# Lab 9

### Wiktor Soral

### December 5th 2017

# **Bayes Factor**

Posterior = likelihood x prior...

$$P(\theta|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|\theta) \times P(\theta)}{P(\mathcal{D})}$$

... given our model is true

$$P(\theta|\mathcal{D},\mathcal{M}) = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|\theta,\mathcal{M}) \times P(\theta|\mathcal{M})}{P(\mathcal{D}|\mathcal{M})}$$

where  $\mathcal{M} = \text{model}$  used to describe data. We implicitly assume that our model is true, and thus usually don't include  $\mathcal{M}$  in equations.

## Bayesian model comparison

Different models result in different posterior distributions

$$P(\theta_1|\mathcal{D}, m_1) = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|\theta_1, m_1) \times P(\theta_1|m_1)}{P(\mathcal{D}|m_1)}$$

versus

$$P(\theta_2|\mathcal{D}, m_2) = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|\theta_2, m_2) \times P(\theta_2|m_2)}{P(\mathcal{D}|m_2)}$$

#### Posterior for a model

We can use Bayes theorem to compute posterior for the model - probability that the model is true given the data.

$$P(m_1|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|m_1) \times P(m_1)}{P(\mathcal{D})}$$

and

$$P(m_2|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|m_2) \times P(m_2)}{P(\mathcal{D})}$$

# **Explicit** comparision

With 2 models we can explicitly compare them by using odds of posterior distributions.

$$\frac{P(m_1|\mathcal{D})}{P(m_2|\mathcal{D})} = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|m_1)}{P(\mathcal{D}|m_2)} \times \frac{P(m_1)}{P(m_2)}$$

posterior odds = ???? x prior odds

# **Bayes Factor**

$$\frac{P(m_1|\mathcal{D})}{P(m_2|\mathcal{D})} = Bayes \ Factor \times \frac{P(m_1)}{P(m_2)}$$

Bayes Factor denotes how much our belief in model 1 in comparison to model 2 increases, by seeing the data. It can be described how much more we favor one model over another.

### **Interpreting Bayes Factor**

Provided by Jeffreys:

value	strength of evidence for model 1 over model 2 $$
less than 1	negative (supports model 2)
1  to  3.16	barely worth mentioning
3.16  to  10	substantial
10 to 31.62	$\operatorname{strong}$
31.62  to  100	very strong
more than 100	decisive
	v e

## **Interpreting Bayes Factor**

Provided by Kass and Raftery:

value	strength of evidence for model 1 over model 2 $$
less than 1	negative (supports model 2)
1 to 3	not worth more than a bare mention
3 to 20	positive
20 to 150	$\operatorname{strong}$
more than 150	very strong

### Calculating Bayes Factor

Computing Bayes Factor is as simple as dividing denominators of Bayes theorem from both models.

$$Bayes\ Factor = \frac{\int P(\mathcal{D}|\theta, m_1) \times P(\theta|m_1)}{\int P(\mathcal{D}|\theta, m_2) \times P(\theta|m_2)}$$

Obviously, this is just a joke! Dividing two unknown integrals can be very hard - worse yet MCMC is not very helpful in this case. However there are some approaches to approximate Bayes Factor.

### Pros and cons of Bayes Factor

Pros:

- it is quite intuitive and easy to interpret
- we can measure a strength of evidence for null hypothesis

Cons:

• we have to be very carefull with priors we use - it is SUPERSENSITIVE to our prior assumptions

there are no noninformative pri-	there are no noninformative prior distributions					