Kyle A. Joyce

Department of Political Science University of California, Davis

August 14, 2014

- · A tool, whatever its form, is not a theory.
- A theory is a set of testable propositions about a phenomenon, and it can be created using a variety of tools including verbal descriptions, mathematical models, and computational models.

- The predominant tools used in the development of theories in Political Science are verbal descriptions (or "mental" models) and mathematical models (primarily, game-theoretic).
- There are many reasons to favor one tool over another to create a theory but there is no *a priori* reason to think that one tool is better than alternative tools in all (or even most) situations.

 Game-theoretic modeling provides a tractable modeling framework.

- Game-theoretic modeling provides a tractable modeling framework.
- This tool provides both a set of simplifications for understanding the world and a process by which the implications of these simplifications can be derived in a consistent way.

- Game-theoretic modeling provides a tractable modeling framework.
- This tool provides both a set of simplifications for understanding the world and a process by which the implications of these simplifications can be derived in a consistent way.
- The possession of a tool that simplifies the development and refinement of theories concerning complex questions is an important step toward developing a science.

- Game-theoretic modeling provides a tractable modeling framework.
- This tool provides both a set of simplifications for understanding the world and a process by which the implications of these simplifications can be derived in a consistent way.
- The possession of a tool that simplifies the development and refinement of theories concerning complex questions is an important step toward developing a science.
- Part of the motivation for relying on the game-theoretic approach is the perception that the testing of political (or other) phenomena is extremely difficult, and therefore the logical foundations of the model must be fully secure.

• Theories should be separate from the tools used to derive them.

- Theories should be separate from the tools used to derive them.
- Arguments about whether "mental", game-theoretic, or computational models are good or bad are somewhat misplaced.

- Theories should be separate from the tools used to derive them.
- Arguments about whether "mental", game-theoretic, or computational models are good or bad are somewhat misplaced.
- Different tools are good for different things.

- Theories should be separate from the tools used to derive them.
- Arguments about whether "mental", game-theoretic, or computational models are good or bad are somewhat misplaced.
- Different tools are good for different things.
- Tools need to be judged by their ability to enhance the scientific enterprise; theories need to be judged by how well they are able to improve our understanding of the world around us, and not by what tools we used to derive them.

• Scholars (although, not all) seem attached to particular tools.

- Scholars (although, not all) seem attached to particular tools.
- It has been argued (by some) that game-theoretic, or mathematical models more generally, are superior to non-mathematical tools because they can provide additional insight into the underlying processes or provide new theoretical directions in other domains.

- Scholars (although, not all) seem attached to particular tools.
- It has been argued (by some) that game-theoretic, or mathematical models more generally, are superior to non-mathematical tools because they can provide additional insight into the underlying processes or provide new theoretical directions in other domains.
- These arguments implicitly assume that no such insights or directions will be forthcoming from other approaches.

 Another argument is that axiomatic proofs produced by mathematical models guarantee their outcomes, whereas the outcomes produced by computational models provide only inductive proof.

- Another argument is that axiomatic proofs produced by mathematical models guarantee their outcomes, whereas the outcomes produced by computational models provide only inductive proof.
- Usually, such deductive certainty comes at the cost of being willing to sufficiently narrow the problem, so the real issue is what conditions guarantee the outcome.

- Another argument is that axiomatic proofs produced by mathematical models guarantee their outcomes, whereas the outcomes produced by computational models provide only inductive proof.
- Usually, such deductive certainty comes at the cost of being willing to sufficiently narrow the problem, so the real issue is what conditions guarantee the outcome.
- If the conditions guaranteeing the outcome are excessively onerous, we may well be willing to accept some inexactness in our predictions in return for more favorable circumstances.

• All tools are designed to simplify some task.

- All tools are designed to simplify some task.
- If the task we face corresponds with the simplification, then the tool will be of value.

- All tools are designed to simplify some task.
- If the task we face corresponds with the simplification, then the tool will be of value.
- On the other hand, if it does not, then, regardless of the quality of the tool, the outcome will suffer (even with the best screwdriver, a hammer is a better tool to put a nail in a wall).

# Agent-Based Models

#### Modeling Potential

Traditional Tools	Agent-Based Models
Precise	Flexible
Little process	Process Oriented
Timeless	Timely
Optimizing	Adaptive
Static	Dynamic
1, 2, or $\infty$ agents	1, 2,, <i>N</i> agents
Vacuous	Spacey/networked
Homogeneous	Heterogeneous

 Much of the focus on complex systems is on how systems of interacting agents can led to emergent phenomena.

- Much of the focus on complex systems is on how systems of interacting agents can led to emergent phenomena.
- Emergence: individual, localized behavior aggregates into marco-level behavior that is, in some sense, disconnected from its origins.

- Much of the focus on complex systems is on how systems of interacting agents can led to emergent phenomena.
- Emergence: individual, localized behavior aggregates into marco-level behavior that is, in some sense, disconnected from its origins.
- This disconnection implies that, within limits, the details of the local behavior do not matter to the aggregate outcome, that is, the aggregate patterns should be immune to reasonable variations in the individual behavior.

- Much of the focus on complex systems is on how systems of interacting agents can led to emergent phenomena.
- Emergence: individual, localized behavior aggregates into marco-level behavior that is, in some sense, disconnected from its origins.
- This disconnection implies that, within limits, the details of the local behavior do not matter to the aggregate outcome, that is, the aggregate patterns should be immune to reasonable variations in the individual behavior.
- As Anderson (1972) says, "the whole becomes not only more than but very different from the sum of its parts."

Can easily study counterfactual scenarios.

- Can easily study counterfactual scenarios.
- What would have happened if the US did not join WWI?

- Can easily study counterfactual scenarios.
- What would have happened if the US did not join WWI?
- What would have happened if the US did not implement the "Surge" in 2007?

- Can easily study counterfactual scenarios.
- What would have happened if the US did not join WWI?
- What would have happened if the US did not implement the "Surge" in 2007?
- What would have happened if people were not vaccinated for smallpox?

You have a model you cannot solve analytically.

- You have a model you cannot solve analytically.
- You do not want to simplify the model to make it tractable because there may be something important that you do not want to simplify away.

- You have a model you cannot solve analytically.
- You do not want to simplify the model to make it tractable because there may be something important that you do not want to simplify away.
- Choose the right tool for the right problem.

- You have a model you cannot solve analytically.
- You do not want to simplify the model to make it tractable because there may be something important that you do not want to simplify away.
- Choose the right tool for the right problem.
- Learn new tools if necessary (even if it takes a little time).