

Econ 722 – Advanced Econometrics IV, Part II

Francis J. DiTraglia

University of Pennsylvania

Lecture #1 – AIC-type Information Criteria

Kullback-Leibler Divergence

Bias of Maximized Sample Log-Likelihood

Review of Asymptotics for Mis-specified MLE

Deriving AIC and TIC

Corrected AIC (AIC_c)

Kullback-Leibler (KL) Divergence

Motivation

How well does a given density $f(y)$ approximate an unknown true density $g(y)$? Use this to select between parametric models.

Kullback-Leibler (KL) Divergence

Motivation

How well does a given density $f(y)$ approximate an unknown true density $g(y)$? Use this to select between parametric models.

Definition

$$\text{KL}(g; f) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_G \left[\log \left\{ \frac{g(Y)}{f(Y)} \right\} \right]}_{\text{True density on top}} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_G [\log g(Y)]}_{\substack{\text{Depends only on truth} \\ \text{Fixed across models}}} - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_G [\log f(Y)]}_{\substack{\text{Expected} \\ \text{log-likelihood}}}$$

Kullback-Leibler (KL) Divergence

Motivation

How well does a given density $f(y)$ approximate an unknown true density $g(y)$? Use this to select between parametric models.

Definition

$$\text{KL}(g; f) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_G \left[\log \left\{ \frac{g(Y)}{f(Y)} \right\} \right]}_{\text{True density on top}} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_G [\log g(Y)]}_{\substack{\text{Depends only on truth} \\ \text{Fixed across models}}} - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_G [\log f(Y)]}_{\text{Expected log-likelihood}}$$

Properties

- ▶ Not symmetric: $\text{KL}(g; f) \neq \text{KL}(f; g)$
- ▶ By Jensen's Inequality: $\text{KL}(g; f) \geq 0$ (strict iff $g = f$ a.e.)
- ▶ Minimize KL \iff Maximize Expected log-likelihood

KL Divergence and Mis-specified MLE

Pseudo-true Parameter Value θ_0

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0 \equiv \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \text{KL}(g; f_{\theta}) = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_G[\log f(Y|\theta)]$$

KL Divergence and Mis-specified MLE

Pseudo-true Parameter Value θ_0

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0 \equiv \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \text{KL}(g; f_{\theta}) = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_G[\log f(Y|\theta)]$$

What if f_{θ} is correctly specified?

If $g = f_{\theta}$ for some θ then $\text{KL}(g; f_{\theta})$ is minimized at zero.

KL Divergence and Mis-specified MLE

Pseudo-true Parameter Value θ_0

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0 \equiv \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \text{KL}(g; f_{\theta}) = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_G[\log f(Y|\theta)]$$

What if f_{θ} is correctly specified?

If $g = f_{\theta}$ for some θ then $\text{KL}(g; f_{\theta})$ is minimized at zero.

Goal: Compare Mis-specified Models

$$\mathbb{E}_G [\log f(Y|\theta_0)] \quad \text{versus} \quad \mathbb{E}_G [\log h(Y|\gamma_0)]$$

where θ_0 is the pseudo-true parameter value for f_{θ} and γ_0 is the pseudo-true parameter value for h_{γ} .

How to Estimate Expected Log Likelihood?

For simplicity: $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{iid } g(y)$

Unbiased but Infeasible

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left[\frac{1}{T} \ell(\theta_0) \right] = \mathbb{E}_G \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T f(Y_t | \theta_0) \right] = \mathbb{E}_G [f(Y | \theta_0)]$$

How to Estimate Expected Log Likelihood?

For simplicity: $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{iid } g(y)$

Unbiased but Infeasible

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left[\frac{1}{T} \ell(\theta_0) \right] = \mathbb{E}_G \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T f(Y_t | \theta_0) \right] = \mathbb{E}_G [f(Y | \theta_0)]$$

Biased but Feasible

$T^{-1} \ell(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})$ is a **biased** estimator of $\mathbb{E}_G[\log f(Y | \theta_0)]$.

How to Estimate Expected Log Likelihood?

For simplicity: $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{iid } g(y)$

Unbiased but Infeasible

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left[\frac{1}{T} \ell(\theta_0) \right] = \mathbb{E}_G \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T f(Y_t | \theta_0) \right] = \mathbb{E}_G [f(Y | \theta_0)]$$

Biased but Feasible

$T^{-1} \ell(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})$ is a **biased** estimator of $\mathbb{E}_G[\log f(Y | \theta_0)]$.

Intuition for the Bias

$T^{-1} \ell(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) > T^{-1} \ell(\theta_0)$ unless $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \theta_0$. Maximized sample log-like. is an **overly optimistic** estimator of expected log-like.

What to do about this bias?

1. General-purpose asymptotic approximation of “degree of over-optimism” of maximized sample log-likelihood.
 - ▶ Takeuchi's Information Criterion (TIC)
 - ▶ Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC)

What to do about this bias?

1. General-purpose asymptotic approximation of “degree of over-optimism” of maximized sample log-likelihood.
 - ▶ Takeuchi’s Information Criterion (TIC)
 - ▶ Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC)
2. Problem-specific finite sample approach, assuming $g \in f_\theta$.
 - ▶ Corrected AIC (AIC_c) of Hurvich and Tsai (1989)

What to do about this bias?

1. General-purpose asymptotic approximation of “degree of over-optimism” of maximized sample log-likelihood.
 - ▶ Takeuchi’s Information Criterion (TIC)
 - ▶ Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC)
2. Problem-specific finite sample approach, assuming $g \in f_\theta$.
 - ▶ Corrected AIC (AIC_c) of Hurvich and Tsai (1989)

Tradeoffs

TIC is most general and makes weakest assumptions, but requires very large T to work well. AIC is a good approximation to TIC that requires less data. Both AIC and TIC perform poorly when T is small relative to the number of parameters, hence AIC_c .

Recall: Asymptotics for Mis-specified ML Estimation

Model $f(y|\theta)$, pseudo-true parameter θ_0 . For simplicity $Y_1, \dots, Y_T \sim \text{iid } g(y)$.

Recall: Asymptotics for Mis-specified ML Estimation

Model $f(y|\theta)$, pseudo-true parameter θ_0 . For simplicity $Y_1, \dots, Y_T \sim \text{iid } g(y)$.

Fundamental Expansion

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = J^{-1} \left(\sqrt{T} \bar{U}_T \right) + o_p(1)$$

$$J = -\mathbb{E}_G \left[\frac{\partial \log f(Y|\theta_0)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right], \quad \bar{U}_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial \log f(Y_t)}{\partial \theta}$$

Recall: Asymptotics for Mis-specified ML Estimation

Model $f(y|\theta)$, pseudo-true parameter θ_0 . For simplicity $Y_1, \dots, Y_T \sim \text{iid } g(y)$.

Fundamental Expansion

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = J^{-1} \left(\sqrt{T} \bar{U}_T \right) + o_p(1)$$

$$J = -\mathbb{E}_G \left[\frac{\partial \log f(Y|\theta_0)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right], \quad \bar{U}_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial \log f(Y_t)}{\partial \theta}$$

Central Limit Theorem

$$\sqrt{T} \bar{U}_T \rightarrow_d U \sim N_p(0, K), \quad K = \text{Var}_G \left[\frac{\partial \log f(Y|\theta_0)}{\partial \theta} \right]$$

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d J^{-1} U \sim N_p(0, J^{-1} K J^{-1})$$

Recall: Asymptotics for Mis-specified ML Estimation

Model $f(y|\theta)$, pseudo-true parameter θ_0 . For simplicity $Y_1, \dots, Y_T \sim \text{iid } g(y)$.

Fundamental Expansion

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = J^{-1} \left(\sqrt{T} \bar{U}_T \right) + o_p(1)$$

$$J = -\mathbb{E}_G \left[\frac{\partial \log f(Y|\theta_0)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right], \quad \bar{U}_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial \log f(Y_t)}{\partial \theta}$$

Central Limit Theorem

$$\sqrt{T} \bar{U}_T \rightarrow_d U \sim N_p(0, K), \quad K = \text{Var}_G \left[\frac{\partial \log f(Y|\theta_0)}{\partial \theta} \right]$$

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d J^{-1} U \sim N_p(0, J^{-1} K J^{-1})$$

Information Matrix Equality

If $g = f_\theta$ for some $\theta \in \Theta$ then $K = J \implies \text{AVAR}(\hat{\theta}) = J^{-1}$

Bias Relative to Infeasible Plug-in Estimator

Definition of Bias Term B

$$B = \underbrace{\frac{1}{T} \ell(\hat{\theta})}_{\text{feasible over-optimistic}} - \underbrace{\int g(y) \log f(y|\hat{\theta}) dy}_{\text{uses data only once infeas. not over-optimistic}}$$

Bias Relative to Infeasible Plug-in Estimator

Definition of Bias Term B

$$B = \underbrace{\frac{1}{T} \ell(\hat{\theta})}_{\text{feasible overly-optimistic}} - \underbrace{\int g(y) \log f(y|\hat{\theta}) dy}_{\text{uses data only once infeas. not overly-optimistic}}$$

Question to Answer

On average, over the sampling distribution of $\hat{\theta}$, how large is B ?

AIC and TIC construct an asymptotic approximation of $\mathbb{E}[B]$.

Derivation of AIC/TIC

Step 1: Taylor Expansion

$$B = \bar{Z}_T + (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)' J(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + o_p(T^{-1})$$

$$\bar{Z}_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \{\log f(Y_t|\theta_0) - \mathbb{E}_G[\log f(Y|\theta_0)]\}$$

Derivation of AIC/TIC

Step 1: Taylor Expansion

$$B = \bar{Z}_T + (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)' J(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + o_p(T^{-1})$$

$$\bar{Z}_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \{\log f(Y_t|\theta_0) - \mathbb{E}_G[\log f(Y|\theta_0)]\}$$

Step 2: $\mathbb{E}[\bar{Z}_T] = 0$

$$\mathbb{E}[B] \approx \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)' J(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \right]$$

Derivation of AIC/TIC

Step 1: Taylor Expansion

$$B = \bar{Z}_T + (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)' J(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + o_p(T^{-1})$$

$$\bar{Z}_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \{\log f(Y_t|\theta_0) - \mathbb{E}_G[\log f(Y|\theta_0)]\}$$

Step 2: $\mathbb{E}[\bar{Z}_T] = 0$

$$\mathbb{E}[B] \approx \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)' J(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \right]$$

Step 3: $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d J^{-1}U$

$$T(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)' J(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d U' J^{-1}U$$

Derivation of AIC/TIC Continued...

$$\text{Step 3: } \sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d J^{-1}U$$

$$T(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)'J(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d U'J^{-1}U$$

Derivation of AIC/TIC Continued...

Step 3: $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d J^{-1}U$

$$T(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)'J(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d U'J^{-1}U$$

Step 4: $U \sim N_p(0, K)$

$$\mathbb{E}[B] \approx \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}[U'J^{-1}U] = \text{tr}\{J^{-1}K\}$$

Derivation of AIC/TIC Continued...

Step 3: $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d J^{-1}U$

$$T(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)'J(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d U'J^{-1}U$$

Step 4: $U \sim N_p(0, K)$

$$\mathbb{E}[B] \approx \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}[U'J^{-1}U] = \text{tr}\{J^{-1}K\}$$

Final Result:

$T^{-1}\text{tr}\{J^{-1}K\}$ is an asymp. unbiased estimator of the over-optimism of $T^{-1}\ell(\hat{\theta})$ relative to $\int g(y) \log f(y|\hat{\theta}) dy$.

TIC and AIC

Takeuchi's Information Criterion

Multiply by $2T$, estimate $J, K \Rightarrow \text{TIC} = 2 \left[\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{J}^{-1} \hat{K} \right\} \right]$

TIC and AIC

Takeuchi's Information Criterion

Multiply by $2T$, estimate $J, K \Rightarrow \text{TIC} = 2 \left[\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{J}^{-1} \hat{K} \right\} \right]$

Akaike's Information Criterion

If $g = f_{\theta}$ then $J^{-1} = K \Rightarrow \text{tr} \{ J^{-1} K \} = p \Rightarrow \text{AIC} = 2 \left[\ell(\hat{\theta}) - p \right]$

TIC and AIC

Takeuchi's Information Criterion

Multiply by $2T$, estimate $J, K \Rightarrow \text{TIC} = 2 \left[\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{J}^{-1} \hat{K} \right\} \right]$

Akaike's Information Criterion

If $g = f_{\theta}$ then $J^{-1} = K \Rightarrow \text{tr} \{ J^{-1} K \} = p \Rightarrow \text{AIC} = 2 \left[\ell(\hat{\theta}) - p \right]$

Contrasting AIC and TIC

Technically, AIC requires that all models under consideration are at least correctly specified while TIC doesn't. But $J^{-1}K$ is hard to estimate, and if a model is badly mis-specified, $\ell(\hat{\theta})$ dominates.

Corrected AIC (AIC_c) – Hurvich & Tsai (1989)

Idea Behind AIC_c

Asymptotic approximation used for AIC/TIC works poorly if p is too large relative to T . Try exact, finite-sample approach instead.

Corrected AIC (AIC_c) – Hurvich & Tsai (1989)

Idea Behind AIC_c

Asymptotic approximation used for AIC/TIC works poorly if p is too large relative to T . Try exact, finite-sample approach instead.

Assumption: True DGP

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta_0 + \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{I}_T), \quad k \text{ Regressors}$$

Corrected AIC (AIC_c) – Hurvich & Tsai (1989)

Idea Behind AIC_c

Asymptotic approximation used for AIC/TIC works poorly if p is too large relative to T . Try exact, finite-sample approach instead.

Assumption: True DGP

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta_0 + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{I}_T), \quad k \text{ Regressors}$$

Can Show That

$$KL(g, f) = \frac{T}{2} \left[\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} - \log \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) - 1 \right] + (\beta_0 - \beta_1)' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} (\beta_0 - \beta_1)$$

Where f is a normal regression model with parameters (β_1, σ_1^2) that might not be the true parameters.

But how can we use this?

$$KL(g, f) = \frac{T}{2} \left[\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} - \log \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) - 1 \right] + (\beta_0 - \beta_1)' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} (\beta_0 - \beta_1)$$

1. Would need to know (β_1, σ_1^2) for **candidate model**.
 - Easy: just use MLE $(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\sigma}_1^2)$
2. Would need to know (β_0, σ_0^2) for **true model**.
 - Very hard! The whole problem is that we don't know these!

But how can we use this?

$$KL(g, f) = \frac{T}{2} \left[\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} - \log \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) - 1 \right] + (\beta_0 - \beta_1)' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} (\beta_0 - \beta_1)$$

1. Would need to know (β_1, σ_1^2) for **candidate model**.
 - ▶ Easy: just use MLE $(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\sigma}_1^2)$
2. Would need to know (β_0, σ_0^2) for **true model**.
 - ▶ Very hard! The whole problem is that we don't know these!

Hurvich & Tsai (1989) Assume:

- ▶ Every candidate model is **at least correctly specified**
- ▶ Implies any candidate estimator $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ is consistent for truth.

Deriving the Corrected AIC

Since $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ are random, look at **expectation of estimated KL**:

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{KL}] = \frac{T}{2} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\widehat{\sigma}^2} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\log \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \right] - 1 \right\} + \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} (\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) \right]$$

Deriving the Corrected AIC

Since $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ are random, look at **expectation of estimated KL**:

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{KL}] = \frac{T}{2} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\log \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right) \right] - 1 \right\} + \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} (\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) \right]$$

Finite-sample theory for correctly spec. normal regression model:

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{KL}] = \frac{T}{2} \left\{ \frac{T+k}{T-k-2} - \log(\sigma_0^2) + \mathbb{E}[\log \hat{\sigma}^2] - 1 \right\}$$

Deriving the Corrected AIC

Since $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ are random, look at **expectation of estimated KL**:

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{KL}] = \frac{T}{2} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\log \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right) \right] - 1 \right\} + \mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} (\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) \right]$$

Finite-sample theory for correctly spec. normal regression model:

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{KL}] = \frac{T}{2} \left\{ \frac{T+k}{T-k-2} - \log(\sigma_0^2) + \mathbb{E}[\log \hat{\sigma}^2] - 1 \right\}$$

Eliminate constants and scaling, unbiased estimator of $\mathbb{E}[\log \hat{\sigma}^2]$:

$$\text{AIC}_c = \log \hat{\sigma}^2 + \frac{T+k}{T-k-2}$$

a finite-sample unbiased estimator of KL for model comparison