

## 1 Bonds Of Different Maturities

This question examines Ricardian Equivalence when the government has bonds of different maturities to finance spending. Consider a standard growth model in discrete time where the government issues two types of bonds:

- $b_{t+1}$  one-period bonds are issued at date  $t$ ; each has a price of 1 and pay  $R_{t+1}$  units of consumption at  $t + 1$ .
- $B_{t+1} - B_t$  infinitely lived bonds are issued at date  $t$ ; each costs  $p_t$  and pays one unit of consumption at dates  $s \geq t + 1$ .

The government also imposes a lump-sum tax  $\tau_t$  and spends  $g_t$  units of the good on a useless purpose.

Firms are standard with first-order conditions  $r = f'(k)$  and  $w = f(k) - f'(k)k$ .

(a) The household maximizes

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$$

subject to the budget constraint

$$k_{t+1} + c_t + \tau_t + b_{t+1} + p_t (B_{t+1} - B_t) = (r_t + 1 - \delta) k_t + w_t + R_t b_t + B_t$$

with initial endowments  $(k_0, b_0, B_0)$  given. Solve the household problem using Dynamic Programming.

(b) The government budget constraint is

$$g_t + R_t b_t + B_t = \tau_t + b_{t+1} + p_t (B_{t+1} - B_t)$$

Show that the present value budget constraint of the government can be written as

$$b_0 + (1 + p_0) B_0 / R_0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_t - g_t}{D_t}$$

where  $D_t = R_0 \cdot \dots \cdot R_t$  is a cumulative discount factor.

(c) Show that the household's present value budget constraint is given by

$$b_0 + \frac{(1 + p_0) B_0}{R_0} + k_0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_t + \tau_t - w_t}{D_t}$$

(d) Show that Ricardian Equivalence holds in this economy. That is, a change in the timing of taxation does not affect the equilibrium allocation (for a given sequence  $g_t$ ). The best way of answering this part is to define a competitive equilibrium in such a way that a set of equations that does not depend on  $\tau$ 's determines the allocation.

## 2 Wealth in the utility function

Consider the following modification of the standard growth model where the households derives utility from holding wealth.

Demographics: There is a representative household of unit mass who lives forever.

Preferences:  $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t, k_{t-1})$  where  $c_t$  is consumption and  $k_{t-1}$  is last period's capital (wealth). The utility function is strictly concave and increasing in both arguments.

Endowments: At  $t = 0$  the household is endowed with capital  $K_0$ . In each period the household works 1 unit of time.

Technologies:

$$K_{t+1} = A F(K_t, L_t) + (1 - \delta) K_t - c_t \quad (1)$$

The production function has constant returns to scale.

Markets: Production takes place in a representative firm which rents capital and labor from households. There are competitive markets for goods (price 1), capital rental ( $r_t$ ), and labor rental ( $w_t$ ).

1. State the household's dynamic program.
2. Derive and explain the conditions that characterize a solution to the household problem (in sequence language).
3. Define a competitive equilibrium.
4. Derive a single equation that determines the steady state capital stock.
5. Is the steady state unique? Explain the intuition why the steady state is or is not unique.

### 3 Answers

#### 3.1 Answer: Bonds Of Different Maturities

(a) The Bellman equation is

$$V(k, b, B) = \max u([r + 1 - \delta]k + w - \tau + Rb + B - k' - b' - p(B' - B)) + \beta V(k', b', B')$$

The first-order conditions may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u'(c) &= \beta R' u'(c') \\ R &= r + 1 - \delta \\ R' &= (1 + p')/p \end{aligned}$$

A solution consists of sequences  $(c, k, b, B)$  that satisfy the 3 FOCs and the budget constraints. Boundary conditions are  $k_0, b_0, B_0$  given and the transversality condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t u'(c_t) [k_t + b_t + p_t B_t] = 0$$

(b) This is a standard forward replacement argument. Start from

$$b_t = R_t^{-1} [\tau_t - g_t + p_t B_{t+1} - (1 + p_t) B_t + b_{t+1}]$$

For  $t = 0$ :

$$b_0 = \frac{\tau_0 - g_0}{R_0} + \frac{p_0 B_1 - (1 + p_0) B_0}{R_0} + \frac{\tau_1 - g_1 + p_1 B_2 + (1 + p_1) B_1 + b_2}{R_0 R_1}$$

Iterating over this implies

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_t - g_t}{D_t} + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_t B_{t+1} - (1 + p_t) B_t}{D_t} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_t - g_t}{D_t} + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{p_{t-1} B_t}{D_{t-1}} - \frac{(1 + p_t) B_t}{D_t} \right] - (1 + p_0) B_0 / R_0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the lower bound of the sum has been changed to 1 and the only  $B_0$  term has been pulled out of the sum. Next, I show that the term in the square brackets equals zero for each  $t$ . To see this, note that

$$\frac{p_{t-1} B_t}{D_{t-1}} = \frac{p_{t-1} R_t B_t}{D_t} = \frac{(1 + p_t) B_t}{D_t}$$

where the last equality holds because all assets pay the same rate of return. The intuition why the term in square brackets is zero is that future bond issues change the timing of government surpluses, but it does not add to the present value of resources the government can spend.

(c) The household budget constraint is given by

$$b_t = R_t^{-1} [c_t + \tau_t - w_t + k_{t+1} - R_t k_t + p_t B_{t+1} - (1 + p_t) B_t + b_{t+1}]$$

Iterating over this expression yields

$$\begin{aligned}
b_0 &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} D_t^{-1} [c_t + \tau_t - w_t + k_{t+1} - R_t k_t + p_t B_{t+1} - (1 + p_t) B_t] \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} D_t^{-1} [c_t + \tau_t - w_t + k_{t+1} - k_{t+1} + p_t B_{t+1} - R_{t+1} (1 + p_{t+1}) B_{t+1}] - \frac{(1 + p_0) B_0}{R_0} + k_0
\end{aligned}$$

The second equation is obtained by pulling the date 0 terms out of the sum. By the same argument as for the government we find that  $p_t B_{t+1} - R_{t+1} (1 + p_{t+1}) B_{t+1} = 0$  and the asserted budget constraint follows. The logic is again that the present value of future dissaving must equal current wealth.

(d) Substitute the government budget constraint into the household budget constraint. Define an equilibrium as sequences  $\{c_t, k_t, \tau_t, b_t, B_t, r_t, w_t, p_t\}$  that satisfy:

- Household:  $\{c_t, k_t\}$  solve the Euler equation  $u'(c_t) = (r_{t+1} + 1 - \delta) \beta u'(c_{t+1})$  and the present value budget constraint.
- Firms:  $\{r_t, w_t\}$  solve the 2 first-order conditions
- Government:  $\{b_t, B_t, \tau_t\}$  solve the government present value budget constraint and the flow budget constraint (some indeterminacy remains)
- The goods market clears:  $f(k_t) + (1 - \delta) k_t = k_{t+1} + c_t + g_t$ .

Now the system of equilibrium conditions is block-recursive. The household, firm and market clearing conditions determine  $\{c_t, k_t, r_t, w_t, p_t\}$ ; they do not depend on tax rates. The remaining variables,  $\{\tau_t, b_t, B_t\}$ , are determined by the government budget constraint. The timing of taxes is not determined.

### 3.2 Answer: Wealth in the utility function

(a) Bellman equation

$$V(k, z) = \max u(c, z) + \beta V(k', k) + \lambda [Rk + w - c - k']$$

where  $z' = k$ . Controls are  $c, k'$ .

Alternative: Lagrangian:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t, k_{t-1}) + \lambda_t [R_t k_t + w_t - c_t - k_{t+1}]$$

(b) First-order conditions from DP:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_c(c, z) &= \lambda \\
\beta V_k(k', k) &= \lambda
\end{aligned}$$

Envelope conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} V_k(k, z) &= \beta V_z(k', z') + \lambda R \\ V_z(k, z) &= u_z(c, z) \end{aligned}$$

Euler equation:

$$u_c(c, z) = \beta R' u_c(c', z') + \beta^2 u_z(c'', z'')$$

Solution: Sequences  $(c_t, k_t)$  that solve the Euler equation, budget constraint, and transversality condition. Intuition: The additional  $u_z$  term in the Euler equation reflects the effect of raising  $k'$  in the usual perturbation.

Alternative: Lagrangian FOCs:

$$\begin{aligned} c_t &: \beta^t u_c(t) = \lambda_t \\ k_{t+1} &: \beta^{t+2} u_k(t+2) = \lambda_t + \lambda_{t+1} R_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

(c) CE: Totally standard.  $\{c_t, k_{t+1}, w_t, R_t\}$  that satisfy: Household Euler equation and budget constraint. 2 firm first-order conditions. Market clearing:  $K_t = k_t, L_t = 1, F(K_t, L_t) + (1 - \delta) K_t = c_t + K_{t+1}$ .

(d) The Euler equation implies in steady state:

$$1 = \beta [f'(k) + 1 - \delta] + \beta^2 \frac{u_z(f(k) - \delta k, k)}{u_c(f(k) - \delta k, k)}$$

(e) Steady state is generally not unique. Household may choose low  $c$  and high  $k$  or vice versa.