Stat 610 Lab 4: Testing and top-down design

October 3, 2019

Linear regression is often not flexible enough, and several alternatives have been proposed. One such example is *locally weighted regression*. Suppose we have a single predictor, x, and that our model is $y = f(x) + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. We don't want to assume that f is linear, but we can estimate it approximately at z as $f(z) = a_0 + b_0 x_0$, where a_0 and b_0 are chosen to minimize

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} W(|x_i - z|/\omega) (y_i - a_0 - b_0 x_i)^2$$

W(r) is a positive, even weight function defined as

$$W(r) = \begin{cases} (1 - |r|^3)^3 & |r| < 1\\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

 ω is the window size or bandwidth, and it controls how many neighboring values contribute to the regression.

The minimization problem is a weighted least squares problem (bonus: show this), and the solution is

$$\hat{f}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \end{pmatrix} (X^T W_z X)^{-1} X^T W_z y$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2}$ is a matrix whose first column contains all 1's and whose second column contains the values of the predictor (so $X_{i2} = x_i$) and W_z is the diagonal matrix whose *i*th element is $W(|x_i - z|/\omega)$.

We would like to make a function that fits a local regression. That is, if we are given an n-vector of predictors $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$, an n-vector of response variables $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$, and an m-vector $z = (z_1, ..., z_m)$ of points for which we want fits, our function should return an m-vector containing $(\hat{f}(z_1), ..., \hat{f}(z_m))$.

Top-level function

If we are designing this function from the top down, our first task is to write a function that takes as input x, y, z, and ω and returns a vector $(\hat{f}(z_1), \dots, \hat{f}(z_m))$.

That is, the function definition should look like:

```
llr = function(x, y, z, omega) {
}
```

Inside, we will need to compute $\hat{f}(z_i)$ for each z_i . To do so, we can promise to make a function that computes the fits at a point z_i and apply it to each element of z. If we had such a function, our local regression function would look like this:

```
llr = function(x, y, z, omega) {
    fits = sapply(z, compute_f_hat, x, y, omega)
    return(fits)
}
```

Easy, right?

We should also be writing tests for the functions we create. At this point, one of the few things we know about the output of llr is that it should be the same length as z. In the test file, there is a test for that situation.

Second-level function

In our definition of llr, we have used a function called compute_f_hat, that we need to define now. Its arguments are z, x, y, and omega, in that order (why? think about how sapply works), and so the function definition will look like:

```
compute_f_hat = function(z, x, y, omega) {
    Wz = make_weight_matrix(z, x, omega)
    X = make_predictor_matrix(x)
    f_hat = c(1, z) %*% solve(t(X) %*% Wz %*% X) %*% t(X) %*% Wz %*% y
    return(f_hat)
}
```

The third line above is simply the formula given above for the fit from the weighted regression (solve means matrix inverse). We're still not quite done: we need to create the functions make_weight_matrix and make_predictor_matrix.

Third-level functions

Make a file called llr_functions.R, and copy over our definitions of llr and compute_f_hat.

Add tests to the test_lab_4.R file for the make_weight_matrix and make_predictor_matrix functions.

Add your own implementations of make_weight_matrix and make_predictor_matrix, and then run testthat::test_dir(".") to see if they work correctly.

Try it out

See if it works on some data.

One possibility is the french_fries data: you could try $lr(z = seq(0, 15, length.out = 100, x = french_fries$potato, y = french_fries$buttery, omega = 2). If you do this you might have to either subset the french fries dataset to exclude NA values or modify your functions so that they handle NAs properly. Try different values of omega. What happens to the fits?$