## Econ 722 - Advanced Econometrics IV, Part II

Francis J. DiTraglia

University of Pennsylvania

# Lecture #1 – AIC-type Information Criteria

Kullback-Leibler Divergence

Bias of Maximized Sample Log-Likelihood

Review of Asymptotics for Mis-specified MLE

Deriving AIC and TIC

Corrected AIC (AIC<sub>c</sub>)

# Kullback-Leibler (KL) Divergence

#### Motivation

How well does a given density f(y) approximate an unknown true density g(y)? Use this to select between parametric models.

### Definition

$$\mathsf{KL}(g;f) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_G\left[\log\left\{\frac{g(Y)}{f(Y)}\right\}\right]}_{\mathsf{True\ density\ on\ top}} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_G\left[\log g(Y)\right]}_{\mathsf{Depends\ only\ on\ truth}} - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_G\left[\log f(Y)\right]}_{\mathsf{Expected\ log-likelihood}}$$

### **Properties**

- Not symmetric:  $KL(g; f) \neq KL(f; g)$
- ▶ By Jensen's Inequality:  $KL(g; f) \ge 0$  (strict iff g = f a.e.)

# KL Divergence and Mis-specified MLE

### Pseudo-true Parameter Value $\theta_0$

$$\widehat{\theta}_{\mathit{MLE}} \overset{p}{\to} \theta_0 \equiv \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathsf{KL}(g; f_\theta) = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_G[\log f(Y|\theta)]$$

What if  $f_{\theta}$  is correctly specified?

If  $g = f_{\theta}$  for some  $\theta$  then  $KL(g; f_{\theta})$  is minimized at zero.

Goal: Compare Mis-specified Models

$$\mathbb{E}_G [\log f(Y|\theta_0)]$$
 versus  $\mathbb{E}_G [\log h(Y|\gamma_0)]$ 

where  $\theta_0$  is the pseudo-true parameter value for  $f_\theta$  and  $\gamma_0$  is the pseudo-true parameter value for  $h_\gamma$ .

# How to Estimate Expected Log Likelihood?

For simplicity:  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \sim \text{ iid } g(y)$ 

#### Unbiased but Infeasible

$$\mathbb{E}_{G}\left[\frac{1}{T}\ell(\theta_{0})\right] = \mathbb{E}_{G}\left[\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}f(Y_{t}|\theta_{0})\right] = \mathbb{E}_{G}\left[f(Y|\theta_{0})\right]$$

#### Biased but Feasible

 $T^{-1}\ell(\widehat{\theta}_{MLE})$  is a biased estimator of  $\mathbb{E}_G[\log f(Y|\theta_0)]$ .

#### Intuition for the Bias

 $T^{-1}\ell(\widehat{\theta}_{MLE}) > T^{-1}\ell(\theta_0)$  unless  $\widehat{\theta}_{MLE} = \theta_0$ . Maximized sample log-like. is an overly optimistic estimator of expected log-like.

## What to do about this bias?

- General-purpose asymptotic approximation of "degree of over-optimism" of maximized sample log-likelihood.
  - Takeuchi's Information Criterion (TIC)
  - Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC)
- 2. Problem-specific finite sample approach, assuming  $g \in f_{\theta}$ .
  - ► Corrected AIC (AIC<sub>c</sub>) of Hurvich and Tsai (1989)

#### **Tradeoffs**

TIC is most general and makes weakest assumptions, but requires very large T to work well. AIC is a good approximation to TIC that requires less data. Both AIC and TIC perform poorly when T is small relative to the number of parameters, hence AIC<sub>C</sub>.

# Recall: Asymptotics for Mis-specified ML Estimation

Model  $f(y|\theta)$ , pseudo-true parameter  $\theta_0$ . For simplicity  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_T \sim \text{ iid } g(y)$ .

### Fundamental Expansion

$$\sqrt{T}(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0) = J^{-1}\left(\sqrt{T}\,\overline{U}_T\right) + o_p(1)$$

$$J = -\mathbb{E}_G \left[ \frac{\partial \log f(Y|\theta_0)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right], \quad \bar{U}_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{I} \frac{\partial \log f(Y_t)}{\partial \theta}$$

#### Central Limit Theorem

$$\sqrt{T}\bar{U}_T \to_d U \sim N_p(0, K), \quad K = \operatorname{Var}_G \left[ \frac{\partial \log f(Y|\theta_0)}{\partial \theta} \right]$$

$$\sqrt{T}(\widehat{\theta}-\theta_0) 
ightarrow_d J^{-1}U \sim N_p(0,J^{-1}KJ^{-1})$$

### Information Matrix Equality

If 
$$g = f_{\theta}$$
 for some  $\theta \in \Theta$  then  $K = J \implies \mathsf{AVAR}(\widehat{\theta}) = J^{-1}$ 

# Bias Relative to Infeasible Plug-in Estimator

#### Definition of Bias Term B

$$B = \underbrace{\frac{1}{T}\ell(\widehat{\theta})}_{\text{feasible overly-optimistic}} - \underbrace{\int g(y)\log f(y|\widehat{\theta}) \ dy}_{\text{uses data only once infeas. not overly-optimistic}}$$

### Question to Answer

On average, over the sampling distribution of  $\widehat{\theta}$ , how large is B? AIC and TIC construct an asymptotic approximation of  $\mathbb{E}[B]$ .

# Derivation of AIC/TIC

## Step 1: Taylor Expansion

$$B = \bar{Z}_T + (\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0) + (\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0)'J(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0) + o_p(T^{-1})$$
$$\bar{Z}_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \{\log f(Y_t|\theta_0) - \mathbb{E}_G[\log f(Y|\theta_0)]\}$$

Step 2: 
$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{Z}_T] = 0$$

$$\mathbb{E}[B] \approx \mathbb{E}\left[ (\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0)' J(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0) \right]$$

Step 3: 
$$\sqrt{T}(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d J^{-1}U$$

$$T(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0)'J(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d U'J^{-1}U$$

# Derivation of AIC/TIC Continued...

Step 3: 
$$\sqrt{T}(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0) \to_d J^{-1}U$$

$$T(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0)'J(\widehat{\theta} - \theta_0) \to_d U'J^{-1}U$$

Step 4: 
$$U \sim N_p(0, K)$$
 
$$\mathbb{E}[B] \approx \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}[U'J^{-1}U] = \operatorname{tr}\left\{J^{-1}K\right\}$$

#### Final Result:

 $T^{-1} \mathrm{tr} \left\{ J^{-1} K \right\}$  is an asymp. unbiased estimator of the over-optimism of  $T^{-1} \ell(\widehat{\theta})$  relative to  $\int g(y) \log f(y|\widehat{\theta}) \ dy$ .

### TIC and AIC

#### Takeuchi's Information Criterion

Multiply by 
$$2T$$
, estimate  $J, K \Rightarrow \mathsf{TIC} = 2\left[\ell(\widehat{\theta}) - \mathsf{tr}\left\{\widehat{J}^{-1}\widehat{K}\right\}\right]$ 

#### Akaike's Information Criterion

If 
$$g=f_{ heta}$$
 then  $J^{-1}=K\Rightarrow \operatorname{tr}\left\{J^{-1}K
ight\}=
ho\Rightarrow \operatorname{\mathsf{AIC}}=2\left[\ell(\widehat{ heta})-
ho
ight]$ 

### Contrasting AIC and TIC

Technically, AIC requires that all models under consideration are at least correctly specified while TIC doesn't. But  $J^{-1}K$  is hard to estimate, and if a model is badly mis-specified,  $\ell(\widehat{\theta})$  dominates.

# Corrected AIC (AIC<sub>c</sub>) – Hurvich & Tsai (1989)

### Idea Behind AIC

Asymptotic approximation used for AIC/TIC works poorly if p is too large relative to T. Try exact, finite-sample approach instead.

Assumption: True DGP

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{I}_T)$$

Can Show That

$$\mathit{KL}(g,f) = rac{T}{2} \left[ rac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} - \log \left( rac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} 
ight) - 1 
ight] + (eta_0 - eta_1)' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} (eta_0 - eta_1)$$

Where f is a normal regression model with parameters  $(\beta_1, \sigma_1^2)$  that might not be the true parameters.

### But how can we use this?

$$\mathit{KL}(g,f) = rac{T}{2} \left[ rac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} - \log \left( rac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} 
ight) - 1 
ight] + (eta_0 - eta_1)' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} (eta_0 - eta_1)$$

- 1. Would need to know  $(\beta_1, \sigma_1^2)$  for candidate model.
  - ▶ Easy: just use MLE  $(\widehat{\beta}_1, \widehat{\sigma}_1^2)$
- 2. Would need to know  $(\beta_0, \sigma_0^2)$  for true model.
  - ▶ Very hard! The whole problem is that we don't know these!

## Hurvich & Tsai (1989) Assume:

- Every candidate model is at least correctly specified
- ▶ Implies any candidate estimator  $(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\sigma}^2)$  is consistent for truth.

## Deriving the Corrected AIC

Since  $(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\sigma}^2)$  are random, look at expectation of estimated KL:

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\mathit{KL}}] = \frac{T}{2} \left\{ \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\widehat{\sigma}^2}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\log\left(\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right)\right] - 1 \right\} + \mathbb{E}\left[(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)\right]$$

Finite-sample theory for correctly spec. normal regression model:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{\mathit{KL}}\right] = \frac{T}{2} \left\{ \frac{T+k}{T-k-2} - \log(\sigma_0^2) \right\}$$