R&D Models: Introduction

Prof. Lutz Hendricks

Econ720

November 16, 2018

Issues

- We study models where intentional innovation drives productivity growth.
- We start by describing the demand block (common to essentially all models).
- Later we embed it into a GE model.

Background

- Historians often view innovation as the result of research that is not profit driven.
- Economists treat innovation as producing goods that are sold in markets ("blueprints").
- ▶ There are historical examples of both types of innovation.
- ► How important are the 2 cases? An open question.

How to model innovation

- Current models are somewhat reduced form.
- ► The issue how existing knowledge feeds into future innovation is treated as a knowledge spillover.
- Knowledge is treated as a scalar like capital.
- ► In fact, the only difference between blueprints and machines is non-rivalry:
 - blueprints can be used simultaneously in the production of several goods.

How to model innovation

There are N consumption goods (or intermediate inputs).

The goods are imperfect substitutes in preferences (or final output production).

► Therefore downward sloping demand curves

Approach 1: Quality ladders

- Each good can be made by many firms.
- Firms can invest to improve quality (equivalently: lower the cost) of 1 good.

Approach 2: Increasing variety

- **Each** firm can invest to create a new variety $(N \rightarrow N+1)$
- ▶ Then it becomes the monopolist for that variety

The Demand Block

Modeling the Demand Side

- The trick in all R&D models: a demand side that generates a constant price elasticity
- This makes the monopoly price essentially exogenous $p_M = MC/(1-1/\varepsilon_D)$

Dixit Stiglitz Model

- ► The world is static.
- ▶ There are N consumption goods c_i with prices p_i .
- ightharpoonup Household income is m.

Preferences

Households aggregate the varieties using a CES aggregator

$$C = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i^{\theta}\right)^{1/\theta} \tag{1}$$

- ightharpoonup Utility is u(C)
- ▶ Elasticity of substitution $\varepsilon = 1/(1-\theta) > 1$.
- ▶ Then $\theta = (\varepsilon 1)/\varepsilon > 0$.
- ► The trick: constant substitution elasticity implies constant price elasticity.

Demand functions

The household solves:

$$\max u(C)$$

subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i c_i = m \tag{2}$$

Given m, this is just a CES cost minimization problem.

Demand functions

One way of thinking about the household problem:

For any given C, find the cost minimizing c_i :

$$\min_{c_i} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i c_i + \lambda \left[C - \left(\sum_{i} c_i^{\theta} \right)^{1/\theta} \right]$$
 (3)

FOC:

$$p_i = \lambda \left(\sum_i c_i^{\theta}\right)^{1/\theta - 1} c_i^{\theta - 1} \tag{4}$$

The implied demand function is of the form

$$c_i = X p_i^{1/(1-\theta)} = X p_i^{-\varepsilon} \tag{5}$$

with constant price elasticity ε .

Ideal price index

Define the minmized cost of C as

$$PC = \sum p_i c_i \tag{6}$$

The cost minimizing price index is

$$P = \left(\sum p_i^{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{1/(1-\varepsilon)} \tag{7}$$

→ Details

Love for variety

A key implication: simply having more varieties increases welfare.

Assume you have $\overline{\it C}$ units of "stuff" that can be made (1-for-1) into any variety:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i = \bar{C}.$$

Consider the symmetric case: $c_i = \bar{C}/N$.

Then

$$C = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} [\bar{C}/N]^{\theta}\right)^{1/\theta}$$

$$= \left(N [\bar{C}/N]^{\theta}\right)^{1/\theta}$$

$$= N^{(1-\theta)/\theta} \bar{C}$$
(8)

Spreading \overline{C} over more varieties (N) increases utility.

Household summary

- Assume a Dixit-Stiglitz composite consumption good in preferences.
- Then demand is isoelastic.
 - the elasticity is determined by the elasticity of substitution across varieties in C.
- ► The cost of the optimal bundle C is given by the CES minimized cost P.
- More varieties increase utility.

Firms

- Each firm has a monopoly over a variety i.
- ▶ The demand elasticity is ε .
- Optimal monopoly pricing implies a constant markup over marginal cost:

$$p_i = \frac{\psi}{1 - 1/\varepsilon} \tag{10}$$

 Assumption: The firm is small enough to neglect its effect on *C* and *P*.

Equilibrium

Assume symmetry.

Price index:

$$P = \left(\sum p_i^{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{1/(1-\varepsilon)}$$
$$= N^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} \frac{\psi}{1-1/\varepsilon}$$

Recall that the elasticity ε is > 1.

Then increasing variety N decreases the cost of C (love for variety again).

Equilibrium: Profits

$$\pi_{i} = c_{i}(p_{i} - \psi)
= C P^{\varepsilon} p_{i}^{-\varepsilon} (p_{i} - \psi)
= C N^{\varepsilon/(1-\varepsilon)} \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1} \psi$$
(11)

More varieties can increase profits:

- Direct effect: P falls more competitors erode profits.
- "Aggregate demand externality": C may rise (depends on preferences)
 - ► Higher *N* raises marginal utility for a given variety.
 - Innovators impose pecuniary externality on competitors.

Continuum of varieties

- \triangleright Nothing changes when i is continuous.
- ▶ Replace all \sum with \int .

Reading

- ► Acemoglu (2009), ch. 12.
- ► Romer (2011), ch. 3.1-3.4.
- ▶ Jones (2005)

Ideal price index I

Proof:

$$\min \sum_{i} p_{i} c_{i} + \lambda \left[\left(\sum_{j} c_{j}^{\theta} \right)^{1/\theta} - C \right]$$
 (12)

FOC:

$$p_{i} = \lambda \left(\sum_{j} c_{j}^{\theta}\right)^{(1/\theta)-1} c_{i}^{\theta-1}$$

$$= \lambda C^{1-\theta} c_{i}^{\theta-1}$$
(13)

Solve for λ :

$$c_i = (\lambda/p_i)^{1/(1-\theta)} C$$

Ideal price index II

$$\left(\sum c_i^{\theta}\right)^{1/\theta} = C\lambda^{1/(1-\theta)} \left(\sum p_i^{\theta/(1-\theta)}\right)^{1/\theta} \tag{16}$$

$$\lambda = \left(\sum p_i^{\theta/(1-\theta)}\right)^{(1-\theta)/\theta} \tag{17}$$

Substitute and simplify.

The demand functions $c_i/C = (p_i/P)^{-\varepsilon}$ emerge.

QED

Digression: An Alternative Derivation

By definition:

$$PC = \sum p_i c_i \tag{18}$$

We need to express C and $\sum p_i c_i$ as functions of prices to solve for P.

First-order conditions determine relative demands:

$$c_i/c_1 = p_i^{-\varepsilon}/p_1^{-\varepsilon} \tag{19}$$

Sub into expression for

$$\sum p_i c_i = c_1 \sum p_i (c_i/c_1)$$

$$= c_1 p_1^{\varepsilon} \sum p_i^{1-\varepsilon}$$

Alternative Derivation

Sub the same into expression for

$$C = c_1 \left(\sum (c_i/c_1)^{(\varepsilon-1)/\varepsilon} \right)^{\varepsilon/(\varepsilon-1)}$$

$$= c_1 \left(\sum (p_i/p_1)^{1-\varepsilon} \right)^{\varepsilon/(\varepsilon-1)}$$

$$= c_1 p_1^{\varepsilon} \left(\sum p_i^{1-\varepsilon} \right)^{\varepsilon/(\varepsilon-1)}$$

Take the ratio:

$$P = \frac{PC}{C} = \frac{c_1 p_1^{\varepsilon}}{c_1 p_1^{\varepsilon}} \frac{\sum p_i^{1-\varepsilon}}{\left(\sum p_i^{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{\varepsilon/(\varepsilon-1)}}$$

Simplify to get the solution for *P*.

Alternative Derivation

The demand functions take the form

$$c_i/C = (p_i/P)^{-\varepsilon} \tag{20}$$

Proof:

$$p_i c_i = p_i c_1 \left(p_i / p_1 \right)^{-\varepsilon}$$

$$\sum p_i c_i = PC = c_1 p_1^{\varepsilon} \sum p_i^{1-\varepsilon}$$
$$= c_1 p_1^{\varepsilon} P^{1-\varepsilon}$$

$$PC P^{\varepsilon-1} = c_1 p_1^{\varepsilon}$$

References I

Acemoglu, D. (2009): Introduction to modern economic growth, MIT Press.

Jones, C. I. (2005): "Growth and ideas," *Handbook of economic growth*, 1, 1063–1111.

Romer, D. (2011): Advanced macroeconomics, McGraw-Hill/Irwin.