Supplemental Course Readings

Jake Bowers, Ben Hansen & Tom Leavitt

August 4, 2016

1 Statistical Inference

1.1 Unbiasedness

• Gerber and Green (2012, Section 2.4)

1.2 Standard Errors

- Gerber and Green (2012, Chapter 3)
- Dunning (2012, Chapter 6)
- Freedman et al. (2007, Chapter 33, footnote 11)
- Lin et al. (2013)
- Aronow et al. (2014)

1.3 Covariance Adjustment

- Gerber and Green (2012, Chapter 4)
- Rosenbaum (2002a)

1.4 Weighting

Harmonic Mean Weighting

- Hansen (2011, Section 9.3.3)
- Hansen and Bowers (2008, Section 2.1)

Block-Size Weights

• Gerber and Green (2012, Section 3.6.1)

ATT Weighting

• http://egap.org/methods-guides/10-types-treatment-effect-you-should-know-about

1.5 Logistic Regression

• Freedman (2008)

2 Fisherian Inference

2.1 Fisherian Inference with Binary Outcomes

Attributable Effects

- Rosenbaum (2002b, Section 5.5)
- Rosenbaum (2010, Section 2.5)
- Rosenbaum (2001)
- Hansen and Bowers (2009)

2.2 Fisherian Inference with Uncommon but Dramatic Responses to Treatment

Stephenson's Rank Test

- Stephenson (1981)
- Rosenbaum (2010, Chapter 16)
- Rosenbaum (2007)

3 Difference-in-Differences

- Gerber and Green (2012, Section 4.1)
- Angrist and Pischke (2008, Section 5.2)
- Angrist and Pischke (2014, Chapter 5)

4 Regression Discontinuity Designs

- Lee (2008)
- Caughey and Sekhon (2011)
- Cattaneo et al. (2015)
- Sales and Hansen (2014)

5 Sensitivity Analysis

5.1 Rosenbaum-Style Sensitivity Analysis

- Rosenbaum (2010, Chapter 3)
- Rosenbaum and Silber (2009)

SIUP

- Rosenbaum (2008)
- Hansen and Sales (2015)

5.2 Sensitivity Analysis for Statistical Inference

- Hosman et al. (2010)
- Imbens (2003)

References

- Angrist, J. D. and J.-S. Pischke (2008). *Mostly Harmless Econometrics: An Empiricist's Companion*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton university press. 3
- Angrist, J. D. and J.-S. Pischke (2014). *Mastering 'Metrics: The Path from Cause to Effect*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. 3
- Aronow, P. M., D. P. Green, D. K. Lee, et al. (2014). Sharp bounds on the variance in randomized experiments. The Annals of Statistics 42(3), 850–871. 2
- Cattaneo, M. D., B. R. Frandsen, and R. Titiunik (2015). Randomization inference in the regression discontinuity design: An application to party advantages in the us senate. *Journal of Causal Inference* 3(1), 1–24. 4
- Caughey, D. and J. S. Sekhon (2011). Elections and the regression discontinuity design: Lessons from close us house races, 1942–2008. *Political Analysis* 19(4), 385–408. 4
- Dunning, T. (2012). Natural Experiments in the Social Sciences: A Design-Based Approach. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press. 2
- Freedman, D., R. Pisani, and R. Purves (2007). *Statistics* (4th ed.). New York, NY: W. W. Norton & Company. 2
- Freedman, D. A. (2008). Randomization does not justify logistic regression. *Statistical Science* 23(2), 237–249. 3
- Gerber, A. S. and D. P. Green (2012). Field Experiments: Design, Analysis, and Interpretation. New York, NY: W.W. Norton. 2, 3
- Hansen, B. B. (2011). Propensity score matching to extract latent experiments from nonexperimental data: A case study. In N. J. Dorans and S. Sinharay (Eds.), *Looking Back: Proceedings of a Conference in Honor of Paul W. Holland*, Volume 202 of *Lecture Notes in Statistics*, Chapter 9, pp. 149–181. New York, NY: Springer. 2
- Hansen, B. B. and J. Bowers (2008). Covariate balance in simple, stratified and clustered comparative studies. *Statistical Science*, 219–236. 2
- Hansen, B. B. and J. Bowers (2009). Attributing effects to a cluster-randomized get-out-the-vote campaign. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 104 (487), 873–885. 3
- Hansen, B. B. and A. Sales (2015). Comment on cochran's "observational studies". *Observational Studies*, 184–193. 4
- Hosman, C. A., B. B. Hansen, and P. W. Holland (2010). The sensitivity of linear regression coefficients' confidence limits to the omission of a confounder. *The Annals of Applied Statistics* 4(2), 849–870. 4
- Imbens, G. W. (2003). Sensitivity to exogeneity assumptions in program evaluation. *The American Economic Review* 93(2), 126–132. 4

- Lee, D. S. (2008). Randomized experiments from non-random selection in us house elections. Journal of Econometrics 142(2), 675–697. 4
- Lin, W. et al. (2013). Agnostic notes on regression adjustments to experimental data: Reexamining freedman's critique. The Annals of Applied Statistics 7(1), 295–318. 2
- Rosenbaum, P. (2010). Design of Observational Studies. New York, NY: Springer. 3, 4
- Rosenbaum, P. R. (2001). Effects attributable to treatment: Inference in experiments and observational studies with a discrete pivot. *Biometrika* 88(1), 219–231. 3
- Rosenbaum, P. R. (2002a). Covariance adjustment in randomized experiments and observational studies. *Statistical Science* 17(3), 286–327. 2
- Rosenbaum, P. R. (2002b). Observational Studies (Second ed.). New York, NY: Springer. 3
- Rosenbaum, P. R. (2007). Confidence intervals for uncommon but dramatic responses to treatment. Biometrics 63(4), 1164–1171. 3
- Rosenbaum, P. R. (2008). Testing hypotheses in order. Biometrika. 4
- Rosenbaum, P. R. and J. H. Silber (2009). Amplification of sensitivity analysis in matched observational studies. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 104 (488), 1398–1405. 4
- Sales, A. and B. B. Hansen (2014). Limitless regression discontinuity. arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.5478. 4
- Stephenson, W. R. (1981). A general class of one-sample nonparametric test statistics based on subsamples. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 76 (376), 960–966. 3