Math Methods for Political Science

Lecture 16: Lagrange's method

Thibault Vatter <tv2233@columbia.edu>
Department of Statistics, Columbia University

November 27, 2017

Method of Substitution

Consider:

$$\underset{x,y}{\text{maximize}} \quad x^2 + y^2$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad x + y = 1$$

Idea: plug $y = 1 - x$ in the objective and maximize for x!

How about the following problem?

$$\underset{x,y}{\text{minimize}} \quad \frac{y}{x} + \frac{x^2}{2}$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad 2x + y = 27$$

Lagrange's method

Consider:

$$\underset{x}{\text{minimize}} \quad f(x, y)$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad g(x, y) = c.$$

Figure: from wikipedia

At the optimum, $\nabla f(x, y) = \lambda \nabla g(x, y)$!

Define $L(x, y, \lambda) = f(x, y) - \lambda(g(x, y) - c)$, which implies

$$\nabla L(x, y, \lambda) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} \nabla f(x, y) & = \lambda \nabla g(x, y) \\ g(x, y) & = c \end{cases}$$

Solve the following problem again using Lagrange's method:

$$\operatorname*{minimize}_{x,y} \quad \frac{y}{x} + \frac{x^2}{2}$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad 2x + y = 27$$

Multiple constraints

Consider:

$$\underset{x}{\text{minimize}} \quad f_0(x)$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad f_i(x) = b_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, m.$$

Figure: from wikipedia

Lagrangian and Lagrange's multipliers

Consider:

$$\min_{x} \quad f_0(x)$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad f_i(x) = b_i, \; i = 1, \ldots, m.$$

Definition 1 (Lagrangian and Lagrange's multipliers)

$L(x, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m) = f_0(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i (f_i(x) - b_i)$ is the **Lagrangian** and $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m$ are the **Lagrange's multipliers**.

To solve the minimization problem above, notice that

$$\nabla L(x, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} \nabla f(x) & = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \nabla f_i(x) \\ f_i(x) & = b_i \; i = 1, \ldots, m \end{cases}$$

Local maximum or minimum?

Definition 2 (Bordered Hessian)

The **bordered Hessian** is

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial x_2^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} & \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial \partial x_n^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

The $n - m$ largest principal minors

- alternate in sign with the smallest one having the sign of $(-1)^{m+1} \implies$ local maximum,
- have the sign of $(-1)^m \implies$ local minimum.

Interpretation of the multipliers

When solving $\nabla L(x, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m) = 0$, one obtains $(x_1^*, \cdots, x_n^*)$ and $(\lambda_1^*, \cdots, \lambda_m^*)$, and it is possible to show that

$$\lambda_k^* = \frac{\partial f_0(x_1^*(b_1, \cdots, b_m), \cdots, x_n^*(b_1, \cdots, b_m))}{\partial b_k}$$

- λ_k^* is the effect of the constraint on the value of the objective function at the optimum.

- In economics, when maximizing profit under constraints, λ_k^* is referred to as the **shadow price** corresponding to constraint k.

A manufacturer's production is modeled by the Cobb-Douglas function:

$$p(x, y) = 100x^{3/4}y^{1/4}$$

where x represents the units of labor and y represents the units of capital. Each labor unit costs 200\$ and each capital unit costs 250\$. The total expenses for labor and capital cannot exceed 50,000\$.

- Find the maximum production level.
- Interpret the shadow price.