. If you want to see the direction of change, look at the sign of the coefficient.

## **Reading Questions**

 $\bullet$  How does  $\mathbb{R}^2$  summarize the extent to which a model has captured variability?