#### Two Sector Models

Prof. Lutz Hendricks

Econ720

July 29, 2019

#### Two Sector Models

We relax the assumption that there is only one good at each date.

There are no major changes in methods.

Multi-sector models are used to study issues such as:

- ▶ technical change that is "embodied" in capital goods,
- human capital,
- international trade.

#### The Environment

#### Demographics:

▶ There is a unit mass of households who live forever.

#### Preferences:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t, 1-v_t)$$

 $\triangleright$  v is work; 1 - v is leisure.

### **Technologies**

Consumption goods are produced according to

$$Y_1 = F(K_1, L_1)$$

and capital goods according to

$$Y_2 = G(K_2, L_2)$$

The resource constraints are

$$L_{1t} + L_{2t} = V_t$$

$$K_{1t} + K_{2t} = K_t$$

$$Y_{1t} = c_t$$

$$Y_{2t} = K_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)K_t$$

# Capital mobility

There is only one type of capital. It can be moved freely between sectors.

#### The planner maximizes

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t, 1-v_t)$$

subject to the resource constraints.

Since capital can be costlessly reallocated between sectors, the state variable is  $K_t$ .

The controls  $c_t$ ,  $L_{1t}$ ,  $L_{2t}$ , and  $\varphi_t$ .

 $\varphi_t$  is the fraction of capital employed in sector 1

$$K_{1t} = \varphi_t K_t$$
  

$$K_{2t} = (1 - \varphi_t) K_t$$

#### Exercise

Suppose that reallocating capital across sectors is not possible.

Solve the planner's problem.

We now need 2 states  $(K_{1t}, K_{2t})$ .

Resource constraint:

$$Y_{2t} = K_{1,t+1} + (1 - \delta_1)K_{1,t} + K_{2,t+1} + (1 - \delta_1)K_{2,t}$$
 (1)

The difference:  $K_{j,t+1}$  has to be chosen in t.

The Bellman equation is

$$V(K) = \max_{m \in \mathcal{M}} u(F(\varphi K, L_1), 1 - L_1 - L_2) + \beta V(K(1 - \delta) + G([1 - \varphi]K, L_2))$$

where the choice variables are  $L_1, L_2, \varphi$ .

FOCs:

$$u_l = \beta V'(K')G_L = u_c F_L$$
  
$$u_c F_K = \beta V'(K')G_K$$

Envelope:

$$V'(K) = \varphi F_K u_c + \beta V'(K') \{ 1 - \delta + (1 - \varphi) G_K \}$$
  
=  $u_c F_K + (1 - \delta) \beta V'(K')$ 

Interpretation...

#### Euler equation

$$u_c \frac{F_K}{G_K} = \beta u_c(.') \frac{F_K(.')}{G_K(.')} \{1 - \delta + G_K(.')\}$$

Static conditions

$$F_K/F_L = G_K/G_L$$
$$u_l = u_c F_L$$

Interpretation below...

# Solution: Planning Problem

Sequences  $\{c_t, v_t, K_{t+1}, \varphi_t, L_{1t}, L_{2t}\}$  that satisfy:

- 3 FOCs;
- 3 feasibility conditions;
- ► TVC:  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \beta^t u_c(t) K_t = 0$ .
- $ightharpoonup K_0$  given.

#### Intuition: Static condition

$$F_K/F_L = G_K/G_L$$

The static condition equates marginal rates of substitution in the two sectors.

This is necessary for maximizing output for given inputs.

## Intuition: Euler equation

$$u_c \frac{F_K}{G_K} = \beta u_c(.') \frac{F_K(.')}{G_K(.')} \{1 - \delta + G_K(.')\}$$

Consider first the case  $F_K = G_K$ .

Then we get the conventional Euler equation

$$u_c = \beta \ u_c \left( \cdot' \right) \ \left\{ 1 - \delta + G_K \left( \cdot' \right) \right\}$$

## Intuition: Euler equation - General case

- At any point in time, consumption can be converted into next period capital at a marginal rate of transformation  $G_K/F_K$ .
- Period *t*: Convert 1 unit of *c* into  $G_K/F_K$  units of K'.
- ightharpoonup Period t+1: Produce an additional

$$\{(1-\delta)+G_K(.')\}G_K/F_K$$

units of date t+2 capital.

► Convert the additional date *t*+2 capital into date *t*+1 consumption at the rate of transformation

$$F_K(.')/G_K(.')$$

▶ Eat this. This leaves all variables after t+1 unchanged.

# Planner: Steady State

In steady state, the Euler equation simplifies to

$$\beta\{1-\delta+G_K\}=1$$

Because the MRT,  $G_K/F_K$ , is constant this is the same as in the one sector model.

#### Watch your units!

#### Notation

- $ightharpoonup P_i$  are the prices of the goods.
- $ightharpoonup p_2 = P_2/P_1$ .
- $ightharpoonup RP_1$  and  $wP_1$  are the rental prices of capital and labor.

Consumption sector firms maximize period profits:

$$\max Y_1 - RK_1 - wL_1$$

The FOCs are as usual:

$$R = F_K$$
$$w = F_L$$

Capital sector firms:

$$\max P_2 Y_2 - P_1 R K_2 - P_1 w L_2$$

Divide through by  $P_1$  to obtain

$$\max p_2 Y_2 - RK_2 - wL_2$$

The FOCs are

$$R/p_2 = G_K$$

$$w/p_2 = G_L$$

#### Households

The budget constraint is

$$P_{2t}k_{t+1} = P_{2t}(1-\delta)k_t + P_{1t}R_tk_t + P_{1t}(w_tv_t - c_t)$$

Divide through by  $P_1$  to obtain the budget constraint in real terms:

$$p_{2t}k_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)p_{2t}k_t + R_tk_t + w_tv_t - c_t$$

#### Rate of return

At t: Give up  $p_{2t}$  units of consumption and buy  $dk_{t+1} = 1$ At t+1:

- Receive rental income  $R_{t+1}dk_{t+1}$ .
- ▶ Sell the undepreciated capital:  $(1 \delta)p_{2,t+1}dk_{t+1}$ .

The rate of return is

$$1 + r_{t+1} = \frac{R_{t+1} + (1 - \delta)p_{2,t+1}}{p_{2,t}}$$
$$= R_{t+1}/p_{2,t} + (1 - \delta)\pi_{t+1}$$

 $\pi_{t+1} \equiv p_{2,t+1}/p_{2,t}$  is the price appreciation of k.

# Household problem

$$V(k) = \max_{k', v} u \left( wv + Rk + (1 - \delta) p_2 k - p_2 k', 1 - v \right)$$

$$+ \beta V \left( k' \right)$$
(2)
(3)

FOCs:

$$u_1 w = w_2$$

$$u_1 p_2 = \beta V'(k')$$
(5)

Envelope:

$$V'(k) = u_1 \times (R + (1 - \delta)p_2)$$
 (6)

Euler:

$$u_1 = \beta u_1(.') \frac{R' + [1 - \delta]p_2'}{p_2}$$

(7)

# Market clearing

- ► Labor:  $L_{1t} + L_{2t} = v_t$ .
- ► Capital:  $K_{1t} + K_{2t} = K_t$ .
- ► Goods:

$$Y_{1t} = c_t$$
  

$$Y_{2t} = K_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)K_t$$

## Equilibrium Definition

A CE is a sequence of prices and quantities which satisfy (11 equations in 10 unknowns):

## Equilibrium prices

The firms' FOCs imply that

$$R = p_2 G_K = F_K$$

and therefore

$$p_2 = F_K/G_K$$

In words: the relative price equals the marginal rate of transformation.

**Exercise**: Show that the solutions of the planning problem and the CE coincide by substituting prices for derivatives of F and G in the planner's FOCs.

# One-sector Reduced Form

#### A One-sector Reduced Form

- ► We can construct a two sector model that looks very much like a one sector model.
- ► This requires the assumption

$$G(K,L) = AF(K,L)$$

for some constant A

#### A One-sector Reduced Form

► Then static optimality

$$F_K/F_L = G_K/G_L$$

implies

$$k_1 = k_2$$

where k = K/L.

▶ The relative price of capital is constant

$$p_2 = 1/A$$

#### A One-sector Reduced Form

- We can write a single aggregate resource constraint:
- ► Define aggregate real output as

$$Y = Y_1 + Y_2/A$$

$$= F(K_1, L_1) + F(K_2, L_2)$$

$$= (L_1 + L_2)f(k)$$

$$= F(K, L)$$

$$= c + (K_{t+1} - [1 - \delta]K_t)/A$$

- ▶ Choose units of capital such that A = 1:  $\tilde{K} = K/A$ .
- ▶ Then the resource constraint looks like a one sector model:

$$Y_t = c_t + \tilde{K}_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)\tilde{K}_t = F(\tilde{K}_t, L_t)$$

# Why is this useful?

We can write down a model with cross-country (or cross-industry) productivity differentials without having to construct a full-blown multi-sector model with endogenous prices.

In the data, the relative **price of capital** varies greatly across countries. We can model that.

We can study investment specific technical change.

- Assume that A grows at some rate.
- ► Then the relative price of capital falls over time (as it does in the data).
- The model generates an evolution of the industrial structure (e.g. movement from ag to industry).
- ► Greenwood, Hercowitz, and Krusell (1997) find that such technical change accounts for 60 percent of overall productivity growth.

## Summary

- ▶ Nothing fundamental changes when there are multiple sectors.
- ► The main additional complexity is in the household budget constraint because there may be capital gains terms.
- ► The dynamics of two sector models is much more complex than that of one sector models.