# Chapter 9 coding

# DJM

## 8 March 2018

#### Mid-semester evaluation

hrs	Freq
0-3	0
3-6	7
6-10	3
10 - 15	3
15+	0

#### Comments:

- More math, less coding (3)
- More coding, less math (6)
- Text helps (3)
- Text doesn't help (1)
- Prefer group HW (5)
- Detest group HW (3)

## Things to do:

- More worked examples (like hedge fund, California)
- Enforce HW participation, too much HW free-loading.
- Make you read things **before** class. (But people don't care much for RRs.)
- A request for in-class coding.

## **GAMs**

## Warning: package 'knitr' was built under R version 3.4.3

- Here we introduce the concept of GAMs ( G eneralized A dditive M odels)
- The basic idea is to imagine that the response is the sum of some functions of the predictors:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_i \mid X_i = x_i\right] = \alpha + f_1(x_{i1}) + \dots + f_p(x_{ip}).$$

• Note that OLS is a GAM (take  $f_i(x_{ij}) = \beta_i x_{ij}$ ):

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_i \mid X_i = x_i\right] = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip}.$$

- The algorithm for fitting these things is called "backfitting":
  - 1. Center Y and X.
  - 2. Hold  $f_k$  for all  $k \neq j$  fixed, and regress  $f_j$  on the partial residuals using your favorite smoother.
  - 3. Repeat for  $1 \le j \le p$ .
  - 4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 until the estimated functions "stop moving" (iterate)
  - 5. Return the results.

## Results

- We will code it today.
- There are two R packages that do this for us. I find mgcv easier.

#### The code

```
backfitlm <- function(y, X, max.iter=100, small.enough=1e-4, track=FALSE){
  # This function performs linear regression by "backfitting"
  # Inputs: y - the response
           X - the design matrix
           max.iter - the maximum number of loops through the predictors (default to 100)
            small.enough - if the mse changes by less than this, terminate (default to 1e-6)
  X = as.matrix(X)
  p = ncol(X) # how many covariates?
  n = nrow(X) # how many observations
  betas = double(p) # create a vector for our estimated coefficients (all zeros now)
  preds = matrix(0, n, p) # a matrix to hold the partial predictions of each covariate
  pres = matrix(y, n, p) # partial residuals begin as y (since we are predicting with 0)
  iter = 0 # initialize our iteration
  mse = mean(y^2) # initialize the MSE to SSTo
  conv = FALSE # initialize the convergence check to FALSE
  while(!conv && (iter < max.iter)){ # enter the loop, check conditions (note & not &)
    iter = iter + 1 # update the iteration count
   for(j in 1:p){ # loop over all predictors
     pres[,j] = y - rowSums(preds[,-j]) # partial residuals (ignoring current predictor)
      ## same as X[,-j] %*% betas[-j], same as apply(preds[,-j],1,sum)
     mod = lm(pres[,j] \sim X[,j]-1) # regress current predictor on partial residuals, no intercept, if g
      ## mod = gam(pres[,j]~X[,j]) # if we want a gam instead
      betas[j] = coefficients(mod) # get out the single coefficient, if gam, remove this line
      preds[,j] = fitted(mod) # update the predictions from this column
   msenew = sqrt(mean((y - rowSums(preds)))^2) # get the updated MSE after a pass
   conv = (abs(mse-msenew)<small.enough) # check how different our MSE was from previous
   mse = msenew # save the new MSE
    if(track) cat(iter/max.iter, " mse = ", mse, "\n")
 }
  return(list(bhat=betas, pres=pres, preds = preds, mse=mse)) # return our coefficients
```

## Testing...

- Generate a design matrix X with 100 observations and p = 10 covariates and a response variable y using a linear model.
- Test the (now complete) backfitlm on this data and compare the results to lm. Should there be an intercept in either version?

```
set.seed(03-08-2017)
n = 100
p = 10
X = matrix(runif(n*p,-1,1), n)
```

```
b = 10:1 # true betas
y = X %*% b + rnorm(n, sd=.5)
bhat.lm = coef(lm(y~X-1)) # no intercept
bhat.bf = backfitlm(y,X)$bhat # also no intercept
round(rbind(bhat.lm,bhat.bf),3)
```

```
## X1 X2 X3 X4 X5 X6 X7 X8 X9 X10 ## bhat.lm 9.893 8.985 8.008 6.95 6.004 5.002 4.029 2.905 2.005 0.866 ## bhat.bf 9.894 8.986 8.007 6.95 6.004 5.002 4.029 2.905 2.005 0.866
```

Notice that the estimated coefficients are exactly the same. I didn't generate data with an intercept, so I didn't let lm estimate one. You could have though. You just need to include a column of ones in the X matrix.