## Stat 610 Homework 5

Due Tuesday, October 29, 11:59pm.

You may work in groups of up to 3 for this assignment.

## **Assignment**

In this assignment, you'll practice code profiling and using git.

You'll start with the code you wrote in lab 4 try out some modifications in an attempt to make it faster.

 Make a github repository, and clone a copy to your computer following the instructions on github. Once this is done, if you type git status in the terminal, you should get the output

```
On branch master

No commits yet

nothing to commit (create/copy files and use "git add" to track)
```

 Add an initial R file called llr\_functions.R, testing file called test\_llr.R, and a file called benchmark\_llr.R.

Once you've created these files, but before you've added them to the staging area or committed them, if you type git status, you should see something like

```
On branch master

No commits yet

Untracked files:
    (use "git add <file>..." to include in what will be committed)

benchmark_llr.R
llr_functions.R
test_llr.R

nothing added to commit but untracked files present (use "git add" to track)

Once you're at this stage, add the three files to the staging area using git add benchmark
```

Once you're at this stage, add the three files to the staging area using git add benchmark\_llr.R, git add llr\_functions.R, git add test\_llr.R.

At this point, if you type git status, you should see something like

On branch master

```
No commits yet

Changes to be committed:
   (use "git rm --cached <file>..." to unstage)

new file: benchmark_llr.R

new file: llr_functions.R

new file: test_llr.R
```

Finally, commit these files by typing git commit -m 'initial commit'. Feel free to make a better commit message.

Once you have done that, typing git status should give you output

```
On branch master nothing to commit, working tree clean
```

Fill out the llr\_functions.R and test\_llr.R files like we did in lab, so that you have a
working implementation of llr and tests of the functions.

Add these files to the staging area using git add and then commit them with git commit -m 'your commit message'.

 Add code to the benchmark\_llr.R file that computes how long your llr function takes to run and prints it out (hint: use the function cat and one of bench::mark or microbenchmark::microbenchma

Add these files to the staging area using git add and then commit them with git commit -m 'your commit message'.

**Question 1**: What does your commit history look like now? What branches do you have, and what commits are they pointing to? Where does HEAD point?

Make a new branch called speed-test-1 by typing git branch speed-test-1.

You can check that you made the branch by typing git branch, which should give you output

```
* master
speed-test-1
```

which indicates that you have two branches, one called master and one called speed-test-1, and that master is *checked out*, i.e., HEAD points to master.

Check out speed-test-1 by typing git checkout speed-test-1.

Question 2: What changed when you checked out speed-test-1? Where does HEAD point now? If you make changes and commit them, where will the master and speed-test-1 branches point?

- *Speeding up llr: take 1*: In the code we wrote in lab, we are using standard matrix multiplication to multiply a diagonal matrix by a dense matrix. If *D* is a diagonal matrix and *X* is any

matrix, DX results in the ith row of X being multiplied by  $D_{ii}$ , and so there are potentially faster ways of computing DX than the standard matrix multiply. We will try some and see if they actually are faster.

Change the line of code

```
Wz = make_weight_matrix(z, x, omega)
```

so that Wz is a vector of weights instead of a matrix with weights on the diagonal.

Then change the line of code

```
f_{hat} = c(1, z) \% *\% solve(t(X) \% *\% Wz \% *\% X) \% *\% t(X) % *\% Wz % *\% y so that you use the apply function in place of Wz % *% X and Wz % *% y.
```

Question 2: What function did you use? Why is it equivalent to the matrix multiply?

Add your changes to the staging area using git add llr.R and then commit them with git commit -m 'your commit message'.

 Use your benchmark\_llr.R script to check how fast the new version of llr.R is. Switch between the master and speed-test-1 branches by using git checkout master and git checkout speed-test-1 and run Rscript benchmark\_llr.R.

Question 3: Which version of the function is faster?

- *Speeding up llr: take* 2. Now we'll try another way of speeding up llr. Create a new branch for the new version called speed-test-2 and switch to that branch using

```
git checkout speed-test-1
git branch speed-test-2
git checkout speed-test-2
```

Change the line of code

```
f_hat = c(1, z) %*% solve(t(X) %*% Wz %*% X) %*% t(X) %*% Wz %*% y
```

so that you use the sweep function instead of Wz %\*% X and use a vectorized function instead of Wz %\*% y.

Question 4: What function did you use? Why is it equivalent to the matrix multiplication?

Add your changes to the staging area using git add llr.R and then commit them with git commit -m 'your commit message'.

 Use your benchmark\_llr.R script to check how fast the new version of llr.R is. Switch between the master, speed-test-1, and speed-test-2 branches by using git checkout <branch-name> and runing Rscript benchmark\_llr.R.

**Question 5**: Which version of the function is the fastest? Do you get a substantial speedup?

- Question 6: Run

git log --graph --branches

What output do you get? What does it tell you about the commit history?

## **Submission parameters**

Submit a pdf with the answers to the six bold-faced questions and a link to your github repository.

Your github repository should have branches master, speed-test-1, and speed-test-2. If John clones your repository, when he checks out the different branches he should see the different implementations of the llr function. If he runs Rscript benchmark\_llr.R, he should get timing information about the version of the function in the branch he's checked out.