# Lecture 7: High-Dimensional Linear Regression

Francis J. DiTraglia

April 6, 2014

## 1 Review of Matrix Decompositions

#### 1.1 The QR Decomposition

Any  $n \times k$  matrix A with full column rank can be decomposed as A = QR, where R is an  $k \times k$  upper triangular matrix and Q is an  $n \times k$  matrix with orthonormal columns. The columns of A are orthogonalized in Q via the Gram-Schmidt process. Since Q has orthogonal columns, we have  $Q'Q = I_k$ . It is not in general true that QQ' = I, however. In the special case where A is square,  $Q^{-1} = Q'$ .

**Note:** The way we have defined things here is here is sometimes called the "thin" or "economical" form of the QR decomposition, e.g.  $qr_econ$  in Armadillo. In our "thin" version, Q is an  $n \times k$  matrix with orthogonal columns. In the "thick" version, Q is an  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrix. Let A = QR be the "thick" version and  $A = Q_1R_1$  be the "thin" version. The connection between the two is as follows:

$$A = QR = Q \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = Q_1R_1$$

Least-Squares via the QR Decomposition We can calculate the least squares estimator of  $\beta$  as follows

$$\widehat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = [(QR)'(QR)]^{-1} (QR)'y$$

$$= [R'Q'QR]^{-1} R'Q'y = (R'R)^{-1}R'Qy$$

$$= R^{-1}(R')^{-1}R'Qy = R^{-1}Qy$$

In other words,  $\widehat{\beta}$  is the solution to  $R\beta=Qy$ . While it may not be immediately apparent, this is a much easier system to solve that the normal equations  $(X'X)\beta=X'y$ . Because R is upper triangular we can solve  $R\beta=Qy$  extremely quickly. The product Qy is simply a vector, call it v, so the system is simply

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & \cdots & r_{1,n-1} & r_{1k} \\ 0 & r_{22} & r_{23} & \cdots & r_{2,n-1} & r_{2k} \\ 0 & 0 & r_{33} & \cdots & r_{3,n-1} & r_{3k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_{k-1,k-1} & r_{k-1,k} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & r_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{k-1} \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ \vdots \\ v_{k-1} \\ v_k \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence,  $\beta_k = v_k/r_k$  which we can substitute into  $\beta_{k-1}r_{k-1,k-1} + \beta_k r_{k-1,k} = v_{k-1}$  to solve for  $\beta_{k-1}$ , and so on. This is called **back substitution**. We can use the same idea when a matrix is *lower triangular* only in reverse: this is called **forward substitution**.

To calculate the variance matrix  $\sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}$  for the least-squares estimator, simply note from the derivation above that  $(X'X)^{-1} = R^{-1}(R^{-1})'$ . Inverting R, however, is easy: we simply apply back-substitution repeatedly. Let A be the inverse of R,  $\mathbf{a}_j$  be the jth column of A, and  $\mathbf{e}_j$  be the jth element of the  $k \times k$  identity matrix, i.e. the jth standard basis vector. Inverting R is equivalent to solving  $R\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1$ , followed by  $R\mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{e}_2$ , and so on all the way up to  $R\mathbf{a}_k = \mathbf{e}_k$ . In Armadillo, if you enclose a matrix in trimatu() or

trimatl(), and then request the inverse, the library will carry out backward or forward substitution, respectively.

Othogonal Projection Matrices and the QR Decomposition Consider a projection matrix  $P_X = X(X'X)^{-1}X'$ . Provided that X has full column rank, we have begin

$$P_X = QR(R'R)^{-1}R'Q' = QRR^{-1}(R')^{-1}R'Q' = QQ'$$

Recall that, in general, it is *not* true that QQ' = I even though Q'Q = I. It's important to keep this in mind when using the QR decomposition for more complicated matrix calculations, such as linear GMM.

#### 1.2 The Singular Value Decomposition

The Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) is probably the most elegant result in linear algebra. It's also an invaluable computational and theoretical tool in statistics and econometrics. I can only give a brief overview here, but I'd encourage you to learn more when you have time. Some excellent references are Strang (1993) and Kalman (2002).

## 2 Gauss-Markov, meet James-Stein

Consider the linear regression model  $\mathbf{y} = X\beta + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  In Econ 705 you learned that ordinary least squares (OLS) is the minimum variance unbiased linear estimator of  $\beta$  under the assumptions  $E[\epsilon|X] = \mathbf{0}$  and  $Var(\epsilon|X) = \sigma^2 I$ . When the second assumption fails, you learned that generalized least squares (GLS) provides a lower variance estimator than OLS. All of this is fine, as far as it goes, but there's an obvious objection: why are we restricting ourselves to unbiased estimators? Generically, we know that there is a bias-variance tradeoff. So what happens if we allow ourselves to consider biased estimators? Does some form of the Gauss-Markov Theorem still hold?

## A Fundamental Decomposition